SPP- MISO Monthly Conference Call
September 8th, 2014

• Meeting Notes •
10:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m. CDT

1) Administrative Items
   a. Review of Agenda
   b. Approval of last meeting’s minutes

The minutes from the August JPC call will be approved via email following the meeting and posted on the SPP and MISO websites.

c. Review of action items
   i. SPP to provide MISO the forums / meetings where SPP will be discussing 111(d)

SPP reported to MISO that the majority of the conversations regarding EPA regulation 111(d) will take place at SPP’s Strategic Planning Committee Meetings. SPP also added the next SPC meeting will take place on September 19th.

2) IPSAC Meetings
   a. Agenda Items for the October IPSAC Meeting

MISO is reviewing the draft agenda for the October 7th SPP-MISO IPSAC Meeting. SPP sent the draft to MISO prior to the JPC Call. SPP and MISO will continue to review the draft agenda. Some of the possible agenda items to be discussed are:

• Scope Review
• Model Development
• Issues Review
   o Historical Congestion Analysis
   o Project Congestion Analysis
• Economic Solution Development Process
• Sensitivities
• Reliability Assessment
• Transmission Developer Selection Process
Next Steps

3) Coordinated Studies
   a. Schedule

SPP and MISO discussed the joint study schedule and gauged the overall progress of the study.

b. 1000 MW contract path modeling

MISO addressed stakeholder comments that were sent into the SPP-MISO CSP requesting the 1000 MW contract path be included in the base case model for the study. SPP responded by saying it was previously agreed by both parties to treat the contract path as a sensitivity rather than being in the base case. It was also noted that going back and adding the contract path in the base case would considerably push back the study schedule.

SPP and MISO agreed it was time to start the discussion on how to best model the constraint. An action item was taken to set up a call to begin the conversation.

4) MTEP and ITP Status Updates

MISO provided a brief update on where they were in their MTEP process. SPP asked the question if an interregional project were to be submitted into the MTEP how would it be analyzed. MISO stated that interregional projects are not solicited for the regional planning process rather all seams projects are considered through MISO’s joint planning process. For example an SPP-MISO seams project would be analyzed in the SPP-MISO Coordinated System Plan. MISO added there is nothing in the tariff that prevents an interregional project from being considered in the MTEP but currently the preferred forum is the CSP.

SPP provided a brief update on where they are in ITP process. SPP stated they are currently working on project grouping in the ITP10. SPP also made MISO aware that a tariff defined process was coming to approve an interregional project. A task force under the Seams Steering Committee is working on a Seams Project White Paper. An action item was taken to send MISO the most current version of the paper.

5) Models
   a. LOLE Model Review

Agenda item was removed.

6) Generation Interconnections (that may affect the seam)
   a. Procedure Language
SPP stated staff is still working on the Generation Interconnection procedure language. SPP will send the updated version to MISO once it is complete.

7) Transmission Service Requests (that may affect the seam)
   a. Procedure Language

SPP stated staff is still working on the Transmission Service procedure language. SPP will send the updated version to MISO once it is complete.

8) Generation Retirements (that may affect the seam)
   a. Discuss Retirements from SPP’s Presentation

SPP provided an update to a question posed by MISO regarding the discussion of unit retirements at the July Markets and Operations Policy Committee. SPP stated that those retirements were based on an informal survey conducted by SPP operational staff to understand stakeholder expectations of the impacts of various EPA regulations, both in effect and proposed. The data gathered from that survey was not directly incorporated into the ITP as it was informal and may not be indicative of actual stakeholder firm plans.

9) Transmission projects (that may affect the seam)
   a. Kings River to Shipe Road Discussion

SPP informed MISO of the Arkansas Public Service Commission’s order to reevaluate the need for the Kings River to Shipe Road transmission line.

10) Policy Issues Affecting the seam

No Report.

11) New Business

No New Business.