



## **SPP- MISO Monthly JPC Conference Call**

**April 28<sup>th</sup>, 2016**

### **• Meeting Notes •**

2:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m. CDT

#### **1) Administrative Items**

- a. Review of Agenda**
- b. Approval of last meeting's minutes**

The meeting notes from the March 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting were approved and will be posted on the SPP and MISO websites.

- c. Review of action items**

#### **2) IPSAC Meetings**

- a. Stakeholder Survey Results**

SPP and MISO discussed the feedback received from stakeholders regarding their joint planning process. A request was sent out to ask for feedback on a potential Coordinated System Plan in 2016 and process enhancements. MISO and SPP verified each party had received the same submissions. Both sides agreed to continue to work on process enhancements while the process of deciding whether to initiate another study is still in progress.

- b. IPSAC Vote Results**

MISO made SPP aware a vote was not taken of the MISO portion of the IPSAC at their latest Planning Advisory Committee Meeting. At the meeting stakeholders requested more time and information before making their decision. MISO noted they would try to hold to vote at their next scheduled PAC meeting or via email shortly thereafter.

#### **3) Coordinated Studies**

- a. Official JPC vote on initiating an SPP-MISO CSP in 2016**

Due to MISO's portion of the IPSAC not taking a vote at the latest PAC meeting, this item will be postponed until the May JPC call.

- b. SPP-AECI JCSP**

SPP made MISO aware of the ongoing study SPP is participating in with Associated Electric Cooperatives, Inc. SPP noted the study is in the scope development phase and let MISO know when the upcoming IPSAC meetings relating to the study were taking place. SPP also noted if any impacts to MISO are identified in the SPP-AECI JCSP, SPP would coordinate with them.

#### **4) MTEP and ITP Status Updates**

No update was given.



## 5) Models

### a. MISO request for SPP to update ABB F15 PowerBase Update

MISO had recently requested some economic planning data from SPP. SPP asked for some clarification on exactly what MISO was wanting. MISO SME's on the call explained in detail what was being requested. An action item was taken for SPP to respond to the data request sent by MISO. SPP committed to providing the information within a week of the meeting.

### b. Contingency Coordination

MISO provided SPP with a process document that prescribed the methodology of neighboring entity contingency coordination. MISO stated some SPP staff had reviewed the document but nothing was finalized. SPP agreed to review the document with the appropriate SME's and follow up with MISO once their review was complete.

### c. Follow up on April 11<sup>th</sup> MISO-SPP Futures and Demand/Energy Discussion

SPP and MISO met on April 11<sup>th</sup> about coordinating aspects of each party's regional planning processes. As a result of this meeting several items were agreed to be shared with the other. An action item was taken for SPP to respond to the data request sent by MISO. SPP committed to providing the information within a week of the meeting.

### d. Discuss Exchange of GIS Data

SPP and MISO discussed potentially exchanging GIS data. After further review, Ventyx was already giving SPP the information they needed. The question was raised if MISO needed SPP's information or if they were also receiving something similar from Ventyx.

## 6) Generation Interconnections (that may affect the seam)

No update was given.

## 7) Transmission Service Requests (that may affect the seam)

### a. TSR JOA language next steps

Prior to the meeting SPP provided MISO with the latest TSR coordination language to be included in the SPP-MISO JOA. SPP stated their stakeholders had reviewed this language and they were comfortable with voting on the language at the JPC and moving on to the next steps of amending the JOA. MISO stated they would like to run the language by their stakeholders one last time before moving forward. Both agreed to target the May JPC meeting to JPC approve the language.

## 8) Generation Retirements (that may affect the seam)

## 9) Transmission projects (that may affect the seam)

### a. Entergy 500 kV Jonesboro Project

SPP and MISO discussed the newly approved Entergy EHV project in the Jonesboro, AR area. This project was a result of the MISO MTEP process but was identified to impact portions of SPP. A meeting was set up to discuss the issue with SPP, MISO and all other effected parties a week following the JPC meeting.

### b. SPA Bull Shoals Split

MISO asked if SPP was aware of an SPA Bull Shoals Split project that was potentially impacting MISO. SPP said they would check on the situation and follow up with MISO after the call. Both SPP and MISO



agreed the parties should work together to improve coordination of transmission projects within one footprint impacting the other.

**10) Policy Issues Affecting the seam**

SPP asked MISO for their thoughts on the impacts of the recent filing in FERC docket EL 13-88 related to the NIPSCO complaint. An action item was taken for MISO to discuss this at the May JPC meeting.

**11) New Business**

No new business was discussed.