Southwest Power Pool
REGIONAL ENTITY TRUSTEES MEETING
July 28, 2014
Embassy Suites Omaha-Downtown/Old Market
Omaha, Nebraska
A G E N D A

8:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

1. Call to Order/Introductions ...................................................................................... John Meyer

2. Antitrust Guidelines .................................................................................................. Emily Pennel

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes – June 17, 2014 ....................................................... John Meyer

4. Long Term Reliability Assessment ...................................................................... Lanny Nickell
   Action Requested: SPP RE Trustees accept LTRA

5. 2Q Events Report and Facility Ratings Alert Update................................. Alan Wahlstrom

6. Discussion: Extending Trustee Meeting Length in 2015............................... Ron Ciesiel

7. NERC Operating Committee Report................................................................. Jim Usledinger

8. State of Reliability Report...................................................................................... Mike Hughes

9. CIP Update.............................................................................................................. Kevin Perry

10. Enforcement Report............................................................................................... Joe Gertsch

11. General Manager’s & Compliance Report ........................................................ Ron Ciesiel

12. Outreach Activity .................................................................................................. Emily Pennel

13. Year-to-Date Financial Statement........................................................................ Debbie Currie

14. Staff Goals and Metrics ......................................................................................... Ron Ciesiel

15. NERC Committee Representative Written Reports - Comments or Questions
   15a. Planning Committee ................................................................................ Noman Williams
   15b. Compliance and Certification Committee ...................................... Jennifer Flandermeyer
   15c. Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee ................................ Robert McClanahan
   15d. System Protection and Control ................................................................. Lynn Schroeder
16. New Action Items .................................................................................................................. Emily Pennel

17. Future Meetings .................................................................................................................. John Meyer

October 28, 2014 - Little Rock
January 26, 2015 - Dallas
April 27, 2015 - Tulsa
July 27, 2015 - Kansas City
October 26, 2015 - Little Rock
SPP Regional Entity Antitrust Guidelines

It is SPP RE’s policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or which might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition.
Southwest Power Pool
REGIONAL ENTITY TRUSTEES MEETING
June 17, 2014
SPP Corporate Center
Little Rock, Arkansas

A G E N D A

8:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

1. Call to Order/Introductions ................................................................. John Meyer
   John Meyer called the meeting to order at 8:02 a.m. and welcomed the attendees.

2. Antitrust Guidelines ........................................................................ Emily Pennel
   Emily Pennel reminded the group to speak up if the meeting falls outside the Antitrust
   guidelines.

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes - April 28, 2014 ................................. John Meyer
   The Trustees approved the minutes with no discussion.

4. SPP RE 2015 Business Plan & Budget ............................................ Debbie Currie
   The 2015 $11.8 million operating budget reflects an approximate $16,000 decrease or -0.1%
   from the 2014 budget. SPP RE’s funding is provided through assessments to Load Serving
   Entities or designees (mandatory in the U.S.). Funding assessments increased by $481,000
   or 5%, from $9.2 million to $9.7 million. A partial reduction of the SPP RE cash position will
   be used to offset the increase in the 2015 funding assessment. We target a zero balance by
   the end of the year.

   The net decrease in budgeted FTEs is 1.10, reflecting the elimination of a full-time
   enforcement case manager and a reduction in the number of SPP shared staff who help
   coordinate the development of regional reliability standards. Other FTE changes are primarily
   due to reallocation of existing staff.

   Compared to the 2014 budget, the net increase in direct expenses is ~$241,000 and the net
   decrease in SPP, Inc. indirect expenses is ~$257,000. The increase in direct expenses is
   primarily due to travel and consultant costs, including an Engineering Data Validation tool for
   use in the annual NERC reliability assessments. The decrease in SPP, Inc. indirect expenses
   is due to a decrease in the overhead rate that SPP, Inc. charges to the RE.

   SPP RE and the other REs do not project penalty revenues; penalty revenues have been
   running about half a million dollars for the past several years. We do not count on penalty
   monies to achieve our revenue.
We have an 18 month budget cycle. The SPP RE Trustees approve the budget in June, and the budget runs from January 1 to Dec. 31, 2015. The budget will be submitted to NERC in July 2014 and to FERC in August.

The Trustees approved the SPP RE 2015 Business Plan & Budget, subject to adjustment for violation penalty payments received prior to July 1, 2014, plus other non-substantive changes required for filing with NERC.

5. **General Manager’s Report** .........................................................Ron Ciesiel

With the wrap-up of the Reliability Assurance Initiative (RAI) pilot programs, the Regional Entities are waiting for the final report and directions on two main issues. First is scoping an oversight engagement for individual Registered Entities. The goal of the RAI project is to close the gap between the “one-size-fits-all” program of today to a more risk-informed customized program. Second will be a formal program for the REs to assess Registered Entities’ internal control programs. This process will allow the REs to customize approaches to compliance oversight for specific Registered Entities. Many RAI details are still under consideration and will be rolled out to the REs in 2Q and 3Q 2014 for implementation in 2015.

Upgrades to webCDMS should be completed around Labor Day, which will allow SPP RE to implement the Compliance Exception category to its decision making toolkit. A Compliance Exception will be a very minor infraction that the RE does not believe merits enforcement action and will be ‘off ramped’ at the RE, catalogued, trended, and bulk uploaded to NERC on a periodic basis. The ultimate goal is for entities to be able to keep compliance exceptions in-house with regular uploads to SPP RE.

The revised BES definition effective date is July 1, 2014. The ERO-wide software tool, BESnet, is open for user registration; entities can begin submitting exceptions on July 1. NERC and SPP RE have been providing training. Registered Entities should be reviewing and preparing any self-determined lists as part of their initial submission.
In conjunction with the rollout of the revised BES definition, NERC has begun an initiative to revamp the entity registration criteria. This “risk-based registration” may include the elimination of certain registered functions, raising the threshold requirements for others, and changing performance requirements for tiered functions in the registry.

The CIP V3 to V5 pilot project is wrapped up and the results will be used to help guide SPP RE, RTO, and Registered Entities as we transition from V3 to V5. NERC is finalizing a transition guidance document that includes instructions on how to deal with issues surrounding the now defunct Version 4, oversight activities for small entities, and other issues. The expected publication date is July 1, 2014.

FERC ordered NERC to fast track a Physical Security Standard, CIP-014, for completion in 2014 and implementation in 2015. The new standard has been approved by the NERC ballot body and is being submitted to FERC for final approval. SPP RE will not act as the third-party reviewer as indicated in the standard; SPP RTO is discussing whether the RTO would be qualified and unaffiliated enough to provide the review.

The Trustees congratulated staff for some recent professional achievements and certifications.

6. Enforcement Report

Through May 31, SPP RE has had 46 incoming violations, compared to 90 at this time last year. We have processed 56 violations YTD. Of this year’s violations, 24 are in Ops/Planning and 22 are CIP; 17 were Self-Reported and 16 were Self-Certified; and 27 were Find, Fix, Track. This year’s violations are 72% self-identified.

The current caseload is 176 violations, which should take under 12 months to process. Of these, 67 are Ops/Planning and 109 are CIP; 30 are on administrative hold; 32 are High Impact; and 74 do not have a mitigation plan.

7. Staff Goals and Metrics YTD

SPP RE staff is on track with the 2014 performance goals and metrics.

8. Financial Statement YTD

We are underrunning the budget in most categories, primarily due to open positions.

9. Outreach Report

Feedback from the CIP workshop was very good; it was attended by 172 stakeholders in-person and via webinar. The Fall Workshop will be Sept. 30-Oct. 1 in Oklahoma City.

10. New Action Items

There were no new action items.

11. Upcoming Meetings

John Meyer suggested we hold next year’s budget meeting as a net conference unless there are major budget issues that need to be addressed.

July 28, 2014, Omaha
October 27, 2014 - Little Rock

John Meyer adjoined the meeting at 10:30 am.
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Assessment Staff

- Chris Haley, Engineer Associate III (RTO)
- Alan Wahlstrom, Lead Engineer (SPP RE)
Long Term Reliability Assessment

• Widely-read continent-wide publication
• Projected 10-year long-term outlook (2015-2024)
• Primary objectives:
  – Qualitative outlook of region’s reliability
  – Make recommendations for mitigations/actions as needed
Long Term Reliability Assessment

• Provides high-level overview for SPP Planning Coordinator assessment area
  – Demand growth
  – Capacity adequacy
  – Operational reliability

• Does not include Integrated System, CLECO, LAFA, or LEPA

• Includes Nebraska
Assessment Process

• Created with data/information submitted by SPP Reporting Entities

• SPP staff validates and cross-checks data to verify consistency

• SPP staff and stakeholders have the opportunity to provide input

• Assessment undergoes peer review process at NERC prior to finalization
Coincident Peak Demand

- ~49,710 MW projected 2015 Total Internal Demand
- ~56,990 MW projected 2024 Total Internal Demand
- Modest load growth projected over next 10 years
Demand Response and Energy Efficiency

- Forecast modest average annual growth of ~3.5% in Energy Efficiency and DR programs through 2024
- DR programs are voluntary and are primarily targeted for summer peak load reduction use
- Members include their own DR and Energy Efficiency programs as reductions in their load forecasts
Generation

• ~3,150 MW nameplate generation expected to be retired 2014-2024
  - Do not expect any reliability issues

