



Southwest Power Pool, Inc.
ICT STAKEHOLDERS POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING
December 9, 2011
Teleconference and WebEx

• Draft Meeting Minutes •

1:00 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.

Agenda Item 1- Introduction and Roll Call

Lanny Nickell, SPP, called the meeting to order at approximately 1:00 p.m. There were 21 in attendance by teleconference.

Agenda Item 2- Agenda Review and Minutes Approval

Lanny Nickell reviewed the agenda which was posted prior to the meeting on the SPP website and available on the WebEx. The October 6, 2011 minutes were approved.

Agenda Item 3- Reliability Task Force Update-Congestion Management Process

Don Shipley, SPP, reviewed the Congestion Management Process Improvements progress since the last meeting. After the presentation was complete, Mr. Shipley asked if the ERSC Working Group would be reviewing the process and what their expectation might be. Sam Loudenslager, Arkansas Public Service Commission, stated the ERSC working Group would appreciate an update at their next meeting, either by conference call or in person.

Agenda Item 4- Entergy Business Practice Changes

Ben Roubique, SPP, introduced Yarrow Etheredge, Entergy, who went through the Entergy Business Practices Summary of Changes document. Jennifer Vosburg, NRG Louisiana Generating, asked what opportunities the stakeholders would have to provide comments on the Business Practice changes. Ms. Etheredge replied that Entergy would like to receive any written comments in the next week. Ms. Vosburg asked if the Business Practices would be filed at FERC, and Ms. Etheredge replied it was her understanding they are not filed.

Roberto Paliza, Paliza Consulting LLC, inquired on the designated resource undesignation process and if related comments needed to be in by next week. Erin Murphy, Entergy, stated Entergy would like to have any comments on specific language changes in the Business Practices by the next week, but that general comments on the Business Practices will continue to be discussed. Ms. Vosburg asked what process would be used if there was a difference of opinion between the parties and it was necessary to go to a higher authority. Ms. Murphy replied from Entergy's viewpoint, the matter would be discussed through the ICT, and if further



discussion was necessary the action would be handled by the appropriate task force, but Ms. Murphy was not sure of the process the ICT would take. Ms. Vosburg stated the Stakeholder Policy Committee's new charter is flexible and a task force can be formed to address issues.

After Ms. Etheredge completed the review of Entergy's Summary of Changes document, Mr. Roubique presented the ICT Memorandum of the ICT's position on Entergy's proposed Business Practice changes. Ms. Murphy stated that Entergy has not had the opportunity to respond to the ICT's Memorandum, but that Entergy is discussing their differences with the ICT's position with the ICT. Entergy has concerns, but they look forward to discussing those with the ICT. Matt Harward, SPP, and Ms. Murphy discussed the ICT's position on reliability impacts within the Business Practices changes and their relation to FERC orders, and agreed to continue vetting the ICT's and Entergy's position. Mr. Paliza raised a concern for customer impact on undesignations and base case overloads. Mr. Roubique stated the ICT shares those concerns. Mr. Paliza also asked how the base case overloads are being looked at from a MISO perspective. Ms. Vosburg relayed that the stakeholders need to establish a comfort level with the changes, given the discussion of reliability must run agreements and base case overloads in light of the Business Practice changes.

Ms. Murphy stated Entergy will be finalizing a response to the ICT Memorandum in a few days. After the ICT reviews the response a meeting will be set. An action item was taken by the ICT and Entergy to set up a conference call after review of Entergy's response. Mr. Nickell asked if Entergy's response would be sent to the ICT or the stakeholders and Ms. Murphy replied that Entergy would be sending the response to both the ICT and the stakeholders.

Agenda Item 5- TLR/LAP Metrics Report

Lanny Nickell presented the September ERSC TLR/LAP Metrics report. Mr. Nickell reviewed the multiple metrics for TLRs, LAP, and Transmission Utilization. Sam Loudenslager and Mr. Nickell discussed the reporting schedule for the Metrics. Mr. Loudenslager wanted to ensure that the Metrics Report would be as up to date as possible prior to the February ERSC meeting. Mark McCulla, Entergy, discussed the previous ERSC Metrics report and the time frames needed to provide the data to the ICT for publishing the metrics. Mr. McCulla stated Entergy would be providing the material as quickly as they can. Mr. Nickell commented the ICT has made changes in their reporting process and would improve the production of the report.

Several stakeholders and Entergy representatives discussed specific data within the report. Flowgates with heavy congestion were discussed, along with storm damage effects to the system, construction outages, and possible improvements to the presentation of the data. Don Shipley took an action item to prepare an analysis of the March 2010 and March 2011 TLR/LAP data and have the analysis ready for review at the next ERSC Working Group meeting. Charles Long, Entergy, Roberto Paliza, and Ben Roubique discussed projects that could improve congestion in the Entergy Texas region and how those could be accelerated. Mr. Roubique stated the ICT has looked at those projects through the ISTEP study and is recommending a further evaluation of accelerating of some of the projects. Mr. Long concurred, as Entergy is evaluating the economic benefit of those upgrades as well. Entergy took an action item to send the ICT and stakeholders an estimate of when Entergy's economic evaluation would be complete.



Mr. Nickell discussed the need expressed by stakeholders for metrics that would show total congestion on flowgates by combining TLR and LAP data. Keith Tynes, GDS Associates, suggested a metric that would show congestion within an area. The ICT took an action items to prepare a metric based on the combination of TLR and LAP data and to determine if the congestion could be shown by area. The ICT will also begin to provide the ERSC Metrics, including LAP data, in the ICT Quarterly Performance Report.

Agenda Item 6- Action Items Review

Action items:

1. The ICT and Entergy will set up a conference call to discuss Entergy's response to the ICT Memorandum of the ICT's position on Entergy's proposed Business Practice changes once the response is received from Entergy.
2. Don Shipley, SPP, will compare the March 2010 and March 2011 TLR/LAP Metric data and provide an analysis report to the ERSC Working Group at their next meeting.
3. Entergy took an action item to send the ICT and stakeholders an estimate of when Entergy's economic evaluation would be complete.
4. The ICT will provide a new metric for the ERSC Metrics Report that will combine TLR and LAP data to show flowgates by total congestion.
5. SPCCC will determine the meeting dates for 2012 after coordinating with the ERSC Working Group. The first SPC meeting of 2012 will be after the ERSC Working Group meeting in January

Agenda Item 7- Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at approximately 3:00 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lanny Nickell



Southwest Power Pool, Inc.
ICT STAKEHOLDERS POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING

December 9, 2011

1:00p.m. - 3:30p.m.

Teleconference and WebEx

• A G E N D A •

1. Introductions and roll call.....Lanny Nickell
2. Review of meeting agenda All
3. Reliability Task Force updateDon Shipley
 - a. Congestion Management Process ImprovementsDon Shipley
4. Entergy Business Practice Changes Ben Roubique
5. TLR/LAP Metrics Report.....Lanny Nickell
6. Action Items review All
7. AdjournmentLanny Nickell

Relationship-Based • Member-Driven • Independence Through Diversity
Evolutionary vs. Revolutionary • Reliability & Economics Inseparable

Company	Last Name	First Name	Email	Attending	Comments
Entergy Services, Inc.	Etheredge	Yarrow		Net Conference	
	Hicks	Mark		Net Conference	
Paliza Consulting LLC	Paliza	Roberto		Net Conference	
	Frizzell	Ronnie	Ronnie.Frizzell@aecc.com	Net Conference	
Southwest Power Pool	Green	Tony	tgreen@spp.org	Net Conference	
Southwest Power Pool	Harward	Matthew	mharward@spp.org	Net Conference	
Conway Corporation	Heintzen	George	george.heintzen@conwaycorp.com	Net Conference	
KGen Power	Lee	Tina	tlee@kgenpower.com	Net Conference	
Arkansas Public Service Commission	Loudenslager	Sam	sam_loudenslager@psc.state.ar.us	Net Conference	
Southwest Power Pool	Maxey	Sherri	smaxey@spp.org	Net Conference	
Entergy Services, Inc.	Montgomery	Melinda	mmontg3@entergy.com	Net Conference	
	Murphy	Erin	emurph1@entergy.com	Net Conference	
	Ochola	Maureen	Maureen.ochola@gdsassociates.com	Net Conference	
Entergy Services, Inc.	Powell	Doug		Net Conference	
Southwest Power Pool	Phillips	Tim	tphillips@spp.org	Net Conference	
Southwest Power Pool	Roubique	Benjamin	broubique@spp.org	Net Conference	
	Schmidt	Kristine	kschmidt@espyenergysolutions.com	Net Conference	
	Tynes	Keith	keith.tynes@gdsassociates.com	Net Conference	
NRG Louisiana Generating, LLC	Vosburg	Jennifer	jennifer.vosburg@nrgenergy.com	Net Conference	
	Wells	Connie	cwells@entergy.com	Net Conference	
Entergy Services, Inc.	Long	Charles		Net Conference	

SUMMARY OF BUSINESS PRACTICES REVISIONS

BP Number and Title	Update required	Reason for Change
IV.1 General Reservation/Scheduling Practices; Time Limits for Submitting and Responding to TSRs	Explanation of customer options when a TSR spans the AFC Study Horizon and the Planning Horizon and the customer receives a counteroffer for the amount available in the AFC Study Horizon (still working on this with TTS and the ICT)	Revision to include Customer Options and instructions when the service requested spans the short and long term study processes
IV.1 General Reservation/Scheduling Practices; Time Limits for Submitting and Responding to TSRs	Clarification of adoption of WEQ Table 4-2 for customer confirmation time limit after accepted or counter-offered for daily non-firm	Revision to clarify applicability of WEQ timing requirements relative to OATT section 14.6
IV.6 General Reservation/Scheduling Practices; Preemption	Clarifications on preemption that were requested by the ICT	Incorporate revisions into auto- preemption BP
IX.5 Transmission Information; CBM & TRM	Add a contact person at Entergy (AFC Contact email address) for requesting CBM	provide instruction to customers on making a request for CBM to direct such a request to the AFC Data Request email (AFCDATAREQUEST@entergy.com)
II.2.2. Transmission Service Products; Weekly Non-Firm Transmission Service	Change the submission deadline for non-firm weekly to 14 days	Modify BP for consistency with settings

VI Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service	Conditional Firm Update - per automation	Revisions for consistency with automation
VII.2 Network Integration Transmission Service, Interim Procedures for Undesignating Network Resources	Undesignation Revisions	Revisions for consistency with FERC Order 890-A
	Update Kim Mitchell references to Wayne Warren	Personnel Change
V.4.1 Resale	Once the Assignee has completed and executed a Form A-1 in accordance with the requirements set forth above, the Assignee must fax the executed Form A-1 to the Transmission Provider's Transmission Services Group at 504-576-6900, attention Kim Mitchell.	Personnel Change
V.4.2 Transfers	The ICT will not approve a transfer request without confirmation from Entergy that a non-conforming form A-1 has been executed by the Transferor and the Transferee Customers contemplating the transfer of a TSA must contact the Transmission Provider at 504-576-4286 (Kim Mitchell) to initiate the preparation and execution of a non-conforming agreement.	Personnel Change

Revised Entergy OATT Business Practices

Sections Modified

- II. TRANSMISSION SERVICE PRODUCTS
 - 2.2. Weekly Non-Firm Transmission Service
- IV. GENERAL RESERVATION/SCHEDULING PRACTICES
 - 1. Time Limits for Submitting and Responding to TSRs
 - 6. Preemption
 - 6.1. TSR Evaluation
 - 6.2. Preemption Actions Prior to Confirmation of the Challenger
 - 6.3. Preemption Actions After Confirmation of the Challenger
 - 6.4. Timing Requirements
- V. POINT-TO-POINT TRANSMISSION SERVICE
 - 4. Assignment of PTP Service
 - 4.1. Resale
 - 4.2. Transfers
- VI. CONDITIONAL FIRM SERVICE AND PLANNING REDISPATCH SERVICE
 - 1. Generally
 - 2. Conditional Firm Transmission Service
 - 3. Planning Redispatch Service
 - 3.1. Planning Redispatch Service From Transmission Provider Resources
 - 3.2. Planning Redispatch Service From Third-Party Provider Resources
 - 4. Limitations on Availability
 - 5. Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service TSR Application and Study Process
 - 5.1. Execution of the System Impact Study Agreement

- 5.2. Tender of the System Impact Study and Customer Response
- 5.3. Management of Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service Options on OASIS
- 5.4. Following Tender of the FS
- 6. Biennial Reassessments

VII. NETWORK INTEGRATION TRANSMISSION SERVICE

- 1. Interim Procedures For Providing Attestations For Network Resources
- 2. Interim Procedures for Undesignating Network Resources
 - 2.1. Permanent Undesignation
 - 2.2. Temporary Undesignation
 - 2.3. Simultaneous Undesignation and Designation Requests
 - 2.4. Non Simultaneous Undesignation Requests
 - 2.5. Priority Rights and Capacity Release (Simultaneous Requests)

IX. TRANSMISSION INFORMATION

- 5. CBM & TRM

Redline of Revised Entergy OATT Business Practices

II. TRANSMISSION SERVICE PRODUCTS 2.2 Weekly Non-Firm Transmission Service

Customers seeking to reserve Weekly Non-Firm Service must submit a TSR via the Transmission Provider's OASIS no earlier than ~~14~~ days before the service is to begin. As TSRs for Weekly Firm Service are evaluated through the AFC Process, once a Completed Application has been received, within 4 hours the ICT will respond to the Customer with: (i) an acceptance; or (ii) an offer for partial service; or (iii) if no service is available, the TSR will be DECLINED. In accordance with NAESB Business Practice Standards WEQ001-4.13 Table 4-2, if an ACCEPTED TSR was not pre-confirmed, or for a COUNTEROFFERED TSR, Customers must CONFIRM or WITHDRAW their request within 24 hours after receiving a response; otherwise, the request will be deemed WITHDRAWN and the status of the TSR on the Transmission Provider OASIS will be changed to "RETRACTED."

Deleted: 60

IV. GENERAL RESERVATION/SCHEDULING PRACTICES

1. Practices for Submitting and Responding to TSRs

Deleted: Time Limits

1.1 The time limits for Customers to submit and confirm TSRs and for the Transmission Provider to evaluate such TSRs are contained in the NAESB Business Practices, to the extent applicable, and otherwise defined in these TSR Business Practices.

1.2. When a short term TSR begins within the model horizon for the AFC process, and extends beyond the model horizon for the AFC process, the TSR will automatically be counteroffered for amount available as firm within the AFC model horizon. The customer has the following options in responding to the counteroffer;

Deleted: ¶

1.2.1 Accept the Counteroffer, and if desired, submit a new TSR for the portion beyond the AFC horizons for evaluation under the SIS Process

1.2.2 Rebid the Counteroffer for the full MW requested at each time point, and request study of the entire TSR under the SIS Process

1.2.3 Withdraw the Counteroffer

6. Preemption

This Section IV.6 describes the Transmission Provider's implementation of the provisions of its Tariff that govern Reservation Priority (Sections 13.2 and 14.2)

when competing bids for constrained resources on the Transmission Provider's transmission system ("Preemption") are submitted through the AFC Process. The Transmission Provider's implementation of Preemption also applies the NAESB Business Practices.

This Section IV.6 describes the Transmission Provider's implementation of the provisions of its Tariff that govern Reservation Priority (Sections 13.2 and 14.2) when competing bids for constrained resources on the Transmission Provider's transmission system ("Preemption") are submitted through the AFC Process. The Transmission Provider's implementation of Preemption also applies the NAESB Business Practices.

6.1. TSR Evaluation

Each valid TSR is evaluated in accordance with FERC policies, represented in NAESB Business Practices (WEQ 001-4.16 through 001-4.25 Table 4-3, "Priorities For Competing Requests"), and Sections 13.2 and 14.2 of the Tariff, as applicable. Preemption evaluations will be conducted in all TSR evaluations where AFC is insufficient to ACCEPT the TSR. All preemptable TSRs or Reservations of lower priority ("Defenders") submitted for evaluation through the AFC process will be identified by the OATI software, unless such Reservation is subject to unconditionality, and has become unconditional per Section 13.2 of Entergy's Tariff. The OATI software will provide the ICT with an evaluation of the request which identifies the capacity of all Defenders that are necessary to accommodate the TSR being evaluated for acceptance ("Challenger") and provides the specific actions to be taken by the ICT to manage the competition. In order to be identified as a valid Challenger, a TSR must be Preconfirmed. For preemption processing purposes, priority will be determined by the number of segments with a non-zero MW Available value. Non-Firm Redirects will not impact the priority of the parent. The ICT will determine whether the Challenger can be ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED based on the OATI software evaluation. Once an evaluation is selected for processing a TSR; the ICT is not obligated to consider subsequent evaluations. The ICT may take any actions which are not indicated by the OATI software, should they determine that the evaluation is incorrect or insufficient.

Each valid TSR is evaluated in accordance with FERC policies, represented in NAESB Business Practices (WEQ 001-4.16 through 001-4.25 Table 4-3, "Priorities For Competing Requests"), and Sections 13.2 and 14.2 of the Tariff, as applicable. Preemption evaluations will be conducted in all TSR evaluations where AFC is insufficient to ACCEPT the TSR. All preemptable TSRs or Reservations of lower priority ("Defenders") submitted for evaluation through the AFC process will be identified by the OATI software, unless such Reservation is subject to unconditionality, and has become unconditional per Section 13.2 of Entergy's Tariff. The OATI software will provide the ICT with an evaluation of the request which identifies the capacity of all Defenders that are necessary to accommodate the TSR being evaluated for acceptance ("Challenger") and

Comment [m1]: All TP actions, including submission of Matching TSRs, will be taken by the OATI software.

Deleted: All actions described below are actions taken via OASIS, unless specifically noted otherwise.

Comment [m2]: All TP actions, including submission of Matching TSRs, will be taken by the OATI software.

Deleted: All actions described below are actions taken via OASIS, unless specifically noted otherwise.

Deleted: as defined

Deleted: in

Deleted: the

Deleted: ,

Comment [m3]: Table 4-3 does not require preconfirmation for Network Challengers. It is in Entergy's best interest to require preconfirmation for all services in order to avoid gaming and other potential issues.

Comment [m4]: Inserted to clarify that the number of non-zero segments determines duration priority.

Deleted: then

Deleted: at any time

Comment [m5]: Should we add a statement specifying that the ICT may take all actions manually based on their evaluation of the OATI software?

Comment [m6]: This disclaimer is meant to allow the ICT to take manual actions when they deem necessary. It also provides the leeway needed to act on REBID TSRs appropriately until the software can process REBIDs correctly.

Comment [m7]: This section was moved to "Preemption Actions Prior to Confirmation of the Challenger".

