

**Southwest Power Pool, Inc.**

**FERC ORDER 1000 INFORMATIONAL SEMINAR**

**March 11, 2014**

**Conference Call - Web Conference**

**• M I N U T E S •**

**Goal of Meeting:** The purpose of this meeting was to serve as an informative call for interested parties regarding FERC Order 1000 compliance and processes within the SPP.

**Agenda Item 1: Introductions/Administrative Items**

Ben Bright (SPP) called the meeting to order and informed the group that due to the large attendance there would not be a round of introductions. The attendance was captured via the WebEx attendance. (See Attendance Section at the end of this document).

**Agenda Item 2: Opening Remarks**

Michael Desselle (SPP) opening remarks. Provided history and background of how Order 1000 originated and how it impacts SPP. He gave a brief overview of the Stakeholder process that was undertaken to incorporate SPP compliance with FERC Order 1000. Mr. Desselle informed the group that we would be discussing the Qualified RFP Participant (“QRP”) and Detailed Project Proposal (“DPP”) processes. He stated that the meeting was informational and not intended to be an opportunity to change language or debate processes. There will be a “lessons learned” opportunity to amend processes going forward following the first year.

**Agenda Item 3: Overview of Order 1000 Process**

Ben Bright (SPP) discussed the first slide of the presentation detailing that there are six (6) processes that make-up the implementation of Order 1000 in the SPP. Today’s meeting focused on, Process 1 – Qualified RFP Participants QRP and Process 3 – Detailed Project Proposal DPP. The Transmission Owner Selection Process (“TOSP”), effective January 1, 2015, requires organizations wishing to participate in the competitive bidding for new projects to obtain a QRP status during the 2014 cycle. During this meeting the application process and timelines to obtain this status were discussed in more detail under agenda item 4. Ben Bright informed the group that under agenda item 5, Tony Green (SPP) will be discussing the DPP process and how it relates to the competitive bidding process set to take effect January 1, 2015.

**Agenda Item 4: Qualified RFP Participants (QRP) Process**

Ben Bright gave a disclaimer and informed the group that the Tariff governs and ultimately has the final ruling for any disputes. If there is a doubt as to procedure, one should refer to the Tariff and follow accordingly.

#### **Agenda Item 4(a): QRP Application Instructions**

Ben Bright walked through the application instructions document that was provided in the meeting materials. The document will serve as a guide for applicants when applying for QRP status in the SPP, for the 2015 TOSP process. Several questions and answers were recorded for this section and can be reviewed at the end of the minutes under the Questions & Answers (“Q&A”) section, Agenda Item 4: Qualified RFP Participants Process.

#### **Agenda Item 4(b): QRP Application**

Ben Bright walked through the application that was provided in the meeting materials. This document is considered to be in “final form”; however, SPP reserves the right to amend as needed. Applicants will be able to use this form in combination with the instruction document to complete their application. It was noted that the Requested Management System (“RMS”) will be the primary tool for submitting applications. More information can be found about this in the Q&A section under, Agenda Item 4: Qualified RFP Participants Process.

#### **Agenda Item 5: Detailed Project Proposal**

Tony Green explained the background and provided specifics of the Detailed Project Proposal process. DPP applicants may receive bonus points in the competitive bidding process for DPP solutions chosen for the portfolio of projects approved by the SPP Board. Previously considered projects in the ITP process will not be eligible for consideration as a DPP. This will include any projects in the portfolio for the 2013 ITP20 and 2012-2013 ITPNT, as well as any projects discussed in the working groups.

#### **Agenda Item 5(a): Detailed Overview**

Tony Green discussed the timelines, submission requirements, model releases, and other items related to the overall DPP process. Many questions were asked that can provide more detail around this process; see Q&A section under Agenda Item 5: Detailed Project Proposal.

#### **Agenda Item 6: Announcements and Adjournment**

Ben Bright announced that there will be another opportunity for interested parties to hear the same information on March 17, 2014 via a conference call/WebEx session from 1-4 pm. The group was adjourned following the announcement.

