

## DOCKETS AT FERC/STATE COMMISSIONS

REF	DOCKET	DESCRIPTION	CURRENT STATUS
1.	<b>RT04-1</b> <b>ER04-48</b> <b>ER05-652</b> <b>ER05-109</b>	SPP RTO Approval Dockets	<p>On May 19, 2008, SPP filed a petition of waiver of the Commission’s regulations requiring SPP to perform an audit of the independence of its decision-making process as an RTO. FERC is currently performing a comprehensive audit of SPP that, among other things, addresses SPP’s independence.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s filing were due June 6, 2008. None were filed.</p> <p>On August 15, 2008, SPP filed an informational report under ER05-652. The report discusses: (1) SPP’s assessment of the average costs of all new network upgrades identified through SPP’s regional transmission cost allocation plan (“Cost Allocation Plan”), as well as SPP’s efforts to work with its stakeholders and the Regional State Committee (“RSC”) to evaluate the effectiveness and accuracy of the \$180,000/MW safe harbor threshold for Base Plan Upgrades; (2) the unintended consequence reports issued by its Regional Tariff Working Group (“RTWG”) to the Markets and Operations Committee (“MOPC”) and the RSC concerning the calculations of the cost allocation impacts of the Base Plan Upgrades to each Transmission Customer within the SPP Region; and (3) information concerning SPP’s disposition of all requests for waiver of the Base Plan criteria, to inform the Commission and others regarding the reasonableness of SPP’s application of the waivers and the treatment of any facilities granted waivers that subsequently caused unintended consequences.</p> <p>On September 3, 2008, FERC granted waiver to SPP of the Commission’s regulations that require SPP to perform an audit of its independence from market participants under RT04-1.</p> <p>On January 15, 2009, SPP submitted its annual operating budget and true-up. This is submitted pursuant to the Commission’s October 1, 2004 Order in Docket No. RT04-1, and commitments made by SPP in Docket No. ER08-1338.</p>
2.	<b>ER05-925</b>	Effective Date for Westar Transmission Rate Increase Subject to Refund and Subject to the Outcome of This Docket	<p>On January 10, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting Westar’s September 14, 2007 filing of a refund report in compliance with the uncontested settlement approved by the Commission in this docket.</p> <p>No additional substantive activity is posted in this docket.</p>
3.	<b>ER05-526</b> <b>ER05-799</b> <b>ER06-448</b> <b>ER06-767</b>	SPP Filing of a Partially Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service	<p>On June 22, 2007, the Commission dismissed as moot WFEC’s request for rehearing in Docket No. ER06-448. WFEC’s rehearing request centered on the Commission’s assertion of jurisdiction over the rates, terms and conditions of services being provided to OMPA.</p> <p>No subsequent activity is posted in these dockets.</p>

		<p>(“NITS”) between SPP and Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority (“OMPA”), as well as an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, OMPA and Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company (“OG&amp;E”)</p> <p>SPP Filing of an Unexecuted Service Agreement for NITS between SPP and OMPA, as well as an Executed NOA between SPP, OMPA and AEP; and an Unexecuted NOA between SPP, OMPA and Western Farmers Electric Cooperative</p>	
<p>4.</p>	<p><b>ER05-1065</b></p>	<p>Entergy Services’ New Proposal for an Independent Coordinator of Transmission (“ICT”) and Extension of the ICT’s Initial Term</p> <p>Docket No. ER05-1065 replaces Entergy’s Initial ICT Proposal in Docket No. ER04-699, which closed June 30, 2005.</p>	<p>The ICT’s Third Quarterly Performance Report for 2008 was filed on September 30, 2008.</p> <p>Entergy continues to notify the Commission of data-reporting and AFC-related issues pursuant to the Commission’s April 24, 2006 Order.</p> <p>On November 14, 2008, Union Power Partners, L.P. and Lafayette Utilities System filed comments regarding the ICT’s Third Quarter Performance Report for 2008.</p> <p>The ICT’s Fourth Quarterly Performance Report for 2008 was filed on December 22, 2008.</p> <p>On February 5, 2009, Occidental Chemical Corporation filed comments in response to the December 22 Filing.</p> <p>On February 11, 2009, SPP submitted the ICT’s Annual Performance Report for the period of November 17, 2007 through November 17, 2008.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, Lafayette Utilities System submitted comments regarding the February 3, 2009 AFC Error Report. LUS requested additional information regarding the magnitude and effects of the AFC error described in the Report.</p>

			<p>On March 25, 2009, SUEZ Energy Marketing NA, Inc. filed comments regarding the ICT Second Annual Performance Report.</p> <p>On March 27, 2009, Occidental Chemical Corporation filed comments regarding the ICT Second Annual Performance Report.</p> <p>On March 30, 2009, the NRG Companies and Union Power Partners filed comments regarding the ICT Second Annual Performance Report.</p> <p>On March 31, 2009, SPP submitted the ICT's First Quarterly Performance Report for 2009.</p> <p>On March 31, 2009, Cottonwood Energy Company filed comments regarding the ICT Second Annual Performance Report.</p>
5.	<b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of Appeals Case No. 07-1277</b>	<u>Cottonwood Energy Co., L.P., et al. v. FERC</u>	<p>On July 24, 2007, Cottonwood and Plum Point Energy Associates, LLC filed a Petition for Review with the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals, and a motion was made by the petitioners to consolidate Case Nos. 06-1382 and 06-1384 with 07-1277 and to hold Case No. 07-1277 in abeyance pending completion of agency proceedings in FERC Docket No. ER05-10652-002.</p> <p>Per the Clerk's Order filed August 31, 2007, the case is currently held in abeyance and is not calendared.</p> <p>The Parties are to file status reports and motions to govern further proceedings as directed in the Order filed July 23, 2007 in Case No. 06-1382.</p>
6.	<b>ER03-765 ER07-371 ER08-807</b>	<p>Calpine Oneta Rate Schedule No. 2 for Reactive Power</p> <p>SPP Filing of OATT Revisions to Allow All Generators to be Compensated for Reactive Power on a Non-Discriminatory and Comparable Basis</p>	<p>On April 8, 2008, SPP filed proposed revisions to Schedule 2 of SPP's Tariff. The proposed revisions serve to: (1) reincorporate language providing for the recognition by SPP of new generators as Qualified Generators ("QGs") throughout the year; (2) clarify that new generators must apply to SPP for QG status; and (3) clarify that QGs will be compensated for providing reactive power at the beginning of the first month after SPP acceptance of the generation owner's application.</p> <p>FERC accepted SPP's April 8, 2008 filing via letter order on June 4, 2008. An effective date of March 1, 2007 was granted for revision number one, above. An effective date of June 7, 2008 was granted for the remaining revisions.</p> <p>On August 28, 2008, FERC denied AEP's request for rehearing in Docket No. ER03-765-007.</p> <p>On September 19, 2008, AEP filed a Petition for Review of FERC's November 19, 2007 and August 28, 2008 orders in Docket No. ER03-765.</p> <p>AEP previously filed a Petition for Review with the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals docketed as 07-1275, below.</p>
7.	<b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of Appeals Case No. 07-1275 08-1305</b>	<u>American Electric Power Service Corp. v. FERC</u>	<p>On July 19, 2007, AEP filed a Petition for Review with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit regarding rates for reactive service provided by Calpine and involving FERC orders 116 FERC ¶ 61,282 and 119 FERC ¶ 61,177, issued September 26, 2006 and May 21, 2007, respectively.</p> <p>August 20, 2007 was the deadline for SPP to intervene in AEP's appeal.</p>

	(Consolidated )		<p>Per the Clerk's Order dated August 31, 2007, the case is currently held in abeyance and is not calendared.</p> <p>FERC is to file status reports beginning November 29, 2007, and the parties are to file motions to govern future proceedings within 30 days of completion of agency proceedings.</p> <p>On January 17, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration.</p> <p>On February 26, 2008, FERC issued an order accepting Calpine Oneta Power, LP's December 12, 2007 Compliance Filing.</p> <p>On August 28, 2008, FERC issued an Order Denying Rehearing.</p> <p>On September 19, 2008, AEP filed a Petition for Review with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit related to the Commission's orders issued November 19, 2007 (121 FERC ¶ 61,189), and August 28, 2008 (124 FERC ¶ 61,193) in Docket No. ER03-765. These orders are denials of rehearing by FERC in a proceeding involving the justness and reasonableness of a rate schedule under which charges are assessed to utility affiliates of AEP.</p> <p>On October 17, 2008, Calpine Oneta Power, L.P. submitted a Motion for Leave to Intervene in Case No. 08-1305.</p>
8.	EC03-131	Joint Application of OG&E and NRG McClain LLC for Authorization to Acquire 77% Interest in the 520 MW McClain Energy Generating Facility and Associated Transmission Equipment Located Near Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	<p>Pursuant to the Commission's July 2, 2004 Order, on January 16, 2007, Potomac Economics filed the Quarterly Market Monitoring Report for OG&amp;E, covering October and November 2006.</p> <p>The January 16, 2007 filing was the final report under the market monitoring plan in this proceeding.</p> <p>No subsequent activity is posted in this docket.</p>
9.	ER05-1051 ER05-1052	Submission by Westar and SPP of an Unexecuted Agreement between Westar, Kansas Power Pool and SPP	<p>On April 3, 2008, FERC issued a letter order in Docket No. ER05-1052 accepting SPP's December 31, 2007 filing of a Refund Report in compliance with the Commission's October 4, 2007 Order (121 FERC ¶ 61,013).</p> <p>No subsequent activity is posted in these dockets.</p>
10.	ER05-1285	SPP Tariff Revisions – Schedule 1, Attachment L, Section IV of	<p>September 30, 2005, FERC accepted changes to Attachment AI of the SPP OATT that required Transmission Owners, by September 30, 2008, to file a request with its appropriate regulatory authority or authorities for a determination as to which of its facilities are Transmission Facilities. And each Transmission Owner that is not subject to regulation by a regulatory authority shall apply to SPP for such a determination.</p>

		Attachment AI	<p>On September 10, 2008, Empire District Electric Company filed a Motion for Expedited Extension of Time to comply with Attachment AI.</p> <p>On September 17, 2008, FERC granted Empire’s request for an extension of time up to and including December 1, 2008.</p> <p>On September 30, 2008, Xcel Energy Service, on behalf of Southwestern Public Service Company submitted an informational filing explaining SPS’ efforts to determine which of its facilities are “transmission facilities,” and the applicable transmission service rates as required by Attachment AI to the SPP OATT.</p> <p>On December 4, 2008, The Empire District Electric Company made an informational filing stating it filed requests in the following states on December 1, 2008, for determinations as to which of Empire’s facilities should be classified as Transmission Facilities based on Attachment AI of the SPP OATT: Arkansas (08-162-U); Kansas (09-EPDE-457-MIS), Missouri (EO-2009-0233), Oklahoma (200800383).</p> <p>On December 12, 2008, The Empire District Electric Company filed an Errata to its December 4 filing. The docket numbers were accidentally transposed.</p>
11.	RM05-30	Rules on ERO Certification and Procedures for the Establishment, Approval and Enforcement of Mandatory Electric Reliability Standards	<p>On April 17, 2008, FERC issued a “Statement of Administrative Policy on Processing Reliability Notices of Penalty and Order Revising Statement in Order No. 672”.</p> <p>Any settlement of an alleged violation filed by the Electric Reliability Organization (“ERO”) after April 17, 2008 will be subject to Commission review pursuant to section 39.7(e) of the Commission’s regulations.</p>

12.	<b>EC06-4 ER06-20</b>	Louisville Gas and Electric Company (“LG&E”) and Kentucky Utility Company’s (“KU”) Request for Commission Approval to Change their Method of Complying with Order Nos. 888 and 889 and Certain Conditions Imposed by the Commission in the Context of their Prior Mergers, and Achieving the Goals of Order No. 2000	<p>On September 26, 2008, SPP, as the Independent Transmission Organization (“ITO”) for the LG&amp;E and KU systems, submitted the ITO Semi-Annual Report. This covers the period of March 1, 2008 through August 31, 2008.</p> <p>The semi-annual report is required by FERC’s orders approving the establishment of the ITO and section 12.1.2 of the ITO Agreement in LG&amp;E/KU’s Tariff.</p>
13.	<b>RM02-12</b>	Rulemaking Proceeding on Remediating Undue Discrimination through Open Access Transmission Service and Standard Electricity Market Design, etc.	<p>No activity has posted in this docket since December of 2007.</p> <p>SPP has not acted in this rulemaking.</p>
14.	<b>AD05-13</b>	Administrative Proceeding Involving FERC’s Establishment of Federal-State Joint Boards to Address Security-constrained Economic Dispatch	<p>FERC submitted a report to Congress on July 31, 2006 concerning the recommendations of the Regional Joint Boards for the Study of Economic Dispatch.</p> <p>No subsequent activity is posted in this docket.</p>
15.	<b>RM05-17 RM05-25</b>	Preventing Undue Discrimination and Preference in Transmission Service  Order Nos. 890, 890-A, 890-B, and 890-C	<p>On June 23, 2008, the Commission issued Order No. 890-B affirming its basic determinations in Order Nos. 890 and 890-A, granting rehearing and clarification of certain revisions to its regulations and the pro-forma OATT adopted in Order Nos. 888 and 889.</p> <p>Several key issues are addressed in the Order, including: the methodology for calculating ATC; standardization of energy and generation imbalance charges; rollover rights; rules regarding the designation and undesignation of network resources; and the reporting of transmission capacity reassignments in the Electric Quarterly Reports (“EQR”). Requests for rehearing of Order No. 890-B were due July 23, 2008.</p>

			<p>Order 890-B became effective September 8, 2008 (60 days after publication in the Federal Register July 8, 2008).</p> <p>Pursuant to Paragraph 592 of Order 890-A, transmission providers have until July 14, 2008 to develop, in coordination with NERC and NAESB, a consistent set of tracking capabilities and business practices for tagging for implementation of conditional firm service.</p> <p>On July 8, 2008, FERC granted NAESB’s July 7, 2008 request to extend from July 14, 2008 to August 29, 2008 the time transmission providers, working through NAESB and NERC, have to develop a consistent set of tracking capabilities and business practices for tagging for implementation of conditional firm service, as required in Order No. 890-A.</p> <p>Pursuant to Paragraph 6 of Order 890-B, RTO and ISO transmission providers, transmission providers whose facilities are in the footprint of an RTO or ISO, and WSPP were to submit an FPA section 206 filing that contains the revised non-rate terms and conditions of the <i>pro forma</i> OATT as stated in Appendix B by October 6, 2008.</p> <p>On August 22, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing of Order 890-B.</p> <p>On February 3, 2009 in Docket Nos. RM05-17, RM05-25, and RM06-16, NERC submitted its 2009-2011 Standards Development Plan pursuant to Section 310 of the ERO Rules of Procedure.</p> <p>On March 12, 2009 in Docket No. RM05-17, NAESB submitted a supplemental report in accordance with Order Nos. 890, 890-A, 890-B and Order 676. This report includes the results of the ratification and replaces Appendix B of the February 19, 2009 Version 002.1 Wholesale Electric Quadrant (“WEQ”) standards filing. The results of the ratification address NAESB business practices related to the Commercial Timing Table (WEQ-004 Appendix D). The business practices were ratified according to the NAESB procedures on March 11, 2009. The standards can be downloaded from the NAESB home page (<a href="http://www.naesb.org">www.naesb.org</a>).</p> <p>On March 19, 2009, FERC issued Order 890-C, Order on Rehearing and Clarification, in which FERC addresses rehearing requests concerning consistency and transparency of ATC calculations, as well as designation of Network Resources. FERC clarifies that it did not intend in Order No. 890-B to require transmission providers to post identical ATC values on either side of an interface in every instance and at all times. FERC also affirms in Order No. 890-C the requirement that network resources used to supply sales of system power to off-system buyers must first be undesignated. Order 890-C becomes effective <b>April 24, 2009</b> (30 days from publication in the Federal Register).</p>
16.	ER06-257	SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Associated Electric Cooperative (“Associated”); a Network Operating	<p>On October 13, 2006, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP’s September 14, 2006 filing of a revised, executed NITSA with Associated; an NOA between SPP, Associated and OG&amp;E; and a Direct Assignment Facilities Charges Agreement between Associated and OG&amp;E in compliance with Order No. 614. An effective date of September 1, 2006 was granted, as requested.</p> <p>The letter order constitutes final agency action, and no additional activity has posted in this docket.</p>

		Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, Associated and Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co. (“OG&E”); and Revisions to the Designation of Service Agreement No. 1154	
17.	<b>ER08-340</b> <b>ER07-1255</b> <b>ER06-451</b>  <b>ER07-345</b>  <b>ER06-641</b> <b>ER06-1047</b> <b>ER06-1467</b>	SPP Real-Time Energy Imbalance Service (“EIS”) Market	<p>On August 13, 2008, SPP filed an informational status report concerning its incorporation of demand-side resources into its EIS Market (Docket No. ER06-451).</p> <p>On September 23, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC a compliance filing in Docket No. ER08-340-001 containing revised tariff sheets relating to the Energy Imbalance Market. Specifically, the May 21 Filing revised SPP's OATT provisions allowing external resources to participate in SPP's real-time energy imbalance service market. SPP submits revisions to Section 2(j) and 2(m) of Attachment AO to more closely paraphrase the language of the April 21 Order.</p> <p>On October 17, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the May 21 and September 23 compliance filings, effective on or before February 1, 2009, upon notice by SPP, as requested.</p> <p>On February 27, 2009, SPP filed an informational status report concerning its incorporation of demand response into SPP Markets and Planning (Docket No. ER06-451).</p>
18.	<b>ER06-432</b>	SPP Filing of Revisions to its Open Access Transmission Tariff Incorporating the SPP Credit Policy as Attachment X	<p>On April 20, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP's February 16, 2007 filing of revisions to Attachment X of the SPP OATT, effective March 1, 2006, as requested.</p> <p>The order constitutes final agency action.</p>
19.	<b>EC06-46</b>	Sunflower Electric Cooperative Section 203 Filing for FERC Approval of their Acquisition of West Plains	<p>Pursuant to the Commission's December 7, 2006 Order Conditionally Authorizing Disposition of Jurisdictional Facilities and Accepting Rate Schedules for Filing, on April 10, 2007, Aquila and Mid-Kansas Electric Company notified the Commission that the authorized transaction was consummated on April 1, 2007.</p> <p>No subsequent activity is posted in this docket.</p>
20.	<b>NJ06-4</b>	Southwestern Power Administration Filing of Revisions to its Non-Jurisdictional Open Access	<p>On August 29, 2006, FERC denied Southwestern Power Administration's request for waiver of the requirements of Order Nos. 2003 and 2006 and its request for rehearing of the Commission's March 21, 2006 Order.</p> <p>A copy of Original Sheet 20 to the FERC Electric Tariff Second Revised Volume 1 of Southwestern Power Administration concerning external dispute resolution procedures was posted to this docket on January 25, 2007.</p>



		Transmission Tariff filed with the Commission December 31, 1997 to Conform the OATT to the Terms and Conditions of an Agreement Dated March 31, 2005, between Southwestern Power Administration and the SPP RTO	No additional substantive activity is posted in this docket.
21.	<b>RM06-8 AD05-7</b>	Long-term Firm Transmission Rights in Organized Electricity Markets and Long-term Transmission Rights in Markets Operated by Regional Transmission Organizations	<p>On February 25, 2008, FERC issued an order in Docket Nos. ER07-476-000, ER07-476-001 and RM06-8-000 accepting in part and rejecting in part the January 29, 2007 Order 681 and 681-A compliance filing of ISO-NE, as supplemented March 15, 2008.</p> <p>The Commission directed ISO-NE to modify Section III of its Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff to include provisions requiring it to publish, on OASIS, information that will be available to all Market Participants on (a) addition of new generating resources to the list of radial facilities and (b) Allocated long-term firm transmission rights (“LFTRs”) available for potential transfer, by May 25, 2008.</p> <p>ISO-NE and the New England Power Pool must also file a revised proposal addressing the requested changes to their LFTR proposal relating to Guidelines 4 and 7 within 90 days of the order date.</p> <p>On May 27, 2008, ISO-NE submitted proposed amendments to its Tariff in compliance with the Commission’s February 25, 2008 Order.</p> <p>D.C. Energy filed limited comments in support of the ISO-NE compliance filing on June 17, 2008.</p> <p>On August 5, 2008, ISO New England, Inc. and New England Power Pool filed a status report under RM06-8 to update the Commission on the efforts to complete and file proposed amendments to the ISO Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.</p>
22.	<b>ER04-1232</b>	Xcel Submission on Behalf of Public Service Co. of Colorado and Southwestern Public Service Co. (“SPS”) of the Joint Open Access Transmission Tariff, First Revised Volume 1 Including	<p>On May 10, 2007, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP’s March 8 and April 5, 2007 filings of revisions to Attachment H (Annual Transmission Revenue Requirement), effective June 1, 2005, as requested.</p> <p>The order constitutes final agency action, and no additional activity is posted in this docket.</p> <p>Docket No. ER04-1232 remains open for compliance filings.</p>

		<p>Formula Rates</p> <p>The Commission's April 5, 2006 Order Approving Uncontested Settlement terminated Docket Nos. ER04-1174, EL05-41 and ER04-1232.</p>	
23.	<b>ER06-729</b>	<p>SPP Submission of Revisions to Attachment M in Modification of SPP's Compensation Procedure for Transmission Loss Energy that Transmission Customers are Required to Replace to Transmission Owners under SPP's Tariff</p>	<p>On April 8, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP's February 4, 2008 filing of a status and compliance report in Docket Nos. ER06-451-027 and ER06-729-004 regarding its efforts to address issues relating to its EIS Market.</p> <p>No subsequent activity is posted in this docket.</p>
24.	<b>EL06-61 EL06-71</b>	<p>Associated Electric Filing of a Complaint Against SPP for Granting a Request by American Electric Power Service Corporation for 250 MW of Long-term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service from the Union Electric Company to the Central and South West Services, Inc.</p>	<p>On February 6, 2008, FERC issued an order terminating the Section 206 Proceeding in Docket No. EL06-71-000, finding that Section 2.2 of SPP's existing Tariff continues to be just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or otherwise preferential.</p> <p>No subsequent activity is posted in these dockets.</p>
25.	<b>RM06-16 RM06-22</b>	<p>Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System to Process the Proposed Reliability</p>	<p>On January 18, 2008, the Commission issued a final rule, Order No. 706, approving eight new mandatory critical infrastructure protection ("CIP") reliability standards: (1) CIP-002-1 (critical cyber asset identification), (2) CIP-003-1 (security management controls), (3) CIP-004-1 (personnel and training), (4) CIP-005-1 (electronic security perimeters), (5) CIP-006-1 (physical security of critical cyber assets), (6) CIP-007-1 (systems security management), (7) CIP-008-1 (incident reporting and response planning), and (8) CIP-009-1 (recovery plans for critical cyber assets).</p>

Standards Submitted by NERC on April 4, 2006

The final rule became effective April 7, 2008. These are the first mandatory and enforceable reliability standards that address cyber security concerns on the U.S. bulk power system.

On July 30, 2008, NERC submitted a supplemental compliance filing in Docket RM06-16 in response to Paragraph 751 and 757 of Order 706.

On August 13, 2008, FERC accepted NERC's May 16, 2008 compliance filing in Docket RM06-16.

On August 14, 2008, NERC submitted a further compliance filing in response to Paragraph 18 of the February 21, 2008 Order under RM06-16.

On September 18, 2008, Edison Electric Institute's request for clarification is denied under RM06-16.

On September 18, 2008, FERC issued an Order on Proposed Clarification under RM06-22. The Commission is proposing to clarify that the facilities within a nuclear generation plant in the United States that are not regulated by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission are subject to compliance with the eight mandatory "CIP" Reliability Standards approved in Order No. 706.

On September 18, 2008, FERC issued a notice seeking public comment on its proposal to close the Cyber Security Gap under RM06-22. Comments are due October 20, 2008.

On October 10, 2008, The Edison Electric Institute and Nuclear Energy Institute filed a Motion to Extend Deadline for Filing of Comments in Docket RM06-22. The extension requested is until November 3, 2008.

On October 10, 2008, FERC issued a Notice of Extension of Time for Mandatory Reliability Standards for Critical Infrastructure Protection under RM06-22. The extension is granted to and including November 3, 2008.

On October 31, 2008, in Docket RM06-16, North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") submitted a filing in compliance with paragraph 951 of the Commission's Order No. 693 that required NERC to conduct a year-long survey of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits ("IROL") practices and actual operating experiences.

On November 3, 2008, numerous parties filed comments on the Order on Proposed Clarification issued September 18, 2008 under RM06-22.

On November 24, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting NERC's August 14, 2008 compliance filing, in response to Paragraph 18 of the February 21, 2008 Order in Docket No. RM06-16.

On December 19, 2008, NERC submitted a supplemental compliance filing, in response to Paragraphs 751 and 757 of Order No. 706.

On January 27, 2009, FERC issued a letter order in Docket No. RM06-22. FERC accepts 12 revised and 9 new Violation Risk Factors. In addition, the Commission requires revisions to four of the new Violation Risk Factors.