Deleted: When the Challenger is pre-confirmed, and no right-of-first-refusal ("ROFR") exists on one or more Defenders, the Challenger will be ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED (where preemption of the Defender will improve the counteroffer), and Preemption actions will be taken as appropriate. If the Challenger was COUNTEROFFERED, the Challenger will then have the opportunity to CONFIRM the service during the confirmation time limit. When the Challenger is not pre-confirmed, the ICT will ACCEPT the Challenger or extend a counteroffer to the Challenger, noting the terms of the offer in the seller comment field. The Challenger will then [... [1]

Deleted: as defined

Deleted: in

Deleted: the

Deleted: ,

provides the specific actions to be taken by the ICT to manage the competition. In order to be identified as a valid Challenger, a TSR must be Preconfirmed. For preemption processing purposes, priority will be determined by the number of segments with a non-zero MW Available value. Non-Firm Redirects will not impact the priority of the parent. The ICT will determine whether the Challenger can be ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED based on the OATI software evaluation. Once an evaluation is selected for processing a TSR, the ICT is not obligated to consider subsequent evaluations. The ICT may take any actions which are not indicated by the OATI software, should they determine that the evaluation is incorrect or insufficient.

6.2. Preemption Actions AFTER CAPACITY IS GRANTED TO the Challenger

When the Challenger has been ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED (where preemption of the Defender will improve the counteroffer), preemption actions will be taken as appropriate. If the Challenger was COUNTEROFFERED, the Challenger will have the opportunity to CONFIRM the service during the confirmation time limit.

At the time the Challenger is ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED, where Defenders are still pending, the ICT will initiate preemption, and the OATI software will SUPERSEDE Defenders as required to accommodate the Challenger. The OATI software will indicate the Assignment Reference ("ARef") of the Challenger in the seller comments to the Defender(s).

6.3. Preemption Actions After Confirmation of the Challenger

When Defenders are ineligible for the ROFR, the ICT will initiate preemption, and at the time the Challenger is CONFIRMED, the OATI software will RECALL partial or full capacity from the Defenders to accommodate the Challenger. The Challenger's ARef will be indicated in the seller comments of the Recall TSRs impacting the Defender(s), and in the seller comments of the Defender TSRs.

When any Defender is eligible for ROFR, the ICT will initiate preemption and, at the time the Challenger is CONFIRMED, the OATI software will RECALL partial or full capacity from the Defenders to accommodate the Challenger. The Challenger's ARef will be indicated in the seller comments of the Recall TSR(s) impacting the Defender(s) TSR and in the seller comments of the Defender TSRs. At the time the Challenger is CONFIRMED, the OATI software will simultaneously extend the ROFR to those Defenders which are eligible for ROFR, by setting the Competing Request Flag on the Defenders, and submitting Matching TSRs on behalf of the Defender TSRs. In order to accommodate scheduling deadlines of both the Challenger and the Defender, Defenders have the lesser of: (i) the Customer Confirmation Time Limit defined in the NAESB Business Practices (Table 4-2, Reservation Timing Requirements); or (ii) 24 hours, to CONFIRM the Matching TSR(s) submitted by the OATI software,

Deleted: then

Deleted: at any time

Deleted: When the Challenger is pre-confirmed, and no right-of-first-refusal ("ROFR") exists on one or more Defenders, the Challenger will be ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED (where preemption of the Defender will improve the counteroffer), and Preemption actions will be taken as appropriate. If the Challenger was COUNTEROFFERED, the Challenger will then have the opportunity to CONFIRM the service during the confirmation time limit. When the Challenger is not pre-confirmed, the ICT will ACCEPT the Challenger or extend a counteroffer to the Challenger, noting the terms of the offer in the seller comment field. The Challenger will then have the opportunity to CONFIRM the service offered within the confirmation time limit.

Deleted: PRIOR TO CONFIRMATION

Deleted: OF

Deleted: T

Deleted: will

Deleted: be

Deleted: and P

Deleted: then

Deleted: of acceptance of

Deleted: the

Deleted: The ICT

Deleted: and the OATI software

Deleted: DISPLACE or

Deleted: TSR

Deleted: the OATI software

Deleted: ,

Deleted: t

Deleted: T

Deleted: ICT

Deleted: simultaneously

Deleted: at the time the Challenger is CONFIRMED

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, No underline

Deleted: submit a Pre-Confirmed

Deleted: request

Deleted: in the amount and duration required

When the first Match is CONFIRMED by any Defender, the Challenger's capacity will be RECALLED in part or full, as the Defender's Match assumes the highest priority. Where a Challenger is RECALLED in part or full due to a Match, the OATI software will update the Seller Comments on the Recall TSR to indicate that the Recall was submitted to accommodate the Matching TSR. Any remaining capacity on the Defender TSR will be RECALLED in full, and the OATI software will update the Seller Comments on the Recall TSR to indicate the Recall was submitted to accommodate the Matching TSR. After receipt of the first Match, subsequent Matches will be evaluated by the OATI software for feasibility. The OATI software will block CONFIRMATION of those Matching TSRs that can no longer be supported by setting the status of the Matching TSR to DISPLACED.

Deleted: The amount and duration required must be obtained through Customer contact with the ICT Tariff Administration desk at 501-614-3502.¶

Deleted: received from

Deleted: DISPLACED or

Deleted: DISPLACED or

Deleted: ICT

Deleted: indicate the Defender's ARef in the seller comments of the Recall TSR impacting the Challenger.

Deleted: will NOT be honored

Deleted: Where no match is submitted by a Defender, the capacity of that Defender will be DISPLACED or RECALLED, as appropriate. The ICT will indicate the ARef of the Challenger in the seller comments to the Defender.

Deleted: levelization

Customers whose Reservations are RECALLED, excluding Redirects, for some, but not all, of their MW capacity may contact the ICT (at the Tariff Administration Desk) at 501-614-3502 to request annulment or adjustment of the profile of the affected Reservation. All such communications MUST occur prior to the scheduling deadline for the affected service. Any requests for annulment or adjustment of the profile of the affected Reservation conveyed to the ICT AFTER the scheduling deadline will be accommodated by the ICT, when practicable.

Deleted: levelization

Customers whose Redirect Reservations are RECALLED for some, but not all, of their MW capacity may contact the ICT (at the Tariff Administration Desk) at 501-614-3502 to request an additional Recall to zero out capacity or adjustment of the profile of the affected Reservation. All such communications MUST occur prior to the scheduling deadline for the affected service. Any requests for an additional recall to zero out capacity or adjustment of the profile of the affected Reservation conveyed to the ICT AFTER the scheduling deadline will be accommodated by the ICT, when practicable.

Deleted: levelization

Once notified of a Competition or Preemption, Defenders may not redirect to avoid being preempted. Where a Defender is redirected or resold after notification, the Original and Redirect/RESALE capacities will be RECALLED in part or full, as required to accommodate the Challenger.

Deleted: should

Deleted: be

Deleted: ed

Deleted: DISPLACED or

V. POINT-TO-POINT TRANSMISSION SERVICE

- 4. Assignment of PTP Service
 - 4.1. Resale

Once the Assignee has completed and executed a Form A-1 in accordance with the requirements set forth above, the Assignee must fax the executed Form A-1 to the Transmission Provider's Transmission Services Group at 601-985-2923, attention Wayne Warren.

Deleted: 504-576-6900,

Deleted: Kim Mitchell

- 4.2. Transfers

Where the Assignor and Assignee agree that the Assignee will replace the Assignor as the financially obligated Customer and will contractually undertake

all of the Assignor's liabilities associated therewith, a non-conforming TSA must be completed, executed between the Assignee, Assignor, and the Transmission Provider, and filed with FERC. The ICT will not approve a transfer request without confirmation from Entergy that a non-conforming form A-1 has been executed by the Transferor and the Transferee Customers contemplating the transfer of a TSA must contact the Transmission Provider at [601-985-2923](tel:601-985-2923) ([Wayne Warren](#)) to initiate the preparation and execution of a non-conforming agreement. Transfers **MUST BE POSTED ON THE TRANSMISSION PROVIDER'S OASIS** in accordance with the NAESB Business Practices (WEQ 001-12) before the transferred service commences. The process for posting a transfer on OASIS will be provided to the Assignor when the non-conforming TSA has been completed, executed between the Assignee, Assignor, and the Transmission Provider, and filed with FERC.

VI. CONDITIONAL FIRM SERVICE AND PLANNING REDISPATCH SERVICE

1. Generally

In accordance with Attachment D of the Tariff, Long-Term Firm PTP Transmission Service is evaluated pursuant to the SIS process in order to ensure that the service can be reliably accommodated. To the extent that a SIS identifies violations of the criteria specified in Attachment D, and at the request of the Customer, the study will also evaluate mitigation options that may eliminate the violations and/or allow the TSR to be ACCEPTED. The mitigation options, which may allow the TSR to be ACCEPTED with or without the need for transmission upgrades, are Conditional Firm Transmission Service ("Conditional Firm Service") and Planning Redispatch Service. When requested by the customer in the SIS Agreement, these options are fully studied when the initial reliability screen and limitations on availability described in Section 4 are not indicated.

An Eligible Customer may request study of Conditional Firm Service, Planning Redispatch Service, both, or neither as part of the SIS process. The ICT will use the procedures in Attachment D to study a TSR that includes a request for Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service.

As explained below in Section VI.5, if some portion of the TSR for which Conditional Firm Service and/or Planning Redispatch Service has been requested may be accommodated as firm Transmission Service, a counteroffer will be made for the amount of Transmission Service that can be provided as firm. The counteroffer for each year of the requested Transmission Service will be for the lowest MW amount of Firm Transmission Service that is available as firm in any month of the year of service. If Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service is available to accommodate the remainder of the capacity requested for Transmission Service, the customer will be allowed to submit a

Deleted: ,

new TSR for that portion of the requested capacity that is available as Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service.

If no portion of the requested capacity can be accommodated as firm, but Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service is available to accommodate all of the TSR, the request will be ACCEPTED subject to the conditions or redispatch requirements identified through the SIS Process.

If no portion of the requested capacity can be accommodated as firm, but Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service is available to accommodate some, but not all, of the TSR, a counteroffer will be made for the amount of Transmission Service that can be provided with the inclusion of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service. The counteroffer for each year of the requested Transmission Service will be for the lowest MW amount of Firm Transmission Service that is available in any month of the year. The ICT will assess partial Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service solutions that are determined by the ICT to be reasonable.

If none of the TSR can be accommodated even with the inclusion of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service options, the SIS will provide a high-level cost estimate of the upgrades required to provide the Transmission Service.

2. Conditional Firm Transmission Service

Conditional Firm Service is Long-Term Firm PTP Service, provided that, at certain times, the service may be curtailed using a Secondary Network Service curtailment priority to maintain reliability. The rate for Conditional Firm Service is the Long-Term Firm PTP Service rate. Per the notice posted on the Transmission Provider's OASIS on December 31, 2009, Transmission Provider does not credit customers for long-term firm service that is curtailed to maintain reliability because of a TLR. Consistent with this notice, Transmission Provider does not credit Conditional Firm Service Customers when service is curtailed during conditional hours or when curtailment conditions occur.

When an Eligible Customer requests evaluation of Conditional Firm Service, the SIS will identify: (i) the specific System Condition(s) when curtailment may apply using a Secondary Network Service curtailment priority (“Conditions Option”); and (ii) the annual number of hours (i.e., the total number of hours for a calendar year) when curtailment using a Secondary Network Service curtailment priority may apply (“Hourly Cap Option”). To Confirm the Conditional Firm Service, the Customer must select either the Conditions Option or the Hourly Cap Option.

Conditional Firm Service will only be curtailed for reliability reasons. The reservation will be downgraded from “7-F” curtailment priority to “6-CF” curtailment priority during periods of congestion when the conditions identified in the Customer’s TSA are in effect or until the number of conditional hours are

Deleted: a counteroffer of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service will be made for the remaining amount of Transmission Service.

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: The ICT will assess partial Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service solutions that are determined by the ICT to be reasonable.

Deleted: conditional options

Deleted: Section 4.2 of Attachment D of the Tariff describes the curtailment criteria that will be identified in

Deleted: , including

Deleted: , including, but not limited to, designation of limiting transmission elements, such as a transmission lines, substations, or flowgates

Deleted: /or

Deleted: Designation of system load levels, standing alone, does not qualify as an acceptable system condition; such load levels must be linked to a specific constraint or transmission element that is associated with the TSR.

exhausted. 6-CF priority is equal to Secondary Network Service for curtailment purposes.

When the Hourly Cap Option is chosen by the Customer, the TSA will specify the number of conditional curtailment hours available for curtailment in each year of service. Beginning on the date that service commences each year, the Transmission Provider may curtail the service at the Secondary Network Service curtailment priority until the service has been curtailed for the number of hours specified in the TSA for that year of service.

Pursuant to Entergy's April 1, 2011 request for extension for compliance with requirement WEQ 001-21.1.6, Conditional Firm Service WILL include firming up functionality upon implementation of the requisite OATI software MODIFICATION, which is anticipated in early 2012.

Per WEQ 001-11.3.2, Conditional Firm reservations may not be aggregated with any other reservation in a resale. Any resale submitted by a Transmission Customer that includes any other reservation will be ANNULLED in accordance with WEQ 013-2.2, and the resold capacity will revert to the parent reservation.

3. Planning Redispatch Service

Planning Redispatch Service is a mitigation option used to grant Long-Term Firm PTP Service through redispatch of either the Transmission Provider's existing generating resources or the generating resources of a third party. One Planning Redispatch Service solution can include a combination of up to four generation resources. A Customer, however, may not mix generation pairs, i.e., include a Transmission Provider resource and a third-party resource as a generator pair; nor may it use a third-party generator pair and a Transmission Provider generator pair when necessary to resolve multiple overloads associated with one TSR. Planning Redispatch Service must be incremental to the system, e.g., existing arrangements that may provide counterflows cannot be used for third-party redispatch. The ICT will determine on a case-by-case basis whether identified Planning Redispatch Service options are considered to be incremental. Furthermore, the constraint caused by the TSR under review for mitigation will be resolved by the Planning Redispatch Service and all existing TSRs under review (and their corresponding generators) will not be used for alleviating the constraint even if they provide counterflows. Existing TSRs (and their corresponding generators) will be included in the Base Case Model before evaluating new TSR for Planning Redispatch Service.

For example, the dispatch of Generator 1 is necessary (but not sufficient) to provide Planning Redispatch Service to grant TSR "B" because of a constraint. The dispatch of Generator 2 for an **existing Reservation A** provides unintended counterflows that mitigate the constraint necessitating the use of Planning Redispatch Service to serve TSR B. A third generator, Generator 3, must be redispatched to grant TSR B because the dispatch of Generator 1 is not sufficient

- Deleted: for each year of service,
- Deleted: will be
- Deleted: upon commencement of the service.
- Deleted: at
- Deleted: service
- Deleted: be
- Deleted: treated as Secondary Network Service for curtailment purposes for the number of hours specified in the SIS. The service will be treated as
- Deleted: for
- Deleted: purposes
- Deleted: the earlier of: (i) the time
- Deleted: a
- Deleted: equal to the conditional hours of the service during that service year; or (ii) that service year ends (a service year equals 365 days of service).
- Deleted: Conditional Firm Service will only be curtailed for reliability reasons. The reservation will be downgraded to "6-CF" curtailment priority during periods of congestion when the conditions identified in the Customer's TSA are in effect or until the number of conditional hours are exhausted. When the Hourly Cap Option is elected, for each year of service, the number of conditional curtailment hours will be available upon the commencement of service and will be used when congestion is identified in the Transmission System.¶
- In the event that the Customer has elected the hourly option, beginning on the service commencement date, service may be downgraded from "7-F" priority to 6-CF priority during conditional hours. 6-CF priority is equal to Secondary Network Service for curtailment purposes, in response to congestion on the Transmission System. When downgraded in response to congestion, the service will be treated as 6-CF for curtailment purposes until the congestion is relieved. Once congestion is relieved, the service will be returned to 7-F priority. The service will be subject to being downgraded from 7-F priority to 6-CF priority in response to congestion until the earlier of: (1) the time the service has been curtailed for a number of hours equal to the conditional number of hours for that service year; or (2) the service year ends.¶
- Deleted: late 2011
- Deleted: -

to grant TSR B. The dispatch of Generator 2 is already committed for TSR A and cannot be tied to TSR B and therefore it cannot be used to provide Planning redispatch for new TSR B under evaluation. In other words, the dispatch of Generator 1 and 3 are required to mitigate the constraint otherwise precluding Entergy from granting TSR B, i.e., the Planning Redispatch Service solution using Generator 1 and 3 is incremental to the system for purposes of granting TSR B.

3.1. Planning Redispatch Service From Transmission Provider Resources

Planning Redispatch Service from the Transmission Provider's generation will be available if redispatch of the non-nuclear and non-coal generating facilities designated by the Transmission Provider as Network Resources can resolve the thermal overloads identified by the SIS for all hours studied. Planning Redispatch Service will not be available from Transmission Provider generation to the extent that: (i) the redispatch of resources would cause the Transmission Provider's reserve margin to decrease below that necessary to maintain reliability; (ii) the resources needed for Planning Redispatch Service are needed to provide reliability redispatch or to preserve reactive capability; (iii) the resources needed for Planning Redispatch Service have restricted run times and are required to meet the reliable service needs of the Transmission Provider's native load Customers.

- Deleted:
- Deleted: it
- Deleted: expected
- Deleted: are necessary

The pricing of Planning Redispatch Service provided by the Transmission Provider's Resources is governed by Section 6 of Attachment T.

- Deleted: Network

3.2. Planning Redispatch Service From Third-Party Provider Resources

The Transmission Provider will not make purchases from third-parties in order to provide Planning Redispatch Service. Instead, the Customer must either arrange for the use of its own resources or contract with other parties in advance of completing the TSA for Planning Redispatch Service. For Planning Redispatch Service granted based on third-party redispatch, the Customer will be charged the rate for annual PTP Service under the Tariff. The Customer will be responsible for: (i) making all contractual arrangements for the redispatch from third-party generation; and (ii) all costs charged by the third-party generator. The Customer will directly compensate the third-party generator for the third-party redispatch.

- Deleted: Transmission
- Deleted: Planning Redispatch provided by a
- Deleted: is
- Deleted: provision of Planning Redispatch Service

The ICT will determine on a case-by-case basis whether a proposed third-party redispatch solution is sufficient to grant Planning Redispatch Service. Planning Redispatch Service facilitated by a third-party's generation will be provided only if redispatch of the third-party's generating facilities can resolve all thermal overloads identified by the SIS for all hours studied. All determinations by the ICT will indicate whether the proposed redispatch transaction: (i) will offset the

flow of the TSR on all identified constrained flowgates; and (ii) will not cause overloads on any other transmission facilities. The ICT's analysis will include consideration of expected availability of the third-party generating facilities.

