

SPP Tariff/Governing Document Revisions		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
ER08-1419	SPP Balanced Economic Portfolio Filing	There was no activity in this proceeding during the second quarter of 2010.
ER09-748	Attachment AE Revisions for Incorporation of Demand Response	<p>On May 19, 2010, SPP submitted its compliance filing pursuant to Order Nos. 719 and 719-A, and the Commission's November 20, 2009 Order.</p> <p>On June 9, 2010, Occidental filed a Request for Clarification or, in the Alternative, Protest of one aspect of SPP's May 19, 2010 compliance filing.</p> <p>Occidental's concern with the May 19 Filing focuses on the requirement that a Market Participant certify the consistency of SPP's proposed Calculated Methodology with the retail tariff or agreement under which it is purchasing energy from its retail provider. Occidental asked for clarification that silence on the measurement and calculation of demand response in retail tariff or agreement does not preclude certification of the Calculated Methodology as "consistent" with that tariff or agreement.</p>
ER09-1039	SPP Submission of Revisions to Open Access Transmission Tariff to Modify Regional Transmission Cost Allocation Methodology ("Wind Cost Allocation Filing")	<p>On June 10, 2010, SPP submitted its informational report as required by the June 18, 2009 Order.</p> <p>SPP submitted the following study reports:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) SPP WITF Wind Integration Study: the unique characteristics of wind resources present challenges to integrating large amounts of such resources into the SPP Transmission System; and 2) SPP's Wind Cost Allocation Study: the limitation associated with wind resource designation does not create a competitive disadvantage for smaller load-serving customers as compared to larger load-serving customers.
ER09-1050	SPP Order 719 Compliance	<p>On May 19, 2010, SPP submitted its compliance filing pursuant to Order Nos. 719 and 719-A, and the Commission's November 20, 2009 Order.</p> <p>On May 20, 2010, the independent Market Monitoring Unit of SPP submitted the Market Monitor Demand Response Barriers Report pursuant to the November 20, 2009 Order.</p> <p>On May 20, 2010, SPP submitted its report on remaining barriers to demand response, pursuant to the November 20, 2009 Order.</p> <p>On June 9, 2010, Occidental filed a Request for Clarification or, in the Alternative, Protest of one aspect of SPP's May 19, 2010 compliance filing.</p> <p>Occidental's concern with the May 19 Filing focuses on the requirement that a Market Participant certify the consistency of SPP's proposed Calculated Methodology with the retail tariff or agreement under which it is purchasing energy from its retail provider. Occidental asked for clarification that silence on the measurement and calculation of demand response in retail tariff or agreement does not preclude</p>

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

SPP Tariff/Governing Document Revisions		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		certification of the Calculated Methodology as "consistent" with that tariff or agreement.
ER09-1192	SPP Submission of Revisions to Bylaws	On May 18, 2010, FERC issued a letter order accepting the revisions to SPP's Bylaws reflecting the September 30, 2009 effective date for all of the bylaw pages, as adopted by the September 17, 2009 Order.
ER09-1254	Reform of Generation Interconnection Procedures ("Queue Reform Filing")	SPP's first annual informational report, as required by the July 31, 2009 Order, is due in July 2010 .
ER10-352	SPP Submission of Tariff Revisions to Incorporate a Pro Forma Interim Large Generator Interconnection Agreement ("Interim LGIA") and Associated Provisions in Generation Interconnection Procedures	<p>On April 12, 2010, SPP submitted an amended compliance filing which included revisions to Article 5.5.3 of SPP's pro forma GIA and its Interim GIA to indicate that security is to be provided to the transmission provider, rather than transmission owner as Article 5.5.3 currently reads. The proposed revisions are necessary to make Article 5.5.3 consistent with the tariff amendments filed in the compliance filing and other provisions in SPP's pro forma GIA and its Interim GIA.</p> <p>On May 18, 2010, the Commission accepted for filing a compliance filing (as supplemented) required by the Commission in this proceeding, which established a pro forma interim Generator Interconnection Agreement and associated provisions in SPP's Tariff. An effective date of December 2, 2009 was granted, as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
ER10-550	SPP Submission of Tariff Revisions to Incorporate Revisions Providing for Modifications, Additions, or Abandonment of Delivery Point Facilities Necessary for Transmission Service and Miscellaneous Clarifying Provisions	<p>On April 30, 2010, FERC issued an Order Conditionally Accepting Tariff Revisions. The DPATF tariff revisions were accepted, effective May 1, 2010, subject to a compliance filing to correctly designate the tariff sheets effective May 1, 2010. SPP's compliance filing is due June 1, 2010.</p> <p>On May 21, 2010, SPP submitted its compliance filing correctly designating the tariff sheets with the correct effective date of May 1, 2010, pursuant to the April 30, 2010 Order.</p>
ER10-761	SPP Submission of Tariff Revisions to Add Attachment AR (Screening Study for Potential Long-Term Service Requests and Proposed Delivery Point Transfers)	<p>On April 23, 2010, FERC issued a letter order accepting the new Attachment AR which allows SPP to implement a Transmission Screening Study Process to evaluate potential long-term transmission service requests and delivery point transfer requests. An effective date of February 17, 2010 was granted.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
ER10-798	SPP Submission of Revision to Attachment AD of its OATT to	On April 26, 2010, FERC issued an order accepting the proposed revision to Attachment AD, the Tariff Administration Agreement between SPP and Southwestern Power Administration. The term of the

