

**Southwest Power Pool
GAS ELECTRIC COORDINATION TASK FORCE MEETING
February 27, 2015 9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Conference Call/WebEx**

• Summary of Action Items •

NOTE: The list below includes the Item Number used in the GECTF Action Item list.

1. (101) Determine if Revision Request type process can/should be implemented for the EOP or other similar documents.
2. (102) Create list of changes based on Alstom's Impact Assessment to SPP. This is for members to determine downstream impacts. This should include API/UI information.
3. (103) SPP Staff to develop a bullet list for a discussion related to fuel management information that can be shared. a) Executive level, b) operational level
4. (104) John Rhea to discuss code of conduct potential issues with RCWG and provide information to GECTF.
5. (105) Joe Ghormley to confirm the timeframe for expected response to the FERC Order related to the gas day. Information to be provided to GECTF.
6. (106) Include in April MOPC meeting a status of the FERC Order related to the gas day with the information available at the time. Separate GECTF call if the Order is received in time.

**Southwest Power Pool
GAS ELECTRIC COORDINATION TASK FORCE MEETING
February 27, 2015 9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Conference Call/WebEx**

• M I N U T E S •

Agenda Item 1 – Administrative Items (Jake Langthorn)

GECTF Chair Jake Langthorn called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

The attendance information will be attached at a later time.

Agenda Item 2 – Review Action Item List (Kathy Myhand)

The action item list of In Progress items were reviewed. Updated were added to the status column in the list.

The Emergency Operating Plan (EOP) is not intended for inclusion in the new Revision Request process.

Agenda Item 3 – Marketplace Timeline Cost Update (Don Shipley/Jodi Woods)

Two internal meetings were held at SPP and the process to evaluate the cost has begun.

Jodi Woods provided an update.

Adjusting the timeline and shortening the duration of the process are both included in the requested estimate. An Impact Assessment Request was sent to Alstom. This occurred through the normal MPRR process as a draft version. Alstom is working on their internal process in order to provide a response. There is not a lot of system time related software changes that will be needed. The timeline adjustment is a configurable parameter.

The compression of the DA Mkt from 5 to 4 hours is not as easy. Many performance enhancement ideas for ECC would need to be included here. There is another meeting scheduled in the next week or two. Market-to-Market preparation and activities have kept the meeting from occurring since the draft MPRR was submitted to Alstom. Within the next month, the rough impact assessment should be returned to SPP.

Internal SPP meetings held to discuss OATI, Credit, METEO, discussions lead SPP to believe there will be minimal impacts. Additional meetings were held to discuss staffing issues.

Amber Metzker asked if there was any dollar amount given for the 5 - 4 hour compression. Not at this time. SPP will put together a list of impacts to systems so the members can see downstream impacts. API impacts are needed. Members can do a preliminary assessment of their company's potential changes.

Agenda Item 4 – MOAGEN Report Discussion (John Rhea)

John Rhea, Compliance Officer at OGE brought this item to the GECTF. This discussion started as internal conversations trying to find a way to keep from buying too much gas. OGE is looking for better insight into their fuel purchases. Their TCC receives a report (MOAGEN) which is used to fill out their models. This provides a guestimate for the flows for the current day. This report is downloaded from OPS1. They would like to only get information that relates to them in a nondiscriminatory way. This is not an appropriate report for the marketing group to see this information for their own area.

Have we quantified how this data would improve the entity needs? Over the weekend, if they know in advance what the generation may look like, they can prepare better in advance. The fuel procurement group does not know what the dispatch may look like. Amber Metzker suggested that this falls into place with the proposed changes in timelines; getting the information earlier.

Gary Gottsch said they expected to have a better idea of the fuel they need to purchase than what they actually have based on pre-Market to current Market information available.

Rob Janssen asked what is uncertain. They can predict based on market conditions when they will run their combined cycle unit. He agrees there is some challenge for the weekends. Is the way the market running on CT's uncertain? KCPL's perspective is that they know what will run 12 - 16 hours in the future. The CT dispatch has been all over the board. It is 50/50; RUC and Day Ahead. They look at the weather for the whole footprint and wind forecast. They are struggling with guessing at the potential dispatch and length of the dispatch.

Are the CTs showing up in the Multi-Day Assessment process? Jason Tanner commented that the DBDA study will recommend longer term resources. External programs filter out the short term resources, to allow the engineers to look at the longer lead time (> 12 hour) resources. They assess the number of intervals the resource is needed. They do comparisons of real time and outages that may be causing impacts. Then make a judgment call on requesting the long term resource to be committed.

Amy Jeffries is a gas buyer. They would like the information but is concerned that the code of conduct may not allow that much detail to be provided. Is there a way to play the market based having the information? Do other RTO's provide this type of information to the generators? Don Shipley said New England may provide some information to fuel suppliers and generators. New York has a process of some type. There is a concern for SPP to provide the information that would meet the need without providing too much information that would become a code of conduct issue. The other ISO/RTO's pursued Tariff language changes and referenced a gas pipeline and RTO FERC Order to get the changes approved.