Deleted: y

The Customer must provide to the ICT all information necessary for the study of the third-party redispatch solution. In the event that the Customer does not provide the information regarding potential third-party redispatch solutions prior to signing the SIS Agreement, the SIS Report will notify the Customer that it has 15 days to submit any proposed third-party redispatch solutions. Any proposed third-party redispatch solutions provided by the Customer within those 15 days will be evaluated by the ICT as a continuation of the SIS process.

Deleted: A

Deleted: must be made available to the ICT in order for a TSR based on third-party redispatch to be approved. The Customer seeking to utilize third party redispatch for its TSR must submit such Planning Redispatch option in detail to the ICT for study through the SIS and/or FS process

Deleted: If the ICT identified potential third party redispatch solutions through the SIS process,

Deleted:

Firm Transmission Service is required to implement the third-party redispatch. Existing transmission arrangements may be used to satisfy this requirement; however, the Transmission Service used to implement the third-party redispatch must cover the entire term of the Transmission Service being granted based on the third-party redispatch.

Deleted: it will evaluate the proposed third party solution concurrent with the FS process. If the Customer identified potential third-party redispatch solutions prior to the SIS process, the ICT will use the SIS process to evaluate the proposed third party solution. All determinations by the ICT will indicate whether the proposed redispatch transaction: (i) will offset the flow of the TSR on all identified constrained flowgates; and (ii) will not cause overloads on any other transmission facilities. The ICT's analysis will include consideration of expected availability of the third-party generating facility. All information necessary for the study of the third-party redispatch solution must be made available to the ICT in order for a TSR based on third-party redispatch to be approved.

4. Limitations on Availability

Sections 4.2 and 4.3 of Attachment D provide that Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service will not be available in response to a TSR if the service otherwise will: (i) degrade or impair the reliability of service to Native Load Customers, Network Customer, or PTP Service Customers; or (ii) interfere with the Transmission Provider's ability to meet prior firm contractual commitments.

Deleted:

Deleted: and the development of NAESB Business Practices

Prior to implementing enhanced congestion management software related to Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service, the Transmission Provider has determined that it can accept no more than Five (5) Reservations involving Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service for a particular time period, which service must result in no more than six constrained elements being impacted (by 3 percent or more), during the relevant time period. After implementation of the enhanced congestion management software, the Transmission Provider will reevaluate the limitations on Reservations and flowgates set forth in this section and establish new limitations applicable to Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service based on the modified software. The initial reliability screen described below will, however, be retained.

Deleted: and development of NAESB Business Practices

Deleted: and new NAESB Business Practices

In order to determine that the provision of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service will not: (i) degrade or impair the reliability of service to Native Load Customers, Network Customers, or PTP Customers; or (ii) interfere with the Transmission Provider's ability to meet prior firm contractual commitments, the ICT will apply the following reliability criteria:

- The new service shall not result in a violation of any regulatory requirements, including but not limited to: (i) the minimum offsite power requirements of NUC-001 as they pertain to offsite power requirements for Transmission Service to nuclear plants; and (ii) NERC Reliability Standards TPL-001 – TPL-004 and any successor standards thereto.
- Where the service impacts interconnecting tie-lines, regardless of the voltage of the facilities, including interfaces with neighboring balancing authorities, the service will be studied for Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service through the SIS and will be subject to the terms and conditions of the Transmission Provider's Tariff applicable to third-party constraints. For purposes of this business practice, interconnecting tie-lines are not interconnections: (i) solely to transmission-dependent generators; (ii) to non-Entergy owned facilities that are within the Transmission Provider's Control Area; or (iii) between Entergy Operating Companies and the impact is defined as violating the thermal or voltage limit on the interface facilities, e.g., a TPL-002 violation.
- Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service are not available to resolve a constraint on a third-party's system. To the extent the SIS identifies an impacted constraint on a third-party's system, the terms and conditions of the Transmission Provider's Tariff applicable to third-party constraints will apply.

Deleted: will

Deleted:

Deleted: d

If any of these requirements are not met, Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service will not be offered.

5. Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service TSR Application and Study Process

When the ICT receives a TSR for Long-Term PTP Service that is for a duration of one year or longer, the ICT will provide the eligible customer with a SIS Agreement containing Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service study options within 30 days from the date of a completed application submitted on OASIS (clocked by the ICT as the QUEUED time).

5.1. Execution of the System Impact Study Agreement

Within 15 days of receipt of the SIS Agreement, the Customer must execute the agreement and indicate whether it desires the study of either Conditional Firm Service, Planning Redispatch Service, or both. This is the only opportunity that the Customer has to request the study of Conditional Firm Service and/or Planning Redispatch Service.

Deleted: or

Deleted: (

Deleted:)

When a customer executes the SIS Agreement and indicates that it desires to have either or both Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service studied, the ICT has 60 days from the date of execution of a completed SIS Agreement (the clock starts when the ICT receives the SIS Agreement by fax or by email if fax is unavailable to the customer) to provide a completed SIS to the Customer.

Deleted: options

5.2. Tender of the System Impact Study and Customer Options

Deleted: Response

If the SIS provided to the Customer indicates that the full amount of the requested service is available as FIRM service, then the request will be ACCEPTED and the Customer may CONFIRM or WITHDRAW that request for service.

If the SIS provided to the customer indicates that not all of the service requested is available as FIRM service, the SIS will include the amount of FIRM service available as well as any Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service that is available to the Customer. The ICT will then contact the Customer and present the following options (described in greater detail below):

Option 1, reject the option of partial firm service, and execute a FS Agreement for the entire MW amount originally requested;

Deleted: in order to determine the type of service the Customer wishes to take if multiple options for service are presented in the SIS.¶ The Customer has

Option 2, take all FIRM service that is available and take either of the Conditional Firm Service options or Planning Redispatch Service subject to Biennial Reassessment (with the ability to convert to bridge service after completion of a FS);

Deleted: (1)

Deleted: amount of service available as FIRM service,

Deleted: request the completion of a

Deleted: (2)

Option 3, take all FIRM service that is available and reject the Conditional Firm Service options and Planning Redispatch Service; or

Deleted: (3)

Option 4, withdraw the request.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 36 pt, Hanging: 72 pt, Pattern: Clear

Deleted: (4)

5.3. Management of Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service Options on OASIS

Option 1:

Deleted: Under

If the Customer chooses Option 1 following the results of the SIS, the ICT will not COUNTEROFFER the TSR for the amount of FIRM service available; rather the request will remain in STUDY status, and the ICT will present the customer with a FS Agreement under the normal timing requirements and process. In other words, the customer will not be allowed to take partial firm service and proceed to FS for the full amount of the original service request.

Deleted: above,

Upon completion of the FS, the only service that will be offered will be FIRM service for the entire capacity originally requested under the conditions of the upgrades stated in the FS. Once the FS is tendered to the Customer, the ICT will set the status to ACCEPT, and the Customer response proceeds under the normal timing and process.

Option 2:

If the customer chooses Option 2, the ICT will COUNTEROFFER the original request with the amount of FIRM service that is available. The ICT will then instruct the Customer to submit a new TSR on OASIS for the remaining capacity that was requested and identified in the SIS as available Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service, but otherwise identical to the original request, and referencing the Assignment Reference (ARef) of the original request in the Customer Comment field. The ICT will then ACCEPT the second request using the CCO template if the customer has selected the Conditional Firm Service option. If the Customer has selected Planning Redispatch Service, the ICT will ACCEPT the new TSR with Seller Comments noting that it is Planning Redispatch Service.

Prior to confirmation, the Customer may reject either or both TSRs by setting the status to WITHDRAWN or by failing to CONFIRM either TSR within the response time limit following the TSR being set by the ICT to COUNTEROFFERED or ACCEPTED.

If no FIRM service is available, the ICT, following specific customer affirmation, will COUNTEROFFER the original request with the capacity available as Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service if the capacity available is less than MWs requested by the Customer, or ACCEPT the TSR if the entire capacity requested is available as Conditional Firm/Planning Redispatch Service, noting the limitations on the service through either the completion of the CCO template if the Customer has selected Conditional Firm Service, or the inclusion of Seller Comments if the Customer has selected Planning Redispatch Service.

Prior to confirmation, the Customer may reject the counteroffered TSR by setting the status to WITHDRAW or by failing to CONFIRM the counteroffer within the response time limit.

After confirming an offer of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service, the Customer will be required to execute a TSA for that service with the biennial reassessment terms,

Deleted: Under

Formatted: Indent: Left: 54 pt, No bullets or numbering, Pattern: Clear

Deleted: above,

Deleted: /

Deleted: ;

Deleted: i

Deleted: c

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: /

Deleted: Prior to confirmation, the customer may reject either or both TSRs by setting the status to WITHDRAWN or by failing to CONFIRM either TSR within the response time limit following the TSR being set by the ICT to COUNTEROFFERED or ACCEPTED.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 54 pt, First line: 0 pt, Pattern: Clear

Deleted: /

Deleted: B

Deleted: R

regardless of whether the Customer is interested in securing the service as “bridge” service.

If consistent with Section 4 of Attachment D, after reviewing the SIS in which Conditional Firm Service and/or Planning Redispatch Service was studied, the Customer may desire to take Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service as a “bridge” product until certain facilities are constructed. In this case, the customer will be required to CONFIRM the amount of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service available and execute a TSA for that service that includes biennial reassessment terms. The ICT then will instruct the Customer to submit a new TSR (hereafter the “FS TSR”) for the amount of capacity that was not available as firm, but otherwise identical to original TSR, which shall be referenced in the Customer Comment field. The ICT will then offer a FS Agreement to the Customer based on the SIS associated with the original TSR, but otherwise under the normal timing requirements and process. The FS Agreement must be executed by the Customer under the normal timing requirements, and the request will remain in STUDY status until the FS is tendered to the Customer.

Once the FS has been completed and tendered, the ICT will ACCEPT the TSR and complete the CCO template of the FS TSR accordingly.

If the Customer elects to fund the upgrades identified in the FS and become a bridge customer, the Customer shall CONFIRM the FS TSR, and the ICT will RECALL the Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service TSR if the service has commenced, or ANNUL the Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service TSR if the service has not commenced. The TSA then will be amended to reflect the agreed-upon terms of the upgrades and all terms of the bridge service pending the completion of the facility upgrades.

If the Customer does not elect to fund the upgrades, it shall retain its Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service by either setting the FS TSR to WITHDRAWN or failing to CONFIRM the FS TSR within 15 days of it being set to ACCEPTED.

Once upgrades agreed to by the Customer are in service, the ICT will work with the customer to manage the TSR(s) on OASIS.

Option 3:

If the Customer chooses Option 3, the ICT will COUNTEROFFER the original request for the amount of FIRM service that is available, and the TSR will proceed as any long-term firm PTP Service.

- Deleted: whether or not the Customer
- Deleted: chooses to proceed to FS to seek
- Deleted: (as described below
- Deleted:).
- Deleted: Facilities Study/Bridge Service Option
- Deleted: an FS can be completed and/or
- Deleted: if the customer
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: any
- Deleted: . t
- Deleted: <#>
- <#>Under Option 3 above, the ICT will COUNTEROFFER the original request for the amount of FIRM service that is available, and the TSR will proceed as any long-term firm PTP Service.
- Facilities Study/Bridge Service Option
- Deleted: If consistent with Section 4 of Attachment D, after reviewing the SIS in which Conditional Firm Service and/or Planning Redispatch Service was studied, the Customer may desire to take Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service as a “bridge” product until an FS can be completed and/or certain facilities are constructed. In this case, if the customer CONFIRMS any amount of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch, the ICT will instruct the Customer to submit a new TSR (hereafter the “FS TSR”) for the amount of capacity that was not available as firm, but otherwise identical to original TSR, which shall be referenced in the Customer Comment field. The ICT will then offer a FS Agreement to the Customer based on the SIS associated with the original TSR, but otherwise under the normal timing requirements and process. The FS Agreement must be executed by the Customer under the normal timing requirements, and the request will remain in STUDY status until the FS is tendered to the Customer.
- Following Tender of the FS
- If all of the capacity requested is available as Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service and eligible for bridge service
- Formatted: Firm Single 1, Indent: Left: 54 pt, Pattern: Clear
- Deleted: ¶
- Deleted: If the Customer elects to fund the upgrades, t
- Deleted: ¶
- Deleted: If only a portion of the capacity requested is available as Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service and eligible for bridge service, the ICT will COUNTEROFFER the MW profile of the FS TSR to indicate any portion of the requested capacity that is not available as Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service (... [2]

6. Biennial Reassessments

For Customers that do not agree to support the construction of new transmission facilities, the Transmission Provider will re-evaluate the hours/conditions under which Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service are provided every two years through a biennial reassessment. The Customer shall pay all costs associated with the biennial reassessment. The ICT (on behalf of the Transmission Provider) shall assess the conditions to be placed on the Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service based on the immediately subsequent two years of service or the continuation of the term of service, whichever is less.

In the biennial reassessment, the ICT, on behalf of the Transmission Provider, may adjust the conditions or number of hours during which Conditional Firm Service will be conditional or amount of redispatch required to continue Planning Redispatch Service in order to ensure that the continued provision of the service does not impair reliability. This adjustment applies to all flowgates, conditions, hours, and/or amount of redispatch required and is not constrained to the flowgates, conditions, hours, and/or amount of redispatch previously identified for the particular service at issue.

Deleted: (

Deleted:)

The ICT shall provide the Customer notice of any changes to the curtailment conditions or redispatch obligations no less than 90 days prior to the date for imposition of the new requirements or 30 days prior to the relevant rollover deadline, whichever is earlier. Concurrent with such notice, the ICT will provide the Customer with the biennial reassessment study report, which includes a narrative description of the study, any identified affected third party systems, and the reasons for changes to the number of hours per year or system conditions under which conditional curtailment may occur or the reasons for the changes to the redispatch obligations. The issuance of a biennial reassessment and any associated notifications will occur through the same methods utilized for the issuance and notification of any SIS and/or FS performed in accordance with Attachment D, i.e., the biennial reassessment study report is posted on OASIS utilizing the OASIS ARef of the original TSR as its reference number, and an email notification of the posting is provided to the Customer.

If the Transmission Provider or its ICT determines through its biennial reassessment that the service can no longer be provided reliably, including for failure to meet the requirements of the initial reliability screen, such service will be terminated at the end of the then-current two-year term per section 15.4 of Entergy's OATT.

Deleted: .

If the biennial reassessment identifies an affected third-party system, the immediately subsequent two-year term of service cannot commence until an affected system study is conducted by the third party. If the constraints identified on a third-party affected system cannot be resolved through an affected system study and/or the Customer has not communicated the status of the resolution of

such third-party constraints to the Transmission Provider or the ICT within 30 days of the start of service, the Transmission Provider or its ICT will deem that the service can “no longer be reliably provided” and the service will be terminated at the end of the current two-year term. Alternatively, where the Customer communicates that there is reasonable certainty that the third-party constraints will be resolved or that he is diligently working to resolve such constraints at least 30 days prior to the start of service, the Transmission Provider will file an unexecuted TSA to allow the Customer to retain the Transmission Service. The service will not, however, be available for scheduling until all third-party constraints have been resolved.

Deleted:

The Customer may withdraw from the biennial reassessment process, upon receiving the results of its biennial reassessment. If the Customer withdraws from the biennial reassessment process after issuance of the biennial reassessment study report, it may base any decision to continue or terminate service on the results of the biennial reassessment issued prior to the time of their withdrawal.

Deleted: f

If the biennial reassessment results show that the specific conditions, hours, or redispatch obligations applicable to the service have changed, the Customer may choose to discontinue the service. The Customer wishing to terminate the service must provide written notice to the ICT and the Transmission Provider at least 30 calendar days prior to the date upon which the specific conditions, hours, or redispatch requirements could change based on the results of the biennial reassessment. The Customer may not terminate the service, however, if one of the following conditions are met:

Deleted: may terminate the service at any biennial reassessment with

- The results of the biennial reassessment have “firmed up” the service for the immediately subsequent 2-year term of service assessed.
- The results of the biennial reassessment have “firmed up” the service for the remainder of the term of service.

Deleted: regardless of the results of such reassessment provided that the following conditions are met

Deleted: not

Deleted: not

If the Customer does not provide notice of termination prior to the deadline discussed above, the TSA will be amended to reflect the specific conditions, hours, or redispatch requirements identified through the biennial reassessment and filed with FERC, and the service will continue for the next two-year period subject to the new conditions, hours, or redispatch requirements identified in the biennial reassessment.

Deleted: Otherwise

Deleted: conditions

Deleted: will be

Deleted: be

Deleted: provided under

Deleted: conditions

During the biennial reassessment, when results indicate that no conditions or constraints exist during the immediately subsequent two-year term of service being assessed, the ICT will utilize the yearly models to determine if the service may become firm for the remaining term of the service (including rollover rights, if applicable). Biennial reassessments will not occur every two years, if, during the last biennial reassessment, no conditions existed for the entirety of the term of

service. In accordance with the results of the biennial reassessment, Conditional Firm Service and/or Planning Redispatch Service may be firm on a long-term basis provided both of the following conditions are met:

Deleted: under the following conditions:

- The biennial reassessment identifies no additional constraints or conditions for the provision of that service for the immediately subsequent two-year term of service that is being assessed; and
- The biennial reassessment identifies no additional constraints or conditions for the remainder of the service at issue.

Deleted: .

Entergy and its ICT may decide to waive a biennial reassessment in which case the customer will be notified of such waiver at least 90 calendar days prior to the commencement of the immediately subsequent two-year term of service. Any waiver of biennial reassessment will be applied consistently to similarly situated services. "Similarly situated services" are services that meet the following conditions: (1) both services are subject to biennial reassessments within 6 months of each other; (2) both services impact only identical flowgates; and (3) either both services have rollover rights or both services do not have rollover rights.

VII. NETWORK INTEGRATION TRANSMISSION SERVICE

1. Interim Procedures For Providing Attestations For Network Resources

Customers may terminate Network Resource designations, either on a "permanent" or "temporary" basis, in accordance with Sections 30.2 and 30.3 of the Tariff, Section 3.2.2 of Attachment D, and Section 2.2 of Attachment E to the Tariff. Prior to the implementation of NAESB standards related to undesignating Network Resources in accordance with Order No. 890-A and 890-B, the following provisions will govern how customers: (i) submit a request to undesignate an existing Network Resource; (ii) identify whether an undesignation is permanent or temporary; (iii) submit a request to undesignate an existing Network Resource and a request to evaluate it with a new Network Resource ("Alternate Network Resource") simultaneously; (iv) request that the undesignation of an existing Network Resource and a request for Point to Point or Network Transmission Service be studied concomitantly; and (v) identify the amount of capacity from each existing Network Resource to be evaluated for undesignation, in order to accommodate the related request(s).