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

SPP Tariff/Governing Document Revisions		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
	Extend Tariff Administration Agreement between SPP and Southwestern Power Administration ("SPA")	<p>agreement was extended by one year.</p> <p>An effective date of February 1, 2010 was granted.</p>
ER10-888	SPP Submission of Tariff Revisions to Modify the Pro Forma Meter Agent Services Agreement (Attachment AM) and Related Tariff Provisions	<p>On May 3, 2010, FERC issued a letter order accepting the revisions to Attachment AM. An effective date of May 14, 2010 was granted.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
ER10-1014	SPP Submission of Tariff Revisions to Modify Transmission Loading Relief ("TLR") Provisions of Energy Imbalance Service Market ("EIS Market")	<p>On April 5, 2010, SPP submitted revisions to its OATT to modify Transmission Loading Relief Provisions of SPP's real-time energy imbalance service market. In this filing, SPP proposed to modify its EIS Market Tariff provisions to conform to SPP's removal from its Market Protocols the requirement that a TLR event be declared for reasons outside those in compliance with NERC requirements. An effective date of June 11, 2010 was requested.</p> <p>On May 19, 2010, SPP submitted a request to change the effective date of the tariff revision proposal from June 11, 2010, to August 15, 2010. The deferred effective date is required to enable SPP to finalize the internal processes necessary for it to properly implement the proposed Tariff provisions.</p> <p>On June 9, 2010, Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company filed a Motion to Intervene and comments. Westar urged the Commission to require SPP to develop Congestion Management event ("CME") levels, similar to the NERC TLR levels, and to include that information in an enhanced CME notification process.</p> <p>On June 24, 2010, SPP filed an answer in response to Westar's Motion to Intervene and comments filed on June 9, 2010. SPP stated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Westar's proposal is beyond the scope of this proceeding; and 2) the stakeholder process, not this proceeding, is the proper forum for addressing concerns regarding SPP's EIS Market Protocols and OATT Business Practices.
ER10-1069	SPP Submission of Tariff Revisions to Adopt a "Highway/Byway" Regional Transmission Upgrade Cost Allocation Methodology	<p>On April 19, 2010, SPP submitted tariff revisions to adopt a new "Highway/Byway" regional transmission upgrade cost allocation methodology.</p> <p>The proposed methodology will allocate the costs of future transmission facilities based on the voltage level of the particular facility:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) EHV facilities (at 300 kV or above) will be allocated 100% to the regional rate; 2) mid-tier facilities (above 100 kV to below 300 kV) will be allocated on a one-third/two-thirds, regional to zonal basis; and

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

SPP Tariff/Governing Document Revisions		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>3) low voltage facilities (at or below 100 kV) will be allocated entirely to the zonal rate.</p> <p>An effective date of June 19, 2010 was requested.</p> <p>Numerous parties submitted either comments in support of the filing, Motions for Rejection, or Protests.</p> <p>On June 17, 2010, FERC issued an order accepting SPP's proposed Highway/Byway cost allocation methodology, effective June 19, 2010 as requested.</p>
ER10-1269	SPP Submission of Tariff Revisions to Incorporate a Modified Transmission Planning Process, the Integrated Transmission Plan ("ITP")	<p>On May 17, 2010, SPP submitted revisions to its OATT to incorporate a modified transmission planning process, the Integrated Transmission Plan, which SPP will use to determine its near- and long-term transmission needs to maintain reliability and provide economic benefits throughout the SPP Region. An effective date of July 17, 2010 was requested.</p> <p>Numerous parties submitted either comments in support of the filing, Motions for Rejection, or Protests.</p> <p>On June 22, 2010, SPP filed an answer in response to the comments, protests, and motions for rejection, suspension, and consolidation submitted in this proceeding.</p>
ER10-1300	SPP Submission of Tariff Revisions to Modify Pro Forma Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service and Network Operating Agreement (Attachments F and G)	<p>On May 24, 2010, SPP submitted a filing to FERC proposing to revise Attachments F and G of SPP's Tariff to modify SPP's pro forma Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service and Network Operating Agreement, respectively.</p> <p>An effective date of July 23, 2010 was requested.</p>
OA08-61	SPP Order No. 890 Compliance Filing - Attachment O Revisions for Coordinated and Regional Planning Process	<p>Commission action is still pending regarding SPP's November 2, 2009 and December 30, 2009 filings which included revisions to Attachment O of the OATT pursuant to Order Nos. 890 and 890-A.</p>

