

SPP Tariff/Governing Document Revisions		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
ER11-3967	SPP Order No. 741 Compliance Filing Pursuant to the Final Rule Issued Concerning Credit Reforms in Organized Wholesale Electric Markets in Docket No. RM10-13	On June 30, 2011, SPP submitted its Order No. 741 Compliance Filing. An effective date of October 1, 2011 was requested.

Other Filings of Interest		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
EL11-34	Midwest Independent Transmission Operator, Inc. ("MISO") Petition for Declaratory Order Seeking Commission Confirmation Regarding Section 5.2 of the Joint Operating Agreement ("JOA") between MISO and SPP	<p>On April 8, 2011, MISO submitted a Petition for Declaratory Order seeking Commission confirmation that the terms of the JOA in effect between SPP and MISO, regarding the sharing of transmission capacity on a common path, as set forth in Section 5.2 of the JOA, will remain in effect and applicable to Entergy Arkansas, Inc. in the event Entergy Arkansas becomes a transmission-owning member of MISO.</p> <p>MISO requested that FERC interpret Section 5.2 of the JOA. MISO also requested that FERC issue its decision no later than June 7, 2011.</p> <p>Several parties filed comments and protests in opposition of MISO's Petition. Three parties filed comments in support.</p> <p>On May 9, 2011, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene and Protest.</p> <p>SPP stated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) the Commission should not act on the Petition because the JOA by its terms requires that it be renegotiated in the event Entergy joins MISO; the Petition therefore seeks an interpretation of contractual provisions that may exist in a completely different form, if at all, after renegotiation of the JOA to address all pertinent issues bearing on Entergy's integration into MISO; 2) MISO's Petition is further barred by MISO's failure first to follow the dispute resolution requirements of the JOA; 3) to the extent the Commission addresses the Petition on the merits, it should apply the plain language in Section 5.2 without resort to irrelevant extrinsic evidence of other agreements to which SPP is not a party; and 4) the Commission should not prematurely declare that Section 5.2 will remain in effect after the parties' required renegotiation, when that renegotiation process has not even begun. <p>On May 24, 2011, MISO submitted an answer in response to comments and answers filed in this proceeding.</p> <p>MISO stated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) its petition is timely and proper; 2) its interpretation of Section 5.2 prevails under the applicable canons of construction while the alternative interpretation proffered by SPP fails; 3) the dire physical connection scenarios invoked by the protesting parties are speculative, alarmist and not germane to the petition; and 4) the protesting parties' allegations of "uncompensated use" and claims of various adverse impacts are

Other Filings of Interest		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>misplaced.</p> <p>On May 25, 2011, Entergy Services, Inc. filed a limited answer in response to certain protests filed in this proceeding.</p> <p>Entergy stated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) expedited Commission action remains appropriate and a decision should be issued by July 1, 2011 if possible; 2) MISO's interpretation of Section 5.2 is correct; 3) longstanding precedent bars SPP's multiple loop flow charges; 4) regional power flows will not change significantly no matter which Regional Transmission Organization is chosen; and 5) the actual transfer capacity between Entergy and MISO is greater than the transfer capacity between Entergy and SPP. <p>On July 1, 2011, FERC issued an order granting MISO's Petition seeking Commission confirmation that the terms of the MISO-SPP JOA, regarding the sharing of transmission capacity on a common path, as set forth in Section 5.2 of the JOA, will remain in effective and applicable to Entergy Arkansas, Inc. in the event Entergy becomes a transmission-owning member of MISO.</p> <p>FERC found that Section 5.2 of the JOA would allow for the sharing of available transmission capacity between MISO and Entergy Arkansas and SPP and Entergy Arkansas in the event that Entergy Arkansas becomes a transmission-owning member of MISO.</p> <p>While FERC found that Section 5.2 permits SPP's and MISO's shared use of available transmission capacity with Entergy Arkansas, FERC also recognized SPP's statement that the MISO-SPP JOA should be renegotiated. FERC stated that MISO and SPP have an obligation to negotiate in good faith in response to revisions either MISO or SPP may propose.</p>
<p>ER05-1065</p> <p>and</p> <p>ER09-555</p>	<p>Entergy Services' New Proposal for an Independent Coordinator of Transmission ("ICT") and Extension of the ICT's Initial Term</p> <p>Entergy's Proposed Revisions to Attachment V of its OATT</p>	<p>On June 15, 2011, SPP submitted the ICT's WPP Quarterly Report for March 2011 through May 2011.</p> <p>On June 30, 2011, SPP submitted the ICT's Second Quarterly Performance Report for 2011.</p>
<p>ER10-941 and</p>	<p>SPP Filing of a Letter Agreement</p>	<p>On May 2, 2011, SPP submitted in Docket No. ER11-3490 its compliance filing pursuant to the March 31,</p>