			<p>NERC’s compliance filing is due March 30, 2009.</p> <p>On February 2, 2009, FERC issued a letter order in Docket No. RM06-22. FERC approved the December 19 Filing.</p> <p>On February 3, 2009 in Docket Nos. RM05-17, RM05-25, and RM06-16, NERC submitted its 2009-2011 Standards Development Plan pursuant to Section 310 of the ERO Rules of Procedure.</p> <p>On February 3, 2008, NERC issued its Supplemental Compliance Filing in Docket No. RM06-16. This was in response to Paragraph 951 of Order No. 693.</p> <p>On February 27, 2009, NERC submitted a petition seeking approval for Violation Severity Levels associated with Reliability Standard TOP-004-2 (Transmission Operations that was approved by Letter Order of the Commission on January 22, 2009). The TOP-004-2 Violation Severity Levels were approved by NERC Board of Trustees on February 10, 2009.</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, NERC submitted its Supplemental Informational Compliance Filing in Response to Paragraph 77 of Order No. 693 in Docket No. RM06-16.</p> <p>On March 11, 2009, NERC submitted a petition seeking approval for Reliability Standard BAL-004-0 (Time Error Correction).</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. filed a Motion To Intervene and Comments to the Supplemental Compliance Filing of The North American Electric Reliability Corporation in Response to Paragraph 951 of Order No. 693, Docket No. RM06-16-006.</p> <p>On March 19, 2009, FERC issued Order 706-B, clarifying that the facilities within a nuclear generation plant in the United States that are not regulated by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission are subject to compliance with the eight mandatory “CIP” Reliability Standards approved in Commission Order No. 706. This rule is effective March 25, 2009.</p>
26.	RM07-3	Facilities Design, Connections and Maintenance Reliability Standards	<p>On April 1, 2008, NERC made a compliance filing in response to paragraph 135 of Order No. 705. This filing was approved by the Commission on May 29, 2008.</p> <p>On June 2, 2008, the Commission granted the ISO/RTO Council’s January 28, 2008 request for clarification and denied the alternative request for rehearing of Order No. 705.</p> <p>The ISO/RTO Council has requested that FERC, “clarify that its Order 705 was not intended to supersede its Order 693. In other words, operations in excess of a facility’s IROL [Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits], even if caused by an event other than a contingency, does not automatically constitute a violation of NERC reliability standards, as long as the system operator takes steps to bring those operations within the IROL as soon as possible, and not longer than 30 minutes after the IROL was exceeded. In the alternative, the IRC requests that the Commission grant rehearing of Order No. 705, and hold that system operations in which the applicable IROL is exceeded do not constitute a violation of the reliability standards, in the absence of a contingency, as long as the system is brought back within the</p>

			<p>IROL as soon as possible, and no later than 30 minutes.” ISO/RTO Council Request at 18.</p> <p>No further activity has posted in this docket.</p>
27.	AC06-126	<p>SPP Request for an Extension of Time to File the Annual Charge Information Required by 18 CFR § 382.201</p>	<p>SPP last acted in this docket on May 3, 2006 when it petitioned the Commission for an additional 30 days (or until May 30, 2006) to file the information required by Section 382.201 of the Commission’s regulations.</p> <p>This docket has been closed by the Commission.</p>
28.	RR06-1	<p>North American Electric Reliability Council and North American Electric Reliability Corporation Filing of Draft Version 5 of the Reliability Standards Development Procedure to Allow NERC to Transition to Become the Electric Reliability Organization (“ERO”)</p> <p>SPP intervened on January 10, 2007.</p>	<p>On July 21, 2008, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the Commission’s March 21, 2008 Order in Docket Nos. RR06-1-012 and RR07-1-002 through RR07-8-002.</p> <p>NERC’s compliance filing consists of a revised Amended and Restated <i>Pro Forma</i> Delegation Agreement with the Regional Entities, a revised NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program document (including revised Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures), revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreements with each of the Regional Entities, and revisions to the NERC Rules of Procedure in compliance with the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On August 28, 2008, NERC submitted a supplement to the July 21 compliance filing. The purpose of this Supplement was to advise that WECC Board of Directors approved the Revised Amended and Restated NERC-WECC Delegation Agreement.</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, NERC submitted supplemental information adding language in the second paragraph of the CMEP following the existing third sentence.</p> <p>On September 30, 2008, NERC and WECC submitted a second supplemental filing proposing both to clarify and justify NERC’s and WECC’s proposed revisions to Exhibit E, section 3 of the WECC Delegation Agreement, as included in the July 21 compliance filing.</p> <p>On October 31, 2008, NERC submitted its Quarterly Report Regarding Analysis of Reliability Standards Voting Results for July to September 2008.</p> <p>On November 21, 2008, NERC and WECC submitted a further status report in response to Paragraph 226 of the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Compliance Filings (July 21, August 28, and September 30, 2008), subject to conditions, to become effective on January 3, 2009. NERC is directed to submit a compliance filing by February 17, 2009.</p> <p>On January 7, 2009, FERC issued an Errata Notice correcting paragraph 55 of the December 19 Order. “Notice of Alleged Violation” is changed to read “notice of penalty.”</p>

		<p>On January 21, 2009, the Canadian Electricity Association filed a Request for Clarification, or in the Alternative, Rehearing of the Commission’s December 19, 2008 Order. FERC rejected certain language modifications proposed by CEA to NERC’s Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program (“CMEP”). CEA is asking for reconsideration.</p> <p>On January 21, 2009, SPP filed a Request for Rehearing, which seeks rehearing of the Commission’s directive that the SPP Regional Entity must pay all of its statutory expenses out of the separately maintained account for the Regional Entity rather than reimbursing SPP for shared expenses.</p> <p>On January 30, 2009, NERC submitted its Quarterly Report regarding analysis of reliability standards voting results for October to December 2008.</p> <p>On February 13, 2009, SPP filed a Motion for Stay of certain provisions of the December 19 Order. Specifically, SPP seeks a stay of the Commission’s directive in the December 19 Order that the SPP Regional Entity must pay all of its statutory expenses out of the separately maintained account for the Regional Entity rather than reimbursing SPP for shared expenses.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting NERC’s November 21, 2008 filing.</p> <p>On February 18, 2009, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration of the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On March 9, 2009, the Transmission Agency of Northern California (“TANC”) submitted Comments asking that FERC require NERC to revise Figure 6.1 contained in the February 17 Filing. TANC states that the revised Figure 6.1 does not address the Commission’s directives to conform to the directed revisions in section 6.5 of the December 19 Order.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, NERC filed a Motion to Answer and Answer to Comments on Compliance Filing in Response to the December 19, 2008 Order. NERC submitted revisions to Figure 6.1.</p>
29.	<p><b>D.C. Circuit Ct. of Appeals Case No. 06-1426</b></p>	<p><u>Alcoa Inc. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission</u></p> <p>On December 29, 2006, Alcoa, Inc. filed a Petition for Review of the Commission’s: (1) October 30, 2006 Order on Petitions for Rehearing and Clarification, and Order on Compliance Filing in Docket Nos. RR06-1-001 and RR06-002 and (2) July 20, 2006 Order Certifying NERC as the Electric Reliability Organization and Ordering a Compliance Filing in Docket No. RR06-1-000.</p> <p>SPP intervened in this case on January 25, 2007.</p> <p>The D.C. Court of Appeals issued an order on July 16, 2008, setting the following briefing schedule:</p> <p>Petitioner’s Brief was due September 2, 2008.</p> <p>Respondent’s Brief was due November 3, 2008.</p> <p>Intervenor for Respondent’s Brief was due November 18, 2008.</p>

			<p>Petitioner's Reply Brief was due December 2, 2008.</p> <p>Appendix was due December 9, 2008.</p> <p>Intervenor for Respondent's Final Brief was due December 23, 2008.</p> <p>Petitioner's Final Reply Brief was due December 23, 2008.</p> <p>Respondent's Final Brief was due December 23, 2008.</p>
30.	RR06-3	<p>North American Electric Reliability Council and North American Electric Reliability Corporation Filing of the 2007 Business Plan and Budget and the 2007 Business Plan and Budgets of Regional Entities in accordance with Section 39.4 of FERC's Regulations</p>	<p>NERC moved to intervene and answer the comments and protests on December 14, 2007, requesting that the Commission consider its answer in determining whether to approve the uniform Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program and Attachment 2 – Hearing Procedures submitted as part of NERC's October 30, 2007 compliance filing.</p> <p>This motion is currently pending before the Commission.</p>
31.	ES06-51	<p>SPP Section 204 Filing Seeking Authorization for the Issuance of \$5.4 Million in Senior Secured Notes</p> <p>SPP's authorization expires <b>July 20, 2008.</b></p>	<p>On July 20, 2006, FERC issued a letter order authorizing SPP to issue senior secured notes in an amount not to exceed \$5,400,000 at any one time.</p> <p>SPP's authorization to issue senior secured notes (in an amount not to exceed \$5,400,000 at any one time) expired July 20, 2008.</p> <p>SPP must file a Report of Securities Issued, under 18 C.F.R. §§ 34.10 and 131.43, <b>no later than 30 days after the sale or placement of long-term debt or equity securities.</b></p>
32.	ER05-1416 EL06-83	<p>SPP Filing of a Request for Rehearing and Clarification of FERC's October 27, 2005 Order Denying SPP's Limitation of Roll-over Rights in a Service Agreement</p>	<p>FERC records indicate that Docket No. ER05-1416 closed on October 27, 2005.</p> <p>The Commission issued an order on May 17, 2007 terminating Docket No. EL06-83 on the basis that Xcel's election not to confirm its renewal request as required by the SPP Tariff renders the issues in this proceeding moot.</p> <p>No subsequent activity is posted in these dockets.</p>

		with Xcel	
33.	<b>ER06-1349</b>	SPP August 9, 2006 Filing of Seven Executed Service Agreements for Firm Point-to-Point Service with Kansas Municipal Energy Agency {"KMEA"}	<p>On May 10, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting for filing the seven firm point-to-point transmission service agreements between SPP and KMEA.</p> <p>The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
34.	<b>ER06-1309</b>	SPP July 31, 2006 Filing of an Executed Agreement for the Provision of Transmission Service to Missouri Bundled Retail Load between SPP and the Empire District Electric Co.	<p>On January 16, 2007, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP's November 22, 2006 Filing, effective October 1, 2006, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
35.	<b>ER06-1318</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Agreement for the Provision of Transmission Service to Missouri Bundled Retail Load, Network Operating Agreement ("NOA") and Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement ("NITSA") between SPP and Kansas City Power & Light ("KCPL")	<p>On March 13, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP's October 24, 2006 filing, which revised the designation of Service Agreement No. 1267, an executed NITSA and NOA between SPP and KCPL. An effective date of October 1, 2006 was granted as requested.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
36.	<b>RM06-4</b>	Providing Incentives for Transmission	On April 19, 2007, the Commission issued an order denying rehearing of Order No. 679-A and granting clarification in part of Order No. 679-A.



		Infrastructure Investment to Ensure the Reliability of the Bulk Power Transmission System and Reduce the Cost of Delivered Power to Customers by Reducing Transmission Congestion	<p>The Commission clarified that: (1) the Final Rule’s declaratory order approach will not undermine FERC’s ability to ensure that all incentives sought by an applicant are tailored to address the risks and challenges faced by that applicant and are consistent with FPA section 219 and (2) transmission Organization ROE incentive is not tied to the construction of new transmission facilities.</p> <p>No additional substantive activity is posted in this docket.</p>
37.	<b>ER06-1362</b>	SPP Submission of Proposed Tariff Revisions to Modify Transmission Expansion Plan and Credit Policy	<p>On December 28, 2006, FERC accepted by letter order SPP’s November 13 and November 15, 2006 filing of revisions to Attachment X (Credit Policy) to become effective August 15, 2006, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional substantive activity is posted in this docket.</p>
38.	<b>ER06-1373</b>	SPP Filing of Changes to Pricing Zone Rates	<p>On October 3, 2006, the Commission accepted via letter order SPP’s August 16, 2006 filing of revised tariff sheets reflecting changes to Attachment H and T of the SPP OATT, intended to implement a rate change for Westar. The submittals are to become effective subject to refund and subject to the outcome of Docket No. ER05-925.</p> <p>No additional substantive activity is posted in this docket.</p>
39.	<b>ER06-1199 ER06-1204</b>	SPP Filing of a Partially Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITS”) between SPP and Kansas Power Pool (“KPP”) and an Unexecuted Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, KPP and Westar Energy	<p>On August 29, 2006, the Commission issued a letter order, accepting SPP’s June 30, 2006 filing of two unexecuted NITS agreements with KPP and two unexecuted NOAs between SPP, KPP and Westar Energy.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in these dockets.</p>
40.	<b>ER07-211 ER07-709</b>	SPP Filing of a Partially Executed Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”) between SPP, Flying	<p>On April 3, 2007, SPP filed, in Docket Nos. ER07-211 and ER07-709, a revised version of the LGIA filed with the Commission November 13, 2006. The purpose of this filing was to include the designations required by Order No. 614.</p> <p>On May 10, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order in Docket No. ER07-709-000 accepting SPP’s April 3, 2007 Filing, effective January 13, 2007, as requested.</p>

		Cloud, LLC and Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”)	No additional activity is posted in these dockets.
41.	ER07-4	<p>SPP Filing of an Executed Interconnection Agreement between SPP, Southwestern Electric Power Company (“SWEPCO”) and the Empire District Electric Company designated as Service Agreement No. 1285.</p> <p>The agreement replaces and supersedes the December 1, 1981 agreement between SWEPCO and Empire that provided for the interconnection of their transmission systems at 161 kV.</p>	<p>On December 8, 2006, the Commission accepted for filing: SPP’s October 2 and October 10, 2006 filings; Empire’s November 6, 2006 cancellation notice and SWEPCO’s November 7, 2006 cancellation notice; effective September 1, 2006. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
42.	RM05-5 RM96-1	Standards Governing Business Practices and Electronic Communications for Public Utilities Developed through Consensus by the Wholesale Electric Quadrant (“WEQ”) of the North American Energy Standards Board (“NAESB”)	<p>On July 21, 2008, FERC issued Order No. 676-C. This Final Rule will become effective <b>August 28, 2008</b> (thirty days after publication in the Federal Register July 29, 2008).</p> <p>Paragraph 80 of the Order provides, “The standards incorporated by reference in this Final Rule must be implemented by October 1, 2008, with the following exceptions: (1) The reliability related standards (WEQ-004 Coordinate Interchange, WEQ-005 Area Control Error (ACE) Equation Special Cases, WEQ-006 Manual Time Error, WEQ-007 Inadvertent Interchange Payback, and WEQ-008 Transmission Loading Relief - Eastern Interconnection) are required to be implemented by the later of the effective date of the Final Rule in RM08-7-000 or the effective date of this Final Rule [August 28, 2008]; (2) WEQ-001 OASIS Standards are required to be implemented by <b>January 31, 2009</b>; and (3) Appendix D to the WEQ-008 Transmission Loading Relief - Eastern Interconnection standards need not be implemented until <b>NERC completes the field testing.</b>”</p> <p>On September 17, 2008, FERC granted rehearing of the July 21, 2008 Order.</p>

On September 30, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Clarification and Denying Rehearing of Order No. 676-D. In this order, the Commission clarifies Order No. 676-C1 to provide: (1) that the implementation date for Appendix D of the North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) Standard WEQ-008 -- Transmission Loading Relief - Eastern Interconnection (TLR) -- is the date of the completion by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) of its field tests of the TLR procedures referenced in Order No. 676-C, because completion of these tests automatically transfers responsibility over these matters to the North American Standards Board (NAESB); and (2) that the implementation date of October 1, 2008 for Standard WEQ-012 -- Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) -- does not mean that public utilities are required by October 1, 2008 to obtain a PKI compliant certificate from an authorized certification authority (Certification Authority).

On October 22, 2008, SPP submitted a letter notifying FERC that SPP has established the necessary procedures required to comply with WEQ Standard 011-1.6/WGQ Standard 0.3.15.

On January 6, 2009, the Edison Electric Institute (EEI) submitted in Docket No. RM05-5-000 a request on behalf of electric transmission providers that the Commission issue a blanket waiver of certain North American Electric Standards Board (NAESB) business practice standards incorporated into the Commission's regulations. EEI states that the NAESB business practices require the posting of information that is inconsistent with the Commission's posting requirements under Part 358 of its regulations, as amended in Order No. 717. EEI requests that the Commission waive the requirements of WEQ-001-1.6(g)(4), version 1.4, and WEQ-002-4.5.2 and WEQ-002.4.3.10.5, version 1.4.

On February 19, 2009, NAESB submitted a report regarding its activities from August 2008 to February 2009 with respect to the adoption of Version 002.1 of the NAESB Wholesale Electric Quadrant ("WEQ") standards.

On March 12, 2009 in Docket RM05-5, NAESB submitted a supplemental report in accordance with Order Nos. 890, 890-A, 890-B and Order 676. This report includes the results of the ratification and replaces Appendix B of the February 19, 2009 Version 002.1 Wholesale Electric Quadrant ("WEQ") standards filing. The results of the ratification address NAESB business practices related to the Commercial Timing Table (WEQ-004 Appendix D). The business practices were ratified according to the NAESB procedures on March 11, 2009. The standards can be downloaded from the NAESB home page ([www.naesb.org](http://www.naesb.org)).

On March 19, 2009, FERC issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) proposes to incorporate by reference in its regulations at 18 CFR 38.2 the latest version (Version 002.1) of certain business practice standards adopted by the Wholesale Electric Quadrant of the North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB). NAESB's Version 002.1 Standards mainly modify NAESB's Version 001 Standards in response to Order Nos. 890, 890-A, and 890-B. Comments are due May 26, 2009 (see April 3, 2009 Notice of Extension of Time).

On March 30, 2009, FERC issued an Order on Requests for Waiver in RM05-5. The Commission grants waiver of the posting requirements of WEQ-002-4.5.2, version 1.4, to the extent they extend beyond the requirements of Part 358 of

---

<sup>1</sup> *Standards for Business Practices and Communication Protocols for Public Utilities*, Order No. 676-C, 73 Fed. Reg. 43,848 (July 29, 2008), FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,274 (July 21, 2008).

			the Commission's regulations, as amended by Order No. 717. The Commission denies as unnecessary the request for waiver of WEQ-001-1.6(g)(4) and WEQ-002.4.3.10.5, version 1.4.
43.	ER07-24	SPP Filing of Letter Agreement between Southwestern Public Service Co. ("SPS") and Lea Power Partners, LLC ("LPP"), Providing for LPP's Payment to SPS for SPS' Performance of Certain Engineering and Design Activities Required Prior to the Interconnection of LLP's Generating Facility to SPS' Transmission System	<p>On December 1, 2006, FERC accepted SPP's October 10, 2006, filing of a Letter Agreement between SPS and LPP, effective September 15, 2006, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
44.	ER07-272 ER07-283	SPP Filing of Executed Service Agreements for Network Integration Transmission Service ("NITSA") between SPP and Kansas Power Pool ("KPP")	<p>On June 28, 2007, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP's December 1, 2006 filing of two NITSA's between SPP and KPP, as supplemented May 1, 2007. An effective date of December 1, 2006 was granted as requested.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in these dockets.</p>
45.	ER07-273	SPP Filing of Executed Service Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service	<p>On January 26, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order in Docket No. ER07-273-000 accepting SPP's December 1, 2006 filing of an executed service agreement for firm point-to-point transmission service between KPP and SPP, to become effective November 1, 2006, as requested.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>

		with Kansas Power Pool (“KPP”)	
46.	<b>ER07-282</b>	SPP Filing of Executed Service Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service with Kansas Power Pool (“KPP”) and a Revised Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service between SPP and Kansas Municipal Energy Agency (“KMEA”)	<p>On November 6, 2007, the Commission accepted SPP’s December 1, 2006 filing, of an executed service agreement for firm point-to-point transmission service with KPP and a revised agreement for firm point-to-point transmission service between SPP and KMEA, as amended on June 27, 2007 and September 12, 2007. An effective date of November 1, 2006 was granted as requested.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
47.	<b>ER05-519 ER05-520 ER05-523</b>	SPP Filing of Unexecuted Service Agreements for Network Integration Transmission Service and Unexecuted Network Operating Agreements between SPP, the Cooperatives and American Electric Power Company, the SPP Transmission Owner and Pricing Zone Host in which the Cooperatives’ Loads are Located	<p>On November 28, 2006, the Commission approved the subject settlement SPP filed June 19, 2006 on behalf of SPP, East Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc., Northeast Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc. and Tex-La Electric Cooperative of Texas, Inc.</p> <p>The Order terminates Docket Nos. ER05-519-000, ER05-520-000 and ER05-523-000.</p>
48.	<b>ER07-14</b>	SPP Filing of Cancellation and Withdrawal Notices for Networking Operating Agreements	<p>On June 26, 2007, the Commission granted OMPA’s untimely motion to intervene in this docket and dismissed SPP and OMPA’s requests for clarification or rehearing of the January 19, 2007 Letter Order as moot.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>

		<p>("NOAs")</p> <p>NOA Nos. 1169 and 1184 are to be Superseded by a Revised Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement ("NITSA") Included in the Offer of Settlement Filed September 29, 2006 in Docket Nos. ER06-448-000 and ER06-767-000</p>	
49.	ER07-200	<p>SPP Filing of an Executed Interconnection Agreement between SPP, Kansas Gas and Electric ("KG&amp;E"), and Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Associated"), Designated Service Agreement No. 1298, which Formalizes the Interconnection of the Associated and KG&amp;E Transmission Systems at the Point where the 345 kV Transmission Line that Extends from Associated's Morgan Substation to KG&amp;E's Neosho Substation Crosses the Missouri-Kansas State-line and Defines Each Party's Responsibilities and</p>	<p>On January 8, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP's November 13, 2006 filing of an executed interconnection agreement between SPP, KG&amp;E and Associated, effective October 1, 2006, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>

		Obligations	
50.	ER07-126	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service with Kansas City Power and Light (“KCPL”) for the Period of One Year	<p>On December 6, 2006, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s November 2, 2006 filing of an executed service agreement for firm point-to-point transmission service with KCPL for a proposed term of one year, effective October 1, 2006, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
51.	ER07-25	SPP Filing of Letter Agreement between Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”) and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“Golden Spread”), Providing for the Performance of Certain Engineering and Design Activities by SPS and the Payment for Such Activities by Golden Spread Relating to the Proposed Interconnection of the 170 MW Mustang Unit 5 Project to be Owned and Constructed by Golden Spread in Yoakum County, Texas that will Connect to SPS’ 230 kV Transmission System	<p>On December 5, 2006, the Commission accepted SPP’s October 10, 2006 and October 24, 2006 filings of a Letter Agreement between SPS and Golden Spread, granting an effective date of September 11, 2006, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
52.	ER07-44	SPP Filing of Executed Supplemental Service Agreement for	<p>On December 15, 2006, the Commission accepted by letter order SPP’s October 17, 2006 filing of an executed Supplemental NITS Agreement between SPP, as transmission provider, and WFEC, as network customer, effective October 10, 2006, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p>

		Network Integration Transmission Service (“Supplemental NITS Agreement”) between SPP as Transmission Provider and Western Farmers Electric Cooperative (“WFEC”) to Allow WFEC to Designate the Hugo 2 Facility, Located in Choctaw County, Oklahoma, as a New Network Resource	No additional activity is posted in this docket.
53.	ER06-1488	Oklahoma Gas and Electric (“OG&E”) Filing of Revisions to its Open Access Transmission Tariff to Implement Reserve Sharing Energy Service and to Incorporate North American Energy Standards Board Wholesale Electric Quadrant Standards	On May 4, 2007, the Commission accepted by letter order OG&E’s March 6, 2007 compliance filing of revisions to OG&E’s emergency energy ancillary service schedule (Schedule 4A – Reserve Sharing Energy Charge) under its OATT, effective February 1, 2007, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.  No additional activity is posted in this docket.
54.	ER06-1471	Westar Energy, Inc. (“Westar”) Filing of Proposed Terms and Conditions for its Emergency Energy Charge, Schedule 4A, under the Westar OATT  SPP intervened on October 11, 2006.	On October 31, 2007, a certified index to the record was filed in this docket for the D.C. Court of Appeals case styled, <i>Southwest Industrial Customer Coalition, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission</i> , Case Nos. 06-1390, 07-1392, 07-1076 and 07-1299.  These cases were opened November 27, 2006, October 1, 2007, March 27, 2007 and August 1, 2007, respectively.  These cases were voluntarily dismissed on February 5, 2008.
55.	ER06-1463	Empire District Electric Company (“Empire”) Filing of	On October 31, 2007, a certified index to the record was filed in this docket for the D.C. Court of Appeals case styled, <i>Southwest Industrial Customer Coalition, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission</i> , Case Nos. 06-1390, 07-1392, 07-1076 and 07-1299.