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

Other Filings of Interest		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
ER05-1065 and ER09-555	Entergy Services' New Proposal for an Independent Coordinator of Transmission ("ICT") and Extension of the ICT's Initial Term Entergy's Proposed Revisions to Attachment V of its OATT	<p>On April 23, 2010, SPP filed its Supplement to the WPP Quarterly Report for December 2009 through February 2010. This supplement contains the final February numbers for the actual output of Entergy's legacy oil and gas units.</p> <p>On June 15, 2010, SPP submitted the ICT's WPP Quarterly Report. This covers the period of March 2010 through May 2010.</p> <p>On June 30, 2010, SPP submitted the ICT's Second Quarterly Performance Report for 2010.</p>
ER10-191	E.ON U.S. LLC (LG&E & KU Systems) Filing to Change Method of Complying with Order Nos. 888, 889, 890	On June 22, 2010, E.ON U.S., LLC submitted a Motion to Withdraw its October 30, 2009 Filing. E.ON and SPP have agreed in principle to a two-year extension of the ITO Agreement, through September 1, 2012.
ER10-941	SPP Filing of a Letter Agreement Regarding Comprehensive Seams Agreement Between Entergy Services, Inc. and Southwest Power Pool, Inc.	<p>On April 16, 2010, SPP submitted the remaining two protocols to be appended to the Letter Agreement Regarding a Comprehensive Seams Agreement between Entergy Services, Inc. and SPP. An effective date of March 31, 2010 was requested.</p> <p>On May 7, 2010, the Lafayette Utilities System and the Empire District Electric Company filed a Protest in response to SPP's March 26 and April 16, 2010 filings.</p> <p>The parties stated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) the filed protocols would perpetuate business-as-usual at the SPP-Entergy Seam; 2) the filed protocols disregard numerous seams issues of importance; and 3) if further agreements are contemplated, they, too, must be filed. <p>On May 7, 2010, Union Power Partners, L.P. filed a Protest in response to SPP's April 16, 2010 filing.</p> <p>Union Power stated that the burden to demonstrate that the proposed Seams Letter Agreement is consistent with or superior to the Commission's Open Access Transmission Service Policies and the Pro Forma OATT must remain with SPP and Entergy.</p> <p>On May 7, 2010, the East Texas Cooperatives submitted comments providing suggestions regarding the AFC/TFC Protocols.</p> <p>On May 26, 2010, AEP submitted reply comments supporting in part the Protest and Comments of The Lafayette Utilities System and The Empire District Electric Company filed on May 7, 2010.</p>

Other Filings of Interest		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>AEP stated it believes FERC should either reject the Coordination Protocol in its entirety or order SPP and Entergy to revise their respective OATT's to eliminate transactional barriers between the two regions.</p> <p>On June 15, 2010, FERC issued an Order conditionally accepting the Seams Agreement, subject to a compliance filing.</p> <p>FERC conditionally accepted the Coordination Protocol and the AFC/TFC Protocol. SPP must submit a compliance filing clarifying items discussed in the order.</p> <p>SPP and Entergy are required to file any subsequent agreements with the Commission.</p> <p>SPP's compliance filing is due July 15, 2010.</p>
ES10-11	SPP Application under Section 204 of the Federal Power Act for an Order Authorizing the Issuance of Securities (\$150 Million)	<p>On May 6, 2010, SPP submitted a request that the January 15, 2010 Authorization Order be modified to permit SPP to issue Unsecured Notes up to the entire principal amount of \$150 million.</p> <p>On May 19, 2010, FERC issued a letter order authorizing SPP to issue Unsecured Notes in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$150 million.</p>