Other Filings of Interest		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
ER11-3490	Regarding Comprehensive Seams Agreement between Entergy Services, Inc. ("ESI") and SPP	2011 Order issued in Docket No. ER10-941. SPP and ESI submitted revisions to the AFC/TFC Protocol. An effective date of May 2, 2011 was requested.
ER10-2748	Entergy Services, Inc. ("ESI") Filing to Extend on an Interim Basis the Independent Coordinator of Transmission ("ICT") Arrangement for a Period of Two Years	On March 16, 2011, ESI submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the November 16, 2010 Order. FERC action is pending.
ES10-11	SPP Application Under Section 204 of the Federal Power Act for an Order Authorizing the Issuance of Securities (\$150 Million)	On May 2, 2011, SPP submitted a Report of Securities for Notes issued on March 31, 2011.

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
Arkansas 04-137-U	SPP Application before the Arkansas Public Service Commission ("APSC") for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CCN")	<p>On June 1, 2011, Southwestern Electric Power Company ("SWEPCO"), pursuant to Order No. 9, submitted its net benefits to Arkansas ratepayers of continued participation in the SPP Energy Imbalance Service ("EIS") market. SWEPCO's Arkansas retail jurisdictional customers have benefited by an estimated \$0.8 million from SWEPCO's continued participation in the SPP EIS market for the time period February 2010 through December 2010.</p> <p>On June 1, 2011, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company ("OG&E"), pursuant to Order No. 9, submitted its net benefits to Arkansas ratepayers of continued participation in the SPP EIS market. Restated net benefits from OG&E operation in the SPP EIS market from February 2007 thru January 2009 are \$37.3 million on a total company basis and approximately \$4.26 million on an Arkansas jurisdictional basis. For the four-year effective period 2007-2010 OG&E's calculations reflect total company net benefits of \$39.0 million of which \$4.55 million is attributable to Arkansas customers. For the eleven-month period February 2010 thru December 2010 calculated total company benefits are \$1.68 million of which \$295,000 is attributable to Arkansas customers.</p> <p>On June 1, 2011, The Empire District Electric Company ("Empire"), pursuant to Order No. 9, submitted its net benefits to Arkansas ratepayers of continued participation in the SPP EIS market. Empire's calculations reflect a total company net savings of \$21.6 million for the four-year period of 2007-2010. The 2010 total company net savings is approximately \$2.429 million with the net savings to the Arkansas jurisdiction being \$67,380.</p>
Arkansas 09-090-U	In the Matter of the Impact of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") Orders 719 and 719-A in FERC Docket No. RM07-19-001 on the Regulatory Authority of the Arkansas Public Service Commission	Further action in this proceeding is pending SPP's compliance filing and FERC's action in FERC Docket No. ER09-1050.
Arkansas 10-011-U	In the Matter of a Show Cause Order Directed to Entergy Arkansas, Inc. ("EAI") Regarding Its Continued Membership in the Current Entergy System Agreement, or Any Successor Agreement Thereto, and Regarding the	<p>On May 12, 2011, EAI filed its evaluation report, entitled "An Evaluation of the Alternative Transmission Arrangements Available to the Entergy Operating Companies and Support for Proposal to Join MISO," pursuant to Order Nos. 20, 27, and 29.</p> <p>On May 12, 2011, Kurt Castleberry, John Hurstell, Jay Lewis, Hugh McDonald, Tom Reagan, Richard Riley, and Michael Schnitzer submitted Supplemental Direct Testimony on behalf of EAI.</p> <p>On May 26, 2011, EAI held a technical conference in order to discuss its assessment of its strategic</p>