		Proposed Terms and Conditions for its Emergency Energy Charge, Schedule 4A, and Tariff Revisions in Compliance with Order No. 676	<p>These cases were opened November 27, 2006, October 1, 2007, March 27, 2007 and August 1, 2007, respectively.</p> <p>These cases were voluntarily dismissed on February 5, 2008.</p>
56.	ER06-1485 ER07-266	Xcel Energy Services, Inc. Compliance Filing on behalf of Southwestern Public Service Company ("SPS"), pursuant to the July 20, 2006 Order issued in Docket Nos. ER06-451-002 and ER06-1047-000, and Order No. 676 issued in Docket No. RM-05-5-000	<p>On October 31, 2007, a certified index to the record was filed in this docket for the D.C. Court of Appeals case styled, <i>Southwest Industrial Customer Coalition, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission</i>, Case Nos. 06-1390, 07-1392, 07-1076 and 07-1299.</p> <p>These cases were opened November 27, 2006, October 1, 2007, March 27, 2007 and August 1, 2007, respectively.</p> <p>These cases were voluntarily dismissed on February 5, 2008.</p>
57.	D.C. Circuit Ct. of Appeals Case No. 07-1079	<u>Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission</u>	<p>On March 29, 2007, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a petition for review of Commission Orders in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. Specifically, Golden Spread seeks review of <i>Xcel Energy Services, Inc.</i>, 118 FERC ¶ 61,071 (January 31, 2007) in Docket Nos. ER06-1485-002, ER06-1485-001, ER07-266-000 and <i>Xcel Energy Services, Inc.</i>, 117 FERC ¶ 61,127 (October 30, 2006) in Docket No. Er06-1485-000.</p> <p>Intervening in this proceeding were SPP, on April 17, 2007, and OG&amp;E, on April 30, 2007.</p> <p>On May 14, 2007, FERC filed a motion to dismiss the case for lack of jurisdiction.</p> <p>Golden Spread filed its opposition to FERC's motion on May 29, 2007.</p> <p>FERC's reply was filed with the court on June 5, 2007.</p> <p>This case closed July 11, 2007 due to a jurisdictional defect. A mandate was issued by the court on September 5, 2007.</p> <p>The case was voluntarily dismissed on February 5, 2008.</p>
58.	ER06-1491	Aquila, Inc. ("Aquila") Filing of OATT Revisions Providing for Emergency Energy Service, Schedule	<p>On November 13, 2006, the Commission accepted Aquila's September 15, 2006 filing of tariff sheets incorporating Order No. 676 and Schedule 4A, effective July 1 and November 1, 2006, respectively. The letter order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional substantive activity is posted in this docket.</p>

		4A, and Tariff Revisions in Compliance with Order No. 676  SPP moved to intervene on October 6, 2006.	
59.	ER06-1542	Kansas City Power & Light Company (“KCPL”) Filing of OATT Revisions Providing for Emergency Energy Service, Schedule 4A, and Tariff Revisions in Compliance with Order No. 676  SPP moved to intervene on October 6, 2006.	On November 20, 2006, the Commission accepted via letter order KCPL’s September 28, 2006 filing of Tariff sheets incorporating Order No. 676 and Schedule 4A, to become effective July 1 and November 1, 2006, respectively.  No additional substantive activity is posted in this docket.
60.	EL07-6	Federal Power Act Section 206 Inquiries into Gas-Electric Coordination Issues	On August 31, 2007, the Commission issued an order terminating the section 206 proceedings in Docket Nos. EL07-1, EL07-2, EL07-3, EL07-4, EL07-5 and EL07-6 involving CAISO, ISO-NE, PJM, MISO, NYISO and SPP, respectively.  FERC determined that no additional section 206 procedures were warranted in Docket No. EL07-6 to further examine whether SPP’s scheduling and compensation mechanisms need to be revised to ensure that gas-fired generators can obtain gas when necessary for reliability.  No additional substantive activity is posted in this docket.
61.	ER07-243	SPP Filing of Revised Executed Service Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service with Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”)	On December 22, 2006, the Commission accepted by letter order SPP’s November 22, 2006 filing of a firm point-to-point transmission service agreement with SPS, effective January 1, 2007, as requested. The letter order constitutes final agency action.  No additional activity is posted in this docket.
62.	4 <sup>th</sup> Circuit Ct. of Appeals	<u>Piedmont Environmental</u>	On July 10, 2007, the Piedmont Environmental Council filed a Petition for Review of FERC’s November 16, 2006 and May 17, 2007 Orders in Docket No. RM06-12-001.

	<b>Case No. 07-1651</b>	<u>Council v. FERC</u>	<p>On September 11, 2007 an order was filed consolidating Cases No. 07-1864, 07-1865 and 07-1866 with 07-1651.</p> <p>Oral arguments were scheduled for September 24, 2008.</p>
<b>63.</b>	<b>RM06-12</b>	Regulations for Filing Applications for Permits to Site Interstate Electric Transmission Corridors	<p>Select filings in 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals Case No. 07-1651, <i>Piedmont Environmental Council v. FERC</i>, are posted in this docket.</p> <p>On April 3, 2008, FERC provided notice that new docket prefixes “PT” and “ET” have been established for the pre-filing and application processes for permits to site interstate electric transmission facilities in accordance with Order No. 689.</p> <p>PT Dockets: Pre-filing requests and related filings ET Dockets: Applications for a permit to site facilities and related filings.</p>
<b>64.</b>	<b>ER07-304</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) and an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) with Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co. (“OG&E”)	<p>On May 1, 2007, SPP submitted a supplemental explanatory filing concerning section 8.9 of Attachment 1 (future charges for network upgrades) of the NITSA filed by SPP December 7, 2006.</p> <p>On June 28, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s December 7, 2006 filing, as supplemented May 1, 2007. An effective date of December 1, 2006 was granted. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
<b>65.</b>	<b>TX07-1</b>	Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (“Brazos”) October 13, 2006 Filing, as Amended November 21, 2006, of an Application for an Order Directing a Physical Interconnection of Facilities and Transmission Service under Sections 210, 211 and 212 of the Federal Power Act in Connection with the Development of Hugo Unit 2, a New	<p>On June 7, 2007, the Commission issued an order granting Brazos’ request to terminate the obligations imposed by the Commission’s March 15, 2007 Order and terminating this docket.</p> <p>FERC is allowing Brazos to terminate the obligation of TXU Electric Delivery Company to interconnect with Brazos’ proposed transmission line and the obligation of TXU Electric Delivery Company and CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric to provide transmission service to, from and over that interconnection.</p> <p>No subsequent activity is posted in this docket.</p>

		<p>750 MW Design Capacity Coal-Fired Generation Facility, to be Constructed Near Hugo, Oklahoma and the Brazos DC Tie</p> <p>SPP intervened on December 11, 2006.</p>	
66.	ER07-314	<p>SPP Filing of Unexecuted Revised Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP as Transmission Provider and American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEP”) as Network Customer</p>	<p>On May 10, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s March 26 Compliance Filing of an unexecuted NITSA with AEP (intended to allow AEP to designate new network resources under the existing NITSA No. 1148, dated September 1, 2005), effective November 10, 2006, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p> <p>The NITSA filed in this docket is to be superseded in its entirety by the executed NITSA filed by SPP May 1, 2007, in Docket No. <b>ER07-828-000</b>.</p>
67.	ER07-828	<p>SPP filing of a New Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) between SPP and American Electric Power Service Corporation, as agent for Public Service Company of Oklahoma, Southwestern Electric Power Company and Mutual Energy SWEPCO L.P. (“AEP”), as Network Customer</p>	<p>On May 1, 2007, SPP filed an executed NITSA between SPP and AEP, as network customer. This NITSA supersedes the partially executed NITSA pending before FERC in Docket No. ER07-314-002 (filed December 11, 2006). Sections 8.7 and 8.9 of Attachment 1 were modified to clarify how SPP will provide supplemental network service to AEP.</p> <p>On June 8, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s May 1, 2007 filing of the executed NITSA between SPP and AEP, effective April 1, 2007, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional substantive activity is posted in this docket.</p>

<p>68.</p>	<p><b>ER05-1410</b> <b>EL05-148</b></p>	<p>Settlement Filing by PJM Interconnection, LLC (“PJM”) and Multiple PJM Market Participants concerning PJM’s Reliability Pricing Model (“RPM”) to Establish New Market Rules that will Enable PJM to Obtain Sufficient Energy to Reliably Meet the Needs of Consumers within PJM</p>	<p>On July 18, 2008, FERC issued an order conditionally accepting PJM’s May 20, 2008 Compliance Filing concerning the use of avoided cost rates for default bids in PJM’s RPM program. PJM must submit a further compliance filing by August 18, 2008.</p> <p>On August 18, 2008, PJM submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the July 18 Order. Specifically, PJM incorporated the revised language in Section 6.7 of the Tariff requiring the Capacity Market Seller to provide additional information in the sworn, notarized statement that it must provide to PJM with its request to apply the Section 6.7(c) retirement Avoidable Cost Rate. PJM also revised the Tariff to state that PJM must publish the number of Generation Capacity Resources and the number of megawatts per Locational Deliverability Area that use the retirement default rates. Comments on this filing were due September 8, 2008. None were filed.</p> <p>On September 18, 2008, FERC issued a press release stating it granted a request for technical conference related to PJM’s ongoing review of the RPM and directed PJM to submit a report to the Commission by December 15, 2008, detailing its findings and the actions it proposes to take, including filing proposals as necessary to implement changes to RPM. The related order was issued on September 19, 2008. FERC dismissed a complaint by RPM Buyers that RPM had not resulted in just and reasonable capacity prices during the transition period between PJM’s prior capacity market mechanism and full implementation of RPM.</p> <p>On November 4, 2008, PJM Industrial Coalition filed a request for extension of time. On November 18, 2008, FERC issued a Notice of Extension of Time, granting an extension to and including January 9, 2009 for parties to file a response to PJM’s filing due on or before December 15, 2008.</p> <p>On December 12, 2008, PJM submitted its Report on Stakeholder Process, regarding the efforts of PJM and its stakeholders to address various changes to the RPM are discussed.</p> <p>On January 9, 2009, PPL Companies filed an Answer to Report of PJM Interconnection, LLC on Stakeholder Process.</p> <p>On January 9, 2009, Public Power Association of New Jersey, the Blue Ridge Power Agency, and the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission filed Protest regarding Load Forecast to be used in May 2009 RPM Auction.</p> <p>During the month of January, several parties filed Comments regarding the December 12 Filing.</p> <p>On March 26, 2009, FERC issued an Order Accepting Tariff Provisions in Part, Rejecting Tariff Revisions in Part, Accepting Report, and Requiring Compliance Filings. PJM is required to make a compliance filing by April 27, 2009 to update its tariff provisions with regard to the MOPR values. PJM’s waiver request is granted regarding the provisions of the PJM Tariff so as to enable Load Serving Entities to obtain certification by May 1, 2009 (rather than March 2, 2009) of the load management capability that such Load Serving Entities seek to qualify as ILR for the 2009-2010 Delivery Year.</p>
------------	---	--	---

69.	<b>ER07-358</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service with Western Resources d/b/a Westar Energy (“Westar”) as agent for the Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission for the Proposed Term of One Year	<p>On March 8, 2007, the Commission issued an order accepting SPP’s December 22, 2006 filing of a firm point-to-point transmission service agreement between SPP and Westar Energy, to become effective December 1, 2006, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
70.	<b>ER07-382</b>	SPP Filing of a Revised Agreement for Wholesale Distribution Service Charges between Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (“KEPCo”) and the Empire District Electric Company (“Empire”)	<p>On February 16, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s December 28, 2006 filing of a revised agreement for wholesale distribution service charges between KEPCo and Empire, to become effective February 27, 2007. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>To the extent that SPP charged rates for service prior to February 27, 2007, SPP must refund the time value of the revenues actually collected for the time period the rates were charged without Commission authorization.</p> <p>March 18, 2007 was the deadline for SPP refunds and any requests for rehearing.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
71.	<b>EL07-27 ER07-396</b> (Consolidated)	Petition of the East Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“East Texas”), Tex-La Electric Cooperative of Texas, Inc. (“Tex-La”) and Deep East Electric Cooperative (“Deep East”) for Commission Approval of their Annual Transmission Revenue Requirements (“ATTRs”) for Inclusion in the SPP OATT	<p>On January 23, 2008, the Commission approved the uncontested settlement in this proceeding, terminating Docket Nos. EL07-27-000, EL07-27-001, ER07-396-000 and ER07-396-001.</p> <p>Commissioners Kelly and Wellinghoff dissented in part regarding the appropriate standard of review and have issued separate statements.</p> <p>No subsequent activity is posted in these dockets.</p>

		<p>SPP Filing of OATT Revisions to Incorporate ATTRs for East Texas, Tex-La, and Deep East Electric Cooperative Upon Commission Approval in Docket No. EL07-27</p> <p>SPP intervened in Docket No. EL07-27 on January 11, 2007.</p>	
72.	<b>ER07-408</b>	<p>SPP Filing of Attachment AD Revision to Extend the Tariff Administration Agreement with Southwestern Power Administration to January 31, 2007</p>	<p>On February 28, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP's January 3, 2007 Filing of revisions to SPP's Tariff Administration Agreement, Attachment AD, with Southwestern Power Administration under SPP's OATT to extend the terms of Attachment AD until January 31, 2007. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
73.	<b>ER07-319 EL07-73</b>	<p>SPP Compliance Filing, pursuant to the Commission's October 31, 2006 Order, to Revise Various Aspects of SPP's EIS Market - Violation Relaxation Limits ("VRLs")</p>	<p>On May 21, 2008, SPP filed notice with the Commission that the active parties have initiated discussions to resolve the issues raised in the Commission's June 29, 2007 Order. It is requested that the Commission withhold issuance of an order in this proceeding to allow additional time for negotiations.</p> <p>On July 29, 2008, SPP submitted a status report pursuant to the June 29, 2007 Order.</p> <p>On September 26, 2008, SPP submitted a status report pursuant to the June 29, 2007 Order.</p> <p>On October 23, 2008, SPP submitted a status report pursuant to the June 29, 2007 Order.</p> <p>On December 12, 2008, SPP submitted a status report pursuant to the June 29, 2007 Order. Assuming continued progress on the limited issues that remain outstanding, SPP anticipates a settlement offer could be filed during the first quarter of 2009.</p> <p>On February 5, 2009, SPP submitted a status report pursuant to the June 29, 2007 Order. SPP advised that the active parties have reached a settlement and anticipates that a settlement offer will be filed prior to March 15, 2009.</p> <p>On February 24, 2009, SPP filed an Offer of Settlement and Stipulation.</p> <p>On March 16, 2009, Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company submitted comments regarding the</p>

			<p>Offer of Settlement.</p> <p>On March 27, 2009, SPP filed reply comments addressing the issues raises by Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company concerning the Offer of Settlement submitted by SPP on February 27, 2009.</p> <p>The clarifications requested by Westar and agreed to by SPP and the active parties are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• With respect to Article 2, Section B. 3. b. of the Settlement: SPP and the active parties agree that the language ". . . following approval by the MWG" is not intended to and does not prejudice or pre-suppose automatic approval by the MWG, but is properly understood to mean "if approved by the MWG."</li> <li>• With respect to Article 2, Section B. 3. d. of the Settlement: SPP and the active parties agree that SPP stakeholders will be invited to participate in an open process to develop and discuss the cooperative efforts and the lessons learned from the initiatives described in this section. To the extent these open discussions result in Violation Relaxation Limit ("VRL") mitigation solutions, the solutions will be shared with all SPP stakeholders. Any VRL mitigation solutions that require Protocol Revisions or Tariff changes shall commence if so approved by SPP stakeholders and accepted by the Commission.</li> </ul> <p>On March 30, 2009, Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company submitted Reply Comments Regarding SPP's Offer of Settlement. The filing states that, with the clarifications offered in SPP's March 27 Filing, Westar does not oppose approval of the Stipulation provided that such approval incorporates the clarifications set forth in SPP's reply comments.</p>
74.	ER07-385	<p>American Electric Power Service Corporation ("AEP") Filing of Schedule 4A on behalf of its Operating Companies, Public Service Company of Oklahoma and Southwestern Electric Power Company ("SWEPCO")</p> <p>SPP intervened on January 8, 2007.</p>	<p>On October 31, 2007, a certified index to the record was filed in this docket for the D.C. Court of Appeals case styled, <i>Southwest Industrial Customer Coalition, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission</i>, Case Nos. 06-1390, 07-1392, 07-1076 and 07-1299.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
75.	EL07-28	<p>Complaint of Xcel Energy Services Inc.</p>	<p>On March 22, 2007, the Commission issued an order granting Xcel's January 4, 2007 complaint against SPP.</p>



		<p>("Xcel") against Southwest Power Pool, Inc. concerning the Registration of JD Wind Resources Units 1 through 4</p>	<p>FERC found that, "SPP does not meet the applicable SPP OATT definitions or registration requirements of a Market Participant and, therefore, SPP lacks authority pursuant to the SPP OATT to register generation facilities as resources for the imbalance market." The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
76.	QM07-5	<p>Southwestern Public Service Company's ("SPS") Application to Terminate the Requirement to Enter into New Contracts or Obligations with Qualifying Facilities</p>	<p>On January 22, 2008, FERC issued an order granting OG&amp;E's and AEP's request to terminate the requirement that they enter into new obligations or contracts with QFs with net capacity in excess of 20 MW and denying Xcel's request that SPS be exempted from the mandatory purchase obligation without prejudice to its filing a new section 210(m) application. Commissioners Kelly and Wellinghoff each dissented in part and have issued separate statements.</p> <p>Rehearing of the January 22, 2008 Order Granting in part and Denying in Part Application was granted by the Commission on March 24, 2008.</p> <p>On July 21, 2008, the Commission issued an order denying Xcel's February 21, 2008 request for rehearing and clarification of the January 22, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On September 19, 2008, John Deere Renewables, LLC filed a Petition for Review, requesting a review of the January 22, 2008 and July 21, 2008 Orders.</p> <p>On October 29, 2008, John Deere Renewables, LLC filed a Docketing Statement Form with the US Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, under Appeals Case 08-1306.</p>
77.	RR07-1	<p>Delegation Agreement between NERC and Texas Regional Entity, ("TRE") a division of ERCOT</p> <p>SPP intervened on January 10, 2007.</p>	<p>On July 21, 2008, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the Commission's March 21, 2008 Order in Docket Nos. RR06-1-012 and RR07-1-002 through RR07-8-002.</p> <p>NERC's compliance filing consists of a revised Amended and Restated <i>Pro Forma</i> Delegation Agreement with the Regional Entities, a revised NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program document (including revised Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures), revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreements with each of the Regional Entities, and revisions to the NERC Rules of Procedure in compliance with the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>TRE Delegation Agreement revisions are discussed at pages 49-54 of the Compliance Filing.</p> <p>See Attachment 10 to NERC's Compliance Filing for the Revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreement between NERC and TRE.</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, NERC submitted supplemental information adding language in the second paragraph of the CMEP following the existing third sentence.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Compliance Filings (July 21, August 28, and September 30, 2008), subject to conditions, to become effective on January 3, 2009. NERC is directed to submit a compliance filing by <b>February 17, 2009</b>.</p>

			<p>Within the December 19 Order, the agreement between NERC and TRE is accepted without revision.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On March 9, 2009, the Transmission Agency of Northern California (“TANC”) submitted Comments asking that FERC require NERC to revise Figure 6.1 contained in the February 17 Filing. TANC states that the revised Figure 6.1 does not address the Commission’s directives to conform to the directed revisions in section 6.5 of the December 19 Order.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, NERC filed a Motion to Answer and Answer to Comments on Compliance Filing in Response to the December 19, 2008 Order. NERC submitted revisions to Figure 6.1.</p>
78.	RR07-2	<p>Delegation Agreement between NERC and the Midwest Reliability Organization (“MRO”)</p> <p>SPP intervened on January 10, 2007.</p>	<p>On July 21, 2008, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the Commission’s March 21, 2008 Order in Docket Nos. RR06-1-012 and RR07-1-002 through RR07-8-002.</p> <p>NERC’s compliance filing consists of a revised Amended and Restated <i>Pro Forma</i> Delegation Agreement with the Regional Entities, a revised NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program document (including revised Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures), revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreements with each of the Regional Entities, and revisions to the NERC Rules of Procedure in compliance with the March 21, 2008 Order. MRO Delegation Agreement revisions are discussed at pages 54-57 of the Compliance Filing.</p> <p>See Attachment 5 to NERC’s Compliance Filing for the Revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreement between NERC and MRO.</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, NERC submitted supplemental information adding language in the second paragraph of the CMEP following the existing third sentence.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Compliance Filings (July 21, August 28, and September 30, 2008), subject to conditions, to become effective on January 3, 2009. NERC is directed to submit a compliance filing by February 17, 2009.</p> <p>Within the December 19 Order, the agreement between NERC and MRO is accepted, subject to revision. With respect to the proposed revision at Exhibit E, section 5, FERC clarifies that MRO may not charge a membership fee of any kind unless that fee has been submitted for Commission approval. In addition, FERC states that the MRO bylaws, at section 5.8. will be construed consistent with the requirements of Exhibit E, section 5.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On March 9, 2009, the Transmission Agency of Northern California (“TANC”) submitted Comments asking that FERC require NERC to revise Figure 6.1 contained in the February 17 Filing. TANC states that the revised Figure 6.1 does not address the Commission’s directives to conform to the directed revisions in section 6.5 of the December 19 Order.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, NERC filed a Motion to Answer and Answer to Comments on Compliance Filing in Response to</p>

			the December 19, 2008 Order. NERC submitted revisions to Figure 6.1.
79.	RR07-3	<p>Delegation Agreement between the North American Electric Reliability Corporation and Northeast Power Coordinating Council: Cross Border Regional Entity, Inc. (“NPCC”)</p> <p>SPP intervened on January 10, 2007.</p>	<p>On July 15, 2008, the Commission accepted NERC's uncontested May 19, 2008 filing as meeting the 60-day submission requirements ordered by the Commission. FERC will review the proposed revisions for compliance with the Commission’s directives when they are actually filed as part of the 120-day compliance filing, due on or before July 21, 2008.</p> <p>On July 21, 2008, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the Commission’s March 21, 2008 Order in Docket Nos. RR06-1-012 and RR07-1-002 through RR07-8-002.</p> <p>NERC’s compliance filing consists of a revised Amended and Restated <i>Pro Forma</i> Delegation Agreement with the Regional Entities, a revised NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program document (including revised Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures), revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreements with each of the Regional Entities, and revisions to the NERC Rules of Procedure in compliance with the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>NPCC Delegation Agreement revisions are discussed at pages 58-64 of the Compliance Filing.</p> <p>See Attachment 6 to NERC’s Compliance Filing for the Revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreement between NERC and NPCC.</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, NERC submitted supplemental information adding language in the second paragraph of the CMEP following the existing third sentence.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Compliance Filings (July 21, August 28, and September 30, 2008), subject to conditions, to become effective on January 3, 2009. NERC is directed to submit a compliance filing by February 17, 2009.</p> <p>Within the December 19 Order, the agreement between NERC and NPCC is accepted, subject to revision. NPCC is directed to clarify the voting requirements applicable to the NPCC hearing body (Exhibit D, section 2.0). Also, NPCC bylaws may be inconsistent with section 2.0 of Exhibit D, so NPCC is to amend its bylaws to remove ambiguity.</p> <p>NERC and NPCC must also amend Exhibit D, section 3.0 to state that the consultation process is to be initiated only by compliance staff and that the process may not be used to determine appropriate proposals for penalties or sanctions for violations. 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, NERC and NPCC are required to submit non-public reports to the Commission staff on technical committee consultations during that calendar quarter. NERC and NPCC must also submit a filing by June 30, 2010, that incorporates the results of these quarterly reports and proposes a schedule for the termination of the consultations or detailed justification for their continuation.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On March 9, 2009, the Transmission Agency of Northern California (“TANC”) submitted Comments asking that FERC</p>

			<p>require NERC to revise Figure 6.1 contained in the February 17 Filing. TANC states that the revised Figure 6.1 does not address the Commission’s directives to conform to the directed revisions in section 6.5 of the December 19 Order.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, NERC filed a Motion to Answer and Answer to Comments on Compliance Filing in Response to the December 19, 2008 Order. NERC submitted revisions to Figure 6.1.</p>
80.	RR07-4	<p>Delegation Agreement between NERC and Reliability First Corporation (“RFC”)</p> <p>SPP intervened on January 10, 2007.</p>	<p>On July 21, 2008, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the Commission’s March 21, 2008 Order in Docket Nos. RR06-1-012 and RR07-1-002 through RR07-8-002.</p> <p>NERC’s compliance filing consists of a revised Amended and Restated <i>Pro Forma</i> Delegation Agreement with the Regional Entities, a revised NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program document (including revised Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures), revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreements with each of the Regional Entities, and revisions to the NERC Rules of Procedure in compliance with the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>RFC Delegation Agreement revisions are discussed at pages 64-73 of the Compliance Filing.</p> <p>See Attachment 7 to NERC’s Compliance Filing for the Revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreement between NERC and RFC.</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, NERC submitted supplemental information adding language in the second paragraph of the CMEP following the existing third sentence.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Compliance Filings (July 21, August 28, and September 30, 2008), subject to conditions, to become effective on January 3, 2009. NERC is directed to submit a compliance filing by February 17, 2009.</p> <p>Within the December 19 Order, the agreement between NERC and RFC is accepted. NERC and RFC included a reference in the agreement to a website address in lieu of placing the procedures into the agreement. FERC finds this reasonable, but directs RFC to revise the text of its cross reference, at paragraphs 1.5.5 and 1.8, if and when the website address changes.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On March 9, 2009, the Transmission Agency of Northern California (“TANC”) submitted Comments asking that FERC require NERC to revise Figure 6.1 contained in the February 17 Filing. TANC states that the revised Figure 6.1 does not address the Commission’s directives to conform to the directed revisions in section 6.5 of the December 19 Order.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, NERC filed a Motion to Answer and Answer to Comments on Compliance Filing in Response to the December 19, 2008 Order. NERC submitted revisions to Figure 6.1.</p>
81.	RR07-5	<p>Delegation Agreement between NERC and SERC Reliability</p>	<p>On July 21, 2008, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the Commission’s March 21, 2008 Order in Docket Nos. RR06-1-012 and RR07-1-002 through RR07-8-002.</p>