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
Arkansas 04-137-U	SPP Application before the Arkansas Public Service Commission ("APSC") for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CCN")	<p>On April 13, 2010, Empire District Electric Company filed its Application for continued participation in the SPP RTO. This filing was made pursuant to Order No. 1 issued in Docket No. 05-132-U, which granted Empire approval to transfer operational control of its transmission facilities to SPP.</p> <p>Empire reported a projected savings amount of \$29 million in the first three years of the EIS Market.</p> <p>This application was originally filed on April 2, 2010, in APSC Docket No. 05-132-U. However, Empire withdrew its application in 05-132-U and re-filed in this proceeding at the request of APSC staff.</p> <p>On April 28, 2010, Empire District Electric Company filed a letter revising its projected savings amount from \$29 million to \$23.9 million.</p> <p>On May 4, 2010, the APSC issued Order No. 8 establishing a procedural schedule.</p> <p>On May 10, 2010, Empire, OG&E and SWEPCO submitted Direct Testimony supplementing their applications.</p> <p>On May 21, 2010, Diana K. Brenske submitted Reply Testimony on behalf of APSC General Staff and A. Naim Hakimi submitted Supplemental Testimony on behalf of SWEPCO.</p> <p>On June 2, 2010, the APSC issued Order No. 9. The APSC found that the participation of Empire, OG&E, and SWEPCO in SPP and the EIS market have resulted in net benefits to these companies and that such participation in SPP and the EIS market should continue.</p> <p>Additionally, the APSC directed Empire and OG&E to file, no later than July 2, 2010, numbers reflecting the net benefits of the EIS market for their Arkansas customers. Empire, OG&E, and SWEPCO were also directed to continue to track the net benefits or costs to Arkansas ratepayers of continued participation in the SPP EIS market and to report these results annually to the APSC in this proceeding.</p> <p>On June 29, 2010, Empire submitted the estimated net savings or benefits that have accrued to its Arkansas ratepayers during the first three years of the SPP EIS market. Empire estimates that net savings were approximately \$565,000 on an Arkansas jurisdictional basis.</p> <p>On June 29, 2010, OG&E submitted the estimated net savings or benefits that have accrued to its Arkansas ratepayers during the first three years of the SPP EIS market. OG&E estimates that net savings were approximately \$1.7 million on an Arkansas jurisdictional basis.</p>

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
Arkansas 06-154-U	SWEPCO's Application to Construct, Own, and Operate the Turk Coal Plant	<p>On May 13, 2010, the Arkansas Supreme Court issued its ruling reversing and remanding the decision of the Arkansas Public Service Commission (Arkansas Supreme Court Case No. SC09-718).</p> <p>The court reversed the APSC's CECPN for the construction of the Turk Plant and also reiterated that electric transmission lines may be considered in a separate proceeding..</p> <p>On June 1, 2010, the APSC submitted a Petition for Rehearing and Request for Clarification.</p> <p>On June 1, 2010, SWEPCO filed a Petition for Rehearing.</p> <p>On June 1, 2010, SWEPCO filed an Alternative Motion to Stay the Issuance of the Mandate Pending Certiorari Proceedings in the United States Supreme Court.</p> <p>On June 24, 2010, the Arkansas Supreme Court denied rehearing the case. In addition, SWEPCO's alternative motion to stay the issuance of the mandate was denied.</p> <p>On June 24, 2010, SWEPCO provided notice to the APSC that the construction and operation of the Turk Plant will proceed in accordance with the exemption set forth in Ark. Code Ann. §23-18-504(a)(5). Accordingly, SWEPCO will not seek to recover costs of the Turk Plant in rates subject to regulation by the APSC.</p>
Arkansas 08-136-U	In the Matter of an Inquiry into Electric Transmission Issues within the Areas Served by the SPP RTO and the Entergy Corporation as such Issues Affect Electric Service within Arkansas	<p>On April 13, 2010, the APSC issued Order No. 23 scheduling a technical conference for June 3, 2010 for the purpose of updating the APSC on various issues of great importance to SPP and the Arkansas jurisdictional utilities that are SPP members. Specifically, SPP was directed to prepare presentations and/or summaries of the following issues on how they affect Arkansas ratepayers' access to and costs for safe and reliable transmission service:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Regional cost allocation of transmission projects; 2) Determination process and decision making authority regarding priority projects; 3) Progress on the Integrated Planning Process; 4) Status of SPP Tariff and activities of other state commissions in the SPP footprint relating to FERC Order 719 issued on October 17, 2008, addressing "reforms to improve the operation of the wholesale electric power markets"; 5) Status of Entergy Region/SPP Seams Agreement Issues; 6) Status of future market developments; and 7) Any other matters about which SPP would like to brief the APSC and stakeholders. <p>On May 26, 2010, in accordance with Order No. 23, SPP filed a prepared copy of its presentations for the</p>

