



SPP-MISO Monthly Conference Call

May 31st, 2016

• Meeting Notes •

10:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m. CDT

1) Administrative Items

a. Review of Agenda

b. Approval of last meeting's minutes

The minutes for the April 20th SPP-MISO JPC Meeting will be approved via email following the meeting.

c. Review of action items

i. MISO to discuss NIPSCO Order

MISO discussed the response from FERC to the NIPSCO complaint relating to the MISO and PJM Interregional Order 1000 filing. MISO stated at this time, MISO's policy and legal position is the FERC directive to lower the voltage threshold and removal of the cost threshold applies only to interregional economic projects with PJM. There likely will be clarifications filed at FERC. MISO plans to file to comply with the directives in the Order based on our understanding that the directives apply to the PJM seam. While MISO is open to exploratory discussions with SPP and joint stakeholders on whether or not to jointly and proactively apply similar thresholds, MISO is already engaged in a comprehensive cost allocation review with MISO stakeholders and has made commitments to allow the stakeholder process to finish its assessment

ii. SPP to answer MISO's data request

Prior to the meeting SPP responded to all of MISO's data request from the last JPC meeting.

2) IPSAC Meetings

a. MISO IPSAC Vote Results

MISO informed SPP that their Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) sector reps voted in favor of initiating a coordinated system plan with SPP in 2016. Although the MISO IPSAC motion that passed included language targeting the scope of the study to the new Integrated System seam between SPP and MISO, MISO and SPP plan on jointly collaborating together along with the joint IPSAC stakeholders on the ultimate scope of the study, which is yet to be determined, as per the JOA process.

b. CSP and Regional Coordination Process Improvements Next Steps

i. Survey results

Due to time constraints this issue was not discussed.

ii. RTO (PC) and TO (TP) roles and expectations relating to NERC FAC-002 transmission interconnections



Prior to the meeting MISO provided SPP with some proposed language that would help define expectations of the RTO's as it relates to coordination of transmission interconnections. SPP gave their initial thoughts to the language and will continue to work with MISO on improving coordination.

iii. Discuss what do we want to focus on in 2016

SPP and MISO took an action item to set up a separate meeting to discuss work to be done for the 2016 CSP.

iv. Potential for work plan development

SPP and MISO took an action item to set up a separate meeting to discuss developing a work plan as it relates to the CSP process enhancements.

3) Coordinated Studies

a. *Voting Item* Official JPC vote on initiating an SPP-MISO CSP in 2016

SPP's JPC representative, David Kelley, and MISO's JPC representative, Eric Thoms, both voted to initiate a coordinated system plan between SPP and MISO in 2016. SPP and MISO will now begin work on the scope development process. Both agree to the scope being a more targeted approach.

b. SPP-AECI JCSP

SPP made MISO aware they had an approved study scope for the SPP-AECI Joint & Coordinated System Plan. SPP noted the SPP-AECI IPSAC endorsed the scope at the May 20th IPSAC Meeting without opposition. SPP noted continued updates would be given to SPP's Seams Steering Committee (SSC) if MISO would like further updates.

4) MTEP and ITP Status Updates

a. Coordination of regional processes

Due to time constraints this issue was not discussed.

5) Models

a. Contingency Coordination

Due to time constraints this issue was not discussed.

6) Generation Interconnections (that may affect the seam)

a. MDU Lewis and Clark 2

Due to time constraints this issue was not discussed.

7) Transmission Service Requests (that may affect the seam)

a. *Voting Item* JPC approval TSR JOA Language

David Kelley, SPP's JPC representative and Eric Thoms, MISO's JPC representative both voted to approve the edits to the SPP-MISO JOA language to improve the coordination of third party impacts as it relates to transmission service request. SPP and MISO will now work with their legal departments to make a filing to amend the JOA.

8) Generation Retirements (that may affect the seam)

a. OPD Fort Calhoun Unit

Due to time constraints this issue was not discussed. MISO sent a list of retirements to SPP on April 28, 2016 and SPP sent the Fort Calhoun retirement to MISO on May 16, 2016.



9) Transmission projects (that may affect the seam)

Due to time constraints this issue was not discussed.

10) Policy Issues Affecting the seam

Due to time constraints this issue was not discussed.

11) New Business

No new business was discussed.