Deleted: and either on a "simultaneous" or "non-simultaneous" basis,

Deleted: and

Deleted:

Deleted: as required by

Deleted: C

Deleted: on a simultaneous basis

Deleted: concomitantly

Deleted: designation of an Alternate Network Resource

Deleted: to

Deleted: in a coordinated manner

Deleted: that can be

Deleted: ed

Deleted: an Alternate Network Resource

Non Simultaneous Undesignation Requests

Requests to undesignate a Network Resource may be submitted on a "stand-alone" basis, i.e. without being simultaneously submitted with a request to designate an Alternate Network Resource. Consistent with FERC Order 890-A, stand-alone requests to undesignate a Network Resource are not studied by the ICT on behalf of the requesting customer, rather they are studied for reliability

impacts. The costs of the study are not directly assigned to the requesting Customer. If the Customer elects not to CONFIRM the undesignation request, the Customer can WITHDRAW the request prior to the applicable deadline or the request will be RETRACTED and the generating facility will retain its Network Resource status. If the Customer CONFIRMS the undesignation request, the request will go into effect on the date specified, subject to a reliability-must-run agreement or other conditions (if any) agreed to between the Customer and Entergy.

Priority Rights and Capacity Release (Simultaneous Requests)

Prior to the implementation of NAESB standards addressing the processing of undesignation requests and how additional capacity released by undesignation requests should be made available to the market, the following procedures will be followed to ensure that priority rights of competing TSRs are honored and that additional capacity released by undesignation requests is made available to the market based on the priority rights in the Tariff.

The undesignation of a DNR does not result in capacity being released to the market unless and until the Customer CONFIRMS the undesignation request (in the case of a stand-alone undesignation request) or CONFIRMS both the undesignation request and the Alternate Network Resource request (in the case of simultaneously submitted requests). If the undesignation was accompanied by a simultaneous request to redesignate the existing DNR in the future, the capacity release will be temporary, unless the resource is undesignated to the end of the term on the NITSA.

2.1 Undesignation of a Short-Term Network Resource

In order to undesignate all or a portion of a short-term DNR, the Customer shall utilize the following process:

Step 1: Fax Request Form to ICT

The Customer must first provide written notice of its intent to undesignate an existing DNR via facsimile to the ICT Tariff Administration Desk at (501) 851-1856, using the standard form Un-Designation of Designated Network Resources. The form is available at:

<http://www.oatiosis.com/EES/EESdocs/FAXForms%2Ehtm>

On the form, the Customer shall:

- identify the DNR to be undesignated by providing the ARef;
- indicate the capacity of the DNR that will be undesignated; and

Deleted: Permanent

Deleted: Permanent Undesignation of a Short-Term Network Resource¶

Deleted: permanently

Deleted: ould conform

Deleted: to

Comment [EC8]: Currently, this form has a note indicating that it is for "future use" and the form is otherwise blank. However, per OATT sections 30.3 and Attachment E, and Orders 890-A and 890-B, clustering is required to be done at the request of the customer, and the content of the form is provided on the BP page.

Deleted: for "Form to Undesignate or Cluster (Alternate Network Resource Designation) Network Resources." The facsimile number for the ICT Tariff Administration Desk is (501) 851-1856

Deleted: For convenience purposes, this form is attached as Attachment 7.¶

Deleted: permanently

Deleted: permanently

- indicate the date and time on which the permanent undesignation should become effective, or the START TIME and STOP TIME during which the temporary undesignation should be effective.

Step 2: Confirmation of Receipt Of Request

After sending the facsimile notice form referenced above, the Customer must contact the ICT Tariff Administration Desk to confirm that the ICT has received undesignation form, and review the information provided therein with the ICT.

Step 3: ICT Annulment or Recall

Within the Transmission Provider response time limits described in Section IV.1 of these business practices, the ICT will ANNUL or RECALL the existing DNR, in accordance with information provided by the Customer in the facsimile notice form.

Short term requests for transmission service related to a request for undesignation of a network resource cannot be simultaneously evaluated under the current AFC Process.

2.2 Undesignation of a Long-Term Network Resource

In order to undesignate all or a portion of a long-term DNR, the Customer should conform to the following process:

Step 1: Enter Request(s) on OASIS

In order to indicate to the ICT that the Customer wants to undesignate a long-term DNR permanently or temporarily, the Customer shall submit a 1 MW “proxy” request as a Network Service Request on OASIS.

The 1 MW “proxy” request shall:

- indicate the date and time on which the permanent undesignation should become effective, or the START TIME and STOP TIME during which the temporary undesignation should be effective;
- include the following statement in the OASIS customer comment field, “Undesignation of [ARef]; information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.”
- To request simultaneous designation of an Alternate Network Resource, the Customer must enter such request on OASIS immediately after entering the request to undesignate the existing Network Resource. The request for alternate designation must include: (i) an attestation in accordance with Section 30.2 of the

Deleted: of

Deleted: should

Deleted: in the form

Deleted:

Deleted: T

Deleted: then issue a manual annulment

Deleted: of

Deleted: requested

Deleted: and within the Transmission Provider response time limits described in Section IV.1 of these business practices

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: Permanent

Deleted: permanently

Deleted: with no Alternate Network Resource designation

Deleted: <#>Customer Actions¶

Deleted: ing

Deleted: Undesignation

Deleted: permanently

Deleted: undesignation

Deleted: TSR

Deleted: 2.2.1.1 Undesignation Request with no Related Transmission Service Request¶

Comment [EC9]: Customer Comment field or Related Ref? Recommend Related_Ref

Deleted: <#>Identify the DNR to be permanently undesignated by providing the ARef;¶
H

Deleted: <#>2.2.1.2 Undesignation Request with Related Transmission Service Request¶
In order t

Deleted:

Deleted:

Tariff (ii) the AREF of the 1 MW proxy request in the customer comment field, along with the following statement, “Undesignation of [AREf]; information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.” and (iii) all other necessary information required under Section 29.2 of the Tariff.

- To request a clustered study of a permanent undesignation and any other request(s) for transmission service, the related requests must be from the same source and submitted proximately in the queue. The 1 MW proxy request shall include (i) an attestation in accordance with Section 30.2 of the Tariff (ii) the AREF(s) of the related transmission service request(s) in the customer comment field along with the following statement, “Undesignation of [AREf]; information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.” and (iii) all other necessary information required under Section 29.2 of the Tariff.
- To request a concomitant study of a temporary undesignation and any other request(s) for transmission service, the 1 MW proxy request shall include (i) an attestation in accordance with Section 30.2 of the Tariff (ii) the AREF(s) of the related transmission service request(s) in the customer comment field along with the following statement, “Undesignation of [AREf]; information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.” and (iii) all other necessary information required under Section 29.2 of the Tariff.

Following the submission of all related TSRs, the Customer should update the customer comment field of the undesignation request and each related TSR with all related ARef(s).

Step 2: Email Request Form to ICT

The Customer must email “Form to Undesignate or Cluster (Alternate Network Resource Designation) Network Resources” to ICTLTTSR@spp.org. The form is available at:

<http://www.oatioasis.com/EES/EESdocs/FAXForms%2Ehtm>

On the form, the Customer shall:

- identify the DNR to be undesignated by providing its ARef;
- indicate that it is requesting an undesignation of the relevant DNR in the appropriate column;
- indicate the capacity of the DNR that will be undesignated; and

Deleted: A customer may r

Deleted: from the same source

Deleted: if such

Deleted: are

Deleted:

Deleted: , if available,

Formatted: Font: Arial

Comment [EC10]: Request ICT to include related ARefs in the seller comment field when the 1MW proxy is acted upon.

Deleted: If the

Deleted: request to

Deleted: e

Deleted: related r

Deleted: is desired,

Deleted: , if available,

Comment [EC11]: Request ICT to include related ARefs in the seller comment field when the 1MW proxy is acted upon.

Deleted:

Deleted: the

Deleted: ,

Deleted: <#>ave a start date and time on which the permanent undesignation should become effective; and¶ ... [3]

Formatted: Indent: Left: 36 pt

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: In addition to the 1 MW proxy ... [4]

Deleted: also provide written notice of its ... [5]

Deleted: to ICTLTTSR@spp.org using the

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [EC12]: Currently, this form ha ... [6]

Deleted: .

Deleted:

Formatted ... [7]

Formatted: Indent: Left: 36 pt

Deleted: l

Deleted: permanently

Deleted: the

Deleted: '

Deleted: ;

Deleted: l

Deleted: permanent

Deleted: l

Deleted: permanently

- indicate the date and time on which the permanent undesignation should become effective, or the START TIME and STOP TIME during which the temporary undesignation should be effective.
- If an Alternate Network Resource designation or a clustered or concomitant study of the undesignation request and related request(s) for transmission service is desired by the Customer, the ARef(s) of the related transmission service request(s) must also be provided.

Step 3: Confirmation of Receipt of Request

The Customer should receive confirmation from the ICT that it has received the email with the form attached. The ICT may provide such confirmation by email or facsimile.

Step 4: Confirmation of Receipt of Request

For undesignation requests without a related request for transmission service, the ICT will manually ANNUL or RECALL the requested DNR in accordance with the information provided by the Customer.

For undesignation requests with a related request for transmission service, the ICT will issue a SIS Agreement to the Customer in accordance with the Tariff. If the SIS Agreement is executed by the customer and returned to the ICT within the time limits specified in the Tariff, Step 5 below will apply.

2.3 Evaluation of Long-Term Request Coupled with a Related Request for Transmission Service

2.3.1 Simultaneous Designation of an Alternate Network Resource and an Undesignation Request

For requests to simultaneously request designation of an Alternate Network Resource and undesignation of an Existing Network Resource, per OATT Attachment D, the designation will be studied both with the undesignation and without. The Customer will receive two System Impact Study Agreements.

If the Customer email notification under Section 2.2 above includes a request to study the Alternate Network Resource request with undesignation of the existing DNR request, the ICT will evaluate the requests in a coordinated manner. The SISs will be conducted in accordance with Section 3.2.2.2 of Attachment D of the Tariff.

If the SIS indicates that the Alternate Network Resource designation request can be accommodated, in whole or part,

- Deleted: the
- Deleted:
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: to undesignated
- Deleted: a
- Deleted:
- Deleted: <#>Indicate the date and time on which the permanent undesignation should become effective.¶
- Deleted: 3
- Deleted: 1
- Deleted: and
- Deleted: attached form
- Deleted:
- Deleted: of a SIS Agreement in accordance with Section 3.4 below
- Deleted:
- Deleted: 2
- Deleted: permanent undesignations and (... [8])
- Deleted: Once all necessary evaluations (... [9])
- Deleted: issue a
- Deleted: annulment
- Deleted: of
- Deleted: received or otherwise respon (... [10])
- Deleted: temporary
- Deleted:
- Deleted: ection
- Formatted: Highlight
- Deleted: Step 5
- Deleted: :
- Deleted: System Impact Study
- Deleted: 2
- Deleted: e
- Deleted: ed
- Deleted: that are subject to the SIS Pr (... [11])
- Deleted: as submitted .
- Deleted: if: (i) the requirements in Sec (... [12])
- Deleted: 3.1.2 or 3.2.2
- Deleted: simultaneously
- Deleted: cluster the
- Deleted: the
- Deleted: undesignation
- Deleted: to
- Deleted: that evaluates the requested (... [13])

without the undesignation of the existing network resource and without the need for transmission upgrades, the Customer may elect to CONFIRM **only** the request to designate the Alternate Network Resource to obtain service. If the Customer fails to CONFIRM any related request by the applicable deadline, unconfirmed requests will be RETRACTED at the end of the deadline.

If the SIS indicates that the Alternate Network Resource designation request can only be accommodated, in whole or part, with the undesignation of the existing resource, but without the need for transmission upgrades, the Customer must CONFIRM both: (i) the request to undesignate the existing DNR; and (ii) the request to designate the Alternate Network Resource to obtain service. If the Customer fails to CONFIRM both requests by the applicable deadline, both requests will be RETRACTED at the end of the deadline, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

Deleted:

If the SIS indicates that transmission upgrades are necessary to accommodate the requests, the ICT will provide the Customer with a FS Agreement (FSA) within the deadlines specified in Entergy's OATT. If the FSA is not executed by the Customer by the applicable deadline, the requests will be RETRACTED by the ICT, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

2.3.2 Clustered Evaluation of a Permanent Undesignation Request with any other Transmission Service Request(s)

Deleted: 2

For requests to cluster a Permanent Undesignation request with any other Transmission Service Request(s), the requests will be considered as a "Queue Cluster" pursuant to Section 8 of Attachment D.

If the Customer email notification under Section 2.2 above includes a request to cluster the Permanent Undesignation request with any other Transmission Service Request, the ICT will perform a single SIS to evaluate the requests in a coordinated manner. The SIS is conducted in accordance Section 3.2 and Section 8 of Attachment D.

Deleted: ¶

If the SIS indicates that the clustered requests can be accommodated, in whole or part, without the need for transmission upgrades, the Customer must CONFIRM: (i) the request to undesignate the existing DNR; and (ii) any of the desired related Transmission Service request(s). **If the Customer fails to CONFIRM the requests by the applicable deadline, the requests will be**

Deleted: both

Deleted: the

Formatted: Highlight

RETRACTED at the end of the deadline, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

If the SIS indicates that transmission upgrades are necessary to accommodate the requests, the ICT will provide the Customer with a FS Agreement (FSA) within the deadlines specified in Entergy's OATT. If the FSA is not executed by the Customer by the applicable deadline, the requests will be **RETRACTED** by the ICT, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

2.3.3 Concomitant Evaluation of a Temporary Undesignation Request with any other Transmission Service Request(s)

Requests to concomitantly evaluate any other Transmission Service Request(s) with a Temporary Undesignation request, will be studied pursuant to Attachment E, Section 2.2 (Study Process for Undesignating Network Resources).

If the Customer email notification under Section 2.2 above includes a request to concomitantly evaluate any other Transmission Service Request with the Temporary Undesignation request, the ICT will perform a single SIS to evaluate the requests in a coordinated manner. The SIS is conducted in accordance with Section 3.2 Attachment D and Section 2.2 of Attachment E.

If the SIS indicates that the clustered requests can be accommodated, in whole or part, without the need for transmission upgrades, the Customer must **CONFIRM**: (i) the request to undesignate the existing DNR; and (ii) any of the desired related Transmission Service request(s). **If the Customer fails to CONFIRM the requests by the applicable deadline, the requests will be RETRACTED at the end of the deadline, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.**

If the SIS indicates that transmission upgrades are necessary to accommodate the requests, the ICT will provide the Customer with a FS Agreement (FSA) within the deadlines specified in Entergy's OATT. If the FSA is not executed by the Customer by the applicable deadline, the requests will be **RETRACTED** by the ICT, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

2.4 Requests Subject to the AFC Process

After the relevant requests are **CONFIRMED** by Customers, any additional capacity released by the undesignation is made available to other Customers through the Base Case Model updating process for FSs, SISs, and AFC calculations. The TSRs with the highest priority right to capacity released by the undesignation request are the Long-Term PTP Service TSRs and requests to

- Comment [EC13]: Need to discuss ICT P ... [14]
- Formatted ... [15]
- Deleted: may request a FS. If a FS is r ... [16]
- Deleted: 2
- Deleted: For r...quests to concomitantl ... [17]
- Deleted: with any other Transmission S ... [18]
- Comment [EC14]: Need to discuss ICT P ... [19]
- Deleted: If the SIS indicates that the ... [20]
- Comment [EC15]: Currently, this form ... [23]
- Comment [EC16]: Customer comment ... [25]
- Comment [EC17]: Customer comment ... [32]
- Deleted: If the SIS indicates that ... [21]
- Deleted: B. In order to permanently ... [22]
- Deleted: I
- Deleted: identify the Network Resour ... [24]
- Deleted: I
- Deleted: ndicate that it is requesting ... [26]
- Deleted: relevant
- Deleted: related DNR in the appropr ... [27]
- Deleted: I
- Deleted: ndicate the capacity of the ... [28]
- Deleted: I
- Deleted: ndicate the date and time of ... [29]
- Deleted: I
- Deleted: ndicate that the Customer is ... [30]
- Deleted: , including
- Deleted: identify
- Deleted: ication of
- Deleted: the Alternate Network Resc ... [31]
- Deleted: I
- Deleted: ndicate that the Customer is ... [33]
- Deleted: A
- Deleted: description of the Alternate ... [34]
- Deleted: T
- Deleted: he capacity to be designated;¶
- Deleted: The amount of capacity from ... [35]
- Deleted: i
- Deleted: I
- Deleted: f
- Deleted: a coordinated
- Deleted: concomitant study is desire ... [36]
- Deleted: so
- Deleted: for simultaneous evaluation of
- Deleted: that the
- Deleted: the resources
- Deleted: resources can be evaluated ... [37]
- Deleted: .¶ ... [38]
- Deleted: ¶ ... [39]
- Formatted ... [40]
- Deleted: ¶ ... [41]
- Formatted ... [42]
- Deleted: Requests that are subject to t ... [43]
- Formatted ... [44]

designate Network Resources on an annual basis that were submitted prior to the undesignation request but remaining pending in the FS queue. The TSRs with the next highest priority are the Long-Term Firm PTP Service and Network Service TSRs that were submitted after the undesignation request and pending in the SIS and the AFC Process. The TSRs with the lowest priority are the Short-Term Firm PTP Service TSRs and Non-Firm TSRs that were submitted after the undesignation request and are pending in AFC Process queue.

Additional capacity released by an undesignation request is made available to each group of TSRs consistent with these priority rights by: (i) updating AFC calculations and the Base Case Models used in FSs and SISs to reflect undesignation requests and higher priority TSRs; (ii) evaluating the TSRs in each queue in the order requests were submitted; and (iii) respecting the preemption rights of higher priority TSRs.