#### **FERC Order 1000 Summit Background Materials 031114**

<http://www.spp.org/publications/FERC%20Order%201000%20Summit%20Background.zip>

## QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

### **Agenda Items 3: Overview of Order 1000 Process – Ben Bright, SPP**

**Ben Bright** gave disclaimer and informed the group that the Tariff governs and ultimately has the final ruling for any disputes. If there is a doubt as to procedure, one should refer to the Tariff and follow accordingly.

**Question: Kip Fox, AEP** - Are all changes to the Tariff in the same section of the Tariff (i.e., Attachment Y)?

**Answer:** The changes are in both Attachment Y and Attachment O, and will take effect on March 30, 2014.

**Question: Bill Dowling, Midwest Energy** – How will the makeup of the Industry Expert Panel (“IEP”) be determined?

**Answer:** SPP will be soliciting for applicants to become approved to participate in a pool of IEP members. IEP panels of 3-5 pool members will be selected per the Oversight Committee for each RFP. IEP candidates shall have documented expertise on file with the Transmission Provider in one or more of the following areas: *(Per the SPP Tariff Section III Attachment Y)*

- (1) Electric transmission engineering design
- (2) Electric transmission project management and construction
- (3) Electric transmission operations
- (4) Electric transmission rate design and analysis
- (5) Electric transmission finance.

The applications will go to the Oversight Committee, at which time a IEP Pool recommendation will be created then submitted to SPP Board. The Board will approve the Pool. Once a RFP is published, the Oversight Committee will determine an IEP panel of 3-5 experts for each RFP. The IEP (panel) will provide a recommendation and one alternate DTO back to the Board, and the Board will select the RFP.

**Follow Up** – Is SPP anticipating that people who apply to be an industry expert will come from QRPs in SPP or are there restrictions around that?

**Answer:** The tariff says any affiliations will have to be documented and the Oversight Committee will be required to vet any conflicts of interest when it comes time for the Oversight Committee to use the Pool to determine a specific IEP for each RFP.

**Question: Kip Fox, AEP** - Because we do not know what projects will be approved in 2015, how will companies apply to become a QRP in the absence of approved projects and can companies partner to build a project?

**Answer:** Companies may partner. Any company will need to be a QRP in order to apply and be awarded a RFP. Once a RFP is awarded, companies can partner, as long as both are a QRP. RFPs will be issued starting 1/1/15 with the TOSP. In addition, RFPs cannot be assigned or novated. There is also a “Alternate Qualifying Entity” option, whereby one company can rely on another company to meet some of the managerial criteria (note, the AQE option only applies to the managerial criteria and not the financial or membership criteria).

**Question: Terri Gallup, AEP** – At what time on June 30, 2014 does an applicant have to have their QRP application submitted?

**Answer:** Tariff simply says a QRP application has to be submitted by June 30<sup>th</sup>.

**Agenda Items 4(a-b): QRP Process – Ben Bright, SPP**

**Question: Bruce Cude, Xcel Energy** – On deficiencies (QRP application-related) is it expected to have these taken care of the first couple of months after the deadline date or can it drag out over a period of time?

**Answer:** SPP has to notify an applicant by September 30<sup>th</sup>, then the applicant has 30 days to cure the deficiencies (i.e., October 30<sup>th</sup>).

**Follow Up** – By the end of the year, should all be squared away and we will know who will be approved QRPs?

**Answer:** Yes.

**Question: Kip Fox, AEP** – If a new line is built in another part of the country (outside SPP footprint) and my asset value goes way up, does that trigger an update required to SPP?

**Answer:** As long as you continue to meet the requirements per the Tariff, then you will be ok. We suggest that anytime anything changes of value it would be worthy of a conversation with SPP.

**Follow Up Answer - Dennis Reed, Westar (Chairman of RTWG)** – “Material Changes” is the language used in the Tariff. Dennis suggested that if it is determined that material changes are made, SPP should be notified.

**Question: Marka Shaw, Exelon** – Slide shows that QRP status is good for 5 years but must recertify annually. To clarify, does this mean you must recertify every 5 years or recertify every year?