• ~7,500 MW of new nameplate “Tier 1” generation projected coming into service 2015-2024
  - Coal (75 MW)
  - Natural Gas (1058 MW)
  - Biomass (6 MW)
  - Solar (10 MW)
  - Wind (6261 MW)
  - Nuclear (67 MW)
Available Capacity

- ~65,500 MWs Existing Certain Capacity in 2015
- ~62,400 MWs Existing Certain Capacity in 2024
  - Includes Existing Certain generation available
  - Reserve margin based on expected Existing Certain generation and Net Firm Transfers
  - Decrease in capacity due to retirements
Available Capacity Reserve Margin

SPP Reserve Margin

Target Reserve Margin

Anticipated Capacity

- ~66,000 MWs Anticipated Capacity in 2015
- ~63,650 MWs Anticipated Capacity in 2024
  - Includes Existing Certain and Planned “Tier 1” anticipated capacity
  - Reserve margin based on expected Existing Certain, Net Firm Transfers, and Planned “Tier 1” anticipated capacity
Anticipated Capacity Reserve Margin

SPP Reserve Margin

Target Reserve Margin


12
Reserve Margins

• Reserve margins are adequate
  – SPP members required to maintain 12% capacity margin, which translates to a 13.6% reserve margin
  – Forecasted anticipated reserve margin is ~36% in 2015, decreasing to ~14.5% in 2024

• Reliability issues not expected
SPP has initiated the formation of a Capacity Margin Task Force (CMTF), which is comprised of SPP Members and Staff. The primary task of the CMTF is to improve the SPP Capacity Margin construct in order to meet Member’s expectations while maintaining reliability.
Capacity Adequacy Study *

- SPP bi-annual study process
  - Four-year look ahead for reliability issues
  - Weekly snapshots through the four years
  - Scheduled outages taken into account

- Current studies indicate there will be adequate time to perform generator retrofits necessary to comply with known environmental regulations
  - Retrofits are expected to impact generation supply economics more than the ability to reliably serve load

* Formerly called “EPA Study”
Transmission

• ~3,500 miles 100+ kV expected over 10-year assessment period

• Particular emphasis on western part of grid due to influx of renewable generation and localized load growth
Gas Electric Coordination Task Force

- Created January 2013 to oversee activities between the Gas and Electric Industry in the SPP Region
- Weather Operational Plan created for communication between major gas suppliers and SPP Operations
Emerging Reliability Issues

• Currently managing reliability concerns regarding exchange of energy between MISO Central/North and MISO South
Long-Term Reliability Issues

- High-Priority Incremental Load Study showed load growth due to oil and gas drilling
  - Substantial load growth concentrated in TX and NM
  - Localized growth has created need for new transmission and generation in specific areas
  - Working with stakeholders to ensure reliability needs are being addressed
Long-Term Reliability Issues

• Coal delivery delays due to railroad congestion could potentially reduce fuel supplies

• Drought and flooding conditions continue to be concerns
  – Current drought conditions in the western portion of region are projected to continue *
  – 2015 Integrated Transmission Planning 10 study includes a future scenario with a decreased baseload capacity in which units susceptible to drought are de-rated

* U.S. Drought Monitor
Long-Term Reliability Issues

• Wind will continue to cause operational challenges
  – During off-peak periods, there may be higher wind output with not enough transmission to handle the increased output
  – 2015 ITP 10 study is assessing its members’ renewable portfolio standards and modeling the SPP Assessment Area to account for these mandates and goals
Summary

• Generation fleet is diverse in terms of location, fuel type, and capability
• SPP reporting area shows modest load growth, sufficient resources, and adequate reserve margins for 2015-2024 assessment period
• Long-term challenges include integration of variable generation
SPP Events for 2014

- 16 total events, 8 Category 1 Events analyzed via NERC’s Event Analysis process
SPP Regional Events (April 1st – June 30th)

- 1 Category 1h. Events. Loss of monitoring or control at a control center.
- 2 Category 1f. Events. Unplanned evacuation from a control center facility
Loss of SCADA

• Human Error
  — Telecommunications technician accidentally cutting the power cab bridging amplifiers temporarily.
  — Twenty Three RTU’s out of service
  — System out for two hours
Unplanned Evacuation of Primary Control Center

- Utility experienced an unplanned evacuation of its Primary Control Center facilities due to a fire alarm.
  - Primary Control center was evacuated for 53 min
  - Sensor failed
Unplanned Evacuation of Primary Control Center

- Chemical Spill occurred in railroad yard across the road from Control Center
  - System operations was initiated at backup control center within 25 min.
  - Operations at Primary control center resumed approximately 11 hours later.
NERC LESSONS LEARNED
High AC Voltage Can Lead to Remote Terminal Unit (RTU) Failures LL20140501

- Moderately high ac voltage for a prolonged period or very high ac voltage for a short duration can cause the metal oxide varistors (MOVs) on the control card to break down.

- Corrective actions
  - One solution is to apply high voltage protection (i.e., MOVs) on the ac circuits connected to the control boards, external to the RTU.
High AC Voltage Can Lead to Remote Terminal Unit (RTU) Failures LL20140501

— Another solution is to use an external interpose relay for all ac control switching to eliminate any ac on the RTU control output board

• Lesson Learned

— If an entity employs a station RTU to switch ac voltages via the RTU control functionality, the entity should consider researching the effects that high ac voltage may have on the RTU control.
Circuit Breaker Modification Leads to Inadvertent Trips LL20140502

• Sulfur hexafluoride (SF6) gas circuit breaker controls were field modified to trip on low gas and air pressure.
  - Utility reconfigured the breaker to trip on falling SF6 gas pressure.
  - DC source removed then reapplied caused the breaker to trip.
Circuit Breaker Modification Leads to Inadvertent Trips LL20140502

- Lesson Learned
  - When making changes to original factory equipment schemes, entities should analyze the scheme change to ensure that the removal/restoration of DC power to the scheme does not cause an undesirable operation.
Improved Contractor Oversight Needed
LL20140503

- Vendor performed work in stations without a verification method in place caused significant system disturbances.
  - Contractor failed to install insulating sleeves on CT circuit which led to an outage of a startup transformer
System frequency dipped below the auxiliary equipment relay frequency protection setting at a generating plant, causing auxiliary equipment to trip.

A frequency protective relay scheme was installed on a generating plant’s auxiliary equipment.
Feeders’ underfrequency protection scheme was set to trip auxiliary equipment if the frequency was 59.5 Hz or less for two seconds.

• Lessons Learned
  — Unintended generator tripping during an underfrequency event can exacerbate the condition.
Loss of SCADA Due to Memory Resources Being Fully Utilized LL20140604

- An Entity experienced a loss of Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) due to the memory resources on the primary SCADA communications server being overwhelmed.

  - An SSH session repeatedly initiated commands. A discrepancy in the EMS host-naming convention used on a LINUX host file caused the memory resources to exceed their maximum capacity.
Loss of SCADA Due to Memory Resources Being Fully Utilized LL20140604

• Lessons Learned

— The SCADA host resources should include monitoring and alarming of crucial events and server resources so that users are aware of any possible problems and can then prevent incidents such as loss of SCADA system functionality.
Generation Relaying – Overexcitation

LL20140602

- A newly installed volts/hertz (V/Hz) protective relay tripped a generator.

  - The new relay settings were incorrectly based on the settings of the existing protection and were not coordinated with the generator’s overexcitation V/Hz limiter in its excitation control.
Generation Relaying – Overexcitation
LL20140602

• Lessons Learned
  — When generator relays are being replaced with new technology digital relays, it should not be assumed that basing the new relay settings on the existing protection’s set points is the best practice.
Verify That Actions Called for in Operating Guides Can Be Implemented Within Required Time Frames LL20140603

- Operating Guides contained time requirements that did not properly consider the ability of Operating Personnel to complete a task.

  - A registered entity required that actions be taken within 30 minutes to protect local generation, but time required takes longer than 30 min.
Verify That Actions Called for in Operating Guides Can Be Implemented Within Required Time Frames LL20140603

• Lessons Learned
  — In the development of operating plans or procedures, organizations must consider if such actions are accomplishable within required time frames.
FAC Alert July 15th 2014

- SPPRE Discrepancies

High Priority approximately 420
Medium Priority approximately 1980
Low Priority approximately 4050
FAC Alert July 15th 2014

• Remediation

100% of the High priority lines are complete

68% of the Medium priority lines are complete

66% of the Low priority lines are complete
Links

• SPP RE Event Analysis Webpage
  http://www.spp.org/section.asp?pageID=142

• Event Analysis Process Documents

• SPP Lessons Learned

• NERC Lessons Learned
Southwest Power Pool Regional Entity
Recommendation to the SPP RE Trustees

July 28, 2014
Extend Meeting Length in 2015

In the last few years, the SPP RE Trustees meetings have grown in both attendance and breadth of topics covered. The Trustees meetings typically do not cover multiple action items; instead, they are primarily opportunities for staff, stakeholders, and Trustees to discuss important reliability matters. The meetings usually include:

- Staff updates from enforcement, compliance, outreach, event analysis, CIP, and General Manager
- Reports from SPP RE’s representatives on NERC committees
- NERC Reliability Assessments and State of Reliability Reports
- Current and emerging topics such as regional standards, annual implementation plans, Reliability Assurance Initiatives, physical and cyber security updates, etc.
- Staff performance metrics, year-end reports, and annual stakeholder survey results
- Financials/budget reports

Several stakeholders have said that the 8:00 a.m. to noon meeting length does not allow adequate time to discuss these topics in depth. If an attendee realizes time is running out, s/he may choose not to ask a question or raise an issue that could have been a good discussion point. Also, staff would like to add a regular update from the Operations and Planning team along with the other staff updates.