JX. TRANSMISSION INFORMATION

5. CBM & TRM

The Transmission Provider posts its methodology for determination of CBM and TRM for the Transmission Provider's Transmission System on the INFO.HTM webpage on the Transmission Provider's OASIS. The methodology can be accessed by selecting the link on that webpage entitled "CBM/TRM on the Entergy Transmission System" or by selecting the below link:

http://www.oatioasis.com/EES/EESDocs/Entergy%20CBM%20and%20TRM%20Posting%20-%20Nov%202012%20Version%20_LSE%20rev_.pdf

[A customer wishing to request CBM may do so by sending an email to AFCDATAREQUEST@entergy.com.](mailto:AFCDATAREQUEST@entergy.com)

Deleted: Non Simultaneous Undesignation Requests¶

Requests to undesignate a Network Resource may be submitted on a "stand-alone" basis, i.e. without being simultaneously submitted with a request to designate an Alternate Network Resource. Stand-alone requests to undesignate a Network Resource on a temporary basis are not studied by the ICT and thus are not subject to AFC values. Stand-alone requests to undesignate a Network Resource on a permanent basis are studied by the ICT if and only if: (i) the Network Resource is a generating facility (i.e., not a PPA) that has served as a Network Resource for at least five years prior to the undesignation request; and (ii) the generating facility is being removed from service or is surrendering its generator interconnection rights to the Transmission System. In the event an undesignation request meets the requirements of (i) and (ii) above, the ICT will perform a study that evaluates any reliability impacts associated with the request. The study will also evaluate whether transmission upgrades, a reliability-must-run agreement or both are necessary to maintain reliability after the undesignation goes into effect. The costs of the study are not directly assigned to the requesting Customer. Once the study is completed or if a stand-alone undesignation request is ACCEPTED without the need for a study, the Customer must decide whether to CONFIRM the undesignation request by the applicable deadline. If the Customer elects not to CONFIRM the undesignation request, the Customer can WITHDRAW the request prior to the applicable deadline or the request will be RETRACTED and the generating facility will retain its Network Resource status. If the Customer CONFIRMS the undesignation request, the request will be allowed to go into effect on the date specified, subject to a reliability-must-run agreement or other conditions (if any) contained in the Network Integration TSA and/or NOA as filed with the FERC.¶

Priority Rights and Capacity Release (Simultaneous Requests)¶

Prior to the implementation of NAESB standards addressing the processing of undesignation requests and how additional capacity released by undesignation requests should be made available to the market, the following procedures will be followed to ensure that priority rights of competing TSRs are honored and that additional capacity released by undesignation requests is made available to the market based on the priority rights in the Tariff.¶

Honoring Priority Rights of Competing TSRs¶

The evaluation of undesignation requests and Alternate Network Resource requests will take proper account of all competing TSRs of higher priority by including such higher priority TSRs in the appropriate Base Case Models and AFC calculations used to evaluate the undesignation and Alternate Network Resource requests. To the extent the relevant SIS, FS or AFC calculation indicates that the undesignation request and Alternate Network Resource

[... [45]

When the Challenger is pre-confirmed, and no right-of-first-refusal (“ROFR”) exists on one or more Defenders, the Challenger will be ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED (where preemption of the Defender will improve the counteroffer), and Preemption actions will be taken as appropriate. If the Challenger was COUNTEROFFERED, the Challenger will then have the opportunity to CONFIRM the service during the confirmation time limit. When the Challenger is not pre-confirmed, the ICT will ACCEPT the Challenger or extend a counteroffer to the Challenger, noting the terms of the offer in the seller comment field. The Challenger will then have the opportunity to CONFIRM the service offered within the confirmation time limit.

If only a portion of the capacity requested is available as Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service and eligible for bridge service, the ICT will COUNTEROFFER the MW profile of the FS TSR to indicate any portion of the requested capacity that is not available as Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service until the expected in-service date of the facilities required, and complete the CCO template of the FS TSR accordingly for the capacity available for Conditional Firm Service or adding a note to the Seller’s Comments for Planning Redispatch Service. If the Customer chooses to fund the upgrades identified in the FS and become a bridge customer, it shall CONFIRM the FS TSR, and the ICT will RECALL the Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service TSR if the service has commenced, or ANNUL the CF/PR TSR if the service has not commenced.

If the Customer agrees to fund the upgrades, the TSA will be amended to reflect the terms of the upgrades agreed-upon and terms of the bridge service pending the completion of the facility upgrades.

If the Customer elects not to fund the upgrades, it shall retain the Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service by setting the FS TSR to WITHDRAWN or by failing to CONFIRM it within 15 days of the FS TSR being set to ACCEPTED.

ave a start date and time on which the permanent undesignation should become effective; and

Include the following statement in the OASIS customer comment field, “Undesignation information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.”

In addition to the 1 MW proxy submitted on OASIS, t

also provide written notice of its intent to undesignate an existing long-term DNR via

Page 21: [6] Comment [EC12] ENTERGY CORPORATION 12/2/2011 3:18:00 PM

Currently, this form has a note indicating that it is for "future use" and the form is otherwise blank.

Future time appears to be linked to C, D, and E filing still pending, but per OATT sections 30.3 and Attachment E, and Orders 890-A and 890-B, clustering is required to be done at the request of the customer, and the content of the form is provided on the BP page

Also, I don't think this is the right form

Page 21: [7] Formatted mpeter2 10/3/2011 9:37:00 AM

Indent: Left: 36 pt, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text

Page 22: [8] Deleted mpeter2 10/3/2011 8:01:00 AM

permanent undesignations and temporary

Page 22: [9] Deleted ENTERGY CORPORATION 9/26/2011 10:48:00 AM

Once all necessary evaluations have been completed, t

Page 22: [10] Deleted ENTERGY CORPORATION 9/26/2011 10:48:00 AM

received or otherwise respond in accordance with the results of the SIS

Page 22: [11] Deleted mpeter2 10/3/2011 8:38:00 AM

that are subject to the SIS Process pursuant to Section 1.3 of Attachment D,

Page 22: [12] Deleted mpeter2 10/3/2011 8:34:00 AM

if: (i) the requirements in Section 3.3 are met; and (ii) the two OASIS requests were submitted no more than five (5) minutes apart.

Page 22: [13] Deleted mpeter2 10/3/2011 8:41:00 AM

that evaluates the requested undesignation and the request to designate the new resource

Page 24: [14] Comment [EC13] ENTERGY CORPORATION 12/2/2011 3:18:00 PM

Need to discuss ICT Practices for ensuring that TSRs that are dependent on the requested undesignation are not confirmed without confirmation of the undesignation.

Page 24: [15] Formatted ENTERGY CORPORATION 10/3/2011 12:03:00 PM

Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Page 24: [15] Formatted ENTERGY CORPORATION 10/3/2011 12:03:00 PM

Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Page 24: [16] Deleted ENTERGY CORPORATION 10/3/2011 12:05:00 PM

may request a FS. If a FS is not requested by the

B. In order to permanently undesignate a long-term DNR with an alternate Network Resource designation, the Customer should conform to the following process:

Step 1: Entering Simultaneous Undesignation and Designation of Long Term Requests on OASIS

In order to indicate to the ICT that the Customer wants to permanently undesignate a long-term DNR to be studied simultaneously with a request to designate an Alternate Network Resource, the Customer shall submit its 1 MW “proxy” undesignation request on OASIS, and note that the request is for a simultaneous undesignation/designation in the OASIS customer comment field, including the ARef of the existing DNR to be undesignated. The OASIS customer comment field should also include the following statement, “Undesignation information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.” The Customer must then enter the request for designation of the Alternate Network Resource on OASIS immediately after the request to undesignate the existing Network Resource, and must include: (i) an attestation in accordance with Section 30.2 of the Tariff (ii) the AREF of the request to undesignate an existing network resource in the customer comment field, and (iii) all other necessary information required under Section 29.2 of the Tariff.

Step 2: Email Request Form to ICT

The Customer must provide written notice of its intent to undesignate an existing long-term DNR and have it simultaneously studied with a new request to designate an alternate long-term Network Resource via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org, using the standard form for “Form to Undesignate or Cluster (Alternate Network Resource Designation) Network Resources[EC1].” The form is available at:

<http://www.oatioasis.com/EES/EESdocs/FAXForms%2Ehtm>

On the form, the Customer shall:

i

Currently, this form has a note indicating that it is for “future use” and the form is otherwise blank.

Future time appears to be linked to C, D, and E filing still pending, but per OATT sections 30.3 and Attachment E, and Orders 890-A and 890-B, clustering is required to be done at the request of the customer, and the content of the form is provided on the BP page

Identify the Network Resource to be permanently undesignated by providing the ARef[EC2];

i

Page 24: [25] Comment [EC16]	ENTERGY CORPORATION	12/2/2011 3:18:00 PM
------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Customer comment field or related ref?

Page 24: [26] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:04:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

Indicate that it is requesting a permanent undesignation of the

Page 24: [27] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:04:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

related DNR in the appropriate column;

i

Page 24: [28] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:04:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

Indicate the capacity of the DNR that will be permanently undesignated;

i

Page 24: [29] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:04:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

Indicate the date and time on which the permanent undesignation should become effective;

i

Page 24: [30] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:04:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

Indicate that the Customer is replacing the existing DNR with an Alternate Network Resource, and

Page 24: [31] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:04:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

the Alternate Network Resource by providing the ARef[EC3] of the new request and the date and time at which the Customer is requesting that the Alternate Network Resource be designated; and

i

Page 24: [32] Comment [EC17]	ENTERGY CORPORATION	12/2/2011 3:18:00 PM
------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Customer comment field or related ref?

Page 24: [33] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:04:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

ndicate that the Customer is requesting designation of the Alternate Network Resource in accordance with section 3.2.2.2 of Attachment D to the Tariff.

The email form **MUST** also include the following information regarding the Alternate Network Resource for which the Customer is requesting designation:

a

Page 24: [34] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:05:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

description of the Alternate Network Resource;

t

Page 24: [35] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:05:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

The amount of capacity from the existing DNR that can be undesignated to accommodate the Alternate Network Resource; and

Page 24: [36] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:05:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

concomitant study is desired by the Customer, a request to cluster the study of the designation of Alternate Network Resource and undesignation of the existing DNR

Page 24: [37] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:05:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

resources can be evaluated simultaneously

Page 24: [38] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:05:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

.

The ICT Long-Term Planning Staff will verify that the TSRs match the notices described above.

Step 3: Confirmation of Receipt of Request

The Customer should receive confirmation from the ICT that it has received the email and attached form. The ICT may provide such confirmation by email or facsimile of a SIS Agreement in accordance with Section 3.4 below. Once all necessary evaluations have been completed, the ICT will issue a response to the requested undesignation/designation in accordance with the results of the SIS.

Page 24: [39] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:05:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

Temporary Undesignation

Temporary Undesignation of a Short-Term Network Resource

In order to temporarily undesignate all or a portion of an existing short-term DNR, the Customer should conform to the following process:

Step 1: Fax Request Form to ICT

The Customer must first provide written notice of its intent to temporarily undesignate an existing DNR via facsimile to the ICT Tariff Administration Desk, using the standard form “Form to Undesignate or Cluster (Alternate Network Resource Designation) Network Resources.” The facsimile number for the ICT Tariff Administration Desk is (501) 851-1856. The form is available at:

<http://www.oatiaoasis.com/EES/EESdocs/FAXForms%2Ehtm>

On the form, the Customer shall:

Identify the DNR to be temporarily undesignated by providing the ARef;

Indicate that it is requesting a temporary undesignation of the relevant DNR in the appropriate column;

Indicate the capacity of the DNR to be temporarily undesignated;

Indicate the date and time on which the temporary undesignation should become effective; and

Indicate the date and time on which the temporary undesignation should end and the resource should be re-designated as a Network Resource.

Step 2: Confirmation of Receipt Of Request

After receipt of the facsimile notice form referenced above, the Customer must contact the ICT Tariff Administration Desk to confirm that the ICT has received the form and verify the information contained on the form. The ICT will then issue a manual annulment or RECALL of the requested DNR in accordance with information provided by the Customer in the facsimile notice form and within the Transmission Provider response time limits described in Section IV.1 of these business practices.

Page 24: [40] Formatted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/5/2011 2:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Font: Bold, No underline

Page 24: [41] Deleted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:05:00 AM
-----------------------	---------	----------------------

Temporary Undesignation of a Long-Term Network Resource

In order to temporarily undesignate all or a portion of a long-term DNR with no Alternate Network Resource designation, the Customer should conform to the following process:

Step 1: Entering Termination Request on OASIS

In order to indicate to the ICT that the Customer wants to temporarily undesignate a long-term DNR, the Customer shall submit a 1 MW “proxy” undesignation request as a TSR on OASIS.

The 1 MW “proxy” request shall:

Identify the DNR to be temporarily undesignated;

Indicate the start date and time on which the temporary undesignation should become effective;

Indicate the stop date and time on which the temporary undesignation should end and the resource should be re-designated as a Network Resource; and

Include the following statement in the OASIS comment field, “Undesignation information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.”

indicate additional requests for transmission service to be evaluated concomitantly.

Step 2: Email Request Form to the ICT

In addition to the 1 MW proxy submitted over OASIS, the Customer must also provide written notice of its intent to temporarily undesignate an existing long-term DNR via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org, using the standard form “Form to Undesignate or Cluster (Alternate Network Resource Designation) Network Resources.” The form is available at:

<http://www.oatioasis.com/EES/EESdocs/FAXForms%2Ehtm>

On the form, the Customer shall:

Identify the DNR to be temporarily undesignated by providing the ARef;

Indicate that it is requesting a temporary undesignation of the relevant DNR in the appropriate column;

Indicate the capacity of the DNR to be temporarily undesignated;

Indicate the date and time on which the temporary undesignation should become effective; and

Indicate the date and time on which the temporary undesignation should end and the resource should be re-designated as a Network Resource.

indicate additional requests for transmission service to be evaluated concomitantly.

Step 3: Confirmation of Receipt of Request

The Customer should receive confirmation from the ICT that it has received the email and attached form. The ICT may provide such confirmation by email or facsimile of a SIS Agreement in accordance with Section 3.4 below. Once all necessary evaluations have been completed, the ICT will issue a manual annulment or RECALL of the requested DNR in accordance with the OASIS request and notice form.

In order to temporarily undesignate a long-term DNR with an Alternate Network Resource designation, the Customer should conform to the following process:

Step 1: Entering Simultaneous Undesignation and Designation of Long-Term Requests on OASIS

In order to indicate to the ICT that the Customer wants to temporarily undesignate a long-term DNR to be studied simultaneously with a request to designate an Alternate Network Resource, the Customer shall submit its 1 MW “proxy” undesignation request on OASIS and note that the request should be studied with an Alternate Network Resource in the OASIS customer comment field. The Customer must submit the following statement in the OASIS customer comment field: “Undesignation information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.” The Customer must also enter the request for designation of the Alternate Network Resource on OASIS immediately after the request to undesignate the existing DNR and must include: (i) an attestation in accordance with Section 30.2 of the Tariff; and (ii) all other necessary information required under Section 29.2 of the Tariff.

Step 2: Email Request Form to ICT

In addition to the 1 MW proxy TSR and the Alternate Network Resource Designation submitted over OASIS, the Customer also must provide written notice of its intent to temporarily undesignate an existing long-term DNR to be studied simultaneously with an Alternate Network Resource designation via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org, using the standard form for “Form to Undesignate or Cluster (Alternate Network Resource Designation) Network Resources.” The

<http://www.oatioasis.com/EES/EESdocs/FAXForms%2Ehtm>

On the form, the Customer shall:

Identify the DNR to be temporarily undesignated by providing the ARef;

Indicate that it is requesting a temporary undesignation of the relevant DNR in the appropriate column;

Indicate the capacity of the DNR to be temporarily undesignated;

Indicate the date and time on which the temporary undesignation should become effective;

Indicate the date and time on which the temporary undesignation should end and the resource should be re-designated as a Network Resource;

Indicate whether the Customer is replacing the existing DNR with an Alternate Network Resource, including identification of the Alternate Network Resource and the date and time at which the Customer is requesting that the Alternate Network Resource be designated; and

Indicate that the Customer is requesting designation of the Alternate Network Resource in accordance with section 3.2.2.2 of Attachment D to the Tariff.

The form **MUST** also include the following information regarding the Alternate Network Resource for which the Customer is requesting designation:

A description of the Alternate Network Resource;

The capacity to be designated;

The amount of capacity from the existing DNR that can be undesignated to accommodate the Alternate Network Resource; and

If a coordinated study is desired by the Customer, a request to cluster the study of the designation of Alternate Network Resource and the existing Network Resource so that the resources can be evaluated simultaneously.

The ICT Long-Term Planning Staff will verify that the TSRs match the notices described above.

Step 3: Confirmation of Receipt of Request

The Customer should receive confirmation from the ICT that it has received the email and attached form. The ICT may provide such confirmation by email or facsimile of a SIS Agreement in accordance with Section 3.4 below. Once all necessary evaluations have been completed, the ICT will issue a response to the

Simultaneous Undesignation and Designation Requests

In order to simultaneously submit a request to designate an Alternate Network Resource and a request to undesignate an existing DNR (on either a permanent or temporary basis), Customers shall: (i) submit all information required under Section 3.1.2 or 3.2.2 as applicable, including the required attestation for the Alternate Network Resource designation; and (ii) submit an OASIS request to designate the Alternate Network Resource immediately after submitting the 1 MW “proxy” OASIS request undesignating the existing Network Resource as indicated in Section 3.1.2 or 3.2.2.

Requests Subject to the System Impact Study Process

For requests that are subject to the SIS Process pursuant to Section 1.3 of Attachment D, the two requests will be considered submitted simultaneously (i.e., the OASIS request for the Alternate Network Resource will be deemed to have the same queue time and priority of the OASIS request to undesignate the existing Network Resource) if: (i) the requirements in Section 3.3 are met; and (ii) the two OASIS requests were submitted no more than five (5) minutes apart.

If the Customer email notification under Section 3.1.2 or 3.2.2 above includes a request to cluster the study the Alternate Network Resource and the existing DNR undesignation request, the ICT will perform a single SIS that evaluates the requested undesignation and the request to designate the new resource in a coordinated manner. The SIS is conducted in accordance with Section 3.2.2.2 of Attachment D to the Tariff.

If the SIS indicates that the simultaneously submitted requests can be accommodated, in whole or part, without the need for transmission upgrades, the Customer must CONFIRM both: (i) the request to undesignate the existing DNR; and (ii) the request to designate the Alternate Network Resource to obtain service. If the Customer fails to CONFIRM both requests by the applicable deadline, both requests will be RETRACTED at the end of the deadline, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status. If the SIS indicates that transmission upgrades are necessary to accommodate the requests, the Customer may request a FS. If a FS is not requested by the applicable deadline, both requests will be RETRACTED and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

Font: (Default) Arial

Page 24: [42] Formatted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/5/2011 2:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial

Page 24: [42] Formatted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/5/2011 2:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial

Page 24: [42] Formatted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/5/2011 2:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial

Page 24: [42] Formatted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/5/2011 2:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial

Page 24: [42] Formatted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/5/2011 2:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial

Page 24: [42] Formatted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/5/2011 2:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial

Page 24: [42] Formatted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/5/2011 2:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial

Page 24: [42] Formatted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/5/2011 2:21:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial

Page 24: [43] Deleted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/3/2011 1:16:00 PM
-----------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Requests that are subject to the AFC Process pursuant to **Section 1.3 of Attachment C** cannot be simultaneously evaluated under the current AFC Process, but may be evaluated independent of each other through the AFC Process as separate requests.