		<p>Corporation</p> <p>SPP intervened on January 10, 2007.</p>	<p>NERC’s compliance filing consists of a revised Amended and Restated <i>Pro Forma</i> Delegation Agreement with the Regional Entities, a revised NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program document (including revised Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures), revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreements with each of the Regional Entities, and revisions to the NERC Rules of Procedure in compliance with the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>SERC Delegation Agreement revisions are discussed at pages 73-76 of the Compliance Filing.</p> <p>See Attachment 8 to NERC’s Compliance Filing for the Revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreement between NERC and SERC.</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, NERC submitted supplemental information adding language in the second paragraph of the CMEP following the existing third sentence.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Compliance Filings (July 21, August 28, and September 30, 2008), subject to conditions, to become effective on January 3, 2009. NERC is directed to submit a compliance filing by February 17, 2009.</p> <p>Within the December 19 Order, the agreement between NERC and SERC is accepted, without revision.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On March 9, 2009, the Transmission Agency of Northern California (“TANC”) submitted Comments asking that FERC require NERC to revise Figure 6.1 contained in the February 17 Filing. TANC states that the revised Figure 6.1 does not address the Commission’s directives to conform to the directed revisions in section 6.5 of the December 19 Order.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, NERC filed a Motion to Answer and Answer to Comments on Compliance Filing in Response to the December 19, 2008 Order. NERC submitted revisions to Figure 6.1.</p>
<p>82.</p>	<p>RR07-6</p>	<p>SPP Regional Entity Delegation Agreement (“SPP-NERC RDA”)</p> <p>SPP intervened on January 10, 2007.</p>	<p>On July 21, 2008, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the Commission’s March 21, 2008 Order in Docket Nos. RR06-1-012 and RR07-1-002 through RR07-8-002.</p> <p>NERC’s compliance filing consists of a revised Amended and Restated <i>Pro Forma</i> Delegation Agreement with the Regional Entities, a revised NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program document (including revised Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures), revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreements with each of the Regional Entities, and revisions to the NERC Rules of Procedure in compliance with the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>SPP Delegation Agreement revisions are discussed at pages 76-79 of the Compliance Filing.</p> <p>See Attachment 9 to NERC’s Compliance Filing for the Revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreement between NERC and SPP.</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, NERC submitted supplemental information adding language in the second paragraph of the CMEP following the existing third sentence.</p>

			<p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Compliance Filings (July 21, August 28, and September 30, 2008), subject to conditions, to become effective on January 3, 2009. NERC is directed to submit a compliance filing by February 17, 2009.</p> <p>Within the December 19 Order, the agreement between NERC and SPP is accepted, subject to revision. NERC and SPP must provide further explanation regarding the new bylaw provision generally assuring that participation in SPP Regional Entity activities is open to the public and does not require membership in SPP, Inc. is consistent with other provisions of SPP bylaws and the SPP Regional Entity Standards Development Process Manual that identify particular SPP Regional Entity functions and activities that are conducted by SPP Inc. membership-based committees.</p> <p>Also in the December 19 Order, NERC and SPP are directed to revise Exhibit E, section 5 to establish separate accounts for payment of statutory and non-statutory expenses. Lastly, FERC ads that final determination regarding adequacy between RE and RTO functions will continue to remain pending until the results of the audit are complete.</p> <p>On January 21, 2009, SPP filed a Request for Rehearing, which seeks rehearing of the Commission’s directive that the SPP Regional Entity must pay all of its statutory expenses out of the separately maintained account for the Regional Entity rather than reimbursing SPP for shared expenses.</p> <p>On February 13, 2009, SPP filed a Motion for Stay of certain provisions of the December 19 Order. Specifically, SPP seeks a stay of the Commission’s directive in the December 19 Order that the SPP Regional Entity must pay all of its statutory expenses out of the separately maintained account for the Regional Entity rather than reimbursing SPP for shared expenses.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On February 18, 2009, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration of the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On March 9, 2009, the Transmission Agency of Northern California (“TANC”) submitted Comments asking that FERC require NERC to revise Figure 6.1 contained in the February 17 Filing. TANC states that the revised Figure 6.1 does not address the Commission’s directives to conform to the directed revisions in section 6.5 of the December 19 Order.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, NERC filed a Motion to Answer and Answer to Comments on Compliance Filing in Response to the December 19, 2008 Order. NERC submitted revisions to Figure 6.1.</p> <p>On March 25, 2009, FERC issued an Order on Rehearing, which grants rehearing of the December 19 Order regarding the directive that SPP revise Exhibit E, section 5 of the SPP Delegation Agreement to establish separate accounts for payment of statutory and non-statutory expenses between the SPP Regional Entity and the SPP RTO. The Commission finds that with the proper implementation of their directives that they need not, at this time, require SPP to establish a new account.</p>
83.	RR07-7	Delegation Agreement between	NERC and WECC must submit a status report by <b>June 30, 2009</b> addressing the merits of retaining the proposed

<p>NERC and Western Electricity Coordinating Council (“WECC”)</p> <p>SPP intervened on January 10, 2007.</p>	<p>deviation from paragraph 1.3.2 of the pro forma NERC hearing procedures and also submit a status report within 6 months of the date of this order and every six months thereafter addressing WECC’s monitoring and enforcement responsibilities regarding its reliability coordinator function.</p> <p>On July 21, 2008, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the Commission’s March 21, 2008 Order in Docket Nos. RR06-1-012 and RR07-1-002 through RR07-8-002.</p> <p>NERC’s compliance filing consists of a revised Amended and Restated <i>Pro Forma</i> Delegation Agreement with the Regional Entities, a revised NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program document (including revised Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures), revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreements with each of the Regional Entities, and revisions to the NERC Rules of Procedure in compliance with the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>WECC Delegation Agreement revisions are discussed at pages 79-91 of the Compliance Filing.</p> <p>See Attachment 11 to NERC’s Compliance Filing for the Revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreement between NERC and WECC.</p> <p>On August 28, 2008, NERC submitted a supplement to the July 21 compliance filing. The purpose of this Supplement was to advise that WECC Board of Directors approved the Revised Amended and Restated NERC-WECC Delegation Agreement.</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, NERC submitted supplemental information adding language in the second paragraph of the CMEP following the existing third sentence.</p> <p>On September 22, 2008, NERC and WECC submitted a status report in response to Paragraph 226 of the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On September 30, 2008, NERC and WECC submitted a second supplemental filing proposing both to clarify and justify NERC’s and WECC’s proposed revisions to Exhibit E, section 3 of the WECC Delegation Agreement, as included in the July 21 compliance filing.</p> <p>On November 21, 2008, NERC and WECC submitted a further status report in response to Paragraph 226 of the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Compliance Filings (July 21, August 28, and September 30, 2008), subject to conditions, to become effective on January 3, 2009. NERC is directed to submit a compliance filing by February 17, 2009.</p> <p>Within the December 19 Order, the agreement between NERC and WECC is accepted, subject to revision. NERC and WECC must submit a proposal to reconcile the issues noted in the order with regards to WECC’s hearing procedures.</p> <p>On December 22, 2008, FERC accepts the September 22 filing.</p>
--	--

		<p>On February 17, 2009, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting NERC’s November 21, 2008 filing.</p> <p>On March 9, 2009, the Transmission Agency of Northern California (“TANC”) submitted Comments asking that FERC require NERC to revise Figure 6.1 contained in the February 17 Filing. TANC states that the revised Figure 6.1 does not address the Commission’s directives to conform to the directed revisions in section 6.5 of the December 19 Order.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, NERC filed a Motion to Answer and Answer to Comments on Compliance Filing in Response to the December 19, 2008 Order. NERC submitted revisions to Figure 6.1.</p>
84.	RR07-8	<p>Delegation Agreement between NERC and Florida Reliability Coordinating Council (“FRCC”)</p> <p>SPP intervened on January 10, 2007.</p> <p>On July 15, 2008, the Commission accepted NERC's uncontested May 19, 2008 filing as meeting the 60-day submission requirements ordered by the Commission. FERC will review the proposed revisions for compliance with the Commission’s directives when they are actually filed as part of the 120-day compliance filing, due on or before July 21, 2008.</p> <p>On July 21, 2008, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the Commission’s March 21, 2008 Order in Docket Nos. RR06-1-012 and RR07-1-002 through RR07-8-002.</p> <p>NERC’s compliance filing consists of a revised Amended and Restated <i>Pro Forma</i> Delegation Agreement with the Regional Entities, a revised NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program document (including revised Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures), revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreements with each of the Regional Entities, and revisions to the NERC Rules of Procedure in compliance with the March 21, 2008 Order.</p> <p>FRCC Delegation Agreement revisions are discussed at pages 91-98 of the Compliance Filing.</p> <p>See Attachment 4 to NERC’s Compliance Filing for the Revised Amended and Restated Delegation Agreement between NERC and FRCC.</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, NERC submitted supplemental information adding language in the second paragraph of the CMEP following the existing third sentence.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Compliance Filings (July 21, August 28, and September 30, 2008), subject to conditions, to become effective on January 3, 2009. NERC is directed to submit a compliance filing by February 17, 2009.</p> <p>Within the December 19 Order, the agreement between NERC and FRCC is accepted, subject to revision. NERC and FRCC are to amend section 1.2 to state the review process is to be initiated only by compliance staff and that the process may not be used to determine proposals for penalties or sanctions for violations. FRCC is also directed to submit non-public reports 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter on compliance committee reviews during that calendar quarter. NERC and FRCC are directed to submit a filing on or before June 30, 2010, incorporating the results of these quarterly reports and proposing a schedule for the termination of the reviews or a detailed justification for their continuation. FRCC is also asked to clarify its proposed modifications to Exhibit D, at section 2.0, regarding voting</p>



			<p>requirements applicable to the FRCC hearing body. Lastly, the FRCC bylaws may be inconsistent with section 2.0 of the FRCC Exhibit D. FRCC is to amend its bylaws to remove any such ambiguity and to list the quorum and voting rules applicable to the actions of the board compliance committee when it serves as the FRCC hearing body.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, NERC submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On March 9, 2009, the Transmission Agency of Northern California (“TANC”) submitted Comments asking that FERC require NERC to revise Figure 6.1 contained in the February 17 Filing. TANC states that the revised Figure 6.1 does not address the Commission’s directives to conform to the directed revisions in section 6.5 of the December 19 Order.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, NERC filed a Motion to Answer and Answer to Comments on Compliance Filing in Response to the December 19, 2008 Order. NERC submitted revisions to Figure 6.1.</p>
85.	RM06-10	New PURPA Section 210(m) Regulations Applicable to Small Power Production and Cogeneration Facilities	<p>On June 22, 2007, the Commission issued Order No. 688-A, denying rehearing on most major issues decided in Order No. 688; clarifying certain aspects of the rule and adopting additional filing requirements. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
86.	ER07-603	SPP Filing of a Partially Executed Service Agreement between SPP and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“Golden Spread”) for Market Participants Selling into SPP’s EIS Market	<p>On May 2, 2007, the Commission accepted by letter order SPP’s March 5, 2007 filing of a partially executed service agreement for Market Participants selling into its real-time energy imbalance service markets with Golden Spread, effective February 1, 2007, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
87.	ER07-643	SPP Filing of Eight Executed Meter Agent Service Agreements between American Electric Power (“AEP”) and Various SPP EIS Market Participants	<p>On October 12, 2007, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP’s August 9, 2007 compliance filing of revised versions of eight executed Meter Agent Service Agreements between AEP and various participants in SPP’s real-time EIS market. An effective date of February 1, 2007 was granted as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
88.	AD06-9	RTO Border Utility Issues: RTO and ISO Seams Issues in the Eastern Interconnection	<p>A technical conference on seams issues for RTOs and ISOs in the Eastern Connection was held on March 29, 2007, with several post-technical comments being filed.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>

89.	RM87-3	SPP Filing of FERC-582 for Computation of FERC Electric Annual Charges Assessed to Public Utilities	SPP submitted its FERC Reporting Requirement No. 582 for the year 2007 on April 30, 2008, indicating transmission service of 190,119,302 MWh.
90.	FA07-20	FERC Audit of SPP to Determine Compliance with Accounting and Reporting Regulations Re: Annual Charges for the Period of January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005	<p>On April 19, 2007, the Division of Audits in the Office of Enforcement issued a letter that it is commencing an audit of SPP for the period of January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005 to determine whether SPP is in compliance with the Commission's accounting and reporting regulations as they relate to the calculation and assessment of annual charges under 18 C.F.R. Part 382 (2006).</p> <p>On August 3, 2007, the Director of Office Enforcement issued a letter order to SPP advising that the audit is now complete with no further action required.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
91.	ER07-734	SPP filing of Executed Meter Agent Service Agreement between Kansas Electric Power Cooperative ("KEPCO") and the Empire District Electric Company ("Empire")	<p>On August 10, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP's June 7, 2007 filing of a Meter Agent Services Agreement in compliance to the Commission's unpublished June 6, 2007 letter order. An effective date of February 1, 2007 was granted as requested. The letter order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
92.	ER07-736	SPP Filing of Executed Service Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service with City of Independence, Missouri	<p>On August 10, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP's June 7, 2007 filing of a Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service Agreement in compliance to the Commission's unpublished letter order of June 6, 2007, to become effective June 1, 2010.</p> <p>The letter order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
93.	OA07-17	<p>Entergy's Order No. 890 30-Day Compliance Filing</p> <p>SPP intervened out-of-time on May 10, 2007.</p>	<p>On December 13, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting Entergy Services, Inc.'s August 13, 2007 filing of revised Tariff sheets, effective July 13, 2007, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>Requests for rehearing were due January 12, 2008. None were filed with the Commission.</p>
94.	OA07-32	Entergy Order No.	On November 30, 2007, Entergy Services, Inc. made a filing informing the Commission that it has complied with Order

		<p>890 Federal Power Act Section 206 Compliance Filing</p> <p>Acting as the ICT, SPP intervened on August 28, 2007.</p>	<p>No. 890's requirements concerning the evaluation of pre-confirmed transmission service requests.</p> <p>Commission Staff also issued a notice of possible attendance at the December 11, 2007 ICT stakeholders policy committee meeting in Dallas, Texas.</p> <p>On August 6, 2008, Entergy's compliance filing is accepted by FERC, as modified, effective July 13, 2007. By September 5, 2008, Entergy must submit an informational filing regarding the status of the stakeholder process to review Criteria Manuals, and then provide updates every 60 days thereafter.</p> <p>On September 5, 2008, Entergy submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the August 6 Order. Entergy also filed a Request for Clarification. The next compliance filing is due November 4, 2008.</p> <p>On September 19, 2008, Lafayette Utilities System, Louisiana Energy &amp; Power Authority, Municipal Energy Agency for Mississippi, and Mississippi Delta Energy Agency filed an Answer in Opposition of Entergy's Motion for Clarification.</p> <p>On October 6, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration regarding the August 6 Order.</p> <p>On November 4, 2008, Entergy Operating Companies submitted a status report updating the Commission on the on-going stakeholder process governing the review and finalization of Attachments to its Open Access Transmission Tariff.</p> <p>On January 5, 2009, Entergy submitted a status report on Attachments C, D, E and T.</p> <p>On January 9, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting Entergy's September 5, 2008 filing.</p> <p>On March 4, 2009, FERC issued an Order Denying Clarification of Rehearing.</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, Entergy filed a Status Report on Attachments C, D, E and T, stating the final versions of C, D and E were circulated to its stakeholders on February 18. Based on input during the February 26 web-conference, Entergy is in process of revising these attachments and expects to file them in the next two weeks.</p> <p>On March 27, 2009, Entergy submitted a compliance/refund report relating to penalty assessments and distributions pursuant to Order No. 890.</p>
<p>95.</p>	<p>ER07-886</p>	<p>SPP Filing of an Executed Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service ("NITSA") between SPP and Kansas Electric Power</p>	<p>On August 24, 2007, the Commission accepted by letter order SPP's complete filing, effective June 1, 2010, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>

		Cooperative, Inc. (“KEPCO”) – To Supersede the Currently Effective NITSA between SPP and KEPCO	
96.	EL07-54	Hot Spring Power Company, L.P.’s (“Hot Spring”) Complaint against Entergy Services, Inc. (“ESI”) Re: FERC’s Generator Interconnection Policy  SPP intervened on May 16, 2007.	On June 14, 2007, the Commission issued an order dismissing Hot Spring’s April 13, 2007 complaint because the ICT has not yet completed its review of Magnet Cove and, therefore, Hot Spring’s complaint is premature. The order constitutes final agency action.  No additional activity is posted in this docket.
97.	ER07-782	Entergy Filing of Proposed Revisions to Attachments P (Entergy’s Standard Generator Imbalance Agreement) and V (Terms and Conditions that Apply to the Weekly Procurement Process)  SPP intervened on May 15, 2007.	On June 11, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting Entergy’s April 24 filing of revisions to Attachments P and V of its OATT, to become effective June 18, 2007. The order constitutes final agency action.  No additional activity is posted in this docket.
98.	ER07-935	Entergy’s Proposed Amendments to its Available Flowgate Capacity (“AFC”) Manual  SPP intervened on June 13, 2007.	On July 13, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting Entergy’s May 24, 2007 Filing, effective May 30, 2007, as requested. Entergy had proposed to amend its Available Flowgate Capacity Process Manual to implement a new process for determining generation dispatch levels in power flow models used to calculate AFC values.  The order constitutes final agency action.  No additional activity is posted in this docket.

99.	ER07-1015	SPP Filing of Executed Service Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service with Southwest Public Service Company (“SPS”)	<p>On July 17, 2007, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP’s June 7, 2007 filing of an executed service agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service with SPS, effective September 1, 2007, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
100.	ER07-883	SPP Filing of Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) between SPP and Kansas City Power and Light Company (“KCPL”)	<p>On August 24, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s May 11, 2007 filing of a revised NITSA between SPP and KCPL, as amended June 4 and June 29, 2007. An effective date of June 1, 2007 was granted. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
101.	ER07-989	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP and Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority (“OMPA”)	<p>On May 31, 2007, SPP filed an executed NITSA between SPP and OMPA. This NITSA supersedes the Service Agreement filed in Docket Nos. ER06-448-000 and ER06-767-000 as part of an Offer of Settlement and accepted by the Commission on March 21, 2007.</p> <p>On July 13, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s May 31, 2007 Filing, effective May 1, 2007, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
102.	ES07-40	SPP Section 204 Filing with FERC Seeking Authorization for the Issuance of up to \$50,000,000 in Non-secured Promissory Notes	<p>On February 26, 2008, SPP filed a report of securities issued pursuant to Section 34.10 of the Commission’s regulations. The securities consist of \$20,000,000 of senior unsecured notes issued on January 31, 2008, due 2013.</p> <p>(SPP filed an application with the Arkansas Public Service Commission in Docket No. 07-060-U on May 22, 2007.)</p>
103.	RM07-19 AD07-7	Wholesale Competition in Regions with Organized Electric Markets	<p>On February 22, 2008, FERC issued a NOPR to amend its regulations to improve the operation of organized wholesale electric markets in the following areas: (1) demand response and market pricing during a period of operating reserve shortage; (2) long-term power contracting; (3) market-monitoring policies; and (4) the responsiveness of RTOs and ISOs to stakeholders and the consumers.</p> <p>On April 21, 2008, SPP filed NOPR comments. Specifically, SPP supports the Commission’s goal of providing greater use of demand resources. Regarding Long-Term Power Contracting in Organized Markets, SPP proposes that RTOs and ISOs host bulletin board for postings to be made by willing buyers and sellers with these buyers and sellers bearing</p>

			<p>the responsibility for all content posted. SPP further states that the proposals regarding Market Monitoring Polices are consistent with FERC’s Order in Docket No. ER04-1 (“SPP RTO Order”). SPP also believes that responsiveness of RTOs and ISOs to Stakeholders and Customers is of vital importance. SPP believes that its current structure complies with the proposals set forth in the NOPR.</p> <p>On October 17, 2008, FERC issued a Final Rule in Order 719. The primary areas addressed in the order are: (1) demand response and pricing during periods of operating reserve shortage in organized markets; (2) long-term power contracting in organized markets; (3) market monitoring policies; and (4) responsiveness of RTOs and ISOs to customers and other stakeholders. The effective date of the Final Rule was December 28, 2008. SPP’s compliance filing is due on <b>April 28, 2009</b>.</p> <p>On March 23, 2009, FERC issued an Errata Notice correcting the first sentence to Paragraph 97 of the Final Rule. The smaller demand response report is now due October 28, 2009.</p>
104.	ER07-525	Entergy Filing of a Mutually-Executed Long-Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service Agreement (“TSA”) between Entergy and the American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEP”)	<p>On February 28, 2008, AEP filed a proposed offer of settlement and Explanatory Statement in this proceeding.</p> <p>The Settlement Agreement was certified as uncontested on March 25, 2008.</p> <p>Settlement judge procedures were terminated on April 2, 2008 by order of the Chief ALJ.</p>
105.	ER07-1069	<p>AEP Filing of Revised <i>Pro-forma</i> Tariff Sheets to Update AEP’s Transmission Service Rates and Institute a Formula Rate (AEP Formula Rate Case)</p> <p>SPP intervened on July 13, 2007.</p>	<p>On May 27, 2008, AEP filed its Notice of Annual Update of AEP Formula Rate.</p> <p>Settlement conferences were held on April 23, May 8, and June 12, 2008. Several proposals have been exchanged, the latest having been made on June 17, 2008. This proposal was discussed in a conference call on June 18, 2008 and is still under consideration.</p> <p>According to the Report to the Commission and Chief Judge issued on August 28, 2008, the parties exchanged drafts of settlement proposals on August 27, 2008.</p> <p>According to the Report to the Commission and Chief Judge issued on November 3, 2008, the parties have exchanged drafts of the proposed settlement and are close to finalizing an agreement.</p> <p>On January 23, 2009, FERC issued an Order Establishing Settlement Conference for February 10, 2009.</p> <p>On February 10, 2009, FERC issued a Notice Adopting Procedural Schedule. The deadline for submission of the final settlement agreement is February 27, 2009. If a settlement agreement is not submitted on February 27, the parties will adhere to the procedural schedule set forth in the notice.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, AEP submitted an Offer of Settlement.</p>

			<p>On February 25, 2009, AEP submitted a Motion for Interim Rates and Request for Expedited Action.</p> <p>On February 27, 2009, an Order of Chief Judge Shortening Time to Answer shortened the time to answer to and including March 4, 2009.</p> <p>On March 5, 2009, an Order of Chief Judge Granting Motion for Interim Rate Relief was issued. AEP is authorized to institute the interim rates to become effective March 1, 2009, and remain effective until the earlier of either the final resolution of this proceeding or June 30, 2009.</p> <p>On March 10, 2009, Initial Comments of Commission Trial Staff in Support of Settlement Agreement were issued.</p> <p>On March 20, 2009, the Commission issued a Certification of Uncontested Settlement. The settlement proceeding is terminated, as the Settlement Agreement resolves all issues.</p> <p>On March 20, 2009, SPP filed a Motion for Interim Rates and Request for Expedited Action. An effective date of March 1, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On March 25, 2009, FERC issued an Order of Chief Judge Granting Motion to Interim Rates. SPP is authorized to institute the interim rates to become effective March 1, 2009, and remain effective until the earlier of either the final resolution of this proceeding or June 30, 2009.</p>
<b>106.</b>	<b>ER07-1093</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) between SPP and Westar Energy, Inc. (“Westar”), as well as an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, Western Resources, Inc. and Kansas Gas & Electric	<p>On November 6, 2007, FERC accepted by letter order SPP’s September 25, 2007 filing of a revised version of the NITSA between SPP and Westar, in compliance with the Commission’s August 27, 2007 Order. An effective date of June 1, 2007 was granted as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p> <p>This Agreement supersedes the agreements accepted by the Commission on March 7, 2007 in Docket No. ER02-882-000.</p>
<b>107.</b>	<b>ER07-1098</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service	<p>On October 3, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s June 29, 2007 filing of an executed NITSA between SPP and GRDA, as amended August 9, 2007. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>

		(“NITSA”) between SPP and the Grand River Dam Authority (“GRDA”)	The NITSA supersedes the Service Agreement included in SPP’s electronic filing of transmission service agreements pursuant to Order No. 2001.
<b>108.</b>	<b>ER07-1099</b>	SPP Filing of an Unexecuted Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) between SPP and Western Farmers Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“WFEC”) and an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP and WFEC, and American Electric Power Service Corp. (“AEP”)	<p>On October 11, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s June 29, 2007 filing of an unexecuted NITSA between SPP and WFEC and an executed NOA between SPP and WFEC, and AEP—as amended August 23, 2007. An effective date of June 1, 2007 was granted as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
<b>109.</b>	<b>ER07-1248</b>	SPP Filing of Proposed Tariff Revisions to Require a Transmission Customer to Post a Letter of Credit or Other Reasonable Form of Security for Costs Allocated to the Transmission Customer Over & Above the Amounts that are Base Plan Funded (Attachments J & S)	<p>On October 18, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s August 3, 2007 filing, as amended on August 24, 2007, to revise SPP’s Tariff and explicitly confirming SPP’s adoption of Version 3 of NERC’s TLR procedures. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
<b>110.</b>	<b>ER07-1311</b>	SPP’s Filing of Proposed Tariff Revisions regarding the Interconnection of Large Generators to SPP’s Transmission	<p>On July 2, 2008, the Commission issued an order denying rehearing and accepting SPP’s compliance filing from March 3, 2008.</p> <p>The Commission denied Western Farmers Electric Cooperative’s request for rehearing of an order issued on January 25, 2008.</p> <p>SPP’s compliance filing satisfies the directives of the January 2008 Order and is accepted, effective November 1, 2007.</p>



		System, as well as its Pro Forma Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”) and Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (“LGIP”)	No additional activity is posted in this docket.
111.	ER07-1319	SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) and Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation (“Sunflower”)	On October 26, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s August 31, 2007 filing of a revised NITSA and an NOA between SPP and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation, effective August 1, 2007, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.  No additional activity is posted in this docket.
112.	ER07-1320	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) between SPP and Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (“KEPCO”) and an Executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP, KEPCO and Mid-Kansas Electric Company	On October 26, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s August 31, 2007 filing of an executed NITSA between SPP and KEPCO and an executed NOA between SPP, KEPCO and Mid-Kansas Electric Company under SPP’s OATT, effective August 1, 2007, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.  No additional activity is posted in this docket.
113.	EL07-87	Xcel Energy Services Inc. “Xcel” Complaint against SPP and John Deere Wind Energy (“J.D. Wind”) concerning the Registration of J.D. Wind Assets to	A Joint Status Report was filed by Xcel Energy Services, Inc. and J.D. Wind on January 16, 2008.  On January 18, 2008, Xcel moved to withdraw its complaint and terminate this docket. Presented in the filing was a Registration Agreement, dated January 18, 2008, reflecting resolution of the issues regarding the registration of the JD Wind QFs.

