1) Administrative Items
   a. Review of Agenda
   b. Approval of last meeting’s minutes
SPP and MISO agreed the February 2016 meeting minutes will be reviewed and approved via email following the meeting.
   c. Review of action items
      i. MISO to explain how they represent the N-S limit in powerflow models
      MISO stated they do honor the N-S contract path limit in their powerflow models. They do so by modeling confirmed firm TSRs between the North and South region not to exceed 1000 MW except for a couple of the near-term years in which confirmed firm TSRs exceeded the 1000 MW contract path limit and were recognized in the SPP-MISO settlement agreement.
      ii. MISO to send SPP their edits to the TSR coordination document
      MISO sent SPP their edits to the TSR coordination language the day before the meeting.
      iii. MISO to look into ability to share GIS data
      There is an agenda item later in the meeting to discuss this action item.

2) IPSAC Meetings
   a. March 9th IPSAC Meeting Recap
SPP and MISO agreed the meeting on March 9th at SPP’s offices in Little Rock, AR went well. The meetings were beneficial and the feedback received from stakeholders will be used to help the JPC make their decision on whether or not to initiate a CSP in 2016.

3) Coordinated Studies
   a. Potential 2016 SPP-MISO CSP
SPP let MISO know the SPP portion of the IPSAC would be voting on initiating a 2016 CSP at the April 6th Seams Steering Committee Meeting. MISO also noted their vote would take place at the April 20th Planning and Advisory Committee Meeting.
   i. Stakeholder Survey
SPP and MISO agreed to move quickly on sending out the feedback request emails to stakeholders as a follow up from the March 9th meeting. The feedback requested from stakeholders will include stakeholder’s thoughts on initiating a CSP in 2016 and what process improvements are most important.
b. SPP-AECI JCSP
SPP made MISO aware of a joint study they are beginning with Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. SPP noted they will keep MISO updated with the status of the study.

4) MTEP and ITP Status Updates
SPP and MISO both provided brief updates on their regional planning processes.

5) Models
   a. MISO MTEP Powerflow Model(s)
MISO stated the 2016 MTEP powerflow models were due to be completed the following week and will share with SPP once that is finished.

   b. Discuss Exchange of GIS Data
MISO stated they are open to discussing the possibility of sharing GIS data with SPP. Both parties agreed to check internally as a first step in the process.

   c. MISO Modeling Timeline
MISO pointed SPP to a page on the MISO website that contained a document that outlines the MISO Modeling Timelines.

6) Generation Interconnections (that may affect the seam)
No Update.

7) Transmission Service Requests (that may affect the seam)
   a. TSR JOA language edits
MISO provided SPP with their edits to the SPP-MISO TSR Coordination language. SPP will review MISO’s edits and follow up with MISO via email.

8) Generation Retirements (that may affect the seam)
No Update.

9) Transmission projects (that may affect the seam)
No Update.

10) Policy Issues Affecting the seam
No Update.

11) New Business
SPP and MISO agreed to provide meeting materials one week prior to future JPC Meetings.