SPP RE Trustees meetings were previously held from 8:00 a.m. until 2:00 or 3:00 p.m. the day after the Board meetings. To increase attendance, the meetings were moved to the day before the Board meetings. Since the Regional State Committee also meets the day prior to the Board at 1:00 p.m., the Trustees meetings were truncated to 12:00 p.m.

If we extend the meeting length to mid-afternoon, action items and the most important topics would be put first on the agenda. If some attendees choose to leave the Trustees meeting for the RSC, they would miss the least significant agenda items.

For meetings held at the SPP Corporate Center, the Trustees meetings would either have to end at noon or be held in a smaller conference room.

Recommendation
If stakeholders generally agree, SPP RE recommends that the SPP RE Trustees extend the meeting length for off-site 2015 meetings.
Revised Reliability Plans

• MAY 2014 - ORS endorsed SERC and MISO plans
• State regulatory commissions of WI & MI have opened proceedings related to the proposed Local Balancing Authority split identified in MISO plan
• NERC has received inquiries from MI communicating concerns of potential issues associated with LBA in Upper MI area
• NERC was gathering info and hoped to resolve soon based on MISO’s modeling needs
Balancing Authority ACE Limit (BAAL) Field Trial

• Ongoing BAAL field trial since 2005
• Conclusions to date:
  – BAAL requirement focuses on frequency control for the Interconnection
  – Correlation between CPS1 and BAAL always drives corrective actions to support frequency
  – BAAL is a proportional allocation of responsibility across all BA;s
  – DT believes BAAL time duration of 30 consecutive clock minutes has proven to be appropriate

• DT recommended continuation of the field trial until reliability standard BAL-001-2 becomes effective
Reliability Guideline: Generating Unit Operations during Complete Loss of Communications

• RS drafted the guideline to provide a strategy for power plant operations in the case of complete loss of communications (both data and voice) between on-site generating unit operator and the System Operator.

• Each BA needs to work with its generators to determine how they will act under frequency excursions.

• OC approved the guideline and asked the RS to consider several comments addressing additional areas related to: reactive power schedules, transmission system reliability, regional operating criteria.
Essential Reliability Services

- New joint TF (PC & OC) created in response to a recommendation from NERC’s LTRA to develop a primer on essential reliability services
- TF developing a tutorial that identifies each essential reliability service and discusses the importance of those services to the operational requirements needed to ensure bulk power system reliability
- Educate and inform industry leadership, regulators, policy makers
- Develop an approach for tracking and trending ERS
Event Analysis – Lessons Learned

• Improved Contractor Oversight – Westar
  – Inadequate handling of emergent work
  – Unclear responsibilities and monitoring of contractor work practices

• Hydro Quebec Event
  – Operations review of maintenance outage procedures
  – Operational communication/follow-up of outage requests
  – Maintenance outage impacts on system reliability
  – Communications with neighboring system operators

• Hydro One Event
  – Sealing watertight cable penetrations and window wells at substation control houses
  – Review of SE operation for massive loss of telemetry
  – Loss of computer and network systems impact on ability to operate, monitor, assess the power system
Subcommittee Activities

• OC approved revised scopes of:
  – Personnel Subcommittee
  – Event Analysis Subcommittee
  – Resources Subcommittee
  – Operating Reliability Subcommittee
• OC approved decommissioning the Interchanges Subcommittee
  – RS and ORS included IS related functions in their revised scopes
EMS Working Group

- Reports to the Event Analysis Subcommittee
- Scope – analyze events that affect monitoring, control & situation awareness of the BES. Communicate with industry lessons learned
- MAY 29 meeting
  - Latest trend in EMS related event reporting -
    - More 1h events being reported now and 2b events trending lower
    - Every 2b event is in fact a 1h event as well
    - Continue to monitor since new category went into effect only in OCT, 2013
  - 3 new lessons learned approved for posting
  - 2nd annual Monitoring and Situational Awareness Conference
    - SEPT 23-24, PJM
State of Reliability Report

• Provides an objective view of reliability performance

• Identifies trends and risks to reliability

• Serves as risk-informed input to standards projects
Bulk Electric System (BES) Performance

- Normal conditions/predefined disturbances
- Low-probability disturbances
- Major system disturbances
- Contingency analysis
Equipment Availability

- Transmission circuit availability > 97%
- Transmission transformer availability > 98%
NERC Transmission AC Circuits Unavailability by Outage Type (2010–2013)
Key Finding 1: Sustained High Performance for BES Reliability

• Daily Severity Risk Index (SRI) has been stable to improving from 2008 to 2013

• On average, SRI was approximately as good as 2008 performance, which is the best year on record
Severity Risk Index (SRI)
Key Finding 2: Frequency Response Remains Stable

- Frequency response trending above the recommended interconnection frequency response obligation (IFRO)
Frequency Response Basics

NERC Frequency Response =
\[
\frac{\text{Generation Loss (MW)}}{\text{Frequency Point A - Frequency Point B}}
\]

- **A** Pre Event Frequency
- **B** Settling Frequency: Primary Response is almost all deployed
- **C** Frequency Nadir: Generation and Load Response equals the generation loss

Slope of the dark green line illustrates the System Inertia (Generation and Load). The slope is \(\Delta P/(D+2H)\).
Typical EI Frequency Response

No "Point C" to "Point B" Recovery

Response "Withdrawal"
April 2015

IFRO 1,014MW/0.1Hz for Eastern Interconnection (EI)

Frequency Response Obligation (FRO) for BAs
Key Finding 3: Protection System Misoperations Cause Transmission Events

Contributing factor to:

- Number of events
- Severity of events
Misoperation Rate by Region
NERC Misoperations by Cause Code from 2011 Q2 to 2013 Q3

- Incorrect setting/logic/design errors: 29%
- Relay failures/malfunctions: 5%
- Communication failures: 8%
- Unknown/unexplainable: 12%
- As-left personnel error: 15%
- AC system: 21%
- DC system: 10%
Key Finding 4: Substation Equipment Failures Impact Transmission Event Severity

- Similar observation made in the previous year
- Thomas Teafatiller represents SPP RE on AC Substation Equipment Task Force
- 345 kV SF6 Puffer-Type Breakers 2013
Outages Sustained by Failed AC Substation/Circuit 2011Q2 to 2013Q3

- 80, 43%: Other
- 53, 29%: Circuit Breaker
- 27, 15%: Power Transformer
- 11, 6%: Disconnect Switch
- 8, 4%: Not Applicable
- 2, 1%: Surge Arrester
- 4, 2%: Other

Note: (blank) category is not included in the diagram.
Key Finding 5: Use of Energy Emergency Alert Level 3 Declines

- Seven Energy Emergency Alert (EEA) Level 3 events declared in 2013
  - Less than prior years
- Only one of the EEA 3 events required firm load shed to preserve BES reliability
## EEA-3 by Regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Events</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NERC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPCC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP *</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WECC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: The two EEA3 events in 2013 were filed by SPP for the SERC Region
Mike Hughes
Lead Compliance Engineer
mhughes.re@spp.org
501-688-1712
Agenda

• CIP Version 5 Standards Revisions
• CIP Version 5 Transition
• CIP-014 (Physical Security) Update
CIP Version 5 Standards Revisions

• First round of comments and balloting concluded 7/16

• Results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ballot Results Quorum/Approval</th>
<th>Non-Binding Poll Results Quorum/Supportive Opinions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIP-003-6</td>
<td>80.73% / 35.67%</td>
<td>77.81% / 31.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP-004-6</td>
<td>80.49% / 80.76%</td>
<td>77.27% / 77.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP-006-6</td>
<td>80.00% / 76.24%</td>
<td>77.27% / 74.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP-007-6</td>
<td>80.24% / 78.41%</td>
<td>77.27% / 75.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP-009-6</td>
<td>80.24% / 85.32%</td>
<td>77.27% / 85.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP-010-2</td>
<td>80.49% / 49.42%</td>
<td>77.54% / 39.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP-011-2</td>
<td>80.24% / 82.55%</td>
<td>77.01% / 79.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>78.29% / 78.58%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Comments to be considered. Revisions to CIP-003-6 and CIP-010-2 likely due to failed status.
CIP Version 5 Standards Revisions

• Comments: Low Impact BES Cyber Systems
  – Implied requirement to inventory Low impact BES Cyber Systems in order to demonstrate compliance.
  – Identification and monitoring of Physical Access Points for Low impact BES Cyber Systems is burdensome.
  – Clarification of “external routable protocol path.”
  – Combine requirements for Low impact BES Cyber Systems with similar requirements for High/Medium impact BES Cyber Systems throughout CIP Standards.
  – Need significantly longer implementation schedule.
CIP Version 5 Standards Revisions