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>June 3, 2010 Technical Conference.</p> <p>A Technical Conference was held on June 3, 2010.</p> <p>SPP continues to file the Monthly Market Monitoring Report, ICT Quarterly Report, and WPP Quarterly Report.</p>
Arkansas 08-137-U	In the Matter of the Consideration of Innovative Approaches to Ratebase, Rate of Return Ratemaking Including, but not limited to, Annual Earnings Reviews, Formula Rates, and Incentive Rates for Jurisdictional Electric and Natural Gas Public Utilities	<p>Reply Comments and legal pleadings on the subject of Lost Contributions to Fixed Costs, Avoided Costs of Energy Efficiency Resources and Shareholder Incentives for Program Performance were due April 16, 2010, and were submitted by the Arkansas Community Action Agencies Association, Inc., the Arkansas Attorney General, Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company, and Southwestern Electric Power Company, Robert H. Swaim on behalf of APSC General Staff, Paul D. Smith on behalf of Arkansas Western Gas Company, Alan D. Henry and Angela M. Kline on behalf of CenterPoint Energy, Dr. Eric C. Woychik on behalf of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., and Larry Blank on behalf of the Federal Executive Agencies.</p> <p>An evidentiary hearing has not yet been scheduled.</p>
Arkansas 08-144-U	In the Matter of a Notice of Inquiry regarding the Expanded Development of Sustainable Energy Resources in Arkansas	<p>There was no activity in this proceeding during the second quarter of 2010.</p> <p>Reply Comments on (1) the definition of “comprehensive” and (2) Smart Grid, Demand Response, and Advanced Metering Infrastructure were filed March 16, 2010. The APSC is to review those findings, conduct an evidentiary hearing, and issue a subsequent order by December 31, 2012.</p>
Arkansas 09-074-U	In the Matter of an Investigation into Transmission Cost Recovery by Members of the Southwest Power Pool, Inc.	<p>On April 28, 2010, an evidentiary hearing was held to consider the merits of the parties' proposals.</p> <p>Sponsoring comments and appearing as panelists at the hearing were: Donald R. Moncrief and Richard Ross – SWEPCO; Steve Drew – OG&E; Bary Warren – Empire; Ricky Bittle – AECC; Pat Bourne – SPP; Kevin Woodruff – Attorney General’s Office; and Diana Brenske – APSC General Staff.</p>
Arkansas 09-090-U	In the Matter of the Impact of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) Orders 719 and 719-A in FERC Docket No. RM07-19-001 on the Regulatory Authority of the Arkansas Public Service Commission	<p>Further action in this proceeding is pending SPP’s compliance filing and FERC’s action in FERC Docket No. ER09-1050.</p>
Arkansas	In the Matter of the	On May 7, 2010, SPP submitted an Amended Application for Authority to Issue Indebtedness and

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
09-128-U	<p>Application of Southwest Power Pool, Inc. for Authority to Issue up to \$150,000,000 in Secured and Non-Secured Promissory Notes</p> <p>(Empire, OG&E, and SWEPCO Applications to Continue Membership in the SPP RTO)</p>	<p>Request for an Expedited Order. Specifically, SPP requested that the APSC permit SPP to issue Unsecured Notes up to the entire principal amount of \$150 million.</p> <p>On May 19, 2010, SPP filed a Notice of FERC Letter Order. FERC granted SPP's request for authorization to issue Unsecured Notes in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$150 million.</p> <p>On June 2, 2010, Robert Daniel submitted Supplemental Direct Testimony on behalf of APSC General Staff regarding SPP's May 7, 2010 Amended Application.</p> <p>Mr. Daniel recommends that the APSC grant SPP's amended request through January 15, 2012, and require that SPP file a full and complete copy of any lending company agreement within 30 days of its issuance or effective date.</p> <p>On June 7, 2010, the APSC issued Order No. 2, approving SPP's request for authority to issue non-secured promissory notes up to the entire amount of \$150 million.</p> <p>SPP must file in this docket a full and complete copy of any lending company agreement transaction within 30 days of the issuance or effective date of the proposed transaction.</p> <p>See the related FERC filing in Docket No. ES10-11.</p>
Arkansas 10-011-U	<p>In the Matter of a Show Cause Order Directed to Entergy Arkansas, Inc. Regarding Its Continued Membership in the Current Entergy System Agreement, or Any Successor Agreement Thereto, and Regarding the Future Operation and Control of Its Transmission Assets</p>	<p>On April 21, 2010, the APSC issued Order No. 11. With regard to the addendum "EAI stand alone" cost benefit study, the APSC directed that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The addendum study results be finalized and filed in this Docket within thirty (30) days after the completion by Charles River Associates ("CRA") of the broader cost benefit study commissioned by FERC; 2) SPP file in this Docket by May 11, 2010, a detailed schedule for completion of the addendum study including appropriate study milestones and any risks that are associated with and which could negatively impact the successful and timely completion of the addendum study; 3) SPP file in this Docket on May 1, 2010, and on the first of each month thereafter, a detailed status report reflecting the progress of the addendum Arkansas-only study including any outstanding SPP or CRA data requests submitted to Entergy/EAI for which Entergy/EAI have either failed to submit timely responses or have submitted responses that are either incomplete, inaccurate or non-responsive or have refused to provide the requested response or data; and 4) The initial report to be filed by SPP on May 1 detail all discussions or meetings between SPP and Entergy/EAI personnel prior to and on or after March 12, 2010, regarding the cost and benefits of EAI as a stand-alone member of SPP.