**Answer:** Initial QRP status is good for 5 years. Every year you must recertify that you haven’t had any material changes. Then, in the 6<sup>th</sup> year you would have to reapply for new QRP status.

**Question: Terri Gallup, AEP** – Is the QRP application form provided in the meeting materials final or are there going to be changes following the call?

**Answer:** SPP feels the provided QRP application is accurate and do not foresee any changes; however, we (SPP) reserve the right to make changes, if needed.

**Question: Terri Gallup, AEP** – Is the Requested Management System (“RMS”) signup available today?

**Answer:** Yes, we (SPP) encourage you to be proactive and register for the RMS if you have not already or do not currently use it. If you currently have ability to use the RMS then no registration will be required.

**Follow Up - Kip Fox, AEP** – Looking at some questions on the form, is there enough space in the RMS fields to answer questions that could require long responses or support information?

**Answer:** Yes, the RMS allows you to upload specific documents and not have to fill in the box in the RMS.

**RMS Additional Information:** The only attachment limitation we are currently aware of for RMS is that users are limited to 3 document uploads at one time for a total of 50MB; however, there is no limit to how many times you can upload 3 documents up to 50MB.

**Follow Up Question: Bill Dowling, Midwest Energy** – An applicant would download the application form and fill out the form, correct?

**Answer:** SPP - Yes, you would download the application and fill in the form and upload any supporting documents to RMS.

**Bill Dowling, Midwest Energy** - Things we will add in are listed, etc., and then we provide attachments to support?

**(SPP) Ben Bright,** Yes, there is an area in the RMS to provide attachments. Recommend possibly putting answer in a Word document to be attached in the RMS with a reference to the attachment.

**Questions: Patrick Smith, Westar** - If an established utility with plenty of transmission throughout the footprint is going to submit a subsidiary to become a QRP, does that applicant answer the questions on the application with a “no” as far as experience or from the perspective of the parent company?

**Answer:** Attachment Y Section III Managerial Criteria, states that an applicant can meet criteria on its own or by relying on other entities. (Following language per referenced Tariff section)

*An Applicant can demonstrate that it meets the managerial criteria either on its own or by relying on one or more entities to satisfy any of the managerial criteria (“Alternate Qualifying Entity (ies)”). If the Applicant seeks to satisfy the managerial criteria in whole or in part by relying on one or more Alternate Qualifying Entity(ies), the Applicant must*

*submit: materials demonstrating to the Transmission Provider's satisfaction that the Alternate Qualifying Entity(ies) meet(s) the managerial criteria for which the Applicant is relying upon the Alternate Qualifying Entity(ies) to satisfy.*

**Question: Jim Hotovy, NPPD** – Is information being provided to become a QRP the same information used in the RFP process to award points or will it be information supplied with the RFP proposal, as well?

**Answer:** It can be the same or similar information that is required per the Tariff for submission in the QRP and RFP processes. An applicant would be required to resubmit the information in the RFP process, as well.

**Matt Binette, Wright & Talisman** adding – The two processes have different requirements and are designed to address different issues - QRP is intended to determine if an entity is qualified in general to build projects generally. RFP is intended to determine which RFP respondent is the best entity to build a specific project.

**Question: Todd Fridley, Transource Energy** – Expecting qualification answers are meant to list basics correct? For example, an answer about control centers could be, we have a control center 24/7, etc., not exact detail on that control center.

**Answer:** We do not have preconceived interpretations and do not wish to interpret the Tariff here. An applicant will need to provide sufficient information to what the Tariff asks for and, if that information is later deemed deficient, there is a cure period.

**Question: Paul Malone, NPPD** - Eminent domain question. Does the entity have eminent domain or does it have experience dealing with eminent domain procedures?

**Answer: Matt Binette, Wright & Talisman** – it can be either. If an entity has eminent domain authority that would be sufficient, or if the entity has the ability or a process to acquire eminent domain, the entity should provide that information.

**Question: Terri Gallup, AEP** - In Part 1 (QRP application), would it be possible to add to the document if entity plans to partner or use an Alternate Qualifying Entity?