• Comments: Transient Devices
  – Excessive, highly burdensome documentation requirements.
  – How up-to-date must patches and anti-malware signature files be prior to use?
  – Allow device to move between Electronic Security Perimeters without re-preparation for “first use.”
  – Inability to manage or control third-party (e.g., vendor or consultant) device to the expectations of the Requirement.
CIP Version 5 Standards Revisions

• General Concerns:
  – Removal of Identify, Assess, and Correct (IAC) language reintroduces zero tolerance irrespective of the enforcement discretion included in the Reliability Assurance Initiative (RAI).
  – RAI replaces IAC, but RAI is not in its final state.
  – Definitions of Transient Cyber Asset and Removable Media need to be more clearly stated.
  – Proposed CIP RSAWs introduce new obligations exceeding the CIP requirements, are excessively burdensome, and are inconsistent.
CIP Version 5 Transition

• Updated guidance being finalized. Expected to be released mid-to-late August.
  – Allows entity to assert compliance with V5 requirement during V3 enforcement period for “mostly compatible” requirements.
    ▪ Infers compliance with corresponding V3 requirement.
    ▪ Can be asserted on requirement and/or asset base.
  – Any new Critical Cyber Assets resulting from adoption of V5 Impact Rating Criteria will not be subject to V3 compliance expectations.
CIP-014 (Physical Security) Update

• Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR) issued by FERC July 17, 2014.
  – Proposes to approve CIP-014-1, implementation plan, and VRF/VSL
  – Proposes modifications
  – Proposes informational filing
  – Seeks comments

• Comments due 45 days after NOPR published in the Federal Register. Reply comments due 60 days after NOPR published in the Federal Register.
CIP-014 (Physical Security) Update

- Proposed Modifications:
  - Allow Governmental Authorities (i.e., FERC and any other appropriate federal or provincial authorities) to add or subtract facilities from an applicable entity’s list of critical facilities under Requirement R1.
  - Remove the term “widespread” as it appears in the proposed Reliability Standard in the phrase “widespread instability.”
CIP-014 (Physical Security) Update

• Proposed Informational Filings:
  – Within six months of the effective date of a final rule addressing the possibility that CIP-014-1 may not provide physical security for all “High Impact” control centers as defined in CIP-002-5.1.
  – Within one year of the effective date of a final rule addressing possible resiliency measures that can be taken to maintain reliable operation of the Bulk Electric System following the loss of critical facilities.
CIP-014 (Physical Security) Update

• Comments desired on:
  – Providing for applicable governmental authorities to add or subtract facilities from an entity’s list of critical facilities
  – The standard for identifying critical facilities
  – Control centers
  – Exclusion of generators from the applicability section of the proposed Reliability Standard
  – Third-party recommendations
  – Resiliency
  – Violation risk factors and violation severity levels
  – Implementation plan and effective date
Enforcement Update

July 28, 2014

Joe Gertsch
Manager of Enforcement
jgertsch.re@spp.org
501-688-1672
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPP RE Enforcement Activities</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>First Quarter</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>Total 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notice of Preliminary Screen Issued</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice of Possible Violations Issued</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice of Alleged Violation (NAVAPS)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCV Sent to Entity/NERC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice of Confirmed Violation (NOCV)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice of BOTCC Approved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlements / Full Notice of Penalty</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To NERC for Approval</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTCC Approved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlements / Spreadsheet NOP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To NERC for Approval</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTCC Approved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Find, Fix, Track</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To NERC for Approval</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTCC Approved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dismissals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To NERC/SPP RE SRT for Approval</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NERC/SPP RE SRT Approved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice of Penalty</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved by FERC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violations Awaiting BOTCC Approval</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Violations - Caseload</td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caseload Index (months)*</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on previous 12 months processing (184)
Enforcement Monthly Violation Processing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Running Total Violations
Enforcement Processing Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Dismissals</th>
<th>Find, Fix, Track</th>
<th>SNOP</th>
<th>Settlement</th>
<th>NOCV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Disposition by Regional Entity – Q2 2014
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Legend:
- FFT
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- Compliance Exception
Enforcement Caseload – June 30, 2014

• 171 - Open Violations
  30 - Joint Settlement w/ Other Regions
  33 - Settlement
  78 - Settlement Not Requested (NAVAPS/NOCV)
  30 - Administrative Hold

• 63 - 693 Violations

• 108 - CIP Violations

• 21 - High Impact Violations

• Discovery Method
  58 - Audit
  81 - Self Report
  22 - Self Certification
  1 - Spot Check
  9 - Investigation
Caseload Aging

• **SPP RE** – 115 violations, average age - 301 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (days)</th>
<th>Violations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 300</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 – 300</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 – 200</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 – 100</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 50</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• **MRRE** – 26 violations, average age - 372 days

• **Admin Hold** – 30 violations, average age - 939 days
High Impact Violation Summary

• 21 – Open High Impact Violations
  10 - Settlement
  2 - Multi Region
  9 - Disposition Undetermined

• Open High Impact Violations Mitigation Status
  11 - Mitigation Plan Complete
  8 - Mitigation Plan Accepted
  2 - Mitigation Plan Initiated (No Action)
## SPP RE 2014 Violation Dismissals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation with another violation</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NERC V3 – V5 Guidance (approach 2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Report wrong standard and/or requirement</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provided exculpatory evidence</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect Interpretation of Standard</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>26</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
June Mitigation Plan Summary

• Mitigation Plan Status (month/year)
  Submitted          7/60
  Accepted           6/68
  Certified Complete 9/68
  Completion Verified 14/69

• Open Violations with no Mitigation Plans
  Administrative Hold 11
  Work in Progress    0
  Initiated           46
  Submitted           0
  Total               57
Joe Gertsch
Manager of Enforcement
jgertsch.re@spp.org
501-688-1672
TO: SPP Regional Entity Trustees

FROM: Ron Ciesiel, SPP RE General Manager

DATE: July 28, 2014

SUBJECT: SPP RE General Manager Report

Emerging Issues

NERC Reliability Assurance Initiative [RAI]

With the wrap-up of the RAI pilot programs, the RAI Steering Team is in the process of developing two final reports on the direction of the remaining attributes of the initiative; first, Inherent Risk Assessment [IRA] is in draft form and under review at the REs, and secondly, Internal Controls Review [ICR] which is scheduled for release at the end of the summer. The goal of the RAI project is to close the gap between the one-size-fits-all program of today to a more risk informed customized program melding all of the compliance oversight tools into a single oversight engagement rather than discreet actions as they are done today. The SPP RE has been implementing an enhance IRA practice for its audit activities for approximately one year and will make the adjustments, if needed, in the final NERC IRA instructions. The ICR will be a formal program outlined for the REs to follow to assess the internal control programs of the registered entities. This process will allow the REs to customize approaches to compliance oversight for specific registered entities.

While the SPP RE staff generally knows the contents of the two programs discussed above, many of the details are still under consideration and will be rolled out to the REs over the next several for implementation in 2015.

In addition, upgrades to webCDMS should be completed around Labor Day which will allow the SPP RE to implement the Compliance Exception category to its decision making toolkit. A Compliance Exception will be a very minor infraction that the RE does not believe merits enforcement action and will be ‘off ramped’ at the RE, catalogued, trended and bulk uploaded to NERC on a periodic basis.
**BES Definition and Exemption Process**

Official effective date was July 1, 2014.

Registered entity training was provided by both NERC and SPP RE during June 2014.

Activity has been modest to date across all of the REs except for NPCC.

SPP RE has been meeting its review timelines for those items that have been submitted.

**Registration Program Review**

In conjunction with the rollout of the revised BES definition, NERC has begun an initiative to review and possibly revamp the entity registration criteria. This effort may include the elimination of certain registered functions, raising the threshold requirements for certain others and a change to performance requirements for tiered functions in the registry.

In draft form, the following functions are recommended for elimination:
- Load Serving Entity [LSE]
- Interchange Authority [IA]
- Purchasing Selling Entity [PSE]

In draft form, the threshold for inclusion in the registry for a Distribution Provider [DP] is recommended to increase from 25MW to 75MW.

This effort is underway with final recommendations available in 4Q 2014.

**CIP Transition and Physical Security Standard**

The CIP v3 to v5 pilot project is wrapped up and the results will be used to help guide us, the SPP RTO and the SPP RE Registered Entities during the next ~ 20 months as all of the entities transition from v3 to v5.

NERC is in the process of finalizing a transition guidance document for use by the REs and the Registered Entities that includes instructions on how to deal with issues surrounding the now defunct Version 4, oversight activities for small entities, plus other issues. Expected publication date is mid-August 2014.

In draft form some of the current items being recommended include:
1. The cessation of audits of entities with no current Critical Assets;
2. Allowance to declare the use of the V4 or V5 Brightline Criteria in lieu of the V3 RBAM technique;
3. Requirement of the registered entities to declare their decision on number 2 above before scheduled compliance activities begin; and
4. An increased outreach effort by the REs in the form of non-compliance discussions with individual entities on the transition issues [similar to previous Readiness Evaluation Program].

In addition, the FERC ordered NERC to fast track a Physical Security Standard [CIP-014] for completion in 2014 and implemented in 2015. The Standard has been finished and voted on by the Standards Ballot body, approved by the NERC BOT and has been submitted to the FERC for final approval.

**2015 Budget**

The approved 2015 SPP RE budget calls for a flat budget when compared to the 2014 budget, including a slight reduction in manpower.