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
	Future Operation and Control of Its Transmission Assets	<p>options for post-System Agreement operations, including EAI's conclusion that joining MISO will provide meaningful, long-term benefits for EAI's customers.</p> <p>On June 1, 2011, SPP filed a Motion for Suspension of Monthly Reporting Requirement Under Order No. 11.</p> <p>On June 1, 2011, EAI filed a Motion to Discontinue Supplemental Testimony of Hugh McDonald as required by Order No. 1. EAI proposed to replace the monthly supplemental testimony with a quarterly report regarding EAI's implementation activities beginning in July 2011.</p> <p>On June 2, 2011, the APSC issued Order No. 36 requiring EAI to supplement its May 12, 2011 filing of the Evaluation Report. The Commission ordered that other Parties to this docket may address the supplemental filing in Supplemental Initial Testimony due on July 12, 2011 or in Surrebuttal Testimony due on August 18, 2011.</p> <p>On June 22, 2011, Kurtis Castleberry and Steven Strickland submitted Supplemental Testimony on behalf of EAI. This testimony was filed pursuant to Order No. 36 requiring that EAI supplement its May 12, 2011 Evaluation Report Filing.</p> <p>On July 1, 2011, EAI and SPP submitted a Joint Motion to Suspend Monthly Reporting Requirement Established by Order No. 2.</p> <p>On July 1, 2011, the APSC issued Order Nos. 37 and 38 requiring that EAI and MISO submit additional Supplemental Testimony by July 11, 2011, in response to questions listed in the orders.</p> <p>On July 6, 2011, EAI filed a Motion for Extension of Time to comply with Order No. 37.</p> <p>On July 6, 2011, The Empire District Electric Company filed a Petition to Intervene.</p> <p>On July 7, 2011, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company filed a Petition to Intervene.</p> <p>On July 8, 2011, the APSC issued Order No. 39, granting EAI an extension of time until July 15, 2011 to respond all questions except Question No. 16 in Order No. 37. An extension was granted until July 18, 2011 to respond to Question No. 16.</p> <p>Staff and Intervenor Supplemental Initial Testimony are due July 12, 2011. EAI's Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony is due August 4, 2011. Staff and Intervenor Surrebuttal Testimony are due August 18, 2011.</p>

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>EAI Sur-surrebuttal Testimony is due August 25, 2011.</p> <p>Discovery in this docket is ongoing.</p> <p>Hugh McDonald continues to file Supplemental Direct Testimony on the first day of each month.</p> <p>SPP and EAI continue to file the Seams Agreement progress report on the first day of each month.</p> <p>Also on the first of each month, SPP continues to file a status report detailing the discussions or meetings between SPP and EAI concerning the option of EAI as a stand-alone operating company becoming a member of SPP, as well as the status of the addendum Arkansas-only study.</p>
Arkansas 10-074-U	In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company ("SWEPCO") for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the Construction, Ownership, Operation and Maintenance of the Proposed Flint Creek to Shipe Road Project and Associated Facilities to be Located in Benton County, Arkansas	On April 14, 2011, the APSC issued Order No. 6 granting SWEPCO a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need to construct and operate a new 345 kV transmission line from Flint Creek Station to the proposed Shipe Road Station.
Kansas 10-GIME-215-GIE	In the Matter of the Investigation into Laws and Regulations Relating to Demand Response and Scarcity Pricing	On May 16, 2011, the Kansas Corporation Commission issued an Order Closing Docket. The Commission found that this generic docket, which was opened to address issues of public importance regarding FERC policy announced in FERC Order Nos. 719 and 719-A, has served the purpose for which it was opened.
Kansas 11-ITCE-644-MIS	In the Matter of the Application of ITC Great Plains, LLC ("ITC") for a Siting Permit for the Construction of a Double-Circuit 345-kV Transmission Line in Ford, Clark, Kiowa and Barber Counties, Kansas	<p>On April 6, 2011, Katherine Prewitt submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of SPP. This was previously attached as an Exhibit to SPP's Petition to Intervene filed on March 24, 2011.</p> <p>On April 18, 2011, Michael Wegner, P.E. and Thomas Debaun submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of the Kansas Corporation Commission ("KCC").</p> <p>On April 18, 2011, Daniel Peaco and Robert Sellard submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of the Landowner Group.</p>