Page 24: [44] Formatted	mpeter2	10/3/2011 9:09:00 AM
-------------------------	---------	----------------------

Highlight

Page 25: [45] Deleted	ENERGY CORPORATION	10/3/2011 1:20:00 PM
-----------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Non Simultaneous Undesignation Requests

Requests to undesignate a Network Resource may be submitted on a “stand-alone” basis, i.e. without being simultaneously submitted with a request to designate an Alternate Network Resource. Stand-alone requests to undesignate a Network Resource on a temporary basis are not studied by the ICT and thus are not subject to AFC values. Stand-alone requests to undesignate a Network Resource on a permanent basis are studied by the ICT if and only if: (i) the Network Resource is a generating facility (i.e., not a PPA) that has served as a Network Resource for at least five years prior to the undesignation request; and (ii) the generating facility is being removed from service or is surrendering its generator interconnection rights to the Transmission System. In the event an undesignation request meets the requirements of (i) and (ii) above, the ICT will perform a study that evaluates any reliability impacts associated with the request. The study will also evaluate whether transmission upgrades, a reliability-must-run agreement or both are necessary to maintain reliability after the undesignation goes into effect. The costs of the study are not directly assigned to the requesting Customer. Once the study is completed or if a stand-alone undesignation request is

ACCEPTED without the need for a study, the Customer must decide whether to CONFIRM the undesignation request by the applicable deadline. If the Customer elects not to CONFIRM the undesignation request, the Customer can WITHDRAW the request prior to the applicable deadline or the request will be RETRACTED and the generating facility will retain its Network Resource status. If the Customer CONFIRMS the undesignation request, the request will be allowed to go into effect on the date specified, subject to a reliability-must-run agreement or other conditions (if any) contained in the Network Integration TSA and/or NOA as filed with the FERC.

Priority Rights and Capacity Release (Simultaneous Requests)

Prior to the implementation of NAESB standards addressing the processing of undesignation requests and how additional capacity released by undesignation requests should be made available to the market, the following procedures will be followed to ensure that priority rights of competing TSRs are honored and that additional capacity released by undesignation requests is made available to the market based on the priority rights in the Tariff.

Honoring Priority Rights of Competing TSRs

The evaluation of undesignation requests and Alternate Network Resource requests will take proper account of all competing TSRs of higher priority by including such higher priority TSRs in the appropriate Base Case Models and AFC calculations used to evaluate the undesignation and Alternate Network Resource requests. To the extent the relevant SIS, FS or AFC calculation indicates that the undesignation request and Alternate Network Resource request can be accommodated with the higher priority TSRs taken into account, the priority rights of the competing TSRs are protected and the ICT can ACCEPT the undesignation request and the Alternate Network Resource request. To the extent the relevant SIS, FS or AFC calculation indicates that the undesignation request and Alternate Network Resource request cannot be accommodated with the higher priority TSRs taken into account, the ICT will ACCEPT only the undesignation request and the Customer can choose whether to CONFIRM such request.

Where the request to designate an Alternate Network Resource and the request to undesignate an existing DNR are submitted simultaneously, there can be no intervening TSRs between the undesignation request and the request to designate the Alternate Network Resource. TSRs submitted after the Alternate Network Request do not qualify as higher priority competing TSRs. Thus, the Alternate Network Resource request retains priority to the released capacity as compared to all other TSRs submitted after the undesignation request.

Releasing Capacity for Undesignated Resources

The undesignation of a DNR does not result in capacity being released to the market unless and until the Customer CONFIRMS the undesignation request (in the case of a stand-alone undesignation request) or CONFIRMS both the undesignation request and

the Alternate Network Resource request (in the case of simultaneously submitted requests). This capacity may be released permanently or temporarily. If the undesignation was accompanied by a simultaneous request to redesignate the existing DNR in the future, the capacity release will be temporary. If no request to redesignate the existing DNR in the future is submitted with the undesignation request, the capacity release will be permanent.

After the relevant requests are CONFIRMED by Customers, any additional capacity released by the undesignation is made available to other Customers through the Base Case Model updating process for FSs, SISs, and AFC calculations. The TSRs with the highest priority right to capacity released by the undesignation request are the Long-Term PTP Service TSRs and requests to designate Network Resources on an annual basis that were submitted prior to the undesignation request but remaining pending in the FS queue. The TSRs with the next highest priority are the Long-Term Firm PTP Service and Network Service TSRs that were submitted after the undesignation request and pending in the SIS and the AFC Process. The TSRs with the lowest priority are the Short-Term Firm PTP Service TSRs and Non-Firm TSRs that were submitted after the undesignation request and are pending in AFC Process queue.

Additional capacity released by an undesignation request is made available to each group of TSRs consistent with these priority rights by: (i) updating AFC calculations and the Base Case Models used in FSs and SISs to reflect undesignation requests and higher priority TSRs; (ii) evaluating the TSRs in each queue in the order requests were submitted; and (iii) respecting the preemption rights of higher priority TSRs.

Revised Entergy OATT Business Practices

Sections Modified

- II. TRANSMISSION SERVICE PRODUCTS
 - 2.2. Weekly Non-Firm Transmission Service
- IV. GENERAL RESERVATION/SCHEDULING PRACTICES
 - 1. Time Limits for Submitting and Responding to TSRs
 - 6. Preemption
 - 6.1. TSR Evaluation
 - 6.2. Preemption Actions Prior to Confirmation of the Challenger
 - 6.3. Preemption Actions After Confirmation of the Challenger
 - 6.4. Timing Requirements
- V. POINT-TO-POINT TRANSMISSION SERVICE
 - 4. Assignment of PTP Service
 - 4.1. Resale
 - 4.2. Transfers
- VI. CONDITIONAL FIRM SERVICE AND PLANNING REDISPATCH SERVICE
 - 1. Generally
 - 2. Conditional Firm Transmission Service
 - 3. Planning Redispatch Service
 - 3.1. Planning Redispatch Service From Transmission Provider Resources
 - 3.2. Planning Redispatch Service From Third-Party Provider Resources
 - 4. Limitations on Availability
 - 5. Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service TSR Application and Study Process
 - 5.1. Execution of the System Impact Study Agreement

- 5.2. Tender of the System Impact Study and Customer Response
- 5.3. Management of Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service Options on OASIS
- 5.4. Following Tender of the FS
6. Biennial Reassessments

VII. NETWORK INTEGRATION TRANSMISSION SERVICE

1. Interim Procedures For Providing Attestations For Network Resources
2. Interim Procedures for Undesignating Network Resources
 - 2.1. Permanent Undesignation
 - 2.2. Temporary Undesignation
 - 2.3. Simultaneous Undesignation and Designation Requests
 - 2.4. Non Simultaneous Undesignation Requests
 - 2.5. Priority Rights and Capacity Release (Simultaneous Requests)

IX. TRANSMISSION INFORMATION

5. CBM & TRM

Redline of Revised Entergy OATT Business Practices

II. TRANSMISSION SERVICE PRODUCTS 2.2 Weekly Non-Firm Transmission Service

Customers seeking to reserve Weekly Non-Firm Service must submit a TSR via the Transmission Provider's OASIS no earlier than 14 days before the service is to begin. As TSRs for Weekly Firm Service are evaluated through the AFC Process, once a Completed Application has been received, within 4 hours the ICT will respond to the Customer with: (i) an acceptance; or (ii) an offer for partial service; or (iii) if no service is available, the TSR will be DECLINED. In accordance with NAESB Business Practice Standards WEQ001-4.13 Table 4-2, if an ACCEPTED TSR was not pre-confirmed, or for a COUNTEROFFERED TSR, Customers must CONFIRM or WITHDRAW their request within 24 hours after receiving a response; otherwise, the request will be deemed WITHDRAWN and the status of the TSR on the Transmission Provider OASIS will be changed to "RETRACTED."

IV. GENERAL RESERVATION/SCHEDULING PRACTICES

1. Practices for Submitting and Responding to TSRs

1.1 The time limits for Customers to submit and confirm TSRs and for the Transmission Provider to evaluate such TSRs are contained in the NAESB Business Practices, to the extent applicable, and otherwise defined in these TSR Business Practices.

1.2 When a short term TSR begins within the model horizon for the AFC process, and extends beyond the model horizon for the AFC process, the TSR will automatically be counteroffered for amount available as firm within the AFC model horizon. The customer has the following options in responding to the counteroffer:

- 1.2.1 Accept the Counteroffer, and if desired, submit a new TSR for the portion beyond the AFC horizons for evaluation under the SIS Process
- 1.2.2 Rebid the Counteroffer for the full MW requested at each time point, and request study of the entire TSR under the SIS Process
- 1.2.3 Withdraw the Counteroffer

6. Preemption

This Section IV.6 describes the Transmission Provider's implementation of the provisions of its Tariff that govern Reservation Priority (Sections 13.2 and 14.2)

when competing bids for constrained resources on the Transmission Provider's transmission system ("Preemption") are submitted through the AFC Process. The Transmission Provider's implementation of Preemption also applies the NAESB Business Practices.

This Section IV.6 describes the Transmission Provider's implementation of the provisions of its Tariff that govern Reservation Priority (Sections 13.2 and 14.2) when competing bids for constrained resources on the Transmission Provider's transmission system ("Preemption") are submitted through the AFC Process. The Transmission Provider's implementation of Preemption also applies the NAESB Business Practices.

6.1. TSR Evaluation

Each valid TSR is evaluated in accordance with FERC policies, represented in NAESB Business Practices (WEQ 001-4.16 through 001-4.25 Table 4-3, "Priorities For Competing Requests"), and Sections 13.2 and 14.2 of the Tariff, as applicable. Preemption evaluations will be conducted in all TSR evaluations where AFC is insufficient to ACCEPT the TSR. All preemptable TSRs or Reservations of lower priority ("Defenders") submitted for evaluation through the AFC process will be identified by the OATI software, unless such Reservation is subject to unconditionality, and has become unconditional per Section 13.2 of Entergy's Tariff. The OATI software will provide the ICT with an evaluation of the request which identifies the capacity of all Defenders that are necessary to accommodate the TSR being evaluated for acceptance ("Challenger") and provides the specific actions to be taken by the ICT to manage the competition. In order to be identified as a valid Challenger, a TSR must be Preconfirmed. For preemption processing purposes, priority will be determined by the number of segments with a non-zero MW Available value. Non-Firm Redirects will not impact the priority of the parent. The ICT will determine whether the Challenger can be ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED based on the OATI software evaluation. Once an evaluation is selected for processing a TSR; the ICT is not obligated to consider subsequent evaluations. The ICT may take any actions which are not indicated by the OATI software, should they determine that the evaluation is incorrect or insufficient.

Each valid TSR is evaluated in accordance with FERC policies, represented in NAESB Business Practices (WEQ 001-4.16 through 001-4.25 Table 4-3, "Priorities For Competing Requests"), and Sections 13.2 and 14.2 of the Tariff, as applicable. Preemption evaluations will be conducted in all TSR evaluations where AFC is insufficient to ACCEPT the TSR. All preemptable TSRs or Reservations of lower priority ("Defenders") submitted for evaluation through the AFC process will be identified by the OATI software, unless such Reservation is subject to unconditionality, and has become unconditional per Section 13.2 of Entergy's Tariff. The OATI software will provide the ICT with an evaluation of the request which identifies the capacity of all Defenders that are necessary to accommodate the TSR being evaluated for acceptance ("Challenger") and

Comment [m1]: Table 4-3 does not require preconfirmation for Network Challengers. It is in Entergy's best interest to require preconfirmation for all services in order to avoid gaming and other potential issues.

Comment [m2]: Inserted to clarify that the number of non-zero segments determines duration priority.

Comment [m3]: Should we add a statement specifying that the ICT may take all actions manually based on their evaluation of the OATI software?

Comment [m4]: This disclaimer is meant to allow the ICT to take manual actions when they deem necessary. It also provides the leeway needed to act on REBID TSRs appropriately until the software can process REBIDs correctly.

Comment [m5]: This section was moved to "Preemption Actions Prior to Confirmation of the Challenger".

provides the specific actions to be taken by the ICT to manage the competition. In order to be identified as a valid Challenger, a TSR must be Preconfirmed. For preemption processing purposes, priority will be determined by the number of segments with a non-zero MW Available value. Non-Firm Redirects will not impact the priority of the parent. The ICT will determine whether the Challenger can be ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED based on the OATI software evaluation. Once an evaluation is selected for processing a TSR; the ICT is not obligated to consider subsequent evaluations. The ICT may take any actions which are not indicated by the OATI software, should they determine that the evaluation is incorrect or insufficient.

6.2. Preemption Actions **AFTER CAPACITY IS GRANTED TO** the Challenger

When the Challenger has been ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED (where preemption of the Defender will improve the counteroffer), preemption actions will be taken as appropriate. If the Challenger was COUNTEROFFERED, the Challenger will have the opportunity to CONFIRM the service during the confirmation time limit.

At the time the Challenger is ACCEPTED or COUNTEROFFERED, where Defenders are still pending, the ICT will initiate preemption, and the OATI software will SUPERSEDE Defenders as required to accommodate the Challenger. The OATI software will indicate the Assignment Reference (“ARef”) of the Challenger in the seller comments to the Defender(s).

6.3. Preemption Actions After Confirmation of the Challenger

When Defenders are ineligible for the ROFR, the ICT will initiate preemption, and at the time the Challenger is CONFIRMED, the OATI software will RECALL partial or full capacity from the Defenders to accommodate the Challenger. The Challenger’s ARef will be indicated in the seller comments of the Recall TSRs impacting the Defender(s), and in the seller comments of the Defender TSRs.

When any Defender is eligible for ROFR, the ICT will initiate preemption and, at the time the Challenger is CONFIRMED, the OATI software will RECALL partial or full capacity from the Defenders to accommodate the Challenger. The Challenger’s ARef will be indicated in the seller comments of the Recall TSR(s) impacting the Defender(s) TSR and in the seller comments of the Defender TSRs. At the time the Challenger is CONFIRMED, the OATI software will simultaneously extend the ROFR to those Defenders which are eligible for ROFR, by setting the Competing Request Flag on the Defenders, and submitting Matching TSRs on behalf of the Defender TSRs. In order to accommodate scheduling deadlines of both the Challenger and the Defender, Defenders have the lesser of: (i) the Customer Confirmation Time Limit defined in the NAESB Business Practices (Table 4-2, Reservation Timing Requirements); or (ii) 24 hours, to CONFIRM the Matching TSR(s) submitted by the OATI software.

When the first Match is CONFIRMED by any Defender, the Challenger's capacity will be RECALLED in part or full, as the Defender's Match assumes the highest priority. Where a Challenger is RECALLED in part or full due to a Match, the OATI software will update the Seller Comments on the Recall TSR to indicate that the Recall was submitted to accommodate the Matching TSR. Any remaining capacity on the Defender TSR will be RECALLED in full, and the OATI software will update the Seller Comments on the Recall TSR to indicate the Recall was submitted to accommodate the Matching TSR. After receipt of the first Match, subsequent Matches will be evaluated by the OATI software for feasibility. The OATI software will block CONFIRMATION of those Matching TSRs that can no longer be supported by setting the status of the Matching TSR to DISPLACED.

Customers whose Reservations are RECALLED, excluding Redirects, for some, but not all, of their MW capacity may contact the ICT (at the Tariff Administration Desk) at 501-614-3502 to request annulment or adjustment of the profile of the affected Reservation. All such communications MUST occur prior to the scheduling deadline for the affected service. Any requests for annulment or adjustment of the profile of the affected Reservation conveyed to the ICT AFTER the scheduling deadline will be accommodated by the ICT, when practicable.

Customers whose **Redirect Reservations** are RECALLED for some, but not all, of their MW capacity may contact the ICT (at the Tariff Administration Desk) at 501-614-3502 to request an additional Recall to zero out capacity or adjustment of the profile of the affected Reservation. All such communications MUST occur prior to the scheduling deadline for the affected service. Any requests for an additional recall to zero out capacity or adjustment of the profile of the affected Reservation conveyed to the ICT AFTER the scheduling deadline will be accommodated by the ICT, when practicable.

Once notified of a Competition or Preemption, Defenders may not redirect to avoid being preempted. Where a Defender is redirected or resold after notification, the Original **and** Redirect/RESALE capacities will be RECALLED in part or full, as required to accommodate the Challenger.

V. POINT-TO-POINT TRANSMISSION SERVICE

4. Assignment of PTP Service 4.1. Resale

Once the Assignee has completed and executed a Form A-1 in accordance with the requirements set forth above, the Assignee must fax the executed Form A-1 to the Transmission Provider's Transmission Services Group at 601-985-2923, attention Wayne Warren.

4.2. Transfers

Where the Assignor and Assignee agree that the Assignee will replace the Assignor as the financially obligated Customer and will contractually undertake

all of the Assignor's liabilities associated therewith, a non-conforming TSA must be completed, executed between the Assignee, Assignor, and the Transmission Provider, and filed with FERC. The ICT will not approve a transfer request without confirmation from Entergy that a non-conforming form A-1 has been executed by the Transferor and the Transferee Customers contemplating the transfer of a TSA must contact the Transmission Provider at 601-985-2923 (Wayne Warren) to initiate the preparation and execution of a non-conforming agreement. Transfers **MUST BE POSTED ON THE TRANSMISSION PROVIDER'S OASIS** in accordance with the NAESB Business Practices (WEQ 001-12) before the transferred service commences. The process for posting a transfer on OASIS will be provided to the Assignor when the non-conforming TSA has been completed, executed between the Assignee, Assignor, and the Transmission Provider, and filed with FERC.

VI. CONDITIONAL FIRM SERVICE AND PLANNING REDISPATCH SERVICE

1. Generally

In accordance with Attachment D of the Tariff, Long-Term Firm PTP Transmission Service is evaluated pursuant to the SIS process in order to ensure that the service can be reliably accommodated. To the extent that a SIS identifies violations of the criteria specified in Attachment D, and at the request of the Customer, the study will also evaluate mitigation options that may eliminate the violations and/or allow the TSR to be ACCEPTED. The mitigation options, which may allow the TSR to be ACCEPTED with or without the need for transmission upgrades, are Conditional Firm Transmission Service ("Conditional Firm Service") and Planning Redispatch Service. When requested by the customer in the SIS Agreement, these options are fully studied when the initial reliability screen and limitations on availability described in Section 4 are not indicated.

An Eligible Customer may request study of Conditional Firm Service, Planning Redispatch Service, both, or neither as part of the SIS process. The ICT will use the procedures in Attachment D to study a TSR that includes a request for Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service.

As explained below in Section VI.5, if some portion of the TSR for which Conditional Firm Service and/or Planning Redispatch Service has been requested may be accommodated as firm Transmission Service, a counteroffer will be made for the amount of Transmission Service that can be provided as firm. The counteroffer for each year of the requested Transmission Service will be for the lowest MW amount of Firm Transmission Service that is available as firm in any month of the year of service. If Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service is available to accommodate the remainder of the capacity requested for Transmission Service, the customer will be allowed to submit a

new TSR for that portion of the requested capacity that is available as Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service.

If no portion of the requested capacity can be accommodated as firm, but Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service is available to accommodate all of the TSR, the request will be ACCEPTED subject to the conditions or redispatch requirements identified through the SIS Process.