**Answer:** The Managerial Criteria section of the Tariff does allow the use of alternate qualify entities. We (SPP) will add information about alternate qualifying entity into Part 1 of Section 5 of the QRP Application.

**Question: Todd Fridley, Transource Energy** – If an applicant is already a member of SPP, does the fee apply? (Section 6 of QRP application)

**Answer:** The proposal in front of FERC is that the fee would not apply. However, this is pending at FERC.

**Follow Up** - Will FERC decide on this prior to June 30?

**Answer:** SPP is unaware if there will be a ruling prior to June 30.

**Question: Kip Fox, AEP** - Can you be sure to put log-in instructions in QRP document?

**Answer:** The link to the RMS is included on page 3 of the QRP Application Instructions. A link to the instructions will be added to the application. The SPP.org website will have an Order 1000 page added off the Engineering link. This is currently in development.

**Question: Daniel Hodges-Copple, Cleanline Energy** – In reference to deficiency as a QRP on the application, is an applicant able to withdraw an application if it does not feel they will be able to cure the deficiencies in the window?

**Answer:** Yes, an applicant may withdraw an application. The fee is non-refundable per the Tariff.

**Question: Jake Langthorn, OG&E** – When providing documentation needed, more detail around what is exactly required would be helpful. This information could be 1000 pages or could be very small. Currently it is a little broad and anything we can do to narrow that down would help the process.

**Answer:** Thank You, Ok. At this point we will not be providing any detail to “narrow down” requirements that are needed.

#### **Agenda Item 5: Detailed Project Proposal**

Tony Green explained the background and provided specifics of the Detailed Project Proposal process. DPP applicants may receive bonus points in the competitive bidding process for DPP solutions chosen for the portfolio of projects approved by the SPP Board. Previously considered projects in the ITP process will not be eligible for consideration as a DPP. This will include any projects in the portfolio for the 2013 ITP20 and 2012-2013 ITPNT, as well as any projects discussed in the working groups.

**Question: Kip Fox, AEP** – In the first step window, transmission planning response window, are the models running prior to this?

**Answer:** Yes, the models will be running as currently scheduled.

**Question: Alan Myers, ITC Great Plains** – Is the 30 day window on response by calendar or business days?

**Answer:** Transmission Planning Response Window is based on 30 Calendar Days. The cure period following the response is based on 10 business days to cure any deficiencies.

**Question: Bruce Cude, Xcel**– Will the models be posted prior to the needs assessment being published?

**Answer:** Yes, the models will be posted prior to the Needs Assessment being published.

**Question: Kip Fox, AEP** - Can the RMS handle IDEVs, PSSCPSS/E files, etc.?

**Answer:** Yes, it has been verified internally that the RMS can handle this. Refer to Business Practice Revision (“BPR”) 049, which was approved at MOPC in January 2014. BPR 049 and 050 will be consolidated and the language will change from email submission to RMS submission.

The only RMS limitation is that a total of 50MB can be uploaded at one time, and no more than 3 files at one time. If a single file is larger than 50MB, an alternative solution would be needed to receive those files.

**Question: Jerrod Nelson, Xcel** – Is combining BPR 049 and 050 going to provide clarification around how SPP will consider these submissions?

**Answer:** It will clarify to some degree how SPP will determine who is awarded 100 bonus points. BPR 049 and 050 will be combined into one Business Practice. BPR 049 has been approved at MOPC and BPR 050 is going to MOPC in April 2014.

**Jerrod Nelson, Follow Up** – Is BPR 050 intended to identify project similarity but not quality of DPP submission?

**Answer:** Yes. BPR 050 is intended for use in identifying criteria for considering whether a DPP submittal is the same or similar to another DPP submittal in awarding the 100 bonus points. This is not used for selecting or not selecting a project for the final portfolio.

**Question:** For questions around this form (DPP form), is the correct forum to ask questions the Business Practice Working Group (BPWG)?

**Answer:** Yes.

**Question:** Is SPP going to send deficiency notice on every DPP or only on specific ones.

**Answer:** SPP will send email detailing that the DPP is missing xyz, you now have 10 days to cure. If you are not deficient, you will receive notification that your DPP is qualified to continue through the ITP process. All DPPs will receive one of the two notices.