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>On May 2, 2011, Alan Myers, Carl Huslig, and Salvatore Falcone submitted Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of ITC.</p> <p>On May 18, 2011, ITC, KCC Staff, the Landowner Group, Prairie Wind Transmission, LLC, Westar Energy, Inc., Sunflower Electric Power Corporation and Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC filed a Joint List of Disputed Issues.</p> <p>An evidentiary hearing was held on May 26-27, 2011.</p> <p>On June 9, 2011, ITC filed its Post-Hearing Brief with Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.</p> <p>On June 9, 2011, the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board ("CURB") filed its initial brief in support of its Motion regarding the jurisdictional issue. CURB requested that the Commission find it has no jurisdiction to consider alternative proposals where affected landowners have not received the requisite notice under the Transmission Siting Act and stated that its Motion should be granted, and any action to approve said proposals deemed invalid.</p> <p>On June 17, 2011, KCC Staff, the Landowner Group, and ITC filed responsive post-hearing briefs.</p> <p>On June 17, 2011, ITC submitted its Response in Opposition to CURB's Motion Requesting the Commission Find It Has No Jurisdiction.</p> <p>On June 24, 2011, ITC filed its Reply Brief.</p>
Kansas 11-PWTE-600-MIS	In the Matter of the Application of Prairie Wind Transmission, LLC ("Prairie Wind") for a Siting Permit for the Construction of a Double Circuit 345 kV Transmission Line in Sedgwick, Sumner, Harper, and Barber Counties, Kansas	<p>On April 4, 2011, Andy Fry and Michael J. Wegner, P.E. submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of the Kansas State Corporation Commission ("KCC").</p> <p>On April 4, 2011, James Dittmer, Jamie McAlpine, Heath Garner, and Paul Kerlinger submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of Chermac Energy Corporation ("Chermac").</p> <p>On April 6, 2011, Thomas Debaun submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of the KCC.</p> <p>On April 18, 2011, Brad Loveless, Kelly Harrison, and Eric Johnson submitted Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of Prairie Wind.</p> <p>On April 20, 2011, Robert St. John submitted Supplemental Testimony on behalf of Prairie Wind.</p>

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>On May 3, 2011, Staff, Prairie Wind, Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board ("CURB"), SPP, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation, and Mid-Kansas Electric Company filed a Joint List of Disputed Issues.</p> <p>On May 3, 2011, Chermac submitted a Proposed List of Contested Issues.</p> <p>On May 4, 2011, Staff and Prairie Wind submitted a Motion to Strike Proposed List of Contested Issues filed by Chermac.</p> <p>On May 12, 2011, Chermac filed a Motion to Dismiss Prairie Wind's Application and a Memorandum in Support.</p> <p>An Evidentiary Hearing was held May 16-18, 2011.</p> <p>On May 31, 2011, Prairie Wind filed its Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Memorandum in Support.</p> <p>On June 7, 2011, KCC Staff and Chermac filed responsive post-hearing briefs.</p> <p>On June 14, 2011, Prairie Wind filed its Reply Brief in Support of Proposed Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law.</p> <p>On June 28, 2011, the KCC issued an Order Granting Siting Permit.</p>
Missouri EW-2010-0187	In the Matter of an Investigation into the Coordination of State and Federal Regulatory Policies for Facilitating the Deployment of all Cost-Effective Demand-Side Savings to Electric Customers of All Classes Consistent with the Public Interest	<p>On April 11, 2011, an ARC/ISO/RTO Demand Response Aggregation Draft Rule Workshop was held.</p> <p>Several parties submitted comments concerning the draft rule.</p> <p>On April 30, 2011, SPP submitted an informational filing regarding the status of its May 19, 2010 compliance filing in FERC Docket Nos. ER09-1050 and ER09-748. SPP also updated the MoPSC regarding FERC Order No. 745 issued on March 15, 2011.</p>
New Mexico 07-00390-UT	An Investigation into the Prudence of Southwestern Public Service Company's ("SPS") Participation in the SPP RTO	On June 1, 2011, SPS submitted its 2011 Annual Report pursuant to the Uncontested Stipulation.
Oklahoma PUD 201000043	Inquiry of the Oklahoma Corporation Commission	Further action in this proceeding is pending SPP's compliance filing and FERC's action in FERC Docket No. ER09-1050.

