1) Administrative Items
   a. Review of Agenda
   b. Approval of last meeting’s minutes
      Meeting minutes from the previously held meetings were agreed to be approved via email following the meeting.
   c. Review of action items
      There were no past action items to review.

2) IPSAC Meetings
   a. March 9th IPSAC Meeting
      SPP and MISO discussed the upcoming Interregional Planning Stakeholder Advisory Committee (IPSAC) Meeting to be held on March 9th at SPP’s offices in Little Rock, AR. The agenda was reviewed to make sure the preparation for all meeting materials was on schedule. Also discussed was what SPP and MISO hoped to gain out of the meeting. Both parties agreed feedback from stakeholders on whether or not staff should initiate another SPP-MISO Coordinated System Plan in 2016 was the main takeaway. It was also agreed stakeholder feedback on what enhancements could and should be made to the Coordinated System Planning process was also important for staff to receive.

3) Coordinated Studies
   a. SPP-MISO CSP
      Due to time constraints this item was not discussed and will be moved to the March 2016 JPC agenda.
   b. SPP-AECI JCSP
      Due to time constraints this item was not discussed and will be moved to the March 2016 JPC agenda.

4) MTEP and ITP Status Updates
   a. MISO N-S Nomogram
      SPP asked MISO how they planned to use the N-S nomogram built to model the transfer limits set forth in the SPP-MISO settlement agreement. MISO stated the nomogram will be used as a part of all economic models in the base case. SPP followed up by asking how the limit would be
reflected in MISO’s powerflow models. An action item was taken for MISO to follow up with SPP on how the N-S limit will be utilized in their powerflow models.

5) Models
a. MISO MTEP Powerflow Model(s)
SPP had previously requested MISO’s latest approved powerflow models. MISO let SPP know they could send the 2015 MTEP powerflow models after the meeting or the 2016 MTEP powerflow models would be available in two weeks. SPP stated they would prefer to wait and receive the newest 2016 series of models.

b. Discuss Exchange of GIS Data
SPP asked the question to MISO if they had previously shared their GIS data externally. SPP stated they thought there would be benefit if SPP and MISO were able to share and exchange GIS data with each other. Both SPP and MISO noted that this information had not historically been exchanged but agreed there could be some benefit in doing so. An action item was taken for MISO to check internally on their ability to share GIS data externally.

6) Generation Interconnections (that may affect the seam)
   a. Approval of GI Coordination Document
SPP and MISO JPC representatives both voted to approve the Generation Interconnection Coordination Document. The document will be posted on each RTO’s respective websites.

7) Transmission Service Requests (that may affect the seam)
   a. TSR JOA language edits
SPP asked MISO about the status of the TSR coordination edits that will be made to the SPP-MISO JOA. MISO stated they are still reviewing the latest set of edits that SPP provided them and would soon be sending SPP their revised version. MISO noted most of SPP’s edits looked acceptable upon the initial review. An action item was taken for MISO to send SPP the TSR edits.

8) Generation Retirements (that may affect the seam)
None.

9) Transmission projects (that may affect the seam)
None.

10) Policy Issues Affecting the seam
None.

11) New Business
None.