		SPS in the EIS Market	
114.	ER02-709	SPP Withdrawal of an Unexecuted Service Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service between SPP and Power Resource Group, Inc.	<p>On August 24, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP's <u>October 2, 2002</u> notice of withdrawal of an unexecuted service agreement between SPP and Power Resource Group filed with the Commission on January 7, 2002. No transmission service was provided under the agreement. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
115.	ER07-1092	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service ("NITSA") between SPP and American Electric Power Service Corporation ("AEP")	<p>On August 10, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP's June 28, 2007 filing of an executed NITSA between SPP and AEP, as agent for Public Service Company of Oklahoma, SWEPCO and Mutual Energy SWEPCO, L.P., as Network Customer. An effective date of April 1, 2007 was granted as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
116.	ER07-1201	SPP Filing of a Partially Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement ("NITSA") between SPP and AEP Amended to Add Designated Network Resources Contingent upon the Construction of Transmission Upgrades	<p>On October 18, 2007, SPP filed as Exhibit I a partially executed NITSA between SPP as Transmission Provider and AEP as Network Customer. (The Commission's September 19, 2007 acceptance of SPP's July 26, 2007 filing was conditioned upon SPP filing an amended NITSA consistent with Order No. 614, with an NOA attached, by October 19, 2007.)</p> <p>The NITSA has been designated "Third Revised Service Agreement No. 1148" pursuant to Order No. 614. SPP has also included the relevant executed NOA as part of the NITSA. An effective date of September 24, 2007 is requested for the compliance filing.</p> <p>The Commission accepted SPP's October 18, 2007 NITSA filing by letter order on December 18, 2007, to become effective February 1, 2007. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p> <p>This service agreement was superseded by the agreement filed in Docket No. ER08-331.</p>
117.	ER07-1218	SPP Filing of an Executed Revised Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service ("NITSA") between	<p>On September 21, 2007, the Commission accepted SPP's July 31, 2007 filing of an amendment to the existing service agreement between SPP and Associated to update the Wholesale Distribution Service Charge in accordance with the express terms of a rate formula previously filed and accepted by the Commission on August 18, 2006 in Docket No. <b>ER06-257</b>. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>

		SPP and Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“Associated”)	This service agreement was superseded by the agreement filed in Docket No. ER08-1325.
118.	ER07-1220	SPP Filing of an Executed Revised Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) between SPP and Oklahoma Municipal Power Agency (“OMPA”) to Update the Wholesale Distribution Service Charge Provisions for Service across OG&E Facilities and to Make Other Clarifying Changes	<p>On September 21, 2007, the Commission accepted via letter order SPP’s July 31, 2007 filing of an executed revised service agreement for NITSA between SPP and OMPA amending an existing service agreement to update the Wholesale Distribution Service Charge Provisions in accordance with the express terms of a rate formula previously accepted by the Commission in Docket No. <b>ER07-989-000</b> and to make other clarifying changes. An effective date of July 1, 2007 was granted as requested. The letter order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p> <p>This service agreement was superseded by the agreement filed in Docket No. ER08-1206.</p>
119.	ER07-1226	SPP Filing of Proposed Tariff Revisions Changing Pricing Zone Rates and Incorporating Base Plan Rates	<p>On January 23, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP’s October 29, 2007 filing of proposed revisions to Attachments H and T of SPP’s Tariff to reflect the revenue requirement for Westar’s transmission facilities associated with the Spring Creek generating station as required by the Commission’s September 28, 2007 Order. An effective date of September 30, 2007 was granted as requested.</p> <p>The order constitutes final agency action.</p>
120.	ER07-1265 ER07-1266	SPP Filing of an Executed Revised Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) between SPP and Midwest Energy, Inc.	<p>On August 9, 2007, SPP filed an executed, revised NITSA between SPP and Midwest Energy, Inc. in Docket No. ER07-1265-000 and, separately, in Docket No. ER07-1266-000. The Agreement reflects revisions to add specific redispatch provisions in accordance with section 33.2 of SPP’s OATT and is for network service for delivery to bundled native load or its equivalent for the Midwest Energy “M System” loads.</p> <p>On September 28, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order in Docket Nos. ER07-1265-000 and ER07-1266-000 accepting SPP’s submittal in these dockets, effective October 8, 2007, as requested. The letter order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in these dockets.</p> <p>These service agreements were superseded by the agreements filed in Docket No. ER08-1465.</p>
121.	AD07-12	Reliability Standard Compliance and Enforcement in	On March 20, 2008, FERC issued an order providing guidance to RTOs and ISOs concerning cost recovery for penalties which may be assessed against them pursuant to section 215 of the Federal Power Act for noncompliance with mandatory and enforceable Reliability Standards.

		Regions with Independent System Operators and Regional Transmission Organizations	<p>Rather than allowing RTOs/ISOs to adopt tariff mechanisms that provide automatic recovery of penalties incurred for Reliability Standard Violations, the Commission is requiring that proposals to recover any such penalties be filed case-by-case.</p> <p>An RTO/ISO may make a section 205 filing to directly assign the penalty costs to another entity or spread those costs among their members or customers, provided that the targeted entity receives proper notice. The section 205 proceeding will be limited to the question of whether penalty costs should be assigned to an entity already identified during the investigative or hearing state of the enforcement process.</p>
122.	ER07-1417	MISO and SPP Filing of Proposed Revisions to the Congestion Management Process of their Joint Operating Agreement (“JOA”)	<p>On December 7, 2007, MISO and SPP filed proposed revisions to the Congestion Management Process of their Joint Operating Agreement.</p> <p>The Commission accepted this compliance filing by letter order on February 21, 2008, to become effective October 1, 2007.</p>
123.	EC07-66	Proposed Separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC (“EGS-LA”) and Entergy Texas, Inc. (“EGS-TX”)	<p>On July 20, 2007, the Commission issued an order approving Entergy Gulf States' proposed separation into two separate utilities: Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C. and Entergy Texas, Inc. FERC also approved the reassignment of all EGS' tariffs and rate schedules to EGS-LA and EGS-TX.</p> <p>The parties consummated their transaction on December 31, 2007, as noticed January 10, 2008.</p>
124.	AD07-13	FERC Conference on Enforcement Policy	<p>FERC held a conference on enforcement November 16, 2007 to examine the implementation of the Commission’s enforcement authority as expanded by the Energy Policy Act of 2005.</p> <p>Susan J. Court, Director of the Office of Enforcement, presented an overview of the first two years of EPC Act enforcement, which was followed by panel discussions of the broad policy perspective, the practitioners’ view and the enforcement of reliability standards. In 2007, FERC entered into twelve settlements involving a total of \$41.6 million in civil penalties.</p> <p>An archive of the FERC webcast is available for viewing at: <a href="http://www.capitolconnection.gmu.edu/ferc/ferc.htm">http://www.capitolconnection.gmu.edu/ferc/ferc.htm</a>.</p> <p>Comments on FERC’s November 16, 2007 Conference on Enforcement Policy have been filed.</p>
125.	PA08-2	RTO Compliance Audit of SPP pursuant to Section 301 of the Federal Power Act	<p>On October 4, 2007, FERC initiated an audit addressing SPP’s responsibilities as a Regional Entity and a RTO. FERC seeks to determine whether SPP is operating in compliance with: (1) the SPP Bylaws, (2) the Delegation Agreement between NERC and SPP and the conditions included in the Delegation Order, (3) the SPP Membership Agreement, (4) the transmission provider obligations described in the SPP OATT and (5) other obligations and responsibilities as approved by the Commission.</p> <p>The audit will cover the period from February 1, 2007 through the present. The portion addressing SPP’s RE</p>

			<p>responsibilities will cover from May 18, 2007 through the present.</p> <p>On September 8, 2008, FERC issued a draft audit report.</p> <p>On January 15, 2009, FERC issued Order Approving Audit Report, Determining Issue of Separation of Functions, and Direction Compliance and Other Corrective Actions.</p> <p>SPP is directed to submit a compliance plan outlining the steps it will take to implement the recommendations in the Report within 60 days (March 16, 2009) from the date of issuance of the final report in this docket.</p> <p>SPP must make non-public quarterly submissions detailing its progress in implementing corrective actions are completed. The submissions must be made not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, beginning with the first quarter after the submission of the compliance plan and continuing until SPP completes all the recommended correction actions.</p> <p>FERC finds that once SPP implements the recommended improvements, the governance structure will enable “very strong” separation between its reliability and regional market functions.</p>
<p><b>126.</b></p>	<p><b>ER08-60</b></p>	<p>SPP Filing of a Partially Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation (“AECC”) as Network Customer, as well as a Partially Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP as Transmission Provider, AECC as Network Customer and American Electric Power Service Corporation as Agent for</p>	<p>On October 16, 2007, SPP filed a partially executed NITSA between SPP as Transmission Provider and AECC as Network Customer, as well as a partially executed NOA between SPP as Transmission Provider, AECC as Network Customer and American Electric Power Service Corporation as agent for AEP as the Host Transmission Owner.</p> <p>SPP submitted the Agreements on a partially executed basis at the request of AECC.</p> <p>The Commission accepted SPP’s October 16, 2007 NITSA filing via letter order on December 18, 2007, effective January 1, 2008, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p> <p>This agreement was replaced by First Revised 1518 filed in Docket No. ER09-439.</p>

		Southwestern Electric Power Company (“AEP”) as the Host Transmission Owner	
127.	ER08-78	SPP Filing of an Executed Revised Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP and Westar Energy, Inc. (“Westar”)	<p>On October 22, 2007, SPP filed an executed revised service agreement for NITS between SPP and Westar. The Agreement modifies an existing Service Agreement between SPP and Westar that was accepted by the Commission subject to a compliance filing that is pending before the Commission in Docket No. <b>ER07-1093</b>.</p> <p>The Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s October 22, 2007 Filing on December 18, 2007, effective December 21, 2007, as requested.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p> <p>This agreement was replaced by SPP Service Agreement No. Third Revised 607, filed in Docket No. ER09-208.</p>
128.	ER08-90	SPP Filing of an Amended and Restated Interconnection Agreement between SPP, Public Service Company of Oklahoma and Western Farmers Electric Cooperative (“WFEC”)	<p>On October 25, 2007, SPP filed an executed Amended and Restated Interconnection Agreement between SPP, Public Service Company of Oklahoma and WFEC, designated as First Revised Service Agreement No. 1160.</p> <p>The Agreement is intended to replace a previous interconnection agreement between WFEC and Public Service Company of Oklahoma and all subsequent amendments.</p> <p>On December 18, 2007, the Commission accepted via letter order SPP’s October 25, 2007 filing, effective September 26, 2007, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
129.	OA08-5	SPP Order 890 Compliance Filing – Rollover Reform	<p>On May 16, 2008, the Commission issued an order accepting SPP’s October 11, 2007 compliance filing, as modified. SPP must revise the unreserved penalty charge to bring it into line with FERC precedent. A one-time compliance filing is due in 30 days where SPP must propose a methodology for distributing revenues. SPP must also modify Attachment C and R of the Tariff.</p> <p>On June 16, 2008, SPP filed a request for rehearing on the May 16 Order. Specifically, SPP requests that the Commission grant rehearing of its determination that SPP must revise Attachment C to provide a clear definition for total transfer capability (“TTC”), a detailed explanation of its TTC calculation methodology, as well as a list of the databases used to calculate TTC.</p> <p>On June 16, 2008, SPP submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the May 16 Order. SPP revised the unreserved use penalty in Section 13.7 and 14.5. SPP also removed language from various sections in its Tariff providing that penalty revenues will be used to reduce SPP’s administrative costs, incorporated language referencing NERC’s TLR Procedures into Attachment R, and re-incorporated the Commission’s previous rollover policy into Section 2.2 of its Tariff.</p> <p>On July 16, 2008, FERC granted SPP’s request for rehearing of the May 16 Order.</p>

			<p>On August 11, 2008, SPP submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the May 16 Order. SPP is incorporating its rollover policies that were adopted by Order 890. An effective date of August 11, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On March 19, 2009, FERC issued an Order on Compliance Filing and Instituting Section 206 Proceeding (see Docket No. EL09-40). In this order, the Commission accepts SPP's proposed tariff revisions adopting the Order No. 890 <i>pro forma</i> OATT's five-year minimum contract term and one-year notice period related to a firm transmission customer's ongoing right to renew or rollover its contract effective August 11, 2008. Additionally, as discussed below, the Commission institutes a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the Federal Power Act (FPA) to determine the justness and reasonableness of certain language in section 2.2 of SPP's existing OATT, establishes a refund effective date, and directs SPP and interested parties to file comments on this matter within 30 days of the issuance date of this order (April 17, 2009).</p> <p>Also on March 19, FERC issued an Order on Rehearing and Compliance Filing. The Compliance Filing is accepted, as modified, effective October 11, 2007. SPP must submit a compliance filing by April 20, 2009, to remove section 2.4 of Attachment C.</p>
130.	ER08-108	SPP Filing to Update its Energy Imbalance Market Offer Cap	<p>On October 29, 2007, SPP filed Tariff revisions to reflect updates of annual values used in the calculation of offer caps for all pivotal resources in SPP's real-time energy imbalance service market.</p> <p>On December 18, 2007, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP's October 29, 2007 filing, effective January 1, 2008, as requested. The order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>
131.	ER08-242	SPP Submission of Tariff Revisions to Modify the Energy Imbalance Service ("EIS") Market - Attachments AE and AF	<p>On January 10, 2008, the Electric Power Supply Association (EPSA) filed an answer to SPP's December 21, 2008 Answer to Protests and Requests for Rejection and Modification. EPSA requests that the Commission reject SPP's proposed Tariff revisions insofar as they require reassignment of non-firm priority to EIS Market flows.</p> <p>On January 14, 2008, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation and Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC moved to intervene out-of-time in this proceeding, also requesting that Commission accept SPP's Amendments.</p> <p>On January 17, 2008, the Commission issued an order accepting in part and rejecting in part SPP's proposed revisions relating to its EIS market.</p> <p>The Commission rejected without prejudice SPP's proposed modification to section 4.3 of Attachment AE, citing SPP's failure to provide support or explanation for the revisions. SPP plans to re-file this provision in the near future.</p> <p>FERC accepted SPP's proposal to post annual hours of constraint by resource as just and reasonable but advised that SPP "be more exact in the future in the language that it uses to describe proposed revisions."</p> <p>The Commission also accepted SPP's proposals to redefine "Shut-down Mode" and "Start-up Mode" in Attachment AE, as well as additional revisions to section 6.1(a) and 6.3(a) of Attachment AE to the SPP Tariff.</p>
132.	RM06-23	FERC Rulemaking on Critical Energy Infrastructure	<p>On October 30, 2007, FERC issued final rule, Order No. 702, amending its regulations for gaining access to CEII.</p> <p>The final rule creates an annual certification for repeat requesters; provides for the issuance of letter responses to CEII</p>

		Information	<p>requests, rather than delegated orders; and eliminates the Non-Internet Public (“NIP”) designation, allowing filers until December 29, 2007 to identify any NIP documents that may qualify for CEII protection and request that the designation be changed. (SPP has not filed material as NIP with FERC.)</p> <p>On November 29, 2007, the Edison Electric Institute (“EEI”) filed a request for rehearing and clarification of Order No. 702., requesting that: (1) submitters of CEII be allowed adequate time to response to requests for release of their CEII; (2) FERC allow submitters an opportunity for administrative review before FERC releases the information; and (3) FERC stay the release of information pending administrative review and pending judicial appeal of a decision to release.</p> <p>FERC granted rehearing of Order No. 702 on December 31, 2007.</p> <p>On November 25, 2008, FERC issued an order denying EEI’s request for rehearing and clarification.</p>
133.	EL08-9	Formal Complaint of Cargill Power Markets, LLC against SPP Alleging that SPP Violated FERC Precedent in Processing a Queue of Requests for Long-term Firm Point-to-point Transmission Service on the ERCOT East DC Tie	<p>On January 30, 2008, FERC issued an order denying Cargill’s November 9, 2007 complaint against SPP, in which Cargill contended that SPP violated its OATT and Commission precedent in processing a queue of requests for long-term, firm point-to-point transmission service on the East DC Tie.</p> <p>On March 28, 2008, FERC issued an order granting Cargill’s request for rehearing of its January 30, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On July 29, 2008, FERC issues an order denying Cargill’s request for clarification or rehearing.</p>
134.	ER08-287	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Kansas Municipal Energy Agency (“KMEA”) as Network Customer, as well as an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP as Transmission Provider, KMEA as	<p>On January 31, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP’s December 3, 2007 filing of an executed NITSA between SPP as Transmission Provider and KMEA as Network Customer, as well as an executed NOA between SPP as Transmission Provider, KMEA as Network Customer and MKEC as the Host Transmission Owner. An effective date of November 1, 2007 was granted as requested.</p> <p>The order constitutes final agency action.</p>



		Network Customer and Mid-Kansas Electric Company (“MKEC”) as the Host Transmission Owner	
135.	ER08-296	Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (“MISO”) Filing of Revisions to Section 37 of the MISO Open Access Transmission and Energy Markets Tariff (“TEM”)	<p>On February 1, 2008, the Commission conditionally accepted MISO’s and the MISO TOs’ December 3, 2007 filing of proposed revisions to Section 37.3(a) of the MISO TEMT, effective February 1, 2008, and directed a compliance filing within 30 days.</p> <p>MISO and the MISO TOs submitted the required compliance filing on March 3, 2008.</p> <p>On March 17, 2008, the MISO TOs requested leave to answer and answer AmerenUE’s March 3, 2008 Request for Rehearing of FERC’s February 1, 2008 Order, requesting that the Commission accept the proposed revisions to Appendix C, Section III.B of the Transmission Owners Agreement, effective February 1, 2008.</p> <p>On March 24, 2008, Integrys Energy Group (1) moved to intervene in Docket No. ER08-664-000 and (2) request that FERC consolidate Docket Nos. ER08-296-000 and ER08-664-000 and (3) accept the MISO/TO March 13, 2008 Amendment filed in Docket No. ER08-664-000 and (4) treat the Amendment as “obviating and superseding the December 3, 2007 and March 3, 2008 Section 37.3 Tariff revisions which should be rescinded on the ground that they are inferior to the Amendment and serve no useful purpose.” At 7.</p> <p>On April 2, 2008, the Commission granted rehearing of its February 1, 2008 order.</p>
136.	ER08-331	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and American Electric Power Service Corporation, as agent for Public Service Company of Oklahoma (“PSO”) and Southwestern Electric Power Company (“SWEPCO”)	<p>On January 24, 2008, SPP submitted an amendment to its initial December 13, 2007 filing, requesting an effective date of December 13, 2007 rather than February 1, 2008 (as erroneously requested) to ensure that AEP is able to extend its transmission request under the Commission’s old rollover policy.</p> <p>Comments on this filing were due February 14, 2008. None were filed.</p> <p>On January 31, 2008, SPP filed a revised NITSA and NOA reflecting various amendments to the Agreements currently pending before the Commission in this proceeding. The amendments reflect removal of network service provided to Hope Water and Light Commission and the City of Bentonville, Arkansas. An effective date of January 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s January 31, 2008 filing were due February 21, 2008. None were filed.</p> <p>On February 6, 2008, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP’s December 13, 2007 filing of an executed NITSA between SPP as Transmission Provider and AEP as Network Customer, as well as an executed NOA between SPP as Transmission Provider, AEP as Network Customer and American Electric Power Service Corporation as agent for PSO, SWEPCO, and AEP Texas North Company as Host Transmission Owner.</p>

		<p>(collectively “AEP”), as Network Customer, as well as an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP as Transmission Provider, AEP as Network Customer, and American Electric Power Service Corporation as agent for PSO, SWEPCO and AEP Teas North Company as Host Transmission Owner</p>	<p>These Agreements reflect various amendments to the Agreements currently pending in Docket No. ER07-1201-001, including the removal of Mutual Energy SWEPCO, L.P. as a network customer and revisions necessary for AEP to exercise its one-year rollover right prior to the filing of SPP’s transmission planning process pursuant to Order No. 890.</p> <p>An effective date of February 1, 2008 was granted as requested.</p> <p>On February 8, 2008, SPP filed an errata to its January 31, 2008 filing of a revised executed NITSA between SPP as transmission provider and AEP as network customer. The amendments reflect the removal of network service provided to the Hope Water and Light Commission and the City of Bentonville, Arkansas. An effective date of January 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s filing were due February 21, 2008.</p> <p>On March 20, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP’s December 13, 2007 filing, effective December 13, 2007 and January 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This service agreement was superseded by the agreement filed in Docket No. ER08-1306.</p>
<p>137.</p>	<p><b>ER08-513</b></p>	<p>Entergy Services, Inc. Filing of Proposed Revisions to Attachment V of Entergy’s Open Access Transmission Tariff (“OATT”)</p>	<p>On May 5, 2008, the Commission issued an order conditionally accepting and suspending amendments to Entergy’s Weekly Procurement Process for five months, to become effective October 11, 2008, or on an earlier date, subject to refund and subject to a further order on the proposed tariff revisions directed to be filed in the order.</p> <p>The Commission stated that it will consider allowing an effective date earlier than October 11, 2008, if the ICT agrees that the model is ready and Entergy files the required tariff revisions no later than 60 days before that date.</p> <p>On August 29, 2008, Entergy submitted proposed revisions to Attachment V of its OATT.</p> <p>On October 10, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the August 29, 2008 filing, effective October 10, 2008. Entergy is directed to file a Software Development Progress Report by January 11, 2009, if the Weekly Procurement Process implementation filing is not made by then.</p> <p>On January 16, 2009, Entergy submitted its compliance filing in accordance with the May 5, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, Entergy submitted an Answer in response to the protests and comments regarding its January 16 compliance filing.</p> <p>Also on February 23, SPP filed a Motion for Leave to File Answer and Answer to the comments and protests regarding the January 16 compliance filing. SPP states: 1) the Commission should reject the calls to re-evaluate the ICT arrangement and the requests to deny the proposed structural changes to the Weekly Procurement Process (“WPP”); 2) the Commission should deny the request to delay WPP implementation, consolidate Entergy’s Attachment V filings, and set this case for hearing.</p> <p>On February 27, 2009, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. as the ICT for Entergy submitted its final endorsement for the start-up of the WPP.</p>

			<p>On March 17, 2009, FERC issued an Order Conditionally Accepting Compliance Filing, subject to the outcome of the proceeding in Docket ER09-555.</p>
138.	ER08-572	<p>Entergy Services, Inc. Filing of Amendments to the ICT Agreement and a Revision to Section 10.2 of Entergy's Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT")</p> <p>SPP filed a Motion to Intervene on March 7, 2008</p>	<p>On April 15, 2008, the Commission accepted amendments proposed by Entergy to the ICT Agreement and Entergy's OATT. Entergy was directed to submit a compliance filing by May 15, 2008.</p> <p>On May 12, 2008, Entergy requested an extension until August 13, 2008 to submit a compliance filing regarding the Section 6.3 indemnification requirements of the Commission's Order. On May 14, 2008, the Commission granted the extension of time.</p> <p>On May 15, 2008, SPP filed a request for rehearing of the April 15 Order. The Commission granted the rehearing on June 16, 2008.</p> <p>On May 15, 2008, Entergy submitted Substitute Sheet 653 to the OATT in compliance with the April 15 Order.</p> <p>On July 25, 2008, FERC accepts Entergy's May 15, 2008 compliance filing. This order constitutes final agency action on the specific matter of the increase of staff in the ICT Agreement.</p> <p>On August 4, 2008, FERC issued an order accepting Entergy's proposed amendment to the ICT Agreement and dismissing SPP's request for rehearing as moot.</p>
139.	OA08-59	<p>Entergy Services, Inc. Order No. 890 Attachment K Compliance Filing</p>	<p>Entergy made its Order No. 890 Attachment K compliance filing on December 7, 2007, requesting an effective date of December 7, 2007.</p> <p>Several motions to intervene and comment on this filing have been filed.</p> <p>Protests were filed by: (1) Union Power Partners, LP and SUEZ Energy North America, Inc., (2) the Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation, the Lafayette Utilities System, the Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, the Mississippi Delta Energy Agency and the Municipal Energy Agency of Mississippi, and (3) the East Texas Cooperatives.</p> <p>Entergy filed answers to these protests on January 22, 2008 and February 21, 2008.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene out-of-time, and filed limited comments on February 29, 2008, responding to the claims of Union Power Partners, LP and SUEZ Energy North American, Inc.</p> <p>On September 18, 2008, FERC accepted Entergy's December 7 compliance filing, as modified, effective December 7, 2007. The Commission found that the proposed Attachment K partially complies with the coordination planning principle stated in Order No. 890. However, Entergy is required to make a compliance filing within 90 days that provides for stakeholder input into the development of the Construction Plan and for more detail on the formal and informal meeting structures being used in the planning process.</p>

Entergy's compliance filing is due by December 17, 2008.