All RE budgets have been submitted to NERC which has held public meetings concerning these budgets. Final approval at NERC is expected during the August 2014 round of meetings before being submitted to FERC in September.

**Public Speaking Engagements**

I have been invited to speak at the NAES compliance forum to be held during August 2014.

**Administrative and Organizational Issues**

**Organizational**

None to report for this period

**Staffing**

The following personnel changes were made since the last report:

Promotions – None

Additions – None

Terminations – None
Currently, the SPP RE has 3 open positions, including:

Director of Compliance position, and
Two technical positions in the Events and RAPA area.

GM note: We are holding these positions open at the current time while we evaluate organizational needs for the final implementation of the RAI components. This assessment should be finished by the end of the year and final organizational changes, if needed, will be announced at that time.

**Administrative**

None to report for this period.

Respectfully submitted:

Ronald W. Ciesiel
SPP RE General Manager
July 28, 2014
Bulk Electric System Definition Update

• New BES definition went into effect 7/1/14

• **BESNet tool** open for submitting collect Self-Determinations and Exception Requests

• If registered as RC, PA, TO, TOP, or BA, you must have at least one BESnet Entity Administrator *even if you do not expect to submit Notifications or Requests*

• Exception Requests submitted between 7/1/14 and 9/1/14 will be **considered for Compliance purposes as received on 7/1/14**

• Current Activity has been modest across ERO

• Contact **Greg Sorenson** for more information
Vegetation Management Update

• NERC 2Q 2014 Vegetation Management Report
  – No reportable contacts in SPP RE footprint
  – 5th consecutive quarter with no reportable contacts
SPP RE Misoperation Report as of 1Q 2014

Relay Operational Performance - Success Rate

Correct Operations
Rolling 4 Quarter Average
Causes of Misoperations  1Q 2011-1Q 2014

Cause(s) of Misoperation

- AC system
- As-left personnel error
- Communication failures
- DC system
- Incorrect setting/logic/design errors
- Relay failures/malfunctions
- Unknown/unexplainable
- Other
Operation/Misoperation Comparison

Operation/Misoperation

Q1-11 | Q2-11 | Q3-11 | Q4-11 | Q1-12 | Q2-12 | Q3-12 | Q4-12 | Q1-13 | Q2-13 | Q3-13 | Q4-13 | Q1-14
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
68 | 115 | 615 | 356 | 385 | 658 | 598 | 382 | 335 | 718 | 510 | 377 | 264

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Misoperations</th>
<th>Operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPP
**Most Violated Standards**
Based on rolling 12 months through 6/30/14 [Represents ~ 85% of total violations]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPP RE Rank</th>
<th>NERC 12 Month Rank</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number of Violations</th>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CIP-007</td>
<td>Systems Security Management</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>FAC-008</td>
<td>Facility Ratings (includes FAC-009)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Med./Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CIP-005</td>
<td>Electronic Security Perimeters</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CIP-006</td>
<td>Physical Security - Critical Cyber Assets</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Med./Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>PRC-005</td>
<td>Protection System Maintenance</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>High/Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CIP-002</td>
<td>Critical Cyber Asset Identification</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>High/Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>CIP-004</td>
<td>Personnel &amp; Training</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Med./Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>CIP-003</td>
<td>Security Management Controls</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Med./Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>VAR-002</td>
<td>Network Voltage Schedules</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Med./Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>PRC-008</td>
<td>UFLS Relay Maintenance</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NERC Report Q4 2013
** Not in NERC Rolling 12 month Top Ten
RAI Oversight Plan Framework

Scope

Applicable Standards
- RE Functions
- Characteristics - ERO / Regional
- Events
- RISC

Input

Scope

Inherent Risk Assessment

Internal Controls Evaluation

Controls Not Evaluated

Oversight Scoping

CMEP Tools

Compliance Oversight Plan

Risk Elements

Applicable Standards

• RE Functions
• Characteristics - ERO / Regional
• Events
• RISC

Input

Scope

Compliance Oversight Plan

RAI Oversight Plan Framework

Inherent Risk Assessment

Internal Controls Evaluation

Controls Not Evaluated

Oversight Scoping

CMEP Tools

Compliance Oversight Plan

Risk Elements

Applicable Standards

• RE Functions
• Characteristics - ERO / Regional
• Events
• RISC

Input

Scope
Outreach

- **Upcoming Events**
  - Sept. 30-Oct. 1, Fall Workshop, Oklahoma City
  - Oct. 1-2, RTO Compliance Forum, Oklahoma City

- **Materials posted for June CIP Workshop**, attended by 172 stakeholders

- **New CIP videos posted to online video training library**
  - Active Vulnerability Assessments
  - CIP-010 and Change Management
  - Port Scans & Configuration of PSP Maintenance Laptop
  - Technical Feasibility Exception Process Update
## Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROJECTION</td>
<td>YEAR BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERO Funding</td>
<td>4,659,562</td>
<td>4,689,561.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92,019,123</td>
<td>92,019,123</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalty Sanctions</td>
<td>254,167</td>
<td>254,166.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>508,333</td>
<td>508,333</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SPP RE Funding</td>
<td>4,913,728</td>
<td>4,943,728</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,727,456</td>
<td>9,727,456</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing Fees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshops</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>1,345</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Funding (A)</td>
<td>4,865,073</td>
<td>4,863,728</td>
<td>1,345</td>
<td>9,727,456</td>
<td>9,727,456</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Expenses

### Personnel Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROJECTION</td>
<td>YEAR BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>1,701,663</td>
<td>2,031,667.50</td>
<td>(330,004)</td>
<td>4,013,647</td>
<td>4,103,647</td>
<td>(90,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll Taxes</td>
<td>136,600</td>
<td>156,952.50</td>
<td>(20,352)</td>
<td>376,178</td>
<td>313,905</td>
<td>(62,273)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td>161,044</td>
<td>165,402.50</td>
<td>(4,358)</td>
<td>322,690</td>
<td>330,805</td>
<td>(8,115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Costs</td>
<td>60,759</td>
<td>82,866.50</td>
<td>(2,107)</td>
<td>161,662</td>
<td>184,153</td>
<td>(22,491)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Personnel Expenses</td>
<td>2,080,137</td>
<td>2,496,089</td>
<td>(375,952)</td>
<td>4,212,777</td>
<td>4,912,777</td>
<td>(700,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operating Expenses

#### Meeting Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROJECTION</td>
<td>YEAR BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings</td>
<td>49,123</td>
<td>45,750</td>
<td>3,373</td>
<td>96,832</td>
<td>91,500</td>
<td>5,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>160,544</td>
<td>240,000</td>
<td>(82,456)</td>
<td>355,668</td>
<td>486,000</td>
<td>(130,332)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference Calls</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Meeting Expenses</td>
<td>209,668</td>
<td>288,750</td>
<td>(79,082)</td>
<td>452,500</td>
<td>577,500</td>
<td>(125,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Professional Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROJECTION</td>
<td>YEAR BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts &amp; Consultants</td>
<td>295,438</td>
<td>515,500</td>
<td>(220,062)</td>
<td>776,050</td>
<td>1,031,000</td>
<td>(254,950)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Rent</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Costs</td>
<td>4,126</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>8,146</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>86,144</td>
<td>233,050</td>
<td>(146,906)</td>
<td>295,904</td>
<td>466,100</td>
<td>(170,196)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous/Contingency</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Expenses</td>
<td>385,709</td>
<td>752,550</td>
<td>(366,841)</td>
<td>1,090,100</td>
<td>1,595,100</td>
<td>(425,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fixed Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROJECTION</td>
<td>YEAR BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer &amp; Software CapEx</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture &amp; Fixtures CapEx</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment CapEx</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold Improvements</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase/(Decrease) in Fixed Assets (C)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Budget (Expenses plus Incr (Dec) in Fixed Assets (B+C))</td>
<td>4,716,577</td>
<td>5,911,815</td>
<td>(1,195,237)</td>
<td>9,973,629</td>
<td>11,823,629</td>
<td>(1,850,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Change in Working Capital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROJECTION</td>
<td>YEAR BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Change in Assets (A-B)</td>
<td>148,496</td>
<td>1,084,887</td>
<td>1,196,582</td>
<td>246,173</td>
<td>2,096,173</td>
<td>1,850,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Change in Working Capital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>2014 JUNE YTD</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>2014 FULL YEAR</th>
<th>VARIANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROJECTION</td>
<td>YEAR BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTB*</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SPP RE Metrics Reporting as of June 30, 2014

#### 1. High Impact
- Accept MP or issue NAVAPS at avg. of <= 100 days
  - Current Avg. Days: 93.53

#### 2. Maintain Caseload
- Maintain a one year caseload
  - FFT: 32, Settle: 25, Dismiss: 26, NOCV: 1
  - Total: 84
  - Yr. Passed: 50.00%
  - Caseload Complete: 45.41%

#### 3. Mit. Accept/Reject
- Accept/Reject Mit Plans w/in 30 days
  - Current Avg. Days: 8.23
  - Metric Eligibility: 100.00%

#### 4. Mit. Plan Completion
- Complete Mitigation reviews <= 25 days
  - Current Avg. Days: 8.90
  - % Processed: 31.43%