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>In the order, the APSC also directed that Entergy President of Utility Operations and EAI Director Gary Taylor file written testimony addressing the APSC's concerns as outlined in the order by noon on May 7, 2010, and that he appear before the APSC for questioning on such pre-filed testimony.</p> <p>The first Show Cause Hearing began on March 11, 2010, and recessed on March 12, 2010 to resume on May 17, 2010 for Commissioner questioning of EAI Director Taylor.</p> <p>Beginning on May 1, 2010, and continuing on the first of each month thereafter, EAI is to detail all discussions or meetings between MISO and Entergy/EAI personnel, regarding the possibility of including the cost and benefits of EAI as a stand-alone member of the MISO RTO. Such report shall include the dates of all such discussions or meetings, the identity including employer and official title of all MISO and Entergy/EAI personnel participating in such discussions or meetings and the nature of such discussions or meetings.</p> <p>SPP is to file in this Docket no later than May 1, 2010, and on the first day of each month thereafter a report detailing the discussions or meetings between SPP and EAI regarding the option of EAI as a stand-alone Operating Company becoming a member of SPP.</p> <p>EAI was further directed to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Include in its internal analysis of its successor arrangement option an additional assumption that the FERC-imposed +/- 11% bandwidth remedy could be continued for either current and/or future assets under any successor agreement approved by FERC or required by the federal courts; 2) Fully explore and study the option of EAI as a stand alone Operating Company becoming a member of SPP or MISO; and 3) Give serious and fair consideration to the option of restructuring EAI as a stand-alone Op Co after EAI exits the Entergy System Agreement in December 2013. <p>On May 3, 2010, in response to Order No. 11, SPP submitted its initial status report detailing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) the progress of the addendum Arkansas-only study, including any outstanding SPP or Charles River Associates ("CRA") data requests submitted to Entergy Corporation ("Entergy")/Entergy Arkansas, Inc. ("EAI") for which Entergy/EAI have either failed to submit timely responses or have submitted responses that are either incomplete, inaccurate or non-responsive or have refused to provide the requested response or data; 2) all discussions or meetings between SPP and Entergy/EAI personnel prior to and on or after March 12, 2010, regarding the assessment of the cost and benefits of EAI as a stand-alone Operating Company member of the SPP Regional Transmission Organization ("RTO"), including the dates of all such discussions or meetings, the identity (including employer and official title) of all SPP and Entergy/EAI

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>personnel participating in such discussions or meetings and the nature of such discussions or meetings; and</p> <p>3) discussions or meetings between SPP and EAI regarding the option of EAI as a stand-alone Operating Company becoming a member of SPP for the period of May 29, 2009, to the present.</p> <p>EAI conducted its first technical conference on May 5, 2010.</p> <p>On May 6, 2010, the APSC issued Order No. 13, directing EAI President and CEO Hugh McDonald to appear at the May 17, 2010 Show Cause Hearing for Commissioner questioning regarding his April 26, 2010, letter and April 1 and May 3, 2010, Supplemental Direct Testimonies. The APSC also directed EAI Corporate Director Gary Taylor to be prepared to respond to questions regarding Mr. McDonald's letter.</p> <p>On May 7, 2010, Gary J. Taylor, in response to Order No. 11, submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of EAI.</p> <p>On May 10, 2010, the APSC issued Order No. 15. EAI has requested that CRA evaluate EAI as a stand-alone member of the MISO RTO as an addendum study. To facilitate EAI's efforts in this regard and provide the public and Commission information regarding the anticipated costs of the MISO addendum study, EAI was directed to file an update on the costs budgeted and/or expended in order to conduct the MISO addendum study by May 20, 2010.</p> <p>On May 11, 2010, SPP submitted its response to Order No. 11 including a detailed schedule for completion of the addendum EAI stand-alone cost-benefit study.</p> <p>On May 11, 2010, Entergy submitted its response concerning the reserve and capacity margin requirements for EAI after December 2013.</p> <p>On May 14, 2010, in response to Order No. 15, EAI responded that it has not yet determined the costs of performing the MISO addendum study, but will provide an estimated cost once it is available.</p> <p>On May 17, 2010, the First Show Cause Hearing resumed for Commissioner questioning of EAI Director Gary Taylor and EAI President and CEO Hugh McDonald.</p> <p>On June 18, 2010, EAI issued its First Data Request to SPP, as well as AECC, AEEC, the Attorney General, Calpine Corporation, City of Benton, City of Prescott, Conway Corporation, Entegra, Hope Municipal Water & Light, Jonesboro City Water & Light, KGen Power Management, NRG Companies, Osceola Light and Power, APSC Staff, Suez, SWEPCO, and West Memphis</p>