On October 10, 2008, Entergy filed a Request for Clarification of the September 18, 2008 Order.

On October 20, 2008, the Lafayette Utilities System, the Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, the Municipal Energy Agency of Mississippi and the Mississippi Delta Energy Agency (collectively, "L-M Municipals") filed a Limited Request for Rehearing.

On October 20, 2008, Union Power Partners, L.P. filed a Request for Rehearing.

On November 18, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration.

On December 9, 2008, Entergy filed a request for extension of time until **February 6, 2009**, to describe in Attachment K the process that Entergy will use to coordinate certain aspects of transmission planning with the transmission owning members of the SPP RTO.

On December 12, 2008, American Electric Power Service Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene Out of Time.

On December 12, 2008, the Lafayette Utilities System, the Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, the Municipal Energy Agency of Mississippi, the Mississippi Delta Energy Agency (collectively, "L-M Municipals"), The Empire District Electric Company, and Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation filed an Answer in response to Entergy's Motion for an Extension of Time. They request that if the Commission grants the Motion, then they should also direct Entergy and SPP to open their seams discussions to participation by other directly affected stakeholders.

On December 17, 2008, FERC issued a Notice of Extension of Time, which granted Entergy's request for extension up to and including February 6, 2009, to describe in Attachment K the process that Entergy will use to coordinate certain aspects of transmission planning with the transmission owning members of the SPP RTO.

On December 17, 2008, Entergy submitted a revised Attachment K, in accordance with the September 18 Order.

On January 7, 2009, the L-M Municipals filed Comments on the December 17 compliance filing. Also on January 7, Union Power Partners, LP filed a Protest.

On January 22, 2009, Entergy filed an Answer in response to protests filed on January 7.

On February 6, 2009, Entergy submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the September 18 and December 17, 2008 Orders.

On February 6, 2009, the SIRPP Sponsors submitted a Motion to Intervene Out-Of-Time.

On February 27, 2009, Arkansas Cities and Arkansas Public Service Commission filed a Motion to Intervene.

			<p>Also on February 27, American Electric Power Service Corporation, et al. filed a Motion to Intervene and Comments.</p> <p>Also on February 27, Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation and The Lafayette Utilities System filed Comments; Union Power Partners, L.P. filed a Protest.</p> <p>On March 2, 2009, East Texas Electric Cooperative, Sam Rayburn G&amp;T Electric Cooperative, and Tex-La Electric Cooperative of Texas filed a Motion for Leave to File Comments Out-of-Time and Comments.</p> <p>On March 17, 2009, Energy filed an Answer to comments.</p>
140.	OA08-60	SPP Request for Waiver of Rollover Policy in Order No. 890 for Certain Potential SPP Firm Transmission Service Customers	<p>On December 14, 2007, SPP requested that the Commission waive the applicability of its revised policies concerning rollover rights adopted in Order No. 890 for certain potential SPP firm transmission customers.</p> <p>Western Farmers Electric Cooperative, American Electric Power Service Corporation filed motions to intervene during the first quarter of 2008.</p> <p>On September 30, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Limited Order No. 890 Waiver Request, as requested by SPP on December 14, 2007.</p> <p>On October 6, 2008, FERC issued an Errata to its September 30, 2008 Order correcting the third sentence of Paragraph 9 to state "...transmission service requests submitted after July 13, 2007, but on or before September 30, 2007, will be subject to the pre-Order No. 890 rollover provisions)."</p>
141.	OA08-61	SPP Order No. 890 Compliance Filing - Attachment O Revisions for Coordinated and Regional Planning Process	<p>On December 14, 2007, SPP submitted revisions to the SPP Tariff in compliance with the Commission's directives to file a proposal for a coordinated and regional planning process that complies with the planning principles adopted in Order No. 890.</p> <p>Several motions to intervene and comment have been filed in this proceeding.</p> <p>Xcel filed a motion to intervene and conditional protest in this proceeding on January 7, 2008; Xcel protests one aspect of proposed Attachment O relating to Information Exchange.</p> <p>On January 22, 2008, SPP filed an answer to the comments and requests for modification filed in this proceeding by Xcel and ITC Great Plains, respectively.</p> <p>On July 11, 2008, the Commission accepted SPP's December 14, 2007 compliance filing, as modified, effective December 14, 2007, and directing a further compliance filing by October 9, 2008.</p> <p>The Commission directed SPP to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Clarify its Attachment O provisions regarding construction of upgrades proposed by third party transmission owners in a compliance filing to be submitted within 90 days;</li> <li>2. File within 90 days, a compliance filing providing the necessary demonstration required by Order No. 890-A (For example, tariff language should provide for participation throughout the transmission planning process by sponsors of transmission solutions, generation solutions, and solutions utilizing demand resources) - also address how SPP intends to ensure that its participating transmission owners also comply with this requirement found in Order No. 890-A for any planning they conduct;</li> </ol>

3. Describe in a compliance filing how the provisions of each of the agreements with its neighboring regions, meet the inter-regional coordination requirement of Order No. 890 (and, if necessary, propose revised Attachment O language.);
4. Revise Attachment O to state specifically how projects submitted by a transmission owner with a separate local planning process will be evaluated as part of the SPP planning process;
5. Explain, in the compliance filing, the extent of any transmission planning performed by its participating transmission owners that have not filed their own Attachment K planning processes; and
6. Modify its tariff to:
  - i) Require each such participating transmission owner's local plan to be made available on a website for review by stakeholders in the planning process subject to CEII and existing confidentiality provisions;
  - ii) Provide links to each such participating transmission owner's local plan on SPP's website;
  - iii) Require such participating transmission owners to post the planning criteria and assumptions used in its current local plan;
  - iv) Provide links to each such participating transmission owner's planning criteria and assumptions on SPP's website; and
  - v) Require such participating transmission owners to provide a reasonable opportunity for written comments after the posting of their local plan.

Beginning in 2009, FERC will convene regional technical conferences similar to those conferences held in 2007 leading up to the filing of the Attachment K compliance filings for the purpose of determining the progress and benefits realized by each transmission provider's transmission planning process, obtaining customer and other stakeholder input, and discussing any areas that may need improvement.

On August 5, 2008, FERC issued an Errata Notice correcting footnote 18 in the July 11 Order.

On August 7, 2008, SPP submitted a Motion for Extension of Time, requesting 120 days to submit compliance filing pursuant to the July 11 Order.

On September 12, 2008, FERC granted SPP an extension of time to and including February 6, 2009, to comply with FERC's July 11 Order.

On February 6, 2009, SPP submitted its Order 890 Compliance Filing pursuant to the Commission's July 11, 2008 Order. Specifically, SPP submitted revisions to Attachment O of the OATT in order to modify the transmission planning Tariff provisions.

On February 26, 2009, Arkansas Public Service Commission filed a Motion to Intervene.

On February 27, 2009, ITC Great Plains, LLC filed a Protest. ITC states that SPP's filing fails to comply with the Commission's direction since "SPP will continue to rely upon a potentially open-ended right of first refusal to determine who has the right to construct new transmission, and has compounded this violation of longstanding Commission precedent by proposing new qualifications on entities that may construct transmission in SPP, some of which would be contained in SPP Business Practices not filed with this Commission."

			<p>Also on February 27, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company filed Comments. OG&amp;E states that, “[t]he tariff revisions filed by SPP represent significant compromises made by all stakeholders, including OG&amp;E, and should be approved as a reasonable balancing of interests of the existing SPP transmission owners, third-transmission owners, and the affected state commissions.”</p> <p>Also in February 27, Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission, West Texas Municipal Power Agency, and Kansas Power Pool filed a Motion to Intervene and Protest. The parties state, “...SPP’s proposed Tariff revisions fail to eliminate SPP’s proposed right of first refusal for incumbent SPP transmission owners.”</p> <p>Also in February 27, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation and Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC filed a Joint Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On March 13, 2009, Western Farmers Electric Cooperative filed a Motion to File Protest Out-of-Time and Protest. WFEC respectfully protests the provision in section VIII.6 of Attachment O proposed by SPP concerning the obligation of a Designated Transmission Owner to construct SPP-approved facilities if the original Designated Transmission Owner is unable or unwilling to do so.</p> <p>On March 16, 2009, SPP filed an Answer to comments, stating: 1) Attachment O appropriately balances the interests of all stakeholders; 2) TDU Intervenors and ITC strain the meaning of the July 11 Order and suggest confusion where none exists; 3) Attachment O does not provide open-ended discretion for Transmission Owners, as ITC claims; 4) Attachment O satisfies dual obligations of providing an open process and ensuring that needed transmission is built; 5) ITC’s suggested revisions are redundant and raise issues beyond the scope of this proceeding</p>
142.	OA08-75	Entergy Services, Inc. Order 890-A Compliance Filing	<p>On March 17, 2008, Entergy submitted a compliance filing incorporating Order No. 890-A’s non-rate terms and conditions into Entergy’s Third Revised Volume No. 3 OATT. An effective date of July 13, 2007 is requested.</p> <p>Revised versions of Attachments C, D, and E will be submitted in a separate filing upon the conclusion of the stakeholder process.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene in this docket on April 7, 2008.</p> <p>On April 22, 2008, Entergy filed a Motion for Leave to File Answer and Answer in response to Joint Protests filed on April 7, 2008, by (1) the Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, the Lafayette Utilities System, the Municipal Energy Agency of Mississippi (collectively, the “L-M Municipals”) and (2) the Mississippi Delta Energy Agency and its members, the Clarksdale Public Utilities Commission and the Public Service Commission of Yazoo City, Mississippi (collectively, “MDEA”).</p>
143.	OA08-76	Order No. 890-A OATT Filing of E.ON U.S. LLC on behalf of Louisville Gas and Electric Co. (“LG&E”) and Kentucky Utilities Co. (“KU”)	<p>On March 17, 2008, E.ON U.S., on behalf of Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company, submitted revisions to the LG&amp;E/KU OATT in compliance with requirements of Order No. 890-A.</p> <p>E.ON has further revised the LG&amp;E/KU OATT to delineate the “Transmission Provider” responsibilities under Order Nos. 890 and 890-A that have been delegated to LG&amp;E/KU, the ITO, and the TVA as the Reliability Coordinator. E.ON has also made certain “discretionary” changes to Attachments C and K to the LG&amp;E/KU OATT in accordance with the directives of Order No. 890-A. E.ON has also proposed a new Schedule 13 to the OATT to implement unreserved use penalties as required by Order Nos. 890 and 890-A. An effective date of March 17, 2008 is requested.</p>

			<p>On April 8, 2008, SPP, acting as the ITO, filed a Motion for Leave to Intervene Out of Time.</p> <p>On January 9, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the March 17, 2008 filing.</p> <p>On February 9, 2009, E.ON U.S. LLC submitted its compliance filing revising its Attachment C, pursuant to the January 9 Order.</p>
144.	OA08-104	SPP Order No. 890-A Compliance Filing Revising the SPP OATT with Limited Departures from the Order No. 890-A <i>Pro Forma</i>	<p>On April 15, 2008, SPP submitted Tariff Revisions incorporating specific changes to the Order No. 890 <i>pro forma</i> OATT adopted by the Commission in Order 890-A. SPP has not incorporated <i>pro forma</i> OATT reforms related to conditional firm service, planning redispatch service, energy imbalance and generator imbalance, and unreserved use penalties. An effective date of April 15, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>Several parties have moved to intervene in this proceeding.</p> <p>On May 21, 2008, SPP filed an Answer to Protests and Requests for Modification. SPP contends that SPP is not required to adopt Conditional Firm Service because SPP has a Real-Time EIS Market.</p> <p>Commission action is pending.</p>
145.	U.S. Supreme Ct. Case No. 07-658 (App. No. 07A196)	<u>National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, et al. v. FERC</u>	<p>On November 19, 2007, NARUC filed a writ of certiorari with the U.S. Supreme Court seeking review of a decision by the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals on FERC's generator interconnection rule, Order No. 2003.</p> <p>FERC filed a brief in opposition on January 22, 2008, with the National Association of Regulatory Commissioners filing a reply on February 11, 2008.</p> <p>This case was set for discussion at the February 22, 2008 conference.</p> <p>The court denied the Petition for Writ of Certiorari on February 25, 2008.</p> <p>The D.C. Court of Appeals Cases associated with this case are: 04-1148, 04-1149, 04-1152, 05-1050 and 05-1292.</p>
146.	AD08-2	Interconnection Queuing Practices	<p>On April 21, 2008, SPP submitted a Status Report in response to the Order on Technical Conference issued March 20, 2008. The filing states, "SPP continues to experience an unexpected and unprecedented level of activity in its generation interconnection queue. A record number of requests are presently being processed and a record number of requests are expected to be received in calendar year 2008." SPP goes on to state that the processing time for interconnection requests under current procedures have slowed due to a number of factors.</p>
147.	EL08-31	Westar's Petition for a Declaratory Order to Confirm Incentive Rate Treatment for High Voltage Transmission Projects  SPP moved to	<p>On September 26, 2008, Westar Energy submitted a settlement offer.</p> <p>Also on September 26, SPP and Westar submitted a Motion for Interim Rate Relief and Request for Expedited Action, requesting the Commission to act no later than October 10, 2008.</p> <p>On September 29, 2008, the Order of Chief Judge Shortening Time to Respond and Motion for Interim Rate Relief was issued. Time to respond was shortened to and including September 30, 2008.</p>



		<p>intervene in this proceeding on January 15, 2008.</p>	<p>On October 1, 2008, the Order of Chief Judge Granting Motion for Interim Rate Relief was issued. The order states, “Westar is hereby authorized, pursuant to section 35.1(e) of the Commission’s regulations, 18 C.F.R. § 35.1(e) (2007), to institute the interim rates to become effective on October 1, 2008. In the event the Commission does not approve the Settlement Agreement, Westar and SPP have the right to reinstate the ER08-396-000 Rates and to implement credits or offsets through the true-up process under the protocols accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER05-925-000.”</p> <p>On October 16, 2008, the Commission Trial Staff issued Initial Comments on Uncontested Settlement, recommending that the settlement be approved.</p> <p>On October 21, 2008, FERC issued a Certification of Uncontested Settlement. This order terminates docket nos. ER08-396 and EL08-31.</p> <p>On October 22, 2008, an Order of Chief Judge Terminating Settlement Judge Procedures was issued.</p> <p>On December 2, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the Settlement Agreement and terminating Docket Nos. EL08-31 and ER08-396. Westar is to make a compliance filing by January 2, 2009 in order to correct rate schedule sheets to be in conformance with Order No. 614.</p> <p>On December 31, 2008, Westar Energy submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the December 2, 2008 Order. Westar submitted revised paginations and cancellations for certain sheet numbers. An effective date of October 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On February 12, 2009, Westar submitted a revised Attachment H-1.</p> <p>On March 24, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the December 31 filing, effective October 1, 2008.</p>
<p>148.</p>	<p>EL08-59</p>	<p>Complaint Requesting Fast Track Processing on ConocoPhillips (“Conoco”) Company against Entergy Services, Inc.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene in this proceeding on May 14, 2008.</p>	<p>On July 24, 2008, FERC granted relief requested by Conoco in part. FERC finds that the termination of Conoco’s confirmed, firm transmission service was improper. NRG Companies’ requests that the Commission investigate the cause of Entergy’s software error and the alleged continued overselling and increased curtailments at the Entergy-Ameren interface are denied.</p> <p>On August 25, 2008, SPP filed a Request for Rehearing. SPP specifically states that it considered all alternatives following the oversell of capacity on the Ameren interface, including offering customers the right to terminate all or any portion of their transmission reservations. Only as a last resort did SPP implement the annulment of those reservations that were improperly granted due to software error.</p> <p>On August 25, 2008, Entergy filed a Request for Rehearing or Clarification.</p> <p>On September 8, 2008, Conoco filed a Request for Leave to File Answer, and Answer.</p> <p>On September 23, 2008, Entergy files an Answer requesting Commission to grant rehearing or clarification of the July 24, 2008 Order.</p>

			On September 24, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration.
<b>149.</b>	<b>EL08-89</b>	Kansas City Power and Light Company (“KCPL”) and Aquila, Inc. (“Aquila”) file Petition for Declaratory Order – SPP OATT Attachment AI	<p>On September 23, 2008, KCPL and Aquila filed a Petition for Declaratory Order. Parties requested that the Commission approve the proposed classification of their respective transmission facilities as described in this filing. The parties request that the Commission issue an order approving the classifications by the earliest possible date, but no later than December 15, 2008.</p> <p>Pursuant to Attachment AI of SPP’s OATT, each transmission owner under SPP’s Tariff must submit a request “with its appropriate regulatory authority or authorities for a determination as to which of its facilities are Transmission Facilities” by September 30, 2008.</p> <p>On October 21, 2008, SPP and Cap Rock Energy Corporation filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On October 23, 2008, the City of Gardner filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 19, 2008, KCPL and Aquila submitted supplemental information in support of their Petition for Declaratory Order which includes revised Attachments A-1 and B-1.</p> <p>On December 22, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Petition for Declaratory Order.</p>
<b>150.</b>	<b>ER08-396</b>	<p>Westar’s Request for Approval of Revisions to its Formula Rate (Westar Formula Rate Case)</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene in this proceeding on January 11, 2008.</p>	<p>On September 26, 2008, Westar Energy submitted a settlement offer.</p> <p>Also on September 26, SPP and Westar submitted a Motion for Interim Rate Relief and Request for Expedited Action, requesting the Commission to act no later than October 10, 2008.</p> <p>On September 29, 2008, the Order of Chief Judge Shortening Time to Respond and Motion for Interim Rate Relief was issued. Time to respond was shortened to and including September 30, 2008.</p> <p>On October 1, 2008, the Order of Chief Judge Granting Motion for Interim Rate Relief was issued. The order states, “Westar is hereby authorized, pursuant to section 35.1(e) of the Commission’s regulations, 18 C.F.R. § 35.1(e) (2007), to institute the interim rates to become effective on October 1, 2008. In the event the Commission does not approve the Settlement Agreement, Westar and SPP have the right to reinstate the ER08-396-000 Rates and to implement credits or offsets through the true-up process under the protocols accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER05-925-000.”</p> <p>On October 16, 2008, the Commission Trial Staff issued Initial Comments on Uncontested Settlement, recommending that the settlement be approved.</p> <p>On October 21, 2008, FERC issued a Certification of Uncontested Settlement. This order terminates docket nos. ER08-396 and EL08-31.</p> <p>On October 22, 2008, an Order of Chief Judge Terminating Settlement Judge Procedures was issued.</p>

			<p>On December 2, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the Settlement Agreement and terminating Docket Nos. EL08-31 and ER08-396. Westar is to make a compliance filing by January 2, 2009 in order to correct rate schedule sheets to be in conformance with Order No. 614.</p> <p>On December 31, 2008, Westar Energy submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the December 2, 2008 Order. Westar submitted revised paginations and cancellations for certain sheet numbers. An effective date of October 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On February 12, 2009, Westar submitted a revised Attachment H-1.</p> <p>On March 24, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the December 31 filing, effective October 1, 2008.</p>
151.	RM08-3	<p>Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Mandatory Reliability Standard for Nuclear Plant Interface Coordination</p>	<p>On May 13, 2008, the ISO/RTO Council filed comments on the proposed Reliability Standard NUC-001-1 – Nuclear Plant Interface Coordination – which would mandate coordination between Nuclear Plant Generator Operators and Transmission Entities, and on the definitions proposed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (“NERC”) to supplement NUC-001-01.</p> <p>On October 16, 2008, FERC issued Order No. 716. Pursuant to section 215 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), the Commission approves the Nuclear Plant Interface Coordination Reliability Standard developed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC). In addition, pursuant to section 215(d)(5) of the FPA, the Commission directs NERC to develop a modification to the Reliability Standard to address a specific concern. The Reliability Standard requires a nuclear plant generator operator and its suppliers of back-up power and related transmission and/or distribution services to coordinate concerning nuclear licensing requirements for safe nuclear plant operation and shutdown and system operating limits. The Commission also approves four related definitions for addition to the NERC Glossary of Terms, and directs various changes to proposed violation risk factors, which measure the potential impact of violations of the Reliability Standard on the reliability of the Bulk-Power System.</p> <p>The Final Rule became effective on November 26, 2008.</p> <p>On November 17, 2008, the North American Electricity Reliability Corporation (NERC) filed a compliance filing, requiring NERC to submit a timeline for developing and filing a modification to Requirement R9.3.5 of Reliability Standard NUC-001-1, pursuant to paragraph 107 of the Commission’s Order No. 716.</p> <p>On December 17, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration.</p> <p>On January 16, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting NERC’s November 17 Filing.</p> <p>On February 19, 2009, FERC issued a Final Rule and Order on Rehearing. In this order, the Commission denies the New York Independent System Operator, Inc.’s request for rehearing of Order No. 716, Mandatory Reliability Standard for Nuclear Plant Interface Coordination. In Order No. 716, the Commission approved as mandatory and enforceable the Nuclear Plant Interface Coordination Reliability Standard proposed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation.</p>

152.	RM08-5	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking – Revisions to Forms, Statements, and Reporting Requirements for Electric Utilities and Licensees	<p>On January 18, 2008, FERC issued a NOPR proposing to amend its financial forms, statements and reports for electric utilities and licensees, contained in FERC Form Nos. 1, 1-F, and 3-Q.</p> <p>NOPR comments were due March 14, 2008.</p> <p>The revisions are being proposed to ensure that rates are just and reasonable and to provide public utility customers, state commissions and the public the information they need to assess the justness and reasonableness of electric rates.</p> <p>On September 19, 2008, FERC issued a final rule under Order 715 revising its principal financial reporting forms for electric utilities and hydroelectric licensees. The final rule revises Forms No. 1, 1-F and 3-Q.</p> <p>SPP has not acted in this rulemaking.</p>
153.	RM08-7	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking – Modification of Interchange and Transmission Loading Relief Reliability Standards; and Electric Reliability Organization Interpretation of Specific Requirements of Four Reliability Standards	<p>On June 12, 2008, the ISO/RTO Council filed comments on the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking issued on April 21, 2008, and the Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking issued on May 16, 2008.</p> <p>On July 21, 2008, FERC issued final rule in Order No. 713. FERC made improvements to grid reliability by modifying five Reliability Standards, approved in 2007, related to interchange scheduling and coordination and approved NERC’s interpretation of five specific requirements of Commission-approved reliability standards. FERC also is asking for explanation on a sixth modified standard related to transmission load relief (TLR).</p> <p>On September 11, 2008, NERC submitted a compliance filing in response to Paragraph 50 of Order No. 713.</p> <p>On March 19, 2009, FERC issued Order 713-A, approving Reliability Standard IRO-006-4, submitted to the Commission for approval by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC). The rule is effective <b>April 23, 2009</b> (30 days after publication in the Federal Register). In addition, pursuant to section 215(d)(5) of the FPA, the Commission directs NERC to develop modifications to Reliability Standard IRO-006-4 to address specific Commission concerns.</p> <p>On March 19, 2009, FERC issued an Errata Notice which corrects part of the Table of Contents in Order 713-A.</p> <p>On March 24, 2009, FERC issued an Errata Notice correcting the order number reference in the first sentence of the March 19 Errata Notice to read “Order No. 713-A” rather than “Order No. 719-A”.</p>
154.	RM08-11	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking – Version Two Facilities Design, Connections and Maintenance Reliability Standards	<p>On October 16, 2008, FERC issued a NOPR.</p> <p>Pursuant to section 215 of the Federal Power Act, the Commission is proposing to approve three revised Reliability Standards developed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), which the Commission has certified as the Electric Reliability Organization responsible for developing and enforcing mandatory Reliability Standards. The three revised Reliability Standards, designated by NERC as FAC-010-2, FAC-011-2 and FAC-014-2, set requirements for the development and communication of system operating limits of the Bulk-Power System for use in the planning and operation horizons.</p>

			<p>Comments were due on November 24, 2008 (30 days after publication in federal register).</p> <p>On Mach 20, 2009, FERC issued Order No. 722, Final Rule on Version Two Facilities Design, Connections and Maintenance Reliability Standards. The Final Rule is effective <b>April 29, 2009</b>.</p>
155.	RM08-19	<p>Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Calculation of Available Transfer Capability, Capacity Benefit Margins, Transmission Reliability Margins, Total Transfer Capability, and Existing Transmission Commitments and Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System</p>	<p>On March 19, 2009, FERC issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Calculation of Available Transfer Capability, Capacity Benefit Margins, Transmission Reliability Margins, Total Transfer Capability, and Existing Transmission Commitments and Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System.</p> <p>Comments are due <b>May 26, 2009</b> (30 days after publication in the Federal Register).</p>
156.	RM01-8	<p>Revised Public Utility Filing Requirements for Electric Quarterly Reports ("EQR")</p>	<p>On April 17, 2008, FERC issued a letter order waiving the current data dictionary definitions of the Increment Name and Contract Commencement Date for the first quarter of 2008.</p> <p>On June 30, 2008, The Edison Electric Institute filed a Motion for Extension of Waiver requesting that Commission extend waiver granted April 17 be applied to EQR for 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2008. The specific issue of concern is regarding the Contract Commencement Date field.</p> <p>On July 9, 2008, FERC issued a letter order extending waiver until Commission takes final action in this docket.</p> <p>On September 18, 2008, FERC issued a notice providing guidance on the filing of information on transmission capacity reassignment agreements in EQR.</p> <p>On October 28, 2008, FERC issued Order 2001-I. Field 22 of the EQR is renamed "Commencement Date of Contract Terms."</p> <p>SPP has not actively participated in this proceeding.</p>

157.	<b>ER08-192</b>	Westar Filing of Revised Tariff Sheets to Attachment H-1 of Westar's Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT") Formally Revising Westar's Rate Formula Template	<p>On January 28, 2008, Westar submitted a compliance filing in this docket consisting of revised tariff sheets to Attachment H-1 of Westar's OATT.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene in this proceeding on February 19, 2008. Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. has also intervened.</p> <p>On April 3, 2008, the Commission issued a letter order accepting Westar's January 28, 2008 filing, effective June 1, 2007 as requested.</p> <p>Westar formally revised the rate formula template in its Tariff pursuant to an Agreement between Westar and several of its customers, as well as the Kansas Corporation Commission, wherein Westar agreed to revise its formula rate to incorporate use of its consolidated capital structure. The Commission accepted the Agreement by letter order on December 19, 2007.</p>
158.	<b>ER08-281</b>	Oklahoma Gas & Electric ("OG&E") Formula Rate Case  SPP moved to intervene out-of-time in this proceeding on January 11, 2008.	<p>On April 24, 2008, the Commission issued a letter order accepting Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company's Formula Rate compliance filing submitted on March 3, 2008.</p> <p>Settlement conferences were held on July 8 and August 20, 2008.</p> <p>Settlement conferences were held on October 28-29 and November 17-18, 2008.</p> <p>On November 24, 2008, a Report to the Commission and Chief Judge was issued. The parties are in process of drafting a settlement agreement.</p> <p>Settlement procedures still continue.</p>
159.	<b>ER08-313</b> <b>ER08-923</b> <b>ER08-1307</b> <b>ER08-1308</b> <b>ER08-1357</b> <b>ER08-1358</b> <b>ER08-1359</b> (Consolidated)	SPS Formula Rate Case and multiple Network Integration Transmission Service Agreements  SPP moved to intervene out-of-time on January 11, 2008.	<p>On July 2, 2008, the Commission issued an order consolidating Docket Nos. ER08-923 and ER08-313. The Commission also suspended the Network Integration Transmission Service Agreements between SPS and two SPS network customers, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. and South Plains Electric Cooperative, Inc. FERC accepts the proposed revised Agreements for filing, suspends them for a nominal period, make them effective July 6, 2008, as requested, subject to refund, and set the issues raised for hearing and settlement judge procedures.</p> <p>On July 25, 2008 in Docket No. ER08-1307, SPP filed with FERC an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Southwestern Public Service Company as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider and SPS as both Network Customer and Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. First Revised 1277. An effective date of July 6, 2008 is requested. This agreement replaces SA No. 1277 filed in EQR only.</p> <p>On July 25, 2008 in Docket ER08-1308, SPP filed with FERC an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Southwestern Public Service Company as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider and SPS as both Network Customer and Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. Second Revised 543. An effective date of July 6, 2008 is requested. This agreement supersedes the agreement filed in ER06-578.</p>

On August 1, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Request for Rehearing. On August 15, 2008, Golden Spread filed a Motion to Intervene, Protest and Motion to Summarily Reject Filing, in the Alternative, Motion to Consolidate.