#### 5. Process Pre-2013 Violations
- Process 100% of Pre-2013 Caseload
  - % Processed: 50.00%

#### 6. 60 Day Triage
- Complete incoming Compliance Issue Triage in <= 60 days
  - Current Triage Avg.: 19.90

#### 7. Records Close Out
- Complete Violation Closeout in <= 60 days
  - # of Violations closed out: 100

#### 8. Publish Off-Site Audit
- Publish off-site w/in 50 days
  - Current Avg. Days: 21.56

#### 9. Publish On-Site Audit
- Publish On-site w/in 70 days
  - Current Avg. Days: 39.77

#### 10. BES Request Review
- Review requests w/in 10 days and issue determination
  - Current Avg. Days: 6.36

#### 11. Publish: Excep., PDS, Self-cert
- Publish reports w/in alloted timeframe 100% of time or greater
  - Current Success Rate: 100%

#### 12. Incoming Processing
- Notify NERC of new violations w/in 5 business days
  - Current Avg.: 2.30

#### 13. Cost Control
- Maintain Costs at or below 2014 projections
  - Cash Outlays Reported: 13

#### 14. Maintain/Increase Misop Success
- Achieve 90% success rate in Cause Coding Events
  - Current Success Rate: 87.20%

#### 15. Cause Code Success Rate
- Convene 3 Workshops, 6 webinars, and 12 newsletter in '14

#### 16. Outreach
- Conduct workshops, webinars, and newsletter in '14

---

**Caseload Processing Trends**
- % Year Passed vs. Caseload Complete: 50.00%
- Caseload Complete: 93.53%

**Processed Pre-2013 Violations**
- % Processed: 72%

**Costs at or below**
- Costs 10% or less above: 84-87%
- Costs more than 10% above: <=84%
- % Above/Below Projected Costs: <=84%
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Physical Security Guidance for Risk Assessment for Identifying Critical Infrastructure

NERC plans to generate a white paper based on comments collected from Transmission Owners, regarding their approach and practices in determining their critical facilities and Regional Entities, developing a regional specific approach.

Regional Update

Regional Entity representatives provided a regional update on their current efforts and concerns.

- The WECC representative identified gaps in several areas in their region where there is no registered Planning Coordinator for facilities. To resolve these gaps, WECC has been reaching out to individual Reliability Entities in preparation for NERC Standard MOD-032, portions of which goes into effect July 1, 2015.
- The MRO representative highlighted several substation-modeling inconsistencies in and near North Dakota and South Dakota. MRO is currently sorting out these inconsistencies with both MISO and SPP Planning Coordinators.
- The SPP representative identified an unusually high concentration of mis-operations in their area that has necessitated a further regional analysis and the development of a mis-operations white paper.
- The SERC representative identified a 2014 initiative to focus on modeling uncertainties regarding generator parameters, reactive loads, and load modeling to better link planning to real-time operations.
- MRO – working on model development to meet MOD-32 requirements. The struggle is to take the six independent regional models and combine them into one; the MRO, SPP, and MISO region combination has become somewhat messy. The new process will require the Planning Coordinators coordinate to present modeling data to MMWG rather than MRO. It is hoped that the NERC Modeling Task Force will help the Planning Coordinators accomplish this.

Performance Analysis Subcommittee (PAS)

The NERC State of Reliability Report was reviewed and status update on subcommittee activities to address various recommendations in the report regarding Frequency Responses, Mis-operations, and AC Substation Equipment Failures

Transmission Availability Data System Working Group (TADSWG)

TADSWG in coordination with Regional Entities, is planning to survey Reliability Entities to identify the three most common failures leading to mis-operations. The group is also supporting BES Definition Implementation and working with Regional Entities to educate Reliability Entities not to report “Unknown” Cause Codes.
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Generating Availability Data System Working Group (GADSWG)

GADSWG is in process of updating the NERC FAQ page, as well as developing an error checking process to clear up data inconsistencies resulting from the Polar Vortex.

The PC endorsed (with plans to approve the final documents in September) the completion of Data Reporting Instructions (DRI) for the inclusion of wind resources in future GADS reporting.

- The GADSWG expects to collect wind data at the wind farm level categorized by turbine nameplate size, and not at an aggregated Interconnection point or individual wind turbine levels. The GADSWG identified that it may have to exclude several older wind farms from reporting, as their SCADA capabilities may be insufficient for the level of granularity needed by GADS.
- The GADSWG also plans to address solar data collection as early as 2017, following its completion of efforts to collect wind resources on an on-going basis.

Special Protection and Control Subcommittee (SPSC)

SPSC provided an update on analysis of the applicability of load-responsive protective relays on unit auxiliary transformers. The analysis was preformed at the request of the NERC BOT, to determine if potential reliability gaps exist, and if NERC should establish new guidelines or if NERC needs to revise NERC Standard PRC-025-1.

- The PC directed the SPSC to generate a white paper to determine if impacts exist to NERC Standard PRC-025-1. The SPSC will seek assistance from the North American Generator Forum to generate this assessment and present it in December.

Reliability Assessment Subcommittee (RAS)

RAS provided an update on the 2014 Long-Term Reliability Assessment. The assessment highlights an increase dependency on natural gas for resource adequacy. The subcommittee continues to review some of the recent feedback provided by the NERC Member Representatives Committee regarding policy guidance and progress. This feedback includes:

1. promotion of a coordinated implementation schedule;
2. identification of trends that highlight potential risks;
3. coordination of challenges at the local, regional, and Interconnection level.

The subcommittee will finalize the report for review and approval at the September PC Meeting.

System Analysis and Modeling Subcommittee (SAMS)

The PC approved the report on Near- and Long-term Planning Studies Models (Southwest Outage Recommendation 9). From its assessment, SAMS identified that existing NERC Standards already address many of the Southwest Outage. SAMS felt a
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NERC-wide criterion for modeling and assessment of sub-100 kV elements is not appropriate due to varying regional differences. SAMS did recommend that:

- TPs and PCs should conduct periodic assessments of sub-100 kV elements to identify their BES reliability impacts.
- TOPs should consider modeling appropriate load sensitivities during seasonal shoulder periods, as well as model projected power transfers and reactive resources that are consistent with reactive and voltage schedules.

SAMS continues to work with the North American Transmission Forum to collaborate on a model validation field trial and standardized component models and system parameters. The collaborative group is also expecting to conduct a workshop in Q2 2015 regarding Fault-Induced Delayed Voltage Recovery and the development of composite load models to accurately capture voltage and dynamic responses to load.

**Essential Reliability Services Task Force (ERSTF)**

NERC staff along with industry experts have drafted a tutorial style report on the Essential Reliability Services (ERS) and considerations for maintaining the Bulk Power System (BPS) reliability given the significant changes to North America’s resource mix. The ERS tutorial addresses one of the key findings and recommendations of NERC’s 2013 Long Term Reliability Assessment. It is drafted as a reference guide for the industry, regulators and policy makers and to inform, educate, and build awareness on the reliability ramifications of a changing resource mix.

**Project Overview and Schedule**

- Tutorial is complete but still needs final approval.
- Wind and solar questions still need to be addressed by the TF.
  - Load and resource balance impact on frequency and voltage support are the questions being looked at.
- The main focus of the TF is no what is important for reliability. Items such as:
  - Operating reserves
  - Frequency response
  - Ramping capability
  - Active power control
  - Reactive control
  - Voltage control
  - Disturbance performance.
- Next steps are to complete the framework, start data collection 4th quarter 2014 with a target completion in 4th quarter 2015.
NERC CCC Meeting

- The NERC CCC held its quarterly meeting in Juno Beach, FL on June 4-5, 2014. The materials for this meeting can be found:
  - Agenda: http://www.nerc.com/comm/CCC/Pages/AgendasHighlightsandMinutes-.aspx.

Administrative

- Compliance and Certification Committee (CCC) Roster Update* and Hearing Procedures Training will be forthcoming for those CCC members that have not completed.

Committee Business

- Committee business was conducted approving various nominations and extensions of terms.
  - Interim membership needs were added to August Board consent agenda.
- Ms. Patti Metro, the Vice Chair, provided an update to the committee from the NERC BOT and MRC meetings in May (materials included).
- Ms. Metro provided an overview of topics discussed at the May Board meeting. Refer to the agenda package for Ms. Metro’s Board updates from the May 2014 Board meeting. The NERC Board also approved the formation of the Compliance Process and Procedures Subcommittee, 2014 CCC work plan, and the membership agenda items.
- Update was provided on Enterprise Wide Risk Committee (EWRC) Activities.
- Reliability Issues Steering Committee (RISC) Update – Mr. Bilke provided an overview of the RISC committee. Mr. Bilke will be the new CCC representative serving on the RISC Committee. Refer to agenda package for Mr. Bilke’s highlights on the RISC items addressed at the May Board meeting.
- CCC 2014 Work Plan – Ms. Metro reported that the NERC Board approved the CCC’s work plan. Ms. Metro stated that the CCC will begin to work with members to develop a new work plan, and provide this plan to Board in the November 2014 meeting.

Subcommittee Updates

Nominating Subcommittee
  - Refer to agenda package presentation for details on four open positions- one voting and three non-voting.
  - Refer to agenda package presentation for details regarding the seven CCC members with terms expiring in Feb 2015.