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>Utility Commission. SPP submitted its response to Data Request EAI-01 on June 22, 2010.</p> <p>On June 23, 2010, the following parties submitted Rebuttal Testimony: Kip M. Fox on behalf of SWEPCO; Ricky Bittle on behalf of Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation; Rebecca E. Turner on behalf Entegra Power Group; and Diana K. Brenske on behalf of the APSC General Staff.</p> <p>On July 2, 2010, EAI issued a Second Set of Data Requests to SPP. SPP's response is due July 19, 2010.</p> <p>On July 2, 2010, Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers, Inc. issued its First Set of Data Requests to SPP. SPP submitted its response to Data Request AEEC-01 on July 6, 2010.</p> <p>On July 8, 2010, EAI filed the Surrebuttal Testimony of Hugh McDonald, Kurt Castleberry, and Michael Schnitzer.</p> <p>EAI's second technical conference is scheduled for July 15, 2010.</p> <p>The next Show Cause Hearing is scheduled for August 2, 2010.</p> <p>Discovery in this docket is ongoing.</p> <p>Hugh McDonald continues to file Supplemental Direct Testimony on the first day of each month.</p> <p>SPP and Entergy continue to file the Seams Agreement progress report on the first day of each month.</p> <p>Also on the first of each month, SPP continues to file a status report detailing the discussions or meetings between SPP and EAI concerning the option of EAI as a stand-alone operating company becoming a member of SPP, as well as the status of the addendum Arkansas-only study.</p>
Arkansas 10-036-U	In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Construction, Ownership, Operation and Maintenance of Two Transmission Lines at the Proposed Station to be Constructed at the John W. Turk,	<p>On April 30, 2010, SWEPCO submitted its Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Turk-Okay 138 kV transmission line and the Turk-Hope 115 kV transmission line originating at the proposed Turk Station to be constructed at the John W. Turk, Jr. Generation facility located in Hempstead County, Arkansas, and terminating at the existing Okay-Hope 115 kV transmission line, as well as the Direct Testimony of George Carpenter and Terri. Gallup.</p> <p>On May 3, 2010, SPP filed a Petition to Intervene.</p> <p>On May 5, 2010, SWEPCO filed an Amended Application to reflect that the proposed segments traverse three miles rather than two.</p>