On August 5, 2008 in Docket No. ER08-1357, SPP filed with FERC a partially executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. as Network Customer, as well as a partially executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider, Golden Spread as Network Customer and Southwestern Public Service Company as Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. First Revised 1172. An effective date of July 6, 2008 is requested. This agreement replaces SA No. 1172 filed in EQR only.

On August 5, 2008 in Docket No. ER08-1358, SPP filed with FERC an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Southwestern Public Service Company as Network Customer, as well as a partially executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider and SPS as both Network Customer and Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. First Revised 1139. An effective date of July 6, 2008 is requested. This agreement supersedes the agreement filed in ER05-1208.

On August 5, 2008 in Docket No. ER08-1359, SPP filed with FERC an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Southwestern Public Service Company as Network Customer, as well as a partially executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider and SPS as both Network Customer and Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement Number First Revised 1138. An effective date of July 6, 2008 is requested. This agreement supersedes the agreement filed in ER05-1200.

A formal settlement conference was held on August 21, 2008.

On September 2, 2008, FERC issued an order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration in ER08-313.

On September 2, 2008 Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC an Answer To Protests and Requests For Rejection in Docket No. ER08-1307.

On September 5, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC an Answer To Protests And Requests For Rejection under Docket No. ER08-1308.

On September 10, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC an Answer To Protest And Request For Rejection in Docket No. ER08-1357, ER08-1358 and ER08-1359.

On September 23, 2008, FERC issued an Order accepting and suspending the service agreement and consolidating the ER08-1307 and ER08-1308 proceedings with ER08-923 and ER08-313 (an existing SPS Formula Rate proceeding).

On September 30, 2008, Xcel Energy Service, on behalf of Southwestern Public Service Company submitted an informational filing explaining SPS' efforts to determine which of its facilities are "transmission facilities," and the applicable transmission service rates as required by Attachment AI to the SPP OATT.

			<p>On October 1, 2008, FERC issued a letter order "Consolidating Dockets, Accepting and Suspending Service Agreements, and Establishing Hearing and Settlement Judge Procedures." In this order, FERC consolidated dockets ER08-1357, ER08-1358, and ER08-1359, along with ER08-313, ER08-923, ER08-1307, ER08-1308.</p> <p>On October 23, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative filed a Request for Rehearing.</p> <p>On October 24, 2008, the Commission granted Xcel's Request for Clarification and Intervenors' Request for Rehearing in Docket ER08-313.</p> <p>On November 19, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative filed a Motion to Intervene, Protest, Motion to Reject, or, in the Alternative, Motion to Consolidate Docket Nos. ER09-162 and ER08-313.</p> <p>On November 21, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration.</p> <p>A Settlement Conference was held on January 28, 2009. Another settlement conference is scheduled for <b>April 14, 2009</b>.</p>
<p><b>160.</b></p>	<p><b>ER08-472</b></p>	<p>SPP Filing to Implement a Rate Change for Southwestern Public Service Company ("SPS")</p>	<p>On January 23, 2008, SPP filed revised pages to the SPP Tariff to implement a rate change for SPS. SPP submitted this filing to track the rate changes filed on December 7, 2007 by Xcel on behalf of SPS in Docket No. ER08-313-000 (SPS' formula rate filing). SPP requests an effective date of February 1, 2008, or whatever date the Commission assigns to the December 7, 2007 filing.</p> <p>Cap Rock Energy Corporation and West Texas Municipal Power Agency moved to intervene in this docket.</p> <p>On February 13, 2008, Xcel moved to intervene and file comments in support of SPP's filing.</p> <p>Golden Spread filed a Motion to Intervene, Protest and Motion to Consolidate, requesting that the Commission immediately consolidate Docket Nos. ER08-472-000 and ER08-313-000; suspend the filed rate increase and establish a refund effective date of July 6, 2008; and initiate an investigation into the justness and reasonableness of the rate increase filed in these dockets.</p> <p>Additionally, the New Mexico Cooperatives filed a joint motion to intervene and protest SPP's January 23, 2008 filing, requesting that the Commission make this proceeding subject to the outcome of Docket No. ER08-313 and set SPP's filing for hearing and factual investigation and suspend the filing for five months, to become effective, subject to refund, on July 6, 2008.</p> <p>On February 28, 2008. SPP filed an answer to the comments, protests and requests for suspension, hearing and consolidation filed in this proceeding.</p> <p>On March 21, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP's January 23, 2008 filing of Tariff revisions designed to implement a rate change for the SPS pricing zone, subject to the outcome of the proceeding in Docket No. ER08-313-000 (SPS' formula rate filing).</p>



			The Commission declined to consolidate Docket Nos. ER08-472 and ER08-313.
161.	ER08-477	SPP Filing of Revised External Market Advisor Services Agreement with Boston Pacific – 2007 (Attachment AJ-1)	<p>On January 25, 2008, SPP filed proposed Tariff revisions to modify several provisions of its executed external market monitor services agreement with Boston Pacific Company, Inc. for 2007. The final Agreement was executed by SPP and Boston Pacific on December 31, 2006.</p> <p>An effective date of January 1, 2007 is requested.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s filing were due February 15, 2008, with the East Texas Cooperatives moving to intervene in the proceeding.</p> <p>On March 20, 2008, SPP made a supplemental informational filing advising that SPP consents to FERC acting on the January 25, 2008 filing more than sixty days after the filing.</p> <p>On May 21, 2008, the Commission accepted SPP’s January 25, 2008 filing, granting an effective date of January 1, 2007, as requested.</p>
162.	ER08-496	SPP Filing of an Interconnection Agreement between SPP, Oklahoma Gas & Electric (“OG&E”), and Grand River Dam Authority (“GRDA”)	<p>On January 30, 2008, SPP filed a Transmission Interconnection Agreement between OG&amp;E, GRDA and SPP under the SPP Tariff.</p> <p>The Agreement is intended to replace all prior agreements relating to the interconnections of OG&amp;E’s and GRDA’s transmission systems, including an Interconnection Agreement dated February 4, 1969 and an Interchange Agreement dated May 16, 1994.</p> <p>An effective date of December 31, 2007 is requested for the proposed agreement.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s January 30, 2008 filing were due February 20, 2008, with OG&amp;E moving to intervene in the proceeding.</p> <p>On March 19, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP’s January 30, 2008 filing. An effective date of December 21, 2007 was granted as requested.</p> <p>The order constitutes final agency action.</p>
163.	ER08-508	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service with Kansas City Power and Light (“KCPL”)	<p>On January 31, 2008, SPP filed an executed service agreement for firm point-to-point transmission service with KPL.</p> <p>The Service Agreement will supersede the agreement for firm point-to-point transmission service between SPP and SPS accepted by FERC on July 17, 2007 in Docket No. ER07-1015-000.</p> <p>An effective date of January 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s filing were due February 21, 2008. None were filed.</p> <p>On March 19, 2008, FERC accepted via letter order SPP’s January 31, 2008 filing of an executed service agreement for firm point-to-point transmission service with KCP&amp;L and the notice of cancellation of an earlier agreement for firm point-to-point transmission service between SPP and SPS due to a resale arrangement between SPS and KCP&amp;L.</p>

			The order constitutes final agency action.
164.	ER08-511	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) between SPP as Transmission Provider and Hope Water and Light Commission as Network Customer and an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP as Transmission Provider, Hope Water and Light Commission as Network Customer and American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEP”) as Host Transmission Owner	<p>On January 31, 2008, SPP filed an executed NITSA between SPP as transmission provider and Hope Water and Light Commission as network customer.</p> <p>SPP also submitted an executed NOA between SPP as transmission provider, Hope Water and Light Commission as network customer, and AEP as host transmission owner.</p> <p>The Agreements reflect the separation of network service for the Hope Water and Light Commission from its previous incorporation in a NITSA between SPP and AEP, accepted by the Commission on December 7, 2005 in Docket Nos. ER05-1519-000 and 001. An effective date of January 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s January 31, 2008 filing were due February 21, 2008, with the Hope Water and Light Commission filing a petition to intervene.</p> <p>On March 19, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP’s January 31, 2008 filing. An effective date of January 1, 2008 was granted as requested.</p> <p>The order constitutes final agency action.</p>
165.	ER08-512	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) between SPP as Transmission Provider and the City of Bentonville, Arkansas as Network Customer and an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP as Transmission Provider, Bentonville	<p>On January 31, 2008, SPP filed an executed NITSA between SPP as transmission provider and the City of Bentonville, Arkansas as network customer and an executed NOA between SPP as transmission provider, Bentonville as network customer and AEP as host transmission owner. An effective date of January 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>These agreements reflect the separation of network service for Bentonville from its previous incorporation in a Service Agreement for NITSA between SPP and AEP that was accepted by the Commission on December 7, 2005 in Docket Nos. ER05-1519-000 and 001.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s filing were due February 21, 2008. None were filed.</p> <p>On March 19, 2008, the Commission accepted SPP’s January 31, 2008 filing, effective January 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>The order constitutes final agency action.</p>

		as Network Customer, and American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEP”) as Host Transmission Owner	
<b>166.</b>	<b>ER08-645</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITSA”) between SPP as Transmission Provider and the Empire District Electric Company (“Empire”) as Network Customer	<p>On March 6, 2008, SPP filed a revised NITSA between SPP as transmission provider and Empire as network customer.</p> <p>The proposed modifications allow self supply and specify additional redispatch requirements and provisions conditioning transmission service requested by Empire from certain designated resources upon completion of certain facility study network upgrades.</p> <p>The NITSA revised by this filing includes various amendments to the NITSA accepted by FERC on January 16, 2007 in Docket Nos. ER06-1309-000 and 001.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s filing were due March 27, 2008. No comments or protests were filed.</p> <p>On May 2, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP’s March 6, 2008 filing effective October 1, 2005, as requested.</p> <p>This service agreement was superseded by SA No. 1630 filed in Docket No. ER08-903.</p>
<b>167.</b>	<b>ER08-684</b>	SPP Filing of Two Executed Service Agreement for the Reassignment of Long-Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Bear Energy, LP as the Assignee	<p>On March 18, 2008, SPP filed two executed service agreements for the reassignment of long-term firm point-to-point transmissions service with Bear Energy, LP as the assignee. An effective date of March 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>These service agreements contain material terms that do not conform to the applicable form of service agreement in SPP’s OATT, namely that language has been added in a new section 7.0 and a new section 8.0.</p> <p>FERC accepted SPP’s March 18, 2008 filing by letter order on April 22, 2008. An effective date of March 1, 2008 was granted as requested.</p> <p>On October 1, 2008, SPP submitted cancellation notices for these agreements under Docket No. ER09-7. The capacity is being reassigned to JP Morgan Ventures Energy Corporation, who merged with Bear Energy, LLC. FERC accepted the cancellations in a letter order accepted on November 10, 2008 in Docket No. ER09-7.</p>
<b>168.</b>	<b>ER08-732</b>	SPP’s External Market Advisor Services Agreement with Boston Pacific Company, Inc. – 2008 (Attachment AJ-1)	<p>On March 27, 2008, SPP submitted revisions to its External Market Advisor Services Agreement with Boston Pacific Company, Inc. for 2008.</p> <p>On May 16, 2008, the Commission issued a letter order accepting these changes with an effective date of January 1, 2008.</p> <p>The final Agreement was executed by SPP and Boston Pacific on March 1, 2008.</p>
<b>169.</b>	<b>ER08-743</b>	SPP Submits Revised	On March 28, 2008, SPP submitted changes to Pricing Zone Rates intended to implement a rate change for Westar

		Pages to its Open Access Transmission Tariff – Changes to Pricing Zone Rates for Westar Energy, Inc.	<p>Energy, Inc.</p> <p>On May 15, 2008, SPP submitted revised Tariff sheets in order to correct a clerical error.</p> <p>On June 26, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting changes effective June 1, 2008.</p>
170.	ER08-746	SPP Proposed Tariff Revisions to its Aggregate Study, Revenue Crediting, and Cost Allocation Procedures	<p>On March 28, 2008, SPP submitted proposed Tariff revisions in order to: (a) modify its transmission service revenue crediting processes; (b) clarify the application of its network upgrade cost allocation methodology; (c) modify the format of certain attachments to its Tariff; (d) identify a new type of network upgrade; and (e) incorporate various miscellaneous clarifications. SPP requests an effective date of May 27, 2008.</p> <p>Motions to Intervene were filed by several parties.</p> <p>On May 5, 2008, SPP filed an Answer to Comments and Conditional Protest.</p> <p>On May 27, 2008, the Commission issued an order accepting in part and rejecting in part SPP’s Tariff revisions, to become effective May 27, 2008.</p> <p>Pursuant to the Commission’s May 27, 2008 Order, on June 25, 2008, SPP submitted a compliance filing revising Sections 34.1, 1.9(b), 1.50, 32.11, and Article I of Attachment Z2 (Revenue Crediting for Upgrades) to clarify how zonal point-to-point transmission service revenue is accounted for when SPP calculates the network monthly demand charges for SPP’s transmission owners with different accounting practices. An effective date of May 27, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On July 18, 2008, SPP submitted an amendatory filing to correct a clerical error in proposed Section 34.1 of the Aggregate Study compliance filing made June 25, 2008 filing.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s filing were due August 8, 2008.</p> <p>On August 28, 2008, FERC accepts the compliance filing in ER08-746 clarifying how zonal point-to-point transmission service revenue is accounted for when SPP calculates the network monthly demand charges for SPP’s transmission owners with different accounting practices, with an effective date of May 27, 2008.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
171.	ER08-766	SPP Filing of an Unexecuted Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Kansas	<p>On March 31, 2008, SPP submitted an unexecuted NITSA between SPP and KPP as well as an unexecuted NOA between SPP, KPP, and Mid-Kansas Electric Company. An effective date of March 1, 2008 is requested. SPP submitted the Agreements on an unexecuted basis because negotiations are ongoing between KPP and Mid-Kansas over the provision of ancillary services.</p> <p>SPP submitted an additional filing on April 30, 2008 to provide executed signature pages. The parties are still negotiating the terms and conditions that will govern how various ancillary services will be provided to KPP; therefore, the parties have not yet executed Appendix 5 of the Service Agreement.</p>

		Power Pool (“KPP”), and a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, KPP, and Mid-Kansas Electric Company (“MKEC”)	On June 23, 2008, the Commission accepted for filing Service Agreement No. 1617, effective March 1, 2008.
172.	ER08-777	Westar Energy’s Revisions to its Formula Rate – Effective June 1, 2008  SPP filed a Motion to Intervene on April 22, 2008	On July 7, 2008, KEPCO filed Comments requesting clarifications to be made to tariff sheets.  On August 28, 2008, FERC issued an order conditionally accepting compliance filing, effective June 1, 2008. Westar was directed to submit a compliance filing with 15 days. Westar must include a note in its tariff either stating that all future storm damage reserves are subtracted from rate base until they are applied to offset transmission property damage expenses or indicating that the reserves are placed in an external escrow account with the earnings accruing to the reserves booked to Account 228.1.  On September 12, 2008, Westar submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the August 28, 2008 Order.  On January 29, 2009, FERC issued an Order Conditionally Accepting Tariff Filing. The Commission states that Westar’s proposed Note S revision fails to comply with the Commission’s August 28 Order. The Commission directed Westar to “include a note in its tariff...stating that all future storm damage reserves are subtracted from rate base until they are applied to offset transmission property damage expenses.” The order goes on to state, “We find Kansas Electric Cooperative’s proposed sentence: “[a]ll future accruals of storm damage reserves will be subtracted from rate base until they are applied to offset storm damage expenses” satisfies the August 28 Order requirement. We direct Westar to include such language in a compliance filing 15 days from the date of issuance of this order.” Westar’s compliance filing is due February 13, 2009.  On February 12, 2009, Westar submitted a revised Attachment H-1.
173.	ER08-805	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“AECI”), and an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, AECI and Oklahoma Gas and	On April 8, 2008, SPP submitted an executed NITSA between SPP and AECI, as well as an executed NOA between SPP, AECI, and OG&E.  On May 27, 2008, the Commission accepted Service Agreement No. 1619, effective March 17, 2008.  This order constitutes final agency action.

		Electric Company (“OG&E”)	
<b>174.</b>	<b>ER08-825</b>	Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”) between SPP, Midwest Energy, Inc. (“Midwest Energy”) and Smokey Hills Wind Farm, LLC (“Smoky Hills”)	<p>On April 14, 2008, SPP filed Amendment No. 1 to a LGIA between Midwest Energy, Inc., Smoky Hills Wind Farm, LLC, and SPP.</p> <p>On June 10, 2008, FERC issued a letter order conditionally accepting SPP’s April 14, 2008 filing, effective April 4, 2008.</p> <p>SPP must submit a compliance filing by July 10, 2008 to revise the title page for the LGIA as the First Revised Service Agreement No. 1168 in accordance with the designation requirements of Order No. 614.</p> <p>On June 24, 2008, SPP submitted a revised the title page for the LGIA as the First Revised Service Agreement No. 1168 in accordance with the designation requirements of Order No. 614.</p> <p>On August 6, 2008, FERC accepts SPP’s June 24 compliance filing.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
<b>175.</b>	<b>ER08-871</b>	Proposed Revisions to the SPP Membership Agreement	<p>On April 25, 2008, SPP submitted proposed revisions to section 4.2.2 of the SPP Membership Agreement to correct several clerical errors.</p> <p>FERC accepted SPP’s filing of proposed revisions by letter order on June 20, 2008. An effective date of August 10, 2005 was granted, as requested.</p>
<b>176.</b>	<b>ER08-884</b> <b>ER08-913</b> (Not Consolidated)	Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc (“MISO”), PJM Interconnection, LLC (“PJM”), and SPP Submit Proposed Revisions to the Congestion Management Process	<p>On June 9, 2008, MISO, PJM, and SPP submitted a Motion for Leave to Answer and Answer. The RTOs seek leave to respond to Basin Electric Power Cooperative’s May 23, 2008 Protest submitted in response to the CMP Filings and in support, submit that a response will provide additional information and clarify the RTOs’ position regarding certain arguments made by parties and the issues under consideration in this proceeding. The filing further states that the Basin Protest is collateral attach on Order No. 693 as well as a collateral attach on the Commission’s order approving amendments to the CMP filed by the Midwest ISO and PJM prior to initiating the NERC field test.</p> <p>On July 1, 2008, the Commission issued an order accepting revisions to Joint Operating Agreements, effective June 1, 2008, and directing that Applicants submit a compliance filing to include field test methodology in the congestion management processes.</p> <p>On July 16, 2008, MISO, PJM and SPP submitted a joint compliance filing containing proposed Appendix H (Market Flow Threshold Field Test Terms and Conditions) of the Congestion Management Process of their Joint Operating Agreements in compliance with the Commission’s July 1, 2008 Order. Compliance filings were due July 16, 2008.</p> <p>On August 28, 2008, FERC accepts the changes to Appendix H, effective June 1, 2008.</p>

			This order constitutes final agency action.
177.	ER08-903	SPP Submits an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) and Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP and The Empire District Electric Company (“Empire”)	<p>On May 1, 2008, SPP submitted an executed NITSA and unexecuted NOA between SPP and Empire. This agreement replaces Service Agreement No. 715 filed in Docket No. ER08-645.</p> <p>On May 30, 2008, the Commission accepted Service Agreement No. 1630, effective April 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This agreement was replaced by First Revised Service Agreement No. 1630 filed in ER09-790.</p>
178.	ER08-904	SPP Submits an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (“KEPCO”), and Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, KEPCO, and The Empire District Electric Company (“Empire”)	<p>On May 1, 2008, SPP submitted an executed NITSA between SPP and KEPCO, as well an executed NOA between SPP, KEPCO, and Empire.</p> <p>On June 13, 2008, the Commission accepted Service Agreement No. 1622, effective April 1, 2008.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
179.	ER08-905	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Western Farmers Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“WFEC”), and a Network Operating	<p>On May 1, 2008, SPP submitted an executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement between SPP and WFEC. SPP also submitted Network Operating Agreements between SPP, WFEC, OG&amp;E, and SPP, WFEC, and AEP. This replaces First Revised Service Agreement Number 1022 filed in Docket No. ER07-1099.</p> <p>On June 26, 2008, the Commission accepted Service Agreement No. 1628, effective April 1, 2008.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>

		Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, WFECC, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company (“OG&E”), and American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEP”)	
<b>180.</b>	<b>ER08-983</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and City of Coffeyville, Kansas (“Coffeyville”), and a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, Coffeyville, and American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEP”)	<p>On May 19, 2008, SPP submitted executed NITSA between SPP and the City of Coffeyville, as well as a NOA between SPP, City of Coffeyville, and AEP (Service Agreement No. 1624).</p> <p>On June 5, 2008, the City of Coffeyville filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On July 11, 2008, the Commission accepted SPP’s May 19, 2008 filing, effective June 1, 2008, as requested.</p>
<b>181.</b>	<b>ER08-995</b>	SPP Submits Open Access Tariff (“OATT”) Revisions Related to its Real-Time Energy Imbalance Service Market (“EIS”)	<p>On May 21, 2008, SPP submitted Tariff Revisions related to External Generator Participation in its Energy Imbalance Market. Specifically, SPP proposes to revise its Tariff in order to effectuate a short delay of the scheduled implementation of provisions allowing external resources to participate in SPP’s EIS Market until a date on or before February 1, 2009.</p> <p>SPP will notify the EIS Market participants 30 days in advance of the implementation date, and it will inform the Commission of the implementation date following the effective date.</p> <p>On July 15, 2008 FERC accepted SPP’s Filing to become effective on or before February 1, 2009, upon notice to the Commission. Because SPP’s filing did not include revised tariff sheet nos. 1225, 1226 and 1228 pending in Docket No. ER08-340, these tariff sheets will continue to reflect the old effective date until the pending tariff sheets are accepted by the Commission.</p> <p>On February 2, 2009, SPP submitted a Notification of Effectiveness of Tariff Revisions Related to External Generator Participation in SPP Energy Imbalance Market.</p>
<b>182.</b>	<b>ER08-1006</b>	Entergy Mississippi, Inc. Submits Amendments to the	On July 25, 2008, Entergy submitted a response to data request pursuant to the letter from FERC dated June 23, 2008.