ERO Monitoring Subcommittee (EROMS)
  - Ms. Mechelle Thomas announced that Ms. Kristi Knight is the new Manager within NERC’s Internal Audit Department. Ms. Knight introduced herself.
  - Ms. Thomas briefed the CCC on the audit objectives, scope, and audit team information. Ms.
Thomas also discussed the audit timeframes for planning, testing, and reporting. Refer to agenda package presentation for details.

- Ms. Knight discusses auditor training that is required for audit team members and observers. Ms. Knight recommended CCC members do online training in order to work on future activities they have taken the training and can participate. Ms. Knight will send an email to the CCC distribution list.

- Closeout on status of non-conformance findings from audit of NERC Compliance Monitoring Enforcement Program (“CMEP”) and Organization Registration and Certification Program (“ORCP”)

- Ms. Thomas provided a brief update on the findings for CMEP and ORCP audits. By end of the year 2014, Ms. Thomas will provide update on open items. Refer to agenda package presentation for details.

- EROMS reviewed a prior self-certification request to send to NERC. EROMS will send drafted request to ORCS for review and send to NERC by end of June 2014. EROMS will schedule conference calls to accomplish task.

- For the last four years, the CCC launched the Stakeholder Perception Survey in Fall. For 2014, the next survey is expected to be sent during the first quarter of 2015. EROMS also discussed Regional collaboration for the stakeholder perception survey. In some instances, Regional surveys use the exact same or similar questions from the NERC survey. EROMS would like to reach out to the Regional Entity to coordinate on the survey. Ms. Mineo noted that EROMS should coordinate with NERC, and specifically with Mr. Mike Moon, Senior Director of Regional Entity Coordination.

- Further, the CCC needs to know whether NERC has sufficient budget for the survey in order to conduct the study for 2014.

- Ms. Thomas provided an overview of the EWRC. Refer to agenda package materials.

- Report for Procedure Reviews/Revisions - Protocols CCCPP-001, CCCPP-002, CCCPP-003, and CCCPP-007 are being revised. The CCC approved revisions to CCCPP-001 in December 2013. NERC made several revisions to the term “RMICS” along with several work plan dates and sent back to the EROMs. The CCC will provide another copy of revised CCCPP-001 to NERC legal for review. After NERC review, EROMS will seek full CCC approval of revised CCCPP-001 and will subsequently submit to the NERC Board for approval. EROMS will continue work on revisions to CCCPP-002, CCCPP-003, and CCCPP-007.

Compliance Processes and Procedures Subcommittee (“CPPS”)

- Mr. Goldberg provided an overview of the subcommittee discussions. Refer to the agenda package presentation for talking points discussed during the subcommittee meeting. Highlights included:

- CPPS identifies RSAWs that do not indicate removal of Reliability Standard and Requirements pursuant to the Paragraph 81 initiative. NERC should work to revise RSAWs to reflect the Paragraph 81 proceedings.

- CCC members should bring RSAW revision concerns to the CPPS, which will have a standing agenda item for consideration of such revisions going forward. For example, PRC-026 draft RSAW and its retention provisions may conflict with future RAI efforts.

- CPPS reviewed the RAI oversight plan framework. CPPS and CCC members have concerns about how Regional Entities are conducting audits during the 2014 and 2015 transition to the formal Inherent Risk Assessment and Internal Controls Evaluation without specific guidance from NERC.

- CPPS will not make updates to the internal control documents that currently exist on the NERC website until further developments in RAI occur.
The CPPS requested that Ms. Mineo take an action item to coordinate with the Region(s) regarding how certain data is being requested via audits versus Section 1600 (of the NERC Rules of Procedure) data requests, specifically for PRC-004.

NERC should coordinate with the Regions to improve processes for requesting data during audits that may have been previously submitted by entities to meet other reporting requirements. Refer to the agenda package presentation for further details.

Mr. Goldberg provided an overview of the discussion on the criteria NERC uses to evaluate Regional Entity effectiveness. CPPS discussion focused on developing a risk-based criteria to support NERC’s assessment, reducing the detailed list of criteria, and identifying existing NERC activities that help assess Regional Entity CMEP activities (e.g. NERC CEMP trend reporting to the BOTCC). CPPS intends to recommend revised criteria during the September 2014 meeting. Refer to the agenda package presentation for further talking points.

Organization and Certification Subcommittee (ORCS) – Jennifer Flandermeyer

- Risk-Based Registration Advisory Group Update - Ms. Flandermeyer reviewed the Risk-based Registration (“RBR”) timeline and deliverables. ORCS anticipated future workload on the implementation of RBR. Refer to the agenda package presentation for further details.
- Status of letter for closure of RISC request on Planning Authority/Planning Coordinator issue
- ORCS prepared a letter to RISC discussing actions on ensuring an understanding of Planning Authority and Planning Coordinating areas in the West. WECC presented on its Planning Coordinator issue with the ORCS.
- Resolution of MRRE action item - Ms. Flandermeyer reported on the new ERO process for MRRE, which is ongoing with the ECEMG. The ORCS will work with ECEMG on process. The timeline for completion is 2014. Mr. Kopman discussed a large issue regarding MRRE completion/entity sanctions-related funds. ECEMG decided that Regions involved in the oversight will split sanctions-related funds based on NEL. An agreement document will contain details between the Regions on expectations and processes. Refer to the agenda package presentation for further details.
- ORCS leadership - Keith Comeaux and Ben Engelby will now be the Chair and Vice Chair of ORCS.

CCC Ongoing Projects

- Team 2 - Voluntary vs. Involuntary Internal Controls Whitepaper - Mr. Hoopes provided an update on the action item for the development of a whitepaper for internal controls. Based on the May Board meeting on RAI, Team 2 feels that the ERO clearly addressed that providing internal controls is voluntary. Due to this, this team does not feel that a whitepaper is needed.
- Team 4- Data Retention (Identify Reasonable Record Retention and Sampling) - Mr. Bilke provided an overview of the team and objectives for the team. Mr. Bilke then discussed the survey results and next steps. Refer to the agenda package for further detail.

NERC Staff Reports

- Reliability Assurance Initiative (RAI) Update
  - Regional Pilots (objectives and observations) - Mr. Hedrick provided an update on RAI that included the process to create the Inherent Risk Assessment (IRA) Guide, timeline, risk considerations, and next steps. Specifically, the ERO has a deadline to provide the IRA Guide by June 23.
  - Communication Plan for Registered Entities with 2015 audits – Mr. Hedrick noted that although there is not a formal communication plan as of now, NERC is fully considering feedback on the need to convey timelines and expectations during the interim phases of the RAI Oversight framework.
  - RSAW Update on CCC Input – Mr. Hedrick spoke to the process presented to the MRC for
review of existing RSAWs. NERC circulated the process for review and has almost finalized.

- **MRRE Status Update and Future CCC Input** – Mr. Hedrick provided an update on the coordination and process and referred the members of the Committee to Mr. Kopman to address specific questions CCC members may have.

**Other Committee Business**

- Mr. Hoopes recommended that the Committee consider putting the round table discussion earlier in the agenda to allow more time for members to provide comments from represented sectors. Ms. Metro stated that she will discuss Mr. Hoopes’ agenda recommendation with the CCC Executives. Further, Mr. Hoopes noted that he has seen examples of Regional Entities better explaining how they will audit to certain Standards without overstepping requirements stated in the Standard.
- Ms. Flandermeyer spoke to the FERC technical conference on CIP version 5.
- Ms. Nalley reinforced the need for the CCC to understand the processes that are provided and to hold the ERO accountable to the guidance established and published. Regional consistency in application of RAI processes is imperative for successful implementation.
- Mr. Ben Engelby noted a need for further communication with industry on RAI, Standards, and Compliance.
- Mr. Keith Comeaux thanked Terry Bilke for his contributions to the CCC.
- Ms. Mitchell noted that for an audit of FPL, Texas RE changed mitigation plan processes by requesting mitigation plans for areas of concern, suggestions, (ask Patti or Silvia on details of this).
- Ms. Mineo noted that she will be reaching out to NERC, as well as Regional management, to discuss NERC and Regional coordination activities and opportunities with the CCC. Mr. DeVita further stated that he will be assisting Ms. Mineo and the CCC for Board-related activities and is available to provide assistance where needed.

**Scheduled CCC meetings as follows**

- September 17-18, 2014: Vancouver, BC (Joint Standing Committees location)
- December 3-4, 2014: Phoenix, AZ (APS host location)
- March 3-4, 2015 at NERC Corporate Headquarters in Atlanta, GA
- June 10-11, 2015 at NRECA Conference Center in Arlington, VA
NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee (CIPC)  
Report to Southwest Power Pool Regional Entity Trustees  
Submitted by Robert McClanahan, Chair, SPP Critical Infrastructure Protection WG  
July 18, 2014

NERC CIPC Meeting

➢ The NERC CIPC held its quarterly meeting in Orlando, FL on June 10-11, 2014. The materials for this meeting can be found at:

  o Agenda:  

  o Presentations:
    • http://www.nerc.com/comm/CIPC/Agendas%20Highlights%20and%20Minutes%202013/Presentations%20-%20June%2010-11,%202014.pdf

  o Draft Minutes:

  o The minutes from the meeting address the majority of topics that were presented at CIPC. The report below provides additional information on several of those items.