Terry Gates expressed concern for manipulation of the information, if provided. Has it been passed to the MMU? There has been some high level discussion. No opinion has been requested or provided officially. Rob Janssen suggested that an identified solution that will provide better expectations of the CT's issue would be needed. None is available at this time.

John Rhea is also discussing this information with the MWG. Amber Metzker suggested discussing it with the RCWG. A Joint Task Force may be needed to make sure it meets the needs of SPP, entities, and the code of conduct. John Rhea would like GECTF to agree that this is an issue SPP footprint needs to address.

How much valuable information could be given based on the number of days in advance? This is unknown.

Rob Janssen suggested that if the market allowed recovery of cost for no notice generators, this may not be a problem.

Jake Langthorn suggested that the group can discuss further and determine operational benefits. Also that John Rhea discuss with the RCWG and determine the scope of code of conduct issues. This has been discussed within SPP at multiple levels, including executive level.

Agenda Item 5 – SPP FERC Filing Preparation and Timeline Strategy (Don Shipley)

In the last meeting we discussed the high level information that we would include in a response to the FERC NOPR.

There is a discrepancy in the information that was provided to Don Shipley and Joe Ghormley's understanding related to the actions and timeframe of the FERC Order. Don Shipley is to provide the updated information to the GECTF once confirmation of the correct information can be made. Joe Ghormley mentioned paragraph 19 of the 206 Order sets the timeline as 90 days from the NOPR ruling. Joe Ghormley to work with Mike Riley to determine the definitive timing requirements. The information will be provided to GECTF.

We have no indication from NAESB leadership or SPP Legal of when the ruling would be out.

The Timeline Strategy discussion bullet list was discussed at a high level.

- There is a cost impact to changing the timeline. There are impacts to SPP and member staff based on the timing change. There are training impacts. There are impacts to other projects.
- In which areas will SPP need member input? Where the cost implication fits into the implementation plan. Each member will have different impacts to the areas; there is not an easy way to gather this information.
- Absolutes can be represented and will help with the prioritization.
- Amber Metzker suggested that the assumptions need to be captured related to the timeline. Get pieces together for fuel assurance filing, gas schedule change filing, putting into a bullet list, showing what we have so far. Jake Langthorn is concerned that without the order, we don't have anything new to let them know. He suggests that the first action SPP would take is to get an extension for the 90 day due date.

Don Shipley added that Staff is already discussion Combined Cycle and the Timeline changes with the Project Management group. In case they need to be implemented at the same time.

The GECTF will need to prepare to bring something to the MOPC meeting, describing where the FERC Order progress is.

Agenda Item 6 – FERC AD13 Discussion (All)

Filing made on Feb 18, 2015 for Fuel Assurance. The CMTF and ORWG provided comments and suggestions, GECTF provided many comments and discussion. The SPC reviewed the information. The filed information was provided to the exploders. SPP pointed to the stakeholder process for initiative development. FERC may ask for clarification on some initiatives if they need more detail than was provided. This is an ongoing process for Staff. The filing and response to FERC was completed, but the fuel assurance concerns raised in the document and strategic initiatives are ongoing. Questions, comments, or concerns are still accepted as we work through strategic initiatives. If SPP is asked for more details, the responses will go through the stakeholder process as much as time allows. Jake Langthorn asked when we expect to know if there are questions. There is no estimated timeframe for more questions or even a response.

Agenda Item 7 – Review New Action Items (Kathy Myhand)

The action items were reviewed updates captured in the Action Item list. See the first section of this document for details.

Agenda Item 8 – Next Meeting (Jake Langthorn)

- March 27, 2015, 9 a.m. to noon conference call.
- April 23, 2015, Face-to-face in Dallas, 9 a.m. to 3 p.m.
- Proposed May 29, 2015 conference call from 9 a.m. - noon

Don Shipley mentioned that there is a rotation of staff at SPP. Don will be moving to the Director of Settlements position. Sam Ellis will be rotating into the Director of Operations position. This is effective on March 15. Don's support of this task force will remain as needed during a transition to Sam Ellis.