If no portion of the requested capacity can be accommodated as firm, but Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service is available to accommodate some, but not all, of the TSR, a counteroffer will be made for the amount of Transmission Service that can be provided with the inclusion of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service. The counteroffer for each year of the requested Transmission Service will be for the lowest MW amount of Firm Transmission Service that is available in any month of the year. The ICT will assess partial Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service solutions that are determined by the ICT to be reasonable.

If none of the TSR can be accommodated even with the inclusion of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service options, the SIS will provide a high-level cost estimate of the upgrades required to provide the Transmission Service.

2. Conditional Firm Transmission Service

Conditional Firm Service is Long-Term Firm PTP Service, provided that, at certain times, the service may be curtailed using a Secondary Network Service curtailment priority to maintain reliability. The rate for Conditional Firm Service is the Long-Term Firm PTP Service rate. Per the notice posted on the Transmission Provider's OASIS on December 31, 2009, Transmission Provider does not credit customers for long-term firm service that is curtailed to maintain reliability because of a TLR. Consistent with this notice, Transmission Provider does not credit Conditional Firm Service Customers when service is curtailed during conditional hours or when curtailment conditions occur.

When an Eligible Customer requests evaluation of Conditional Firm Service, the SIS will identify: (i) the specific System Condition(s) when curtailment may apply using a Secondary Network Service curtailment priority ("Conditions Option"); and (ii) the annual number of hours (i.e., the total number of hours for a calendar year) when curtailment using a Secondary Network Service curtailment priority may apply ("Hourly Cap Option"). To Confirm the Conditional Firm Service, the Customer must select either the Conditions Option or the Hourly Cap Option.

Conditional Firm Service will only be curtailed for reliability reasons. The reservation will be downgraded from "7-F" curtailment priority to "6-CF" curtailment priority during periods of congestion when the conditions identified in the Customer's TSA are in effect or until the number of conditional hours are

exhausted. 6-CF priority is equal to Secondary Network Service for curtailment purposes.

When the Hourly Cap Option is chosen by the Customer, the TSA will specify the number of conditional curtailment hours available for curtailment in each year of service. Beginning on the date that service commences each year, the Transmission Provider may curtail the service at the Secondary Network Service curtailment priority until the service has been curtailed for the number of hours specified in the TSA for that year of service.

Pursuant to Entergy's April 1, 2011 request for extension for compliance with requirement WEQ 001-21.1.6, Conditional Firm Service WILL include firming up functionality upon implementation of the requisite OATI software MODIFICATION, which is anticipated in early 2012.

Per WEQ 001-11.3.2, Conditional Firm reservations may not be aggregated with any other reservation in a resale. Any resale submitted by a Transmission Customer that includes any other reservation will be ANNULLED in accordance with WEQ 013-2.2, and the resold capacity will revert to the parent reservation.

3. Planning Redispatch Service

Planning Redispatch Service is a mitigation option used to grant Long-Term Firm PTP Service through redispatch of either the Transmission Provider's existing generating resources or the generating resources of a third party. One Planning Redispatch Service solution can include a combination of up to four generation resources. A Customer, however, may not mix generation pairs, i.e., include a Transmission Provider resource and a third-party resource as a generator pair; nor may it use a third-party generator pair and a Transmission Provider generator pair when necessary to resolve multiple overloads associated with one TSR. Planning Redispatch Service must be incremental to the system, e.g., existing arrangements that may provide counterflows cannot be used for third-party redispatch. The ICT will determine on a case-by-case basis whether identified Planning Redispatch Service options are considered to be incremental. Furthermore, the constraint caused by the TSR under review for mitigation will be resolved by the Planning Redispatch Service and all existing TSRs under review (and their corresponding generators) will not be used for alleviating the constraint even if they provide counterflows. Existing TSRs (and their corresponding generators) will be included in the Base Case Model before evaluating new TSR for Planning Redispatch Service.

For example, the dispatch of Generator 1 is necessary (but not sufficient) to provide Planning Redispatch Service to grant TSR "B" because of a constraint. The dispatch of Generator 2 for an **existing Reservation A** provides unintended counterflows that mitigate the constraint necessitating the use of Planning Redispatch Service to serve TSR B. A third generator, Generator 3, must be redispatched to grant TSR B because the dispatch of Generator 1 is not sufficient

to grant TSR B. The dispatch of Generator 2 is already committed for TSR A and cannot be tied to TSR B and therefore it cannot be used to provide Planning redispatch for new TSR B under evaluation. In other words, the dispatch of Generator 1 and 3 are required to mitigate the constraint otherwise precluding Entergy from granting TSR B, i.e., the Planning Redispatch Service solution using Generator 1 and 3 is incremental to the system for purposes of granting TSR B.

3.1. Planning Redispatch Service From Transmission Provider Resources

Planning Redispatch Service from the Transmission Provider's generation will be available if redispatch of the non-nuclear and non-coal generating facilities designated by the Transmission Provider as Network Resources can resolve the thermal overloads identified by the SIS for all hours studied. Planning Redispatch Service will not be available from Transmission Provider generation to the extent that: (i) the redispatch of resources would cause the Transmission Provider's reserve margin to decrease below that necessary to maintain reliability; (ii) the resources needed for Planning Redispatch Service are needed to provide reliability redispatch or to preserve reactive capability; (iii) the resources needed for Planning Redispatch Service have restricted run times and are required to meet the reliable service needs of the Transmission Provider's native load Customers.

The pricing of Planning Redispatch Service provided by the Transmission Provider's Resources is governed by Section 6 of Attachment T.

3.2. Planning Redispatch Service From Third-Party Provider Resources

The Transmission Provider will not make purchases from third-parties in order to provide Planning Redispatch Service. Instead, the Customer must either arrange for the use of its own resources or contract with other parties in advance of completing the TSA for Planning Redispatch Service. For Planning Redispatch Service granted based on third-party redispatch, the Customer will be charged the rate for annual PTP Service under the Tariff. The Customer will be responsible for: (i) making all contractual arrangements for the redispatch from third-party generation; and (ii) all costs charged by the third-party generator. The Customer will directly compensate the third-party generator for the third-party redispatch.

The ICT will determine on a case-by-case basis whether a proposed third-party redispatch solution is sufficient to grant Planning Redispatch Service. Planning Redispatch Service facilitated by a third-party's generation will be provided only if redispatch of the third-party's generating facilities can resolve all thermal overloads identified by the SIS for all hours studied. All determinations by the ICT will indicate whether the proposed redispatch transaction: (i) will offset the

flow of the TSR on all identified constrained flowgates; and (ii) will not cause overloads on any other transmission facilities. The ICT's analysis will include consideration of expected availability of the third-party generating facilities.

The Customer must provide to the ICT all information necessary for the study of the third-party redispatch solution. In the event that the Customer does not provide the information regarding potential third-party redispatch solutions prior to signing the SIS Agreement, the SIS Report will notify the Customer that it has 15 days to submit any proposed third-party redispatch solutions. Any proposed third-party redispatch solutions provided by the Customer within those 15 days will be evaluated by the ICT as a continuation of the SIS process.

Firm Transmission Service is required to implement the third-party redispatch. Existing transmission arrangements may be used to satisfy this requirement; however, the Transmission Service used to implement the third-party redispatch must cover the entire term of the Transmission Service being granted based on the third-party redispatch.

4. Limitations on Availability

Sections 4.2 and 4.3 of Attachment D provide that Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service will not be available in response to a TSR if the service otherwise will: (i) degrade or impair the reliability of service to Native Load Customers, Network Customer, or PTP Service Customers; or (ii) interfere with the Transmission Provider's ability to meet prior firm contractual commitments.

Prior to implementing enhanced congestion management software related to Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service, the Transmission Provider has determined that it can accept no more than Five (5) Reservations involving Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service for a particular time period, which service must result in no more than six constrained elements being impacted (by 3 percent or more), during the relevant time period. After implementation of the enhanced congestion management software, the Transmission Provider will reevaluate the limitations on Reservations and flowgates set forth in this section and establish new limitations applicable to Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service based on the modified software. The initial reliability screen described below will, however, be retained.

In order to determine that the provision of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service will not: (i) degrade or impair the reliability of service to Native Load Customers, Network Customers, or PTP Customers; or (ii) interfere with the Transmission Provider's ability to meet prior firm contractual commitments, the ICT will apply the following reliability criteria:

- The new service shall not result in a violation of any regulatory requirements, including but not limited to: (i) the minimum offsite power requirements of NUC-001 as they pertain to offsite power requirements for Transmission Service to nuclear plants; and (ii) NERC Reliability Standards TPL-001 – TPL-004 and any successor standards thereto.
- Where the service impacts interconnecting tie-lines, regardless of the voltage of the facilities, including interfaces with neighboring balancing authorities, the service will be studied for Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service through the SIS and will be subject to the terms and conditions of the Transmission Provider's Tariff applicable to third-party constraints. For purposes of this business practice, interconnecting tie-lines are not interconnections: (i) solely to transmission-dependent generators; (ii) to non-Entergy owned facilities that are within the Transmission Provider's Control Area; or (iii) between Entergy Operating Companies and the impact is defined as violating the thermal or voltage limit on the interface facilities, e.g., a TPL-002 violation.
- Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service are not available to resolve a constraint on a third-party's system. To the extent the SIS identifies an impacted constraint on a third-party's system, the terms and conditions of the Transmission Provider's Tariff applicable to third-party constraints will apply.

If any of these requirements are not met, Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service will not be offered.

5. Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service TSR Application and Study Process

When the ICT receives a TSR for Long-Term PTP Service that is for a duration of one year or longer, the ICT will provide the eligible customer with a SIS Agreement containing Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service study options within 30 days from the date of a completed application submitted on OASIS (clocked by the ICT as the QUEUED time).

5.1. Execution of the System Impact Study Agreement

Within 15 days of receipt of the SIS Agreement, the Customer must execute the agreement and indicate whether it desires the study of either Conditional Firm Service, Planning Redispatch Service, or both. This is the only opportunity that the Customer has to request the study of Conditional Firm Service and/or Planning Redispatch Service.

When a customer executes the SIS Agreement and indicates that it desires to have either or both Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service studied, the ICT has 60 days from the date of execution of a completed SIS Agreement (the clock starts when the ICT receives the SIS Agreement by fax or by email if fax is unavailable to the customer) to provide a completed SIS to the Customer.

5.2. Tender of the System Impact Study and Customer Options

If the SIS provided to the Customer indicates that the full amount of the requested service is available as FIRM service, then the request will be ACCEPTED and the Customer may CONFIRM or WITHDRAW that request for service.

If the SIS provided to the customer indicates that not all of the service requested is available as FIRM service, the SIS will include the amount of FIRM service available as well as any Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service that is available to the Customer. The ICT will then contact the Customer and present the following options (described in greater detail below):

- Option 1 reject the option of partial firm service and execute a FS Agreement for the entire MW amount originally requested;
- Option 2 take all FIRM service that is available and take either of the Conditional Firm Service options or Planning Redispatch Service subject to Biennial Reassessment (with the ability to convert to bridge service after completion of a FS);
- Option 3 take all FIRM service that is available and reject the Conditional Firm Service options and Planning Redispatch Service; or
- Option 4 withdraw the request.

5.3. Management of Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service Options on OASIS

Option 1:

If the Customer chooses Option 1 following the results of the SIS, the ICT will not COUNTEROFFER the TSR for the amount of FIRM service available; rather the request will remain in STUDY status, and the ICT will present the customer with a FS Agreement under the normal timing requirements and process. In other words, the customer will not be allowed to take partial firm service and proceed to FS for the full amount of the original service request.

Upon completion of the FS, the only service that will be offered will be FIRM service for the entire capacity originally requested under the conditions of the upgrades stated in the FS. Once the FS is tendered to the Customer, the ICT will set the status to ACCEPT, and the Customer response proceeds under the normal timing and process.

Option 2:

If the customer chooses Option 2, the ICT will COUNTEROFFER the original request with the amount of FIRM service that is available. The ICT will then instruct the Customer to submit a new TSR on OASIS for the remaining capacity that was requested and identified in the SIS as available Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service, but otherwise identical to the original request, and referencing the Assignment Reference (ARef) of the original request in the Customer Comment field. The ICT will then ACCEPT the second request using the CCO template if the customer has selected the Conditional Firm Service option. If the Customer has selected Planning Redispatch Service, the ICT will ACCEPT the new TSR with Seller Comments noting that it is Planning Redispatch Service.

Prior to confirmation, the Customer may reject either or both TSRs by setting the status to WITHDRAWN or by failing to CONFIRM either TSR within the response time limit following the TSR being set by the ICT to COUNTEROFFERED or ACCEPTED.

If no FIRM service is available, the ICT, following specific customer affirmation, will COUNTEROFFER the original request with the capacity available as Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service if the capacity available is less than MWs requested by the Customer, or ACCEPT the TSR if the entire capacity requested is available as Conditional Firm/Planning Redispatch Service, noting the limitations on the service through either the completion of the CCO template if the Customer has selected Conditional Firm Service, or the inclusion of Seller Comments if the Customer has selected Planning Redispatch Service.

Prior to confirmation, the Customer may reject the counteroffered TSR by setting the status to WITHDRAW or by failing to CONFIRM the counteroffer within the response time limit.

After confirming an offer of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service, the Customer will be required to execute a TSA for that service with the biennial reassessment terms,

regardless of whether the Customer is interested in securing the service as “bridge” service .

If consistent with Section 4 of Attachment D, after reviewing the SIS in which Conditional Firm Service and/or Planning Redispatch Service was studied, the Customer may desire to take Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service as a “bridge” product until certain facilities are constructed. In this case, the customer will be required to CONFIRM the amount of Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service available and execute a TSA for that service that includes biennial reassessment terms. The ICT then will instruct the Customer to submit a new TSR (hereafter the “FS TSR”) for the amount of capacity that was not available as firm, but otherwise identical to original TSR, which shall be referenced in the Customer Comment field. The ICT will then offer a FS Agreement to the Customer based on the SIS associated with the original TSR, but otherwise under the normal timing requirements and process. The FS Agreement must be executed by the Customer under the normal timing requirements, and the request will remain in STUDY status until the FS is tendered to the Customer.

▪

Once the FS has been completed and tendered, the ICT will ACCEPT the TSR and complete the CCO template of the FS TSR accordingly.

If the Customer elects to fund the upgrades identified in the FS and become a bridge customer, the Customer shall CONFIRM the FS TSR, and the ICT will RECALL the Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service TSR if the service has commenced, or ANNUL the Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service TSR if the service has not commenced. The TSA then will be amended to reflect the agreed-upon terms of the upgrades and all terms of the bridge service pending the completion of the facility upgrades.

If the Customer does not elect to fund the upgrades, it shall retain its Conditional Firm Service/Planning Redispatch Service by either setting the FS TSR to WITHDRAWN or failing to CONFIRM the FS TSR within 15 days of it being set to ACCEPTED.

Once upgrades agreed to by the Customer are in service, the ICT will work with the customer to manage the TSR(s) on OASIS.

Option 3:

If the Customer chooses Option 3, the ICT will COUNTEROFFER the original request for the amount of FIRM service that is available, and the TSR will proceed as any long-term firm PTP Service.

6. Biennial Reassessments

For Customers that do not agree to support the construction of new transmission facilities, the Transmission Provider will re-evaluate the hours/conditions under which Conditional Firm Service and Planning Redispatch Service are provided every two years through a biennial reassessment. The Customer shall pay all costs associated with the biennial reassessment. The ICT (on behalf of the Transmission Provider) shall assess the conditions to be placed on the Conditional Firm Service or Planning Redispatch Service based on the immediately subsequent two years of service or the continuation of the term of service, whichever is less.

In the biennial reassessment, the ICT, on behalf of the Transmission Provider, may adjust the conditions or number of hours during which Conditional Firm Service will be conditional or amount of redispatch required to continue Planning Redispatch Service in order to ensure that the continued provision of the service does not impair reliability. This adjustment applies to all flowgates, conditions, hours, and/or amount of redispatch required and is not constrained to the flowgates, conditions, hours, and/or amount of redispatch previously identified for the particular service at issue.

The ICT shall provide the Customer notice of any changes to the curtailment conditions or redispatch obligations no less than 90 days prior to the date for imposition of the new requirements or 30 days prior to the relevant rollover deadline, whichever is earlier. Concurrent with such notice, the ICT will provide the Customer with the biennial reassessment study report, which includes a narrative description of the study, any identified affected third party systems, and the reasons for changes to the number of hours per year or system conditions under which conditional curtailment may occur or the reasons for the changes to the redispatch obligations. The issuance of a biennial reassessment and any associated notifications will occur through the same methods utilized for the issuance and notification of any SIS and/or FS performed in accordance with Attachment D, i.e., the biennial reassessment study report is posted on OASIS utilizing the OASIS ARef of the original TSR as its reference number, and an email notification of the posting is provided to the Customer.

If the Transmission Provider or its ICT determines through its biennial reassessment that the service can no longer be provided reliably, including for failure to meet the requirements of the initial reliability screen, such service will be terminated at the end of the then-current two-year term per section 15.4 of Entergy's OATT.

If the biennial reassessment identifies an affected third-party system, the immediately subsequent two-year term of service cannot commence until an affected system study is conducted by the third party. If the constraints identified on a third-party affected system cannot be resolved through an affected system study and/or the Customer has not communicated the status of the resolution of

such third-party constraints to the Transmission Provider or the ICT within 30 days of the start of service, the Transmission Provider or its ICT will deem that the service can “no longer be reliably provided” and the service will be terminated at the end of the current two-year term. Alternatively, where the Customer communicates that there is reasonable certainty that the third-party constraints will be resolved or that he is diligently working to resolve such constraints at least 30 days prior to the start of service, the Transmission Provider will file an unexecuted TSA to allow the Customer to retain the Transmission Service. The service will not, however, be available for scheduling until all third-party constraints have been resolved.

The Customer may withdraw from the biennial reassessment process, upon receiving the results of its biennial reassessment. If the Customer withdraws from the biennial reassessment process after issuance of the biennial reassessment study report, it may base any decision to continue or terminate service on the results of the biennial reassessment issued prior to the time of their withdrawal.

If the biennial reassessment results show that the specific conditions, hours, or redispatch obligations applicable to the service have changed, the Customer may choose to discontinue the service. The Customer wishing to terminate the service must provide written notice to the ICT and the Transmission Provider at least 30 calendar days prior to the date upon which the specific conditions, hours, or redispatch requirements could change based on the results of the biennial reassessment. The Customer may not terminate the service, however, if one of the following conditions are met:

- The results of the biennial reassessment have “firmed up” the service for the immediately subsequent 2-year term of service assessed.
- The results of the biennial reassessment have “firmed up” the service for the remainder of the term of service.