➢ Janice Case of the NERC Board of Trustees welcomed the group. She complimented CIPC on the work that the group has done in support of the NERC Strategic Plan.

➢ Matt Light of the NERC ES-ISAC discussed several items of interest related to the ES-ISAC:
  o The ES-ISAC formed a Hydra Team to respond to an identified DNP vulnerability. This team differs from most Hydra teams in that is was formed to address a known vulnerability, rather than a known threat. The team will study the vulnerability and make recommendations to vendors on how to address it.
  o Performing Cyber Risk Preparedness Assessments (CRPA), mini-GridExs, led by Lofty Perch (six done last year).
  o The ES-ISAC will manage the Cyber Risk Information Sharing Program (CRISP) going forward. NERC/PNNL determined that an LLC is no longer the best organizational structure. NERC working with PNNL to coordinate agreements between NERC & PNNL, and NERC & Industry.

➢ Nathan Mitchell of APPA provided an overview of legislative activities related to infrastructure protection on Capitol Hill. No legislation is expected to move in the foreseeable future.

➢ Tobias Whitney of NERC provided a detailed report on the CIP Version 5 transition program.
  o Six entities with strong compliance culture participated in the CIP Version 5 Transition Study over a 6-8 month period. Currently working to close out those efforts and provide lessons learned.
  o Compliance and Enforcement is working on integrating Version 5 into the Reliability Assurance Initiative to identify means and methods to address self-corrective processes and internal controls.
During the V3 to V5 transition period, compliance with V5 requirements that are mostly compliant with V3 will be acceptable. Auditors are not trying to play “gotcha”.

- V4 will never be mandatory and enforceable.
- New assets will be subject to V5, not V3.
- If an entity declares early implementation of V5 (proxy for version 3), the ERO will follow CMEP for V5. Will not become enforceable until 4/1/2016, but progress will be monitored.
- NERC is working with Regions to develop a form for Registered Entities’ declaration of transition to V5.
- There will be new TFEs for V5, which are not related to V3. Some V3 TFEs do not have counterparts in V5.
- He reported on several “interpretations” that NERC has made related to the standards. Several CIPC members expressed strong concerns that NERC was making interpretations of standards outside of the approved interpretation process.

- The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) is working on a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for information sharing with the ES-ISAC. They also want to be involved in planning for GridEx III in 2015.
- CIPC Meeting Schedule for remainder of 2014:
  - September 16-17, 2014 – Vancouver, BC
  - December 9-10, 2014 – Atlanta, GA
  - NERC is proposing to hold all future standing committee meetings in Atlanta, GA.
Introductions and Chair's Remarks:
There is an effort for the SPCS to become more proactive with initiatives rather than waiting for assignments to be given to the group. If the regions or members have items they would like to have addressed these would be welcomed.

SPCS Leadership Changes:
Rich Quest will be the new vice-chair for a two year term, followed by two years as chair of the SPCS. Phil Winston will take over as chair for Bill Miller this fall.

Power Plant and Transmission System Protection Coordination:
[Present report at June 2014 PC meeting]
The group reviewed the proposed modifications to the SPCS report in response to input from IEEE PSRC. This was a carryover from the last meeting (see notes for summary of changes prior to this meeting). Further modifications were made to the section on breaker failure, and the 59/27TN sections. It was commented that functions such as frequency and volt/hz should be set per the manufacture requirements, and other protection systems coordinated with them. The final modifications to the document will be made and sent to the SPCS for approval before the June presentation to the PC.

Order No. 754 Data Request:
Data for 200kV and above has been reviewed, and shows a reliability concern with protection system redundancy. Less buses (% of tested) failed the test as the voltage decreases, but the conclusion is that there is still a concern at the lower busses. Data for the buses below 100kV is not yet due.
If a standard development is deemed appropriate, the issue may be best addressed within the TPL-004 standard. There should be consideration to the relative risk of component types. SPCS discussion was to possibly add only those components to the TPL standard that produce a higher risk to the reliability. For example having two separate VT secondary windings may not be as critical as relays, aux relays or DC circuitry. Also, the SPCS discussed addressing a three phase fault in the TPL-004 standard as an extreme event, but adding additional study and action plan requirements. This recommendation if carried forward would eventually be given to a drafting team.

Additional Input on Order No. 758 for Project 2007-17.3:
NERC presented two questions from FERC to the SPCS concerning the work on the Order 758 paper. The first one questioned if generator vibration controls/relays should be included in PRC-005. Vibration monitors were listed in the original paper and the SPCS has not changed its position on these devices. The question may be related to an event involving vibration monitoring devices networked for several units. When a router was replaced and reset, it erroneously processed a trip to all the units. The second question was related to breaker arc extinguishers. Should low pressure trip circuitry and/or the arc extinguisher (such as SF6) be included in PRC-005? These devices do not respond to system faults. There was discussion of an event where multiple breakers in a substation tripped on low SF6 due in part to cold weather. Although an alarm was sent prior to trip, there was not sufficient time to respond before reaching the trip level. SPCS plans to prepare a response to both questions with additional justification.
NERC’s goal is to enhance communication with FERC by addressing questions such as these up front rather than requiring NOPRs.

Proposal for Development of a PRC-005 Reference Document:  
With the changes to PRC-005 the SPCS discussed providing a visualization tool for what is and what is not part of PRC-005. It was decided this is best left for the drafting team. However SPCS will collect questions and take them to the drafting team for clarification. Questions are to be sent to Bill Miller. Note, protection systems designed to clear faults on BES only are included in the new PRC-005. This is a change from previous versions. For example, unbalance protection on a fused cap bank connected to the BES, may not be part of PRC-005 if the interpretation is that is designed to detect failed cans, not for faults on BES.

SW Outage Recommendation 21: Acceleration Control: 
Discussed what feedback could be given to SAMS regarding this topic. Loss of load caused acceleration of generators – tripped generators off. SPS tripped off lines due to load and the generators accelerated. Perhaps this should have been predicted by the model.

PRC-025-1 Status Update: Pending Request for Assistance 
During the standard development, there was a minority opinion questioning if relays on the low-side of the unit aux should be included, rather than only those on the high side. PC may be asked for a technical reliability guideline to be written for low side relays and loadability that would likely be passed to the SPCS. Document could include such topics as coordination with motor loads and possible low side over currents less than 150% of the transformer rating.

Future Meetings:  
August 5-7, 2014 – Minneapolis 
October 21-22 - San Francisco 

Protection System Misoperations:
Discussed NPCC question regarding protection system misoperations caused by non-protection related maintenance. The consensus was that if a trip occurs that is directly related to relay maintenance at the time of the maintenance it would not be a misoperation. If a trip occurs that is not directly related to relay maintenance at the time of the maintenance (such communication or digging a trench and cutting cable) this also would not be a reportable misoperation. “Protection system operation that occurs during one-site maintenance, construction and/or commissioning activities is not a misoperation” This will be formally added to the Q/A posting on the NERC website.

Review of PRC Standards Under Development: 
PRC-001-2 and PRC-027-1, System Protection Coordination  
The last draft received a 67% approval at the first of the year (just missed passing). FERC expressed a concern with the standard not including internal coordination. The team is discussing the possible inclusion of coordination within a company to be addressed in the standard. This could possibly be in the form of a requirement to have a
formal procedure to check system coordination with an assessment that the system is coordinated. Preliminary draft includes - Process to verify information required to develop relay settings is accurate, verify the proposed relay settings were provided to and reviewed by the other parties where multiple parties involved, verify identified coordination issues associated with the proposed relay settings were addressed, and verify relay settings were applied.

PRC-002-2, Disturbance Monitoring
The new draft will be posted for 45 day comment and ballot within next few weeks based on comments from the last posting. Requirements have been condensed in the new draft.

PRC-004-3, Protection System Misoperations
This standard should be posted next week for 45 days. Changes include modification of the slow to trip category and investigation for operations cleared by a different TO when a relay fails to operate.

PRC-005-3, Protection System Maintenance and Testing
NERC filed to FERC on 2/14/2014. Few changes except addition of automatic reclosing, and is expected to be approved by FERC by the end of 2014.

PRC-005-X; Protection System Maintenance and Testing
There are two drafting teams with this open. One team is for the sudden pressure relays. The second team is for the DGR (dispersed generation resources) to increase the applicability. The existing requirement is to retain evidence for two cycles which could be 24 years. Plans are to modify this time frame.

PRC-010-1; Undervoltage Load Shedding
UVLS standards moved to PRC-010. The team is proposing a new UVLS Program that will exclude local and centrally controlled UVLS. These would then be addressed by the SPS standard. Also the team is recommending adding UVLS misoperations to PRC-004. Plans are to submit to the BOT end of 2014 or early 2015.

PRC-026-1; Protection System Response to Power Swings
April 25-June 9 posted for comment, based on the SPCS technical paper. Webinar on the 12th to discuss the approach that is in the draft.

Definition of Special Protection System
Informal comment period for SPS definition ended April 9, 2014 based on the SPCS/SAMS proposal. The team will address PRC-012 thru PRC-017 next. Most likely there will be a standalone SPS misoperation standard. The term Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) rather that Special Protection System (SPS) will be used. Categories are being taken out of the definition, and possibly added into the standard, dependent upon the drafting team. (PL,PS,EL,ES). This is to help aid in approval of the definition late 2014 to early 2015.