Agenda Item 9 – Adjourn

Jake Langthorn adjourned the meeting at 11:06 a.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kathy Myhand
Secretary

Attachments:
Action Item List Updates

Color-code indicators:

Complete	On Hold	In Progress	Past Due	Abandoned	No Work to date
----------	---------	-------------	----------	-----------	-----------------

GECTF Action Log

No.	Action Item Description	Reference (CY items #, TADS decision log #, other)	Assigned to	Est. Completion Date	Final status/ comments	Status	Closed Date
40	Update contact list to include gas contacts within their organization.	3/14/2014 Meeting	SPP Staff		11/11/2014: Will be added to the 12/1 model and to the Customer Service process for updated. 1/22/15: Pending confirmation that this is complete. 2/27: Don Shipley will confirm complete with Russell, keeping open until this is complete.	In Progress	
60	Create an MPRR based on Rob Janssens comments for MPRR 189. (MPRR 223) (MPRR 214 is the detailed information)	7/31/2014 Meeting, modified in the 8/25/2014 Meeting	Rob Janssen		Proposed MPRR (223) on MWG agenda. Open item for MWG agenda. Winter experience will determine if 214 needs to be revisited. 2/27: Kathy/Don to discuss with MWG Secretary and Rob, has not been voted on yet per Amber.	In Progress	
70	Work with CWG/MWG to determine an estimate of cost for members to modify their systems to accommodate changes to the Marketplace timeline.	9/17/2014 Meeting	SPP Staff		SPP to provide analysis of expected cost implications and system changes in Q4, Information to be provided to Members for member cost analysis in Q1 2015. None at this time, reprioritized based on Pinnacle Project. 2/27: Don to discuss as separate agenda item.	In Progress	
79	Multi Day Reliability Assessment discussion for gas related issues.	9/17/2014 Meeting	SPP Staff		RUC sessions and 12/4 session and EOP discussions are taking place. Maintain separation of reliability (EOP) and economics (MPRR) information. 1/29: Additional features may need this item to remain open. Such as Economic needs instead of just Reliability needs. 2/27: Change in the group responsible for DA Assessment (Casey and Jason Smith). Improvements are being discussed and will be provided by Casey at a later time. (Don owns this)	In Progress	

Color-code indicators:

Complete	On Hold	In Progress	Past Due	Abandoned	No Work to date
----------	---------	-------------	----------	-----------	-----------------

GECTF Action Log

No.	Action Item Description	Reference (CY items #, TADS decision log #, other)	Assigned to	Est. Completion Date	Final status/ comments	Status	Closed Date
80	Discuss #49 (headroom) after RUC materials are out.	9/17/2014 Meeting	SPP Staff		1/29: The Capacity Margin decisions will impact this. If a new Capacity Margin is determined, there may be follow up actions needed for GECTF. Reserve capability for different types of resources may impact headroom.	In Progress	
87	Update GECTF Charter for approval to be extended with the scope additions that reflect current work.	11/6/2014	SPP Staff	10/1/2015	Consider recommendation other than a Task Force. 1/22/15: Agenda Item for 1/29 Meeting; 1/29: Approval for extension has been obtained. Review at a later meeting.	In Progress	
90	Kim Gorter to work with Customer Service for a notifications template to capture changes to the EOP.	11/14/2014 meeting	SPP Staff		1/22/15: Pending confirmation that this is complete. 2/27: RMS tickets being used for requested changes and tracking to completion. BAOC 2/3 approval needed for changes, they have 14 days to review prior to redline versions being posted to spp.org.	In Progress	
98	Develop process with BAOC to track GECTF requested updates to the EOP to completion.	1/29/2015 meeting	SPP Staff		2/27: Kathy and Kim will track progress based on Action Items and the RMS process.	In Progress	
99	Don Shipley to take cost estimate concerns to SPP Executives related to the FERC gas day changes and the associated timeline changes within SPP and the membership.	1/29/2015 meeting	SPP Staff		2/27: Jodi provided update that the process is occurring now. SPP is expecting the initial assessment request to be returned mid-March.	In Progress	
101	Determine if Revision Request type process can/should be implemented for the EOP or other similar documents.	2/27/2015 meeting	SPP Staff	3/27/2015		In Progress	
102	Create list of changes based on Alstom's Impact Assessment to SPP. This is for members to determine downstream impacts. This should include API/UI information.	2/27/2015 meeting	SPP Staff			In Progress	
103	SPP Staff to develop a bullet list for a discussion related to fuel management information that can be shared. a) Executive level, b) operational level	2/27/2015 meeting	SPP Staff	in time (3/15) for discussion in 3/27/2015 meeting		In Progress	

Color-code indicators:

Complete	On Hold	In Progress	Past Due	Abandoned	No Work to date
----------	---------	-------------	----------	-----------	-----------------

GECTF Action Log

No.	Action Item Description	Reference (CY items #, TADS decision log #, other)	Assigned to	Est. Completion Date	Final status/ comments	Status	Closed Date
104	John Rhea to discuss code of conduct potential issues with RCWG and provide information to GECTF.	2/27/2015 meeting	SPP Staff	3/27/2015		In Progress	
105	Joe Ghormley to confirm the timeframe for expected response to the FERC Order related to the gas day. Information to be provided to GECTF.	2/27/2015 meeting	SPP Staff	2/27/2015		Complete	3/10/2015
106	Include in April MOPC meeting a status of the FERC Order related to the gas day with the information available at the time. Separate GECTF call if the Order is received in time.	2/27/2015 meeting	SPP Staff	April MOPC		In Progress	