If the Customer does not provide notice of termination prior to the deadline discussed above, the TSA will be amended to reflect the specific conditions, hours, or redispatch requirements identified through the biennial reassessment and filed with FERC, and the service will continue for the next two-year period subject to the new conditions, hours, or redispatch requirements identified in the biennial reassessment.

During the biennial reassessment, when results indicate that no conditions or constraints exist during the immediately subsequent two-year term of service being assessed, the ICT will utilize the yearly models to determine if the service may become firm for the remaining term of the service (including rollover rights, if applicable). Biennial reassessments will not occur every two years, if, during the last biennial reassessment, no conditions existed for the entirety of the term of

service. In accordance with the results of the biennial reassessment, Conditional Firm Service and/or Planning Redispatch Service may be firm on a long-term basis provided both of the following conditions are met:

- The biennial reassessment identifies no additional constraints or conditions for the provision of that service for the immediately subsequent two-year term of service that is being assessed; and
- The biennial reassessment identifies no additional constraints or conditions for the remainder of the service at issue.

Entergy and its ICT may decide to waive a biennial reassessment in which case the customer will be notified of such waiver at least 90 calendar days prior to the commencement of the immediately subsequent two-year term of service. Any waiver of biennial reassessment will be applied consistently to similarly situated services. "Similarly situated services" are services that meet the following conditions: (1) both services are subject to biennial reassessments within 6 months of each other; (2) both services impact only identical flowgates; and (3) either both services have rollover rights or both services do not have rollover rights.

VII. NETWORK INTEGRATION TRANSMISSION SERVICE

1. Interim Procedures For Providing Attestations For Network Resources

Customers may terminate Network Resource designations, either on a "permanent" or "temporary" basis, in accordance with Sections 30.2 and 30.3 of the Tariff, Section 3.2.2 of Attachment D, and Section 2.2 of Attachment E to the Tariff. Prior to the implementation of NAESB standards related to undesignating Network Resources in accordance with Order No. 890-A and 890-B, the following provisions will govern how customers: (i) submit a request to undesignate an existing Network Resource; (ii) identify whether an undesignation is permanent or temporary; (iii) submit a request to undesignate an existing Network Resource and a request to evaluate it with a new Network Resource ("Alternate Network Resource") simultaneously; (iv) request that the undesignation of an existing Network Resource and a request for Point to Point or Network Transmission Service be studied concomitantly; and (v) identify the amount of capacity from each existing Network Resource to be evaluated for undesignation in order to accommodate the related request(s).

Non Simultaneous Undesignation Requests

Requests to undesignate a Network Resource may be submitted on a "stand-alone" basis, i.e. without being simultaneously submitted with a request to designate an Alternate Network Resource. Consistent with FERC Order 890-A, stand-alone requests to undesignate a Network Resource are not studied by the ICT on behalf of the requesting customer, rather they are studied for reliability

impacts. The costs of the study are not directly assigned to the requesting Customer. If the Customer elects not to CONFIRM the undesignation request, the Customer can WITHDRAW the request prior to the applicable deadline or the request will be RETRACTED and the generating facility will retain its Network Resource status. If the Customer CONFIRMS the undesignation request, the request will go into effect on the date specified, subject to a reliability-must-run agreement or other conditions (if any) agreed to between the Customer and Entergy.

Priority Rights and Capacity Release (Simultaneous Requests)

Prior to the implementation of NAESB standards addressing the processing of undesignation requests and how additional capacity released by undesignation requests should be made available to the market, the following procedures will be followed to ensure that priority rights of competing TSRs are honored and that additional capacity released by undesignation requests is made available to the market based on the priority rights in the Tariff.

The undesignation of a DNR does not result in capacity being released to the market unless and until the Customer CONFIRMS the undesignation request (in the case of a stand-alone undesignation request) or CONFIRMS both the undesignation request and the Alternate Network Resource request (in the case of simultaneously submitted requests). If the undesignation was accompanied by a simultaneous request to redesignate the existing DNR in the future, the capacity release will be temporary, unless the resource is undesignated to the end of the term on the NITSA.

2.1 Undesignation of a Short-Term Network Resource

In order to undesignate all or a portion of a short-term DNR, the Customer shall utilize the following process:

Step 1: Fax Request Form to ICT

The Customer must first provide written notice of its intent to undesignate an existing DNR via facsimile to the ICT Tariff Administration Desk at (501) 851-1856, using the standard form Un-Designation of Designated Network Resources. The form is available at:

<http://www.oatiosis.com/EES/EESdocs/FAXForms%2Ehtm>

On the form, the Customer shall:

- identify the DNR to be undesignated by providing the ARef;
- indicate the capacity of the DNR that will be undesignated; and

- indicate the date and time on which the permanent undesignation should become effective, or the START_TIME and STOP_TIME during which the temporary undesignation should be effective.

Step 2: Confirmation of Receipt Of Request

After sending the facsimile notice form referenced above, the Customer must contact the ICT Tariff Administration Desk to confirm that the ICT has received undesignation form, and review the information provided therein with the ICT.

Step 3: ICT Annulment or Recall

Within the Transmission Provider response time limits described in Section IV.1 of these business practices, the ICT will ANNUL or RECALL the existing DNR, in accordance with information provided by the Customer in the facsimile notice form.

Short term requests for transmission service related to a request for undesignation of a network resource cannot be simultaneously evaluated under the current AFC Process.

2.2 Undesignation of a Long-Term Network Resource

In order to undesignate all or a portion of a long-term DNR, the Customer should conform to the following process:

Step 1: Enter Request(s) on OASIS

In order to indicate to the ICT that the Customer wants to undesignate a long-term DNR permanently or temporarily, the Customer shall submit a 1 MW “proxy” request as a Network Service Request on OASIS.

The 1 MW “proxy” request shall:

- indicate the date and time on which the permanent undesignation should become effective, or the START_TIME and STOP_TIME during which the temporary undesignation should be effective;
- include the following statement in the OASIS customer comment field, “Undesignation of [ARef]; information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.”
- To request simultaneous designation of an **Alternate Network Resource**, the Customer must enter such request on OASIS immediately after entering the request to undesignate the existing Network Resource. The request for alternate designation must include: (i) an attestation in accordance with Section 30.2 of the

Tariff (ii) the AREF of the 1 MW proxy request in the customer comment field, along with the following statement, “Undesignation of [AREf]; information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.” and (iii) all other necessary information required under Section 29.2 of the Tariff.

- To request a clustered study of a **permanent undesignation** and any other request(s) for transmission service, the related requests must be from the same source and submitted proximately in the queue. The 1 MW proxy request shall include (i) an attestation in accordance with Section 30.2 of the Tariff (ii) the AREF(s) of the related transmission service request(s) in the customer comment field along with the following statement, “Undesignation of [AREf]; information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.” and (iii) all other necessary information required under Section 29.2 of the Tariff.
- To request a concomitant study of a **temporary undesignation** and any other request(s) for transmission service, the 1 MW proxy request shall include (i) an attestation in accordance with Section 30.2 of the Tariff (ii) the AREF(s) of the related transmission service request(s) in the customer comment field along with the following statement, “Undesignation of [AREf]; information to follow via email to ICTLTTSR@spp.org.” and (iii) all other necessary information required under Section 29.2 of the Tariff.

Comment [EC6]: Request ICT to include related AREfs in the seller comment field when the 1MW proxy is acted upon.

Comment [EC7]: Request ICT to include related AREfs in the seller comment field when the 1MW proxy is acted upon.

Following the submission of all related TSRs, the Customer should update the customer comment field of the undesignation request and each related TSR with all related AREf(s),

Step 2: Email Request Form to ICT

The Customer must email “Form to Undesignate or Cluster (**Alternate Network Resource Designation) Network Resources**” to ICTLTTSR@spp.org. The form is available at:

<http://www.oatioasis.com/EES/EESdocs/FAXForms%2Ehtm>

On the form, the Customer shall:

- identify the DNR to be undesignated by providing its AREf;
- indicate that it is requesting an undesignation of the relevant DNR in the appropriate column;
- indicate the capacity of the DNR that will be undesignated; and

Comment [EC8]: Currently, this form has a note indicating that it is for “future use” and the form is otherwise blank.

Future time appears to be linked to C, D, and E filing still pending, but per OATT sections 30.3 and Attachment E, and Orders 890-A and 890-B, clustering is required to be done at the request of the customer, and the content of the form is provided on the BP page

Also, I don't think this is the right form

- indicate the date and time on which the permanent undesignation should become effective, or the START_TIME and STOP_TIME during which the temporary undesignation should be effective.
- If an Alternate Network Resource designation or a clustered or concomitant study of the undesignation request and related request(s) for transmission service is desired by the Customer, the ARef(s) of the related transmission service request(s) must also be provided.

Step 3: Confirmation of Receipt of Request

The Customer should receive confirmation from the ICT that it has received the email with the form attached. The ICT may provide such confirmation by email or facsimile.

Step 4: Confirmation of Receipt of Request

For undesignation requests without a related request for transmission service, the ICT will manually ANNUL or RECALL the requested DNR in accordance with the information provided by the Customer..

For undesignation requests with a related request for transmission service, the ICT will issue a SIS Agreement to the Customer in accordance with the Tariff. If the SIS Agreement is executed by the customer and returned to the ICT within the time limits specified in the Tariff, Step 5 below will apply.

2.3 Evaluation of Long-Term Request Coupled with a Related Request for Transmission Service

2.3.1 Simultaneous Designation of an Alternate Network Resource and an Undesignation Request

For requests to simultaneously request designation of an Alternate Network Resource and undesignation of an Existing Network Resource, per OATT Attachment D, the designation will be studied both with the undesignation and without. The Customer will receive two System Impact Study Agreements

If the Customer email notification under Section 2.2 above includes a request to study the Alternate Network Resource request with undesignation of the existing DNR request, the ICT will evaluate the requests in a coordinated manner. The SISs will be conducted in accordance with Section 3.2.2.2 of Attachment D of the Tariff.

If the SIS indicates that the Alternate Network Resource designation request can be accommodated, in whole or part,

without the undesignation of the existing network resource and without the need for transmission upgrades, the Customer may elect to CONFIRM **only** the request to designate the Alternate Network Resource to obtain service. If the Customer fails to CONFIRM any related request by the applicable deadline, unconfirmed requests will be RETRACTED at the end of the deadline.

If the SIS indicates that the Alternate Network Resource designation request can only be accommodated, in whole or part, with the undesignation of the existing resource, but without the need for transmission upgrades, the Customer must CONFIRM both: (i) the request to undesignate the existing DNR; and (ii) the request to designate the Alternate Network Resource to obtain service. If the Customer fails to CONFIRM both requests by the applicable deadline, both requests will be RETRACTED at the end of the deadline, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

If the SIS indicates that transmission upgrades are necessary to accommodate the requests, the ICT will provide the Customer with a FS Agreement (FSA) within the deadlines specified in Entergy's OATT. If the FSA is not executed by the Customer by the applicable deadline, the requests will be RETRACTED by the ICT, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

2.3.2 Clustered Evaluation of a Permanent Undesignation Request with any other Transmission Service Request(s)

For requests to cluster a Permanent Undesignation request with any other Transmission Service Request(s), the requests will be considered as a "Queue Cluster" pursuant to Section 8 of Attachment D.

If the Customer email notification under Section 2.2 above includes a request to cluster the Permanent Undesignation request with any other Transmission Service Request, the ICT will perform a single SIS to evaluate the requests in a coordinated manner. The SIS is conducted in accordance Section 3.2 and Section 8 of Attachment D.

If the SIS indicates that the clustered requests can be accommodated, in whole or part, without the need for transmission upgrades, the Customer must CONFIRM: (i) the request to undesignate the existing DNR; and (ii) any of the desired related Transmission Service request(s). **If the Customer fails to CONFIRM the requests by the applicable deadline, the requests will be**

RETRACTED at the end of the deadline, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

Comment [EC9]: Need to discuss ICT Practices for ensuring that TSRs that are dependent on the requested undesignation are not confirmed without confirmation of the undesignation.

If the SIS indicates that transmission upgrades are necessary to accommodate the requests, the ICT will provide the Customer with a FS Agreement (FSA) within the deadlines specified in Entergy's OATT. If the FSA is not executed by the Customer by the applicable deadline, the requests will be RETRACTED by the ICT, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

2.3.3 Concomitant Evaluation of a Temporary Undesignation Request with any other Transmission Service Request(s)

Requests to concomitantly evaluate any other Transmission Service Request(s) with a Temporary Undesignation request, will be studied pursuant to Attachment E, Section 2.2 (Study Process for Undesignating Network Resources).

If the Customer email notification under Section 2.2 above includes a request to concomitantly evaluate any other Transmission Service Request with the Temporary Undesignation request, the ICT will perform a single SIS to evaluate the requests in a coordinated manner. The SIS is conducted in accordance with Section 3.2 Attachment D and Section 2.2 of Attachment E.

If the SIS indicates that the clustered requests can be accommodated, in whole or part, without the need for transmission upgrades, the Customer must CONFIRM: (i) the request to undesignate the existing DNR; and (ii) any of the desired related Transmission Service request(s). If the Customer fails to CONFIRM the requests by the applicable deadline, the requests will be RETRACTED at the end of the deadline, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

Comment [EC10]: Need to discuss ICT Practices for ensuring that TSRs that are dependent on the requested undesignation are not confirmed without confirmation of the undesignation.

If the SIS indicates that transmission upgrades are necessary to accommodate the requests, the ICT will provide the Customer with a FS Agreement (FSA) within the deadlines specified in Entergy's OATT. If the FSA is not executed by the Customer by the applicable deadline, the requests will be RETRACTED by the ICT, and the DNR will retain its designated Network Resource status.

2.4 Requests Subject to the AFC Process

After the relevant requests are CONFIRMED by Customers, any additional capacity released by the undesignation is made available to other Customers through the Base Case Model updating process for FSs, SISs, and AFC calculations. The TSRs with the highest priority right to capacity released by the undesignation request are the Long-Term PTP Service TSRs and requests to

designate Network Resources on an annual basis that were submitted prior to the undesignation request but remaining pending in the FS queue. The TSRs with the next highest priority are the Long-Term Firm PTP Service and Network Service TSRs that were submitted after the undesignation request and pending in the SIS and the AFC Process. The TSRs with the lowest priority are the Short-Term Firm PTP Service TSRs and Non-Firm TSRs that were submitted after the undesignation request and are pending in AFC Process queue.

Additional capacity released by an undesignation request is made available to each group of TSRs consistent with these priority rights by: (i) updating AFC calculations and the Base Case Models used in FSs and SISs to reflect undesignation requests and higher priority TSRs; (ii) evaluating the TSRs in each queue in the order requests were submitted; and (iii) respecting the preemption rights of higher priority TSRs.

IX. TRANSMISSION INFORMATION

5. CBM & TRM

The Transmission Provider posts its methodology for determination of CBM and TRM for the Transmission Provider's Transmission System on the INFO.HTM webpage on the Transmission Provider's OASIS. The methodology can be accessed by selecting the link on that webpage entitled "CBM/TRM on the Entergy Transmission System" or by selecting the below link:

http://www.oatioasis.com/EES/EESDocs/Entergy%20CBM%20and%20TRM%20Posting%20-%20Nov%202012%20Version%20_LSE%20rev_.pdf

A customer wishing to request CBM may do so by sending an email to AFCDATAREQUEST@entergy.com.



Memorandum

To: Entergy, SPC Stakeholders
From: ICT
Date: December 5, 2011
Re: ICT Position on Entergy's Proposed Changes to Business Practice for the Undesignation of Network Resources

A. Background

Entergy has recently proposed to change its Business Practices regarding the undesignation of Network Resources. Citing FERC Order 890-A compliance, the new Business Practices will result in (i) the practice of performing a System Impact Study for standalone undesignations to be discontinued, (ii) requesting Network Customers will not be required to upgrade any facilities to accommodate any undesignation, and (iii) Entergy will be responsible for mitigating any transmission issues that may arise from the undesignation. The proposed changes also provide that requests for undesignation, in coordination with another request to designate new Network Resources or new Point to Point service, will be evaluated through the System Impact Study process.

B. ICT Position on Proposed Business Practice Changes

The ICT agrees with Entergy that the changes described above are consistent with FERC Order 890-A. However, all undesignation requests, whether standalone or in conjunction with requests for new Network Resources, should be treated equally under the Business Practices. Further, the requesting customer, and any subsequent customer, should not be responsible to mitigate any reliability impacts identified during the process. The ICT is also of the position that any change to the current process should specifically provide for mitigation of reliability impacts caused by an undesignation of a Network Resources.

Presently, requests for undesignation (both standalone and clustered with a redesignation or request for a new Network Resource) are evaluated through the System Impact Study process. The proposed changes would eliminate the study for standalone undesignations, and grant those undesignation requests outright. Again, the ICT agrees that eliminating the System Impact Study is appropriate and in accordance with Order 890-A for all requests to undesignate a Network Resource. However, the ICT believes that a study must be performed in order to determine what transmission issues may exist without

the Network Resource in question, and to determine what, if any, upgrades would be necessary to maintain reliability.

Order 890-A requires that the Transmission Provider be responsible for all reliability issues caused by the undesignation of a Network Resource. The ICT understands that Entergy intends to handle the impact of these undesignations in the real-time environment. The ICT questions whether real-time operations is the proper place to address long-term changes in Network Resources. While acknowledging that this may be an option in the short-term, the Transmission Provider should construct the necessary transmission facilities for reliability impacts in the long-term process. In accordance with Attachment T of the Entergy OATT, any such reliability upgrades necessary to accommodate an undesignation are properly classified as Base Plan upgrades. As such, subsequent Transmission Customers who might impact the facilities required for the undesignation should not be assigned Supplemental Upgrades for those facilities. Entergy, as the Transmission Provider, should be responsible for all upgrades necessary to maintain reliability.

Therefore, the ICT proposes a new study process to evaluate the reliability impacts of undesignations of Network Resources and to determine their cost allocation pursuant to Attachment T. This study process, called the Reliability Study (“RS”), would be performed by the ICT following the submission of a standalone undesignation request. First, the request would be immediately accepted by the ICT Tariff Administration desk. Second, the RS would be performed by the ICT following acceptance of the request to undesignate. The requesting Network Customer would not be charged for the study, nor would the undesignation be contingent upon the study results. Third, following the ICT’s completion of the RS, any upgrades that are necessary to accommodate the undesignation would be classified as Base Plan. The ICT will post an analysis report on OASIS. Finally, if the Network Customer confirms the undesignation request(s), the ICT would then update the then-current Base Plan, as necessary.

The Reliability Study process will prevent customers subsequent in the transmission service queue from being assigned Supplemental Upgrades that were due to undesignation requests that were accepted prior to the subsequent customers’ transmission service request. The ICT is willing to work with Entergy and stakeholders to develop any appropriate procedures, revisions to business practices and tariff changes that may be necessary to implement this Order 890-A requirement.