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
	JR. Generation Facility Located in Hempstead County, Arkansas and Terminating at the Existing Okay to Hope Transmission Line and Related Facilities	<p>On May 14, 2010, the APSC issued Order No. 2, granting SPP's Petition to Intervene.</p> <p>On May 17, 2010, Keith Tynes submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of SPP for the purposes of (1) establishing SPP's compliance with Condition No. 2 of Order No. 11, as amended by Order No. 13 in Docket No. 06-154-U; and (2) describing the specific studies prepared by SPP in conjunction with the proposed Turk Power Plant and related transmission lines.</p> <p>On July 8, 2010, SWEPCO filed its Second Amended Application and Supplemental Testimony of Terri Gallup.</p>
Arkansas 10-041-U	In the Matter of the Application of Plains and Eastern Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate as an Electric Transmission Public Utility in the State of Arkansas	<p>On May 13, 2010, Plains and Eastern Clean Line, LLC ("Clean Line") submitted an Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the purpose of operating as a public utility to the extent that it will be developing, constructing or operating electric transmission facilities in the state of Arkansas. Dr. Wayne Galli, Jimmy Glotfelty, and Michael Skelly submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of Clean Line.</p> <p>On May 13, 2010, Plains and Eastern Clean Line, LLC filed a Motion for Interim Protective Order, which was subsequently issued by the APSC on June 2, 2010.</p> <p>On June 4, 2010, Bryan Begley and Judah Rose submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of Clean Line.</p> <p>On June 11, 2010, SPP filed a Petition to Intervene in this proceeding. Similarly, on June 14, 2010, Entergy Arkansas, Inc. filed a Petition to Intervene.</p> <p>On June 17, 2010, the APSC General Staff filed its response to the Petitions to Intervene filed by SPP and Entergy Arkansas, Inc. questioning whether SPP and EAI have shown sufficient reason to intervene in this proceeding. (No other parties have petitioned to intervene.)</p> <p>On June 24, 2010, Entergy Arkansas, Inc. submitted its reply to Staff's response to its Petition to Intervene.</p> <p>On June 24, 2010, the APSC issued Order No. 2 to request that if SPP or EAI still wishes to participate as a full party in this docket each must supplement the petition to intervene with a filing on or before Friday, July 2, 2010, fully explaining the interest each has relating to whether Clean Line should be granted a CCN. Neither EAI nor SPP submitted additional filings and both Petitions to Intervene were subsequently denied by APSC Order No. 4 issued on July 6, 2010.</p>
Kansas	In the Matter of the Investigation	Further action in this proceeding is pending SPP's compliance filing and FERC's action in FERC Docket

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
10-GIME-215-GIE	into Laws and Regulations Relating to Demand Response and Scarcity Pricing	No. ER09-1050. SPP has committed to keeping the KCC apprised of developments in Docket No. ER09-1050.
Missouri EW-2010-0187	In the Matter of an Investigation into the Coordination of State and Federal Regulatory Policies for Facilitating the Deployment of all Cost-Effective Demand-Side Savings to Electric Customers of All Classes Consistent with the Public Interest	A workshop was held on May 17-18, 2010, to discuss Aggregator of Retail Customers. On June 8, 2010, SPP submitted an informational filing containing the Order 719 and 719-A compliance filings recently submitted in SPP's FERC Docket No. ER09-1050.
New Mexico 07-00390-UT	An Investigation into the Prudence of Southwestern Public Service Company's ("SPS") Participation in the SPP RTO	On June 21, 2010, SPS submitted its 2010 Annual Report.
New Mexico 10-00143-UT	In the Matter of the Petition of Lea County Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("LCEC") for Approval to Transfer Certain Transmission Assets under the Southwest Power Pool, Inc. Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT")	On May 17, 2010, LCEC filed its petition for approval to join the SPP RTO and transfer certain transmission assets under SPP's OATT, as well as the Direct Testimony of Gary L. Hurse. On May 26, 2010, the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission (NMPRC) issued an order designating hearing examiner, William J. Herrmann. A pre-hearing conference was held on June 10, 2010. On June 10, 2010, SPP filed a Motion for Leave to Intervene and Request for Discovery. On June 14, 2010, the NMPRC issued a Procedural Order establishing the following schedule: Deadline to intervene - August 2, 2010 Status Hearing (begins at 2:00 PM Mountain Time) - August 4, 2010 LCEC Supplemental Testimony Due - August 23, 2010 Staff and Intervenor Direct Testimony Due - September 17, 2010 Rebuttal Testimony Due - October 1, 2010 Public Hearing (begins at 10:00 AM Mountain Time) - October 13, 2010
Oklahoma PUD 201000043	Inquiry of the Oklahoma Corporation Commission ("OCC") to Investigate Issues Arising from the Federal Energy	On April 23, 2010, the OCC issued a Notice of Inquiry to investigate the issues arising from FERC's issuance of Order Nos. 719, 719-A and 719-B and established an initial procedural schedule. On May 20, 2010, SPP submitted written comments in response to the Notice of Inquiry issued on April

Regulatory Update - SPP's Most Notable Dockets Second Quarter 2010

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
	Regulatory Commission's Orders No. 719, 719-A and 719-B	<p>23, 2010. SPP requested that the OCC consider postponing the June 3, 2010 technical conference until such time as SPP receives guidance from FERC that would allow SPP to provide substantive comments.</p> <p>On June 2, 2010, James L. Myles, Deputy General Counsel of the OCC, announced that the Public Utility Division was cancelling the June 3, 2010 technical conference, and would be asking the OCC to suspend the procedural schedule until rulings are handed down by FERC on SPP's Order 719 compliance filings.</p> <p>On July 2, 2010, the OCC issued an Order Suspending the Notice of Inquiry issued on April 23, 2010, until further order.</p>