**Question: Kip Fox, AEP** - I submit to SPP the DPP once, then the window opens and I submit same information again?

**Answer:** Yes. SPP will adjust to use only one tracking number during an ITP cycle and allow for a “refer to DPPxxx” as a submission and provide the “selected” letter. However, the tariff requires the proponent to “resubmit the information required by Section III.8.b(1) of Attachment O, including identification of the need(s) in the ITP assessment that the DPP is proposed to solve. Therefore, all information submitted in the original DPP would need to be resubmitted – not just a reference to an earlier DPP tracking # as discussed. (Per Matt Binette Wright & Talisman)



**Follow Up Answer: Dennis Reed, Westar** – Since an applicant may have to submit and explain any changes, is it possible this could carry forward through process.

**Question: Bruce Cude, Xcel** – What would happen if an applicant didn't resubmit a DPP and someone else submitted the same one? Would there be any incentive points given?

**Answer: Matt Binette, Wright & Talisman** - The Tariff states that a submission that is "equivalent" to a DPP submitted in an earlier ITP assessment would not be eligible for points. Thus, even if the original submitter did not "renew" the DPP by resubmitting it, the second submitter would not receive points. However, SPP is allowed to choose the project regardless of whether it was renewed or not – it just won't be a "DPP" and nobody will receive points.

**Question: Kip Fox, AEP** - Are the models going to be placed on TrueShare and everyone get a TrueShare ID?

**Answer:** SPP will use the same process for the release of our models that we have today. SPP uses Ventyx ProMod software. We will use this going forward. **We will post instructions to get to the models with the materials for this meeting.**

**Question: Kip Fox, AEP** -What about updates/corrections to models? Is there a process for corrections so everyone gets them at the same time?

**Answer:** SPP will follow the current process. Important upcoming model dates are as follows:

Models: ITP 10 – 3/21 + 3 weeks = 4/17

ITP Near Term – 4/17 + 4 weeks = 5/17

**Question: Terri Gallup, AEP** – What planning summits go along with this? (ITP process)

**Answer:** Planning summits have not been finalized. SPP will be issuing summit notices once finalized for the working groups.

**Question: Terri Gallup, AEP** - Is June 1 – June 30 the window for ITP Near Term?

**Answer:** Yes

**Question: Jerrod Nelson, Xcel** – It appears we are thinking out these processes still, for Board approval will the postings be at the same time?

**Answer:** Yes.

**Follow Up** - Will bidding windows open at same time?

**Answer:** No, it depends on when the Board approves the RFP. Once RFP is approved, the 90 day window begins for QRPs to respond. The RFPs can be spread out over time for issuance. (See Tariff language Attachment Y below)

*i) The Transmission Provider shall issue each RFP by or before the later of: (1) seven (7) calendar days after approval of the Competitive Upgrade by the SPP Board of Directors; or (2) eighteen (18) months prior to the date that anticipated financial expenditure is needed for a Competitive Upgrade. The RFP shall be issued only to QRPs.*

**Southwest Power Pool, Inc.**  
**FERC ORDER 1000 INFORMATIONAL SEMINAR**  
**March 11, 2014**  
**Conference Call - Web Conference**  
**• ATTENDANCE LIST •**