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
	("OCC") to Investigate Issues Arising from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Orders No. 719, 719-A and 719-B	
Oklahoma PUD 20100075	In the Matter of the Application of Plains and Eastern Clean Line LLC ("Clean Line") to Conduct Business as an Electric Utility in the State of Oklahoma	On June 30, 2011, the OCC issued the Report and Recommendations of the Administrative Law Judge - Summary of ALJ Recommendations. The ALJ recommended that the Revised Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement be approved and that Clean Line be recognized as a Public Utility.
Texas 38877	Application Of Southwestern Public Service Company ("SPS") to Amend CCN For a Proposed Transmission Line Within Hale, Floyd, Motley, Cottle, Briscoe, Hall, Childress, Donley, Collingsworth, And Wheeler Counties	<p>On March 31, 2011, SPS filed an application to amend a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for a proposed 345 kV transmission line within Hale, Floyd, Motley, Cottle, Briscoe, Hall, Childress, Donley, Collingsworth, and Wheeler Counties, Texas.</p> <p>Numerous parties filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On May 27, 2011, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On June 13, 2011, the PUCT issued SOAH Order No. 2, Scheduling Hearing on the Merits and Informing Parties of Procedural Matters.</p> <p>The following procedural schedule was established: May 31, 2011 - Intervention Deadline July 8, 2011 - Applicant Direct Testimony and deadline for Attorney Statements of Representation August 5, 2011 - Statement of Adequacy of Routes and Request for Hearing on Adequacy of Routes August 16, 2011 - Reply to Statements on Adequacy of Routes August 23, 2011 - Route Adequacy Hearing if requested September 9, 2011 - Objections to Applicant's Direct Testimony September 16, 2011 - Replies to Objections to Applicant's Direct Testimony and discovery ends September 30, 2011 - Intervenor Direct Testimony on Statements of Position October 17, 2011 - Settlement Conference October 21, 2011 - Objections to Intervenor Direct Testimony October 28, 2011 - Staff Direct Testimony and Responses to Objections to Intervenor Direct Testimony November 8, 2011 - Objections to Staff Direct Testimony and Intervenor Cross-Rebuttal Testimony November 15, 2011 - Responses to Objections to Staff Direct Testimony November 22, 2011 - Discovery on Intervenor and Staff Direct cases ends and Objections to Cross-Rebuttal Testimony December 2, 2011 - Applicant Rebuttal Testimony</p>

State Cases		
Docket Number	Short Description	Summary
		<p>December 13, 2011 - Discovery ends on Applicant's Rebuttal Testimony December 20, 2011 - Replies to Objections on Applicant's Rebuttal Testimony January 3-6, 2012 - Hearing on the Merits</p> <p>Discovery in this docket is ongoing.</p>
Texas 39385	Project to Consider Entergy Texas, Inc.'s ("ETI") Integration into the MISO	<p>On May 12, 2011, ETI filed a report entitled "An Evaluation of the Alternative Transmission Arrangements Available to the Entergy Operating Companies and Support for Proposal to Join MISO."</p> <p>On June 17, 2011, the PUCT issued a revised notice that it will hold a workshop on ETI's proposal to join MISO on July 28, 2011 at 9:30 AM. ETI will present information on its proposal and answer questions from the Commission and interested parties. The PUCT requested that interested persons submit questions for ETI to address at the workshop no later than July 21, 2011.</p>
Texas 39070	Application of Sharyland Utilities, L.P. ("Sharyland") to Approve Study and Plan Pursuant to the Commission's Order In Docket No. 37990 Concerning the Movement of Sharyland's Stanton and Colorado City Divisions from the Southwest Power Pool to ERCOT	<p>On June 13, 2011, the Parties filed an Unopposed Joint Notice of Settlement Agreement and Joint Motion to Admit Evidence and Remand Proceeding.</p> <p>On June 13, 2011, John Holmes, Jr. and Ralph Goodlet, Jr. submitted Supplemental Testimony and Exhibits in support of the Settlement Agreement on behalf of Sharyland.</p> <p>On June 17, 2011, the PUCT issued a Proposed Order. The Commission will consider this docket at an open meeting presently scheduled to begin at 9:30 AM on July 8, 2011. Parties shall file any corrections or exceptions to the Proposed Order by June 30, 2011.</p> <p>On June 30, 2011, Sharyland filed notice it is not filing any corrections or exceptions to the Proposed Order issued on June 17, 2011.</p> <p>The settlement was approved at the open meeting held on July 8, 2011. An order should be forthcoming.</p>