SPP- MISO Monthly Conference Call  
August 6th, 2014

• Agenda •

9:00 a.m. – 10:00 a.m. CDT

1) Administrative Items  
   a. Review of Agenda

MISO requested an additional agenda item be added. SPP agreed and an agenda item on “General Coordination” was added.

   b. Approval of last meeting’s minutes

SPP and MISO agreed minutes from the July JPC call would be approved via email following the call. Once those minutes are approved they will be posted on both the SPP and MISO websites.

   c. Review of action items
      i. Schedule meeting to discuss modeling issues in the CSP.

A meeting was set up following the July JPC call to discuss modeling issues in the joint study.

2) Added Agenda Item – General Coordination

MISO and SPP staff had a discussion about improving coordination efforts between the two RTO’s including attendance at each other’s respective stakeholder meetings.

3) EPA 111(d) Activities

MISO provided SPP with a presentation outlining how MISO is handling EPA 111(d) prior to the meeting. MISO briefly discussed the slides and gave an overview of their approach. MISO stated the main forum of discussion on this topic will be in their Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) Meetings. SPP asked if any changes as a result of 111(d) would be made to MISO’s current MTEP process. MISO’s MTEP futures includes a Public Policy future that captures potential impacts of the EPA 111(d) initiatives. Similarly SPP stated the SPP ITP10 process included two futures. Future 2 is a reduced base load generation future. While it was not developed to address the impacts of 111(d) it is probably a closer representation of the 111(d) impacts than Future 1. MISO asked SPP at what meetings will they discuss this topic. SPP staff on the call did not have the information available and took it down as an action item to provide what meetings and forums to MISO by the next call. SPP and MISO agreed it would be beneficial for both sides to start having conversations on the issue more regularly.
At the conclusion of this agenda item the time allotted for the call had run out. SPP and MISO agreed to have a follow up call the next week to discuss the remaining items that were not covered.

4) IPSAC Meetings
   a. Agenda Items for the September IPSAC Meeting
MISO and SPP discussed potential agenda items including review of historical and projected congestion analysis, issues list, a refresher of developer selection process for each RTO, an overview of solution submittal process for the joint study, and an update on the coordinated reliability assessment.

5) Coordinated Studies
   a. CSP Model Development
   b. MISO asked SPP about a recent presentation at their July MOPC meeting regarding EPA status. MISO’s question was specific to approximately 3,000 MW of generation retirements as a current known impact. MISO asked whether those MWs were related to carbon initiatives or current regulations. SPP did not have the answer and will follow up. Congestion Analysis
SPP and MISO discussed at what point the historic congestion data would be updated to include the integration of MISO South and SPP’s Day 2 market operations.
   c. Schedule
SPP mentioned needing to include the coordinated reliability assessment dates into the detailed study schedule.

6) MTEP and ITP Status Updates
MISO provided an update on the MTEP studies. The third draft of the MTEP 14 report was posted on September 8th for review. Comment deadline is September 29th. MTEP 14 includes 367 Appendix A projects totaling $1.78 billion in project costs. The deadline to submit new reliability projects to MTEP 15 is September 15th. The next round of Sub regional Planning Meetings (SPMs) will be in December 2014.

7) Models
8) Generation Interconnections (that may affect the seam)
   a. Procedure Language
SPP informed MISO that they would be sending along their recent edits to the Generator Interconnection language this week. MISO and SPP will set up a meeting to discuss next steps on the document.

9) Transmission Service Requests (that may affect the seam)
10) Generation Retirements (that may affect the seam)
11) Transmission projects (that may affect the seam)
12) Policy Issues Affecting the seam
13) New Business