Name	Email	Date
Ben Bright	bbright@spp.org	3/11/2014
Cindy Ireland (AR PSC)	cireland@psc.state.ar.us	3/11/2014
Liam Noailles	liam.d.noailles@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Sue Chilson	schilson@atcllc.com	3/11/2014
Cary Frizzell (SPP)	cfrizzell@spp.org	3/11/2014
Don Le	don.le@nexteraenergy.com	3/11/2014
Robert Safuto (Customized Energy Solutions)	rsafuto@ces-ltd.com	3/11/2014
Cary Frizzell (SPP)	cfrizzell@spp.org	3/11/2014
Joe Taylor	joseph.c.taylor@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Mark Edstrom	mark.a.edstrom@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Steve Hardebeck	hardebsm@oge.com	3/11/2014
Jeff Stebbins (TCEC)	jstebbins@tcec.coop	3/11/2014
Renee Braun	rbraun@sunflower.net	3/11/2014
Jake Langthorn (OG)	langthjs@oge.com	3/11/2014
Roosevelt Huggins	hugginsr@bv.com	3/11/2014
Eddie Rothermel	erothermel@grda.com	3/11/2014
Jack Madden	jack.madden@gdsassociates.com	3/11/2014
Kip Fox (AEP)	kmfox@aep.com	3/11/2014
Paul Malone	pjmalon@nppd.com	3/11/2014
Sing Tay	taysh@oge.com	3/11/2014
Marty Jacobsen	martin.jacobsen@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Robert Pick	rjpick@nppd.com	3/11/2014
Mark	mdgragert@oppd.com	3/11/2014
Dave Insinger	dinsinger@oppd.com	3/11/2014
Allen Ackland	allen.ackland@kcpl.com	3/11/2014
Josh Verzal	jverzal@oppd.com	3/11/2014
Bryce Bowie	bbowie@spp.org	3/11/2014
Matt Binette	binette@wrightlaw.com	3/11/2014
Tom DeBaun (KCC)	t.debaun@kcc.ks.gov	3/11/2014
Harold Wyble (KCPL)	harold.wyble@kcpl.com	3/11/2014

Alex Watkins SPP	awatkins@spp.org	3/11/2014
Garrick Nelson (WAPA-UGP)	gnelson@wapa.gov	3/11/2014
Blaine Erhardt	berhardt@bepc.com	3/11/2014
Alan Myers	amyers@itcgreatplains.com	3/11/2014
Lane Elmer	lane.m.elmer@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Duane Ripperger	duane.ripperger@zcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Jim Bixby	jbixby@itctransco.com	3/11/2014
Randy Root	rroot@grda.com	3/11/2014
Steve Drew	drewsr@oge.com	3/11/2014
Pat Hayes (Ameren)	phayes@ameren.com	3/11/2014
Simbarashe Musasa	simbarashe.musasa@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Adam Mummert	amummert@burnsmcd.com	3/11/2014
Ben Roubique (PWR Solutions)	broubique@spp.org	3/11/2014
Alison Hayes	ahayes@spp.org	3/11/2014
Adam Bell	abell@spp.org	3/11/2014
Sharma	asharma@aep.com	3/11/2014
Tom Littleton (OMPA)	tptconsultants@cox.net	3/11/2014
Art	arwiese@nppd.com	3/11/2014
Shawn Schukar	sschukar@ameren.com	3/11/2014
David Brown	dbrown@swca.com	3/11/2014
Tim Owens (NPPD)	tjowens@nppd.com	3/11/2014
Jeff	jlellis@aep.com	3/11/2014
Eric Burkey	eburkey@ameren.com	3/11/2014
Mark Hamilton	hamiltma@oge.com	3/11/2014
Thanh Tran (BMCD)	tntran@burnsmcd.com	3/11/2014
David Brian	david.brian@gdsassociates.com	3/11/2014
Midwest Energy	nmcneil@mwenergy.com	3/11/2014
Tao Yang	tyang@aep.com	3/11/2014
Neal Faltys	nfaltys@oppd.com	3/11/2014
Kirk Hall	khall@spp.org	3/11/2014
Jim Hotovy	jrhotov@nppd.com	3/11/2014
M.Gomes	matthew.gomes@nee.com	3/11/2014
Chris Jones	cjones@datcllc.com	3/11/2014
Todd Fridley	todd.fridley@kcpl.com	3/11/2014
Gayle Freier	gfreier@spp.org	3/11/2014
Dennis Reed	dennis.l.reed@westarenergy.com	3/11/2014
Stacy Bailen	stacy.bailen@fpl.com	3/11/2014
Bill Leung (NPRB)	bleung@bjleung.com	3/11/2014
Jim Krajecki (Customized Energy Solutions)	jkrajecki@ces-ltd.com	3/11/2014
Jeff Knottek	jeff.knottek@cityutilities.net	3/11/2014
J. Fultz	jfultz@grda.com	3/11/2014

Leslie Sink	lsink@spp.org	3/11/2014
Wendy Mahling	wendy.b.mahling@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Olu Fagbemiro	ofagbemiro@burnsmcd.com	3/11/2014
Kaustubh Deshmukh	kdeshmukh@itctransco.com	3/11/2014
Dona Parks	dparks@grda.com	3/11/2014
Jason Schmidt (Xcel)	jason.e.schmidt@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Katy Onnen (KCP&L)	katy.onnen@kcpl.com	3/11/2014
Ryan Tyler	ryan.tyler@fpl.com	3/11/2014
Keith Tynes (ETEC/NTEC/TEXLA)	keith.tynes@gdsassociates.com	3/11/2014
Amber Greb	agreb@spp.org	3/11/2014
Sanjoy Sarawgi	sksarawgi@aep.com	3/11/2014
Scott	scott.benortham@westarenergy.com	3/11/2014
742 247 819	blux@spp.org	3/11/2014
larry holloway	lholloway@kansaspowerpool.org	3/11/2014
David Hancock	dhancock@burnsmcd.com	3/11/2014
Brad Schwarz (Hunt Power)	bschwarz@huntpower.com	3/11/2014
Joe Lang	jlang@les.com	3/11/2014
Dee Edmondson	dedmondson@spp.org	3/11/2014
Marka Shaw	marka.shaw@exeloncorp.com	3/11/2014
Tim Soles	tim_soles@oxy.com	3/11/2014
Susan Henderson (Xcel Energy)	susan.f.henderson@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Tony Hunziker	ahunziker@misoenergy.org	3/11/2014
Bill Pezalla	bill.pezalla@apexcleanenergy.com	3/11/2014
Daniel Hodges-Copple	dhodgescopple@cleanlineenergy.com	3/11/2014
alan	a_derichsweiler@wfec.com	3/11/2014
Tessie Kentner	tkentner@spp.org	3/11/2014
Randy Collier (CUS)	randy.collier@cityutilities.net	3/11/2014
rharold	rharold@pwrsl.biz	3/11/2014
Mike Herron	mherron@grda.com	3/11/2014
Bryan Rushing	brushing@quanta-technology.com	3/11/2014
Rick	rickrunning@hotmail.com	3/11/2014
Sandeep Baidwan	sbaidwan@lspower.com	3/11/2014
Al Taylor (East Texas Coops)	ataylor@bbrslaw.com	3/11/2014
Jason Terhune	jterhune@spp.org	3/11/2014
Bill Leung (NPRB)	bleung@bjleung.com	3/11/2014
Pennybaker, Robert (AEP)	rlpennybaker@aep.com	3/11/2014
Adam Hickman	ajhickman@aep.com	3/11/2014
Moses Rotich	mrotich@spp.org	3/11/2014
Bruce Grabow	bgrabow@lockelord.com	3/11/2014
Ron Thompson	rfthomp@nppd.com	3/11/2014
Brian Westerhaus	brian.westerhaus@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014

Ben Bright	bbright@spp.org	3/11/2014
Walter Cecil	walter.cecil@psc.mo.gov	3/11/2014
Jason Schmidt	jason.e.schmidt@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Matt Binette	binette@wrightlaw.com	3/11/2014
Tao Yang	tyang@aep.com	3/11/2014
Art	arwiese@nppd.com	3/11/2014
Bruce Grabow	bgrabow@lockelord.com	3/11/2014
Cary Frizzell (SPP)	cfrizzell@spp.org	3/11/2014
Robert Shields	rshields@aecc.com	3/11/2014
OPPD CR3	dinsinger@oppd.com	3/11/2014
Tao Yang	tyang@aep.com	3/11/2014
Jeff Dodd	jdodd@ameren.com	3/11/2014
Bennie Weeks	bennie.weeks@xcelenergy.com	3/11/2014
Joe Ghormley	jghormley@spp.org	3/11/2014