		<p>Amended and Restated Interconnection and Operating Agreement with Southaven Power, LLC</p> <p>SPP filed a Motion to Intervene on June 23, 2008</p>	<p>On September 10, 2008, Entergy submitted a Motion for Continued Deferral of Commission Action.</p> <p>On November 24, 2008, Entergy submitted an updated Appendix H to the Amended and Restated Interconnection and Operating Agreement.</p> <p>On January 14, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the amended IOA effective November 25, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
<b>183.</b>	<b>ER08-1045</b>	<p>SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Kansas Power Pool (“KPP”), and a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, KPP, and Westar Energy, Inc. (“Westar”)</p>	<p>On May 30, 2008, SPP submitted an executed NITSA, Service Agreement No 1633, between SPP and KPP, as well as an NOA between SPP, KPP, and Westar.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s May 30, 2008 filing were due June 20, 2008. No comments were filed.</p> <p>The Commission accepted SPP’s filing by letter order on July 16, 2008.</p> <p>This agreement replaces SA No. 1312 filed in ER07-283.</p>
<b>184.</b>	<b>ER08-1054</b>	<p>SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Kansas Power Pool (“KPP”), and a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP, KPP, and Westar Energy, Inc. (“Westar”)</p>	<p>On May 30, 2008, SPP submitted an executed NITSA, Service Agreement No 1632, between SPP and KPP, as well an NOA between SPP, KPP, and Westar.</p> <p>Comments on SPP’s May 30, 2008 filing were due June 20, 2008. No comments were filed.</p> <p>The Commission accepted SPP’s filing by letter order on July 18, 2008.</p> <p>This agreement replaces SA No. 1491 filed in EQR only.</p>

185.	ER08-1075	Proposed Amendments to the November 17, 2006 ICT Agreement between SPP and Entergy	<p>On June 5, 2008, Entergy Services, Inc. submitted proposed amendments to the ICT Agreement executed on November 17, 2006 with SPP.</p> <p>The proposed amendments withdraw Section 6.3, "Regulatory Fines and Penalties," and make certain ministerial corrections to the ICT Agreement incidental to that withdrawal. An effective date of April 15, 2008 is requested, or, in the alternative, June 6, 2008.</p> <p>Comments on this filing were due June 26, 2008. No comments were filed.</p> <p>Louisiana Public Service Commission filed a Notice of Intervention on June 18, 2008.</p> <p>On August 4, 2008, FERC issued an order accepting amendment to the ICT agreement, effective April 15, 2008. SPP's request for rehearing is dismissed.</p>
186.	ER08-1078	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana ("EGS") submits an amended Interconnection and Operating Agreement ("IOA") between RSC Cogen, LLC and EGS	<p>On June 6, 2008, Entergy submits an amended Interconnection and Operating Agreement between RSC Cogen, LLC and EGS.</p> <p>On July 9, 2008, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene Out of Time.</p> <p>On September 5, 2008, FERC accepts the Amended IOA, effective August 5, 2008.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
187.	ER08-1079	Entergy Mississippi, Inc. ("EMI") submits an amended Interconnection and Operating Agreement ("IOA") between LSP Energy Limited Partnership and EMI	<p>On June 6, 2008, Entergy submits an amended Interconnection and Operating Agreement between LSP Energy Limited Partnership and EMI</p> <p>On July 9, 2008, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene Out of Time.</p> <p>On September 5, 2008, FERC accepts the Amended IOA, effective August 5, 2008.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
188.	ER08-1161	SPP Submits Revisions to Attachment AD of its Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT")	<p>On February 1, 2007, SPP and Southwestern Power Administration, by written instrument, extended the term of the Tariff Administration Agreement to January 31, 2008 (February 2007 Agreement). SPP and Southwestern Power Administration agreed to further changes to the Agreement; however, SPP did not file with the Commission the changes pursuant to the February 1, 2007 written instrument.</p> <p>On January 25, 2008, SPP and Southwestern Power Administration agreed to extend the term of the Tariff Administration Agreement again to January 31, 2009, and to other changes to the Agreement, by written instrument signed by both parties (January 2008 Agreement). However, SPP did not immediately submit the revised agreement.</p> <p>On June 24, 2008, SPP submitted revisions to Attachment AD of its OATT to modify the Agreement pursuant to both the February 2007 Agreement and the January 2008 Agreement.</p> <p>SPP proposes to extend the term of the February 2007 Agreement to January 31, 2008 and the term of the January 2008 Agreement to January 31, 2009. Effective dates of February 1, 2007 and February 1, 2008 are requested.</p>

			<p>On August 20, 2008, FERC accepts the tariff revisions effective February 1, 2007 and February 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This constitutes final agency action.</p>
189.	ER08-1162	<p>SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Service Agreement (“NITSA”) and an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP and Grand River Dam Authority (“GRDA”)</p>	<p>On June 25, 2008, SPP submitted an executed NITSA and NOA between SPP and GRDA (Service Agreement No. 1641). An effective date of June 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>The Commission accepted SPP’s filing by letter order on July 31, 2008.</p> <p>This agreement replaces SA No. First Revised 935 filed in ER07-1098.</p> <p>This agreement was replaced by SA No. First Revised 1641 filed in ER09-639.</p>
190.	ER08-1179	<p>SPP Submission of Revised Meter Agent Services Agreement between Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. (“Shell”) and American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEP”)</p>	<p>On June 27, 2008, SPP submitted a revised Meter Agent Services Agreement (Service Agreement No. 1363) between SPP and Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. This is intended to implement a name change for Coral Power, LLC and amends currently effective Agreement that was accepted by the Commission on July 12, 2007 in Docket Nos. ER07-643-000 and 001.</p> <p>On August 12, 2008, FERC accepts the Meter Agent Agreement effective June 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This constitutes final agency action.</p>
191.	ER08-1181	<p>SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (“KEPCO”), and a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP,</p>	<p>On June 27, 2008, SPP submitted an executed NITSA between SPP and KEPCO, as well as an NOA between SPP, KEPCO, and Midwest Energy (Service Agreement No. 1637). An effective date of June 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On August 18, 2008, FERC accepts the NITSA effective June 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This agreement replaces SA No. 1142 filed in ER05-1172.</p> <p>This constitutes final agency action.</p>

		KEPCO, and Midwest Energy, Inc. (“Midwest Energy”)	
<b>192.</b>	<b>ER08-1197</b>	Notice of Cancellation for Network Service Agreement No. 808 - KEPCo City of Seneca	<p>On July 1, 2008, SPP submitted a notice of cancellation for the NITSA between SPP as Transmission Provider and KEPCO as Network Customer, as well as the NOA between SPP as Transmission Provider, KEPCO as Network Customer and Westar as Host Transmission Owner, designated as Service Agreement No. 808, filed with and accepted by the Commission in Docket Nos. ER03-668-000 and 002.</p> <p>SPP submitted this notice of cancellation because it was notified on June 17, 2008 by KEPCO that the specific load being served under the Agreements had cancelled the service as of June 1, 2008.</p> <p>On August 18, 2008, FERC accepts the cancellation effective June 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This constitutes final agency action.</p>
<b>193.</b>	<b>ER08-1199</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (“KEPCO”), as well as a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	<p>On July 1, 2008, SPP filed an executed NITSA between SPP as Transmission Provider and KEPCO as Network Customer, as well as an executed NOA between SPP as Transmission Provider, KEPCO as Network Customer and Westar as Host Transmission Owner (Service Agreement No. 1636). An effective date of June 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On August 18, 2008, FERC accepts the NITSA effective June 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This agreement replaces SA No. 1141 filed in ER07-886.</p> <p>This constitutes final agency action.</p>
<b>194.</b>	<b>ER08-1206</b>	SPP Filing of an Unexecuted Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and the Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority (“OMPA”), as well as a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	<p>On July 1, 2008, SPP filed an unexecuted NITSA between SPP as Transmission Provider and OMPA as Network Customer, as well as an executed NOA between SPP as Transmission Provider, OMPA as Network Customer and AEP, OG&amp;E and WFEC as Host Transmission Owners.</p> <p>These Agreements modify the currently effective Agreements that were accepted for filing by the Commission on September 21, 2007 in Docket No. ER07-1220-000 (Service Agreement No. 1166). An effective date of June 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On July 17, 2008, SPP filed a motion to defer action in this proceeding until October 16, 2008 to allow OMPA and WFEC to continue their negotiations relating to Wholesale Distribution Service Charges and to allow time for execution and filing of a revised service agreement.</p> <p>If WFEC and OMPA are unable to negotiate a compromise, OMPA reserves the right to protest the unexecuted agreement(s), and the Commission may take action on SPP’s original filing in this proceeding.</p> <p>On October 8, 2008, SPP filed a motion to defer action in this proceeding until November 17, 2008.</p>

			<p>On November 12, 2008, SPP filed a motion to defer action in this proceeding until December 17, 2008.</p> <p>On December 17, 2008, SPP submitted a revised NITSA and NOA, designated SPP Service Agreement No. Sixth Revised 1166. An effective date of December 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On January 7, 2009, AEP filed a Motion to Intervene and Protest.</p> <p>Also on January 7, 2009, OMPA filed a Protest, Request for Rejection or Suspension of Direct-Assignment Provisions, and Motion for Consolidation. OMPA does not believe we should require them to pay a pro rata share of the full costs of upgrades on the transmission system of SPA, without credits or refunds that include interest, as a condition of network service. The filing includes a Motion to consolidate with AEP NITSA filed in Docket No. ER09-342.</p> <p>On January 12, 2009, AECC filed a Motion to Intervene Out of Time.</p> <p>On January 21, 2009, Southwestern Power Administration filed a Motion to Intervene Out of Time, and Western Farmers Electric Cooperative filed a Motion for Leave to File Answer and Answer.</p> <p>On January 22, 2009, SPP filed an Answer to Protests and Requests for Rejection stating: 1) SPP's general cost allocation methodology does not apply to Network Upgrades on SPA's transmission system; 2) conditioning OMPA's service upon completion of the Network Upgrade is consistent with SPP's Tariff and Commission precedent; 3) SPP's processing of the service agreement was consistent with Attachment AD of SPP's Tariff; 4) OMPA's request for a hearing on SPA's cost allocation methods is inconsistent with SPP's Tariff and is beyond the scope of this proceeding; 5) SPP properly assigned to OMPA a portion of the costs of the SPA Network Upgrade; 6) AEP may not rely upon redispatch service a permanent alternative to the Network Upgrade; and 7) SPP agrees that the service agreement should become effective on June 1, 2008, and will submit a revised version of the agreement in a compliance filing.</p> <p>On January 23, 2009, FERC issued a deficiency letter requesting information to be submitted by February 23, 2009. The requested information includes all study results as well as any relevant data and power flow files on CD ROM that SPP used to conduct the Aggregate Facility Study SPP-2006-AG3-AFS-11.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, SPP submitted a response to the request for additional information issued by FERC on January 23.</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene Out-of-Time.</p> <p>On March 20, 2009, AEP and OMPA filed Comments regarding SPP's February 23 Filing.</p>
195.	ER08-1212	SPP Filing of Changes to Pricing Zone Rates and Base Plan Rates for Westar Energy, Inc.	<p>On July 2, 2008, SPP filed proposed tariff revisions to implement various rate changes stemming from Westar's implementation of a consolidated capital structure in the Westar OATT. SPP is also revising Attachment H of the SPP OATT to incorporate Westar's entire formula rate.</p> <p>An effective date of May 1, 2008 is requested.</p>

			<p>On August 22, 2008, SPP submitted an amendatory filing in order to modify the filing to account for the repayment of the Base Plan Funded Credits to the Redbud Plan.</p> <p>On October 17, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the tariff revisions, effective May 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
<b>196.</b>	<b>ER08-1265</b>	SPP-AEP Letter Agreement for a Notice to Construct – Service Agreement No. 1649	<p>On July 14, 2008, SPP submitted a letter Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider, American Electric Power Service Corporation as the Transmission Customer, and AEP as agent for Southwestern Electric Power Company as the Transmission Owner.</p> <p>Comments on this filing were due August 4, 2008.</p> <p>AEP submitted a Motion to Intervene and Comments on August 4, 2008.</p> <p>On September 9, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the letter agreement between SPP, SWEPCO and AEP concerning the Notification to Construct for the Hempstead to NW Texarkana 345 KV Circuit 1 Project, with an effective date of June 13, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
<b>197.</b>	<b>ER08-1280</b>	Midwest Energy Meter Agent Services Agreement – Service Agreement No. 1642	<p>On July 18, 2008, SPP submitted a Meter Agent Services Agreement between Midwest Energy as Market Participant and Westar Energy, Inc. as Meter Agent. SPP Service Agreement Number 1642.</p> <p>Comments on this filing were due August 8, 2008.</p> <p>On August 28, 2008, FERC accepts the executed Meter Agent Services Agreement with an effective date of July 1, 2008.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
<b>198.</b>	<b>ER08-1306</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEP”), as well as a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	<p>On July 25, 2008 SPP filed with FERC an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and American Electric Power Service Corporation, as agent for Public Service Company of Oklahoma and Southwestern Electric Power Company as Network Customer. SPP Service Agreement No. Seventh Revised 1148.</p> <p>On September 17, 2008, FERC issues a letter order accepting effective July 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>This agreement is replaced by the new agreement filed in Docket No. ER09-342.</p>

199.	ER08-1324	SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Kansas Power Pool (“KPP”), as well as a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	<p>On July 30, 2008, SPP filed with FERC an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Kansas Power Pool as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider, KPP as Network Customer and Westar Energy, Inc. as Host Transmission Owner. SA 1311 Revision 1.</p> <p>On September 17, 2008, FERC issues a letter order accepting the submittal effective July 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
200.	ER08-1325	SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Associated Electric Cooperative (“AECI”), as well as a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	<p>On July 30, 2008, SPP filed with FERC an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider, Associated as the Network Customer and Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company as the Host Transmission Owner. SA 1154 Revision 3.</p> <p>On September 17, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the submittal effective July 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
201.	ER08-1338	SPP Admin Fee Filing	<p>On July 31, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc filed revised tariff sheets amending Schedule 1-A of the Open Access Transmission Tariff in order to increase the rate cap for its Tariff Administration Service Charge under ER08-1338.</p> <p>Golden Spread Cooperative, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene and Conditional Protest on August 19, 2008.</p> <p>The Commission issued a Letter Order on September 18, 2008, accepting SPP's request to increase SPP's rate cap of Schedule 1-A Tariff Administration Service Charge from \$0.20/MWh to \$0.225/MWh. The increase is effective on October 1, 2008.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>On January 15, 2009, SPP submitted its annual operating budget and true-up. This is submitted pursuant to the Commission's October 1, 2004 Order in Docket No. RT04-1, and commitments made by SPP in Docket No. ER08-1338.</p>
202.	ER08-1371	Westar Energy, Inc. Changes to Pricing Zone Rates	<p>On August 7, 2008, SPP submitted Changes to Pricing Zone Rates for Westar Energy, Inc.</p> <p>On August 22, 2008, Southwest Power Pool submitted to FERC an amendatory filing in Docket No. ER08-1371. The filing was modified to account for the repayment of the Base Plan Funded Credits to the Redbud Plan.</p> <p>On October 15, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC an Amendatory Filing and Request for Expedited</p>

			<p>Action and Shortened Notice Period.</p> <p>On October 28, 2008, FERC issued a letter order conditionally accepting effective June 1, 2008, subject to the outcome of the hearing and settlement judge procedures in Docket No. ER08-396.</p>
203.	ER08-1376	Letter Agreement to Large Generator Interconnection Agreement between Cloud County Wind Farm, LLC and Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC	<p>On August 8, 2008, SPP filed with FERC a partially executed Letter Agreement to amend a Large Generator Interconnection Agreement between SPP, Cloud County Wind Farm, LLC and Mid-Kansas Electric Company. Service Agreement No. 1484 Revision 1.</p> <p>On August 28, 2008, Cloud County Wind Farm submitted a Motion to Intervene and Comments.</p> <p>On September 30, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the letter agreement effective October 7, 2008.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
204.	ER08-1379	SPP Combined Aggregate Study Filing	<p>On August 8, 2008, SPP filed Revised Tariff Sheets to Modify the Transmission Request Aggregate Study Process (Combined Aggregate Study Filing). Revisions were made in order to adopt measures to improve the processing of transmission service requests and the Aggregate Transmission Service Study ("ATSS") procedure. Specifically, SPP proposed to revise Attachment Z1 and other relevant Tariff provisions to combine the transmission service requests received during pairs of consecutive open seasons into a single ATSS in order to reduce the number of studies SPP must perform in processing its transmission service request queue.</p> <p>Numerous parties filed Motions to Intervene. AEP and Empire filed Comments. No party filed to protest.</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, SPP filed an answer responding to Comments. Specifically, SPP states that this action is not meant to be a permanent change in the aggregate study process, nor is it the only reform that SPP is considering at this time. SPP plans to submit a more comprehensive proposal in the early part of 2009.</p> <p>On October 7, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the tariff revisions, effective August 9, 2008 as requested.</p>
205.	ER08-1380	SPP Bylaw Revisions	<p>On August 8, 2008, SPP submitted revised pages to its Bylaws intended to incorporate the modifications that have been accepted by the Commission in Docket Nos. RR07-6-000 and 002, as well as the Bylaws modifications submitted by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") in its July 21, 2008 filing in Docket No. RR07-6-004 ("July 21 Filing"). SPP requests effective dates of May 18, 2007, April 5, 2008, and the date the Commission assigns the Bylaws revisions in the July 21 Filing, respectively, for these revisions.</p> <p>Golden Spread Cooperative, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene on August 28, 2008.</p> <p>On October 6, 2008, FERC issued a letter order. The changes are conditionally accepted in part, and rejected in part, as noted in the order and are effective May 18, 2007 and April 5, 2008, consistent with previous Commission orders. SPP must file, within 30 days of the date of this order, a filing correcting several items. SPP's compliance filing is due November 5, 2008.</p> <p>On November 3, 2008, SPP submitted revised pages of its Bylaws intended to comply with the October 6, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On February 12, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the bylaw revisions effective May 18, 2007 and April 5,</p>



			<p>2008, consistent with previous Commission orders.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
206.	ER08-1419	SPP Balanced Economic Portfolio Filing	<p>On August 15, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc submitted amendments to its Open Access Transmission Tariff to establish (i) a process for including a balanced portfolio of economic upgrades into the SPP Transmission Expansion Plan and (ii) a regional postage stamp rate design for recovery of costs of such upgrades. In addition, SPP proposes to amend the provisions relating to the treatment of upgrades that result in the deferral or displacement of other upgrades. SPP requests an effective date of October 17, 2008 for these Tariff modifications.</p> <p>Several parties filed Motions to Intervene. Four parties filed Comments, all of which expressed support for the balanced portfolio initiative. No parties filed to protest.</p> <p>On September 22, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC an Answer To Intervenor's Comments in Docket No. ER08-1419-000. Specifically, SPP states it initially proposes to use an adjusted production cost metric to determine the benefits of a potential balanced portfolio. SPP has also committed to "continue to evaluate and explore with the stakeholders via the transmission planning process any additional metrics and criteria which have quantifiable economic effects" and to amend the Tariff to include those metrics as appropriate. SPP states that ITC Great Plains suggestion that "at least some of these additional benefit measures should be incorporated into this proposal by a date certain so that these additional metrics will be used for the balanced portfolios after the first balanced portfolio planned by SPP for January 2009" might hinder the opportunity for stakeholder input into the determination of the additional benefit metrics that should be included in the balanced portfolio benefit analysis. SPP also states that evaluating costs and benefits of a potential Balanced Portfolio over a ten-year period is just and reasonable. In addition, SPP states the proposal is consistent with the transparency principle of Order No. 890 and does not involve arbitrary decision-making by SPP, issues regarding trapped generation are properly addressed in the Stakeholder Process, and the development of Seams Agreements between SPP and its neighbors and Third-Party impacts are beyond the scope of this proceeding. SPP also addressed ITC Great Plains' request for confirmation as to whether two of its projects (Kansas V-Plan and The KETA Project) are eligible for inclusion in a balanced portfolio.</p> <p>On September 29, 2008, SPP submitted an Errata Answer to Intervenor's Comments under the SPP "Balanced Portfolio" filing in order to correct the sentence beginning on page 13 and continuing to page 14 of the answer filed on September 22, 2008.</p> <p>On October 14, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC a supplemental answer to Intervenor's comments in Docket No. ER08-1419-000.</p> <p>On October 16, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Tariff Revisions, as Modified.</p> <p>SPP is directed to make a compliance filing by December 15, 2008, with provisions ensuring that system design software results needed for stakeholders to verify the application of the assumptions in creating the adjusted production cost-benefit metrics will be made available, and clarifying that costs incurred by transmission owners or zones due to third-party impacts are included among the factors affecting the revenue requirement associated with the economic upgrade, as discussed in the body of this order.</p>

On November 17, 2008, SPP filed a Request for Clarification or, in the Alternative, Request for Rehearing, asking that the Commission clarify that the existing Tariff provisions – Sections IV.3(g), IV.6(b) and X.7 of Attachment O – already comply with its directive in the October 16 Order to provide a mechanism that allows for market participants to review the analysis of balanced portfolios and that no further modifications to the Tariff are necessary.

On December 15, 2008, SPP submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the October 16 Order. Attachments J and O are revised.

On December 17, 2008, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration.

On January 5, 2009, the TDU Intervenors filed a Protest. The filing states, "TDU Intervenors do not contest the basic premise that costs of third-party upgrades legitimately and unavoidably caused by a proposed balanced portfolio must be taken into account in determining the relative costs and benefits thereof. However, it must be recognized that including these third-party costs in the calculation will make it more difficult to produce a portfolio of economic upgrades that produces benefits to (or at least imposes no costs on) each and every zone within SPP."

On January 5, 2009, Xcel Energy Services, Inc. filed a Protest on behalf of Southwestern Public Service Company. The filing states, "XES respectfully requests the Commission direct SPP to modify its December 15 Compliance Filing and direct SPP to further modify Attachment O of the SPP OATT to prescribe in more detail the mechanism for providing access to underlying transmission planning study data and to set forth specific timeframes in which SPP will provide the underlying assumptions and data to stakeholders after the posting of the Balanced Portfolio analysis, and a 90 day period for stakeholder review, so stakeholders have adequate time to review (and if necessary challenge) such information and materials in advance of any SPP committee or SPP Board of Directors action."

On January 5, 2009, Occidental Permian LTD and Occidental Power Marketing, LP filed a Motion to Intervene and Protest. Occidental requests that the Commission reject the December 15 compliance filing and convene a technical conference at which the parties can explore with SPP the issues concerning the verification of system design software results.

On January 5, 2009, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Protest. GSEC urges the Commission to reject the December 15 compliance filing and require SPP to comply with the October 16 Order by submitting Tariff revisions that will provide customers and other stakeholders with the ability to duplicate and verify SPP's calculations of costs and benefits, and the assumptions behind those calculations. GSEC also suggests a technical conference be held.

On January 21, 2009, SPP filed an Answer to protests stating: 1) the existing tariff and compliance amendments satisfy the Commission's mandate to ensure that system design software results needed to verify the application of the assumptions used in the Balanced Portfolio production cost-benefit metrics are available; 2) the compliance filing tariff provisions are not deficient because they contain no specific timeframes for review of Balanced Portfolio study information; 3) a technical conference is unnecessary; and 4) the December 15 Filing complies with the Commission's directives regarding including third party impacts in the Balanced Portfolio Cost-Benefit Analysis.

207.	ER08-1465	SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Midwest Energy, Inc., as well as a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	<p>On August 29, 2008, SPP filed two Network Integration Transmission Service Agreements and Network Operating Agreements. Second Revised 1035, is between SPP and Midwest Energy as the Customer, with Midwest Energy and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation as Host Transmission Owners (for Midwest Energy’s "M System"). Second Revised 916, is between SPP and Midwest Energy Customer and Host Transmission Owner (for Midwest Energy’s "W System"). An effective date of August 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On October 17, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the service agreements, effective August 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
208.	ER08-1487	Ancillary Services Agreement between Kansas Power Pool (“KPP”) and Westar Energy, Inc.	<p>On September 2, 2008 SPP submitted to FERC Modifications to Revised Service Agreement for Ancillary Services and Distribution Facilities and Metering Agreement between Westar Energy and Kansas Power Pool. SPP Service Agreement Number Third Revised 1136. An effective date of August 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On October 28, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective August 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
209.	ER08-1489	Meter Agent Services Agreement between Occidental Power Services, Inc. and Westar Energy, Inc.	<p>On September 2, 2008, SPP submitted a Meter Agent Services Agreement between Occidental Power Services, Inc. as the Market Participant and Westar Energy, Inc. as the Meter Agent. An effective date of August 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On September 4, 2008, SPP submitted an Amendatory Filing in order to add SPP Service Agreement No. 1668 to the filing.</p> <p>On November 3, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective August 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
210.	ER08-1515	Meter Agent Services Agreement between Noble Great Plains Windpark, LLC and Southwestern Public Service Company	<p>On September 10, 2008, SPP submitted a Meter Agent Service Agreement between Noble Great Plains Windpark, LLC as Market Participant and Southwestern Public Service Company as Meter Agent. SPP Service Agreement No. 1669. An effective date of August 12, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On October 17, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective August 12, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
211.	ER08-1516	Joint Operating Agreement between SPP and Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	<p>On September 10, 2008, SPP submitted a Joint Operating Agreement between SPP and Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc., effective August 12, 2008. Southwest Power Pool, FERC Electric Tariff, Rate Schedule No. 10.</p> <p>The JOA was executed as part of a unanimous settlement agreement reached in Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 08-KMOE-028-COC. This supersedes a Transmission Coordination Agreement (“TCA”) between SPP and</p>

			<p>AECI that was executed on August 19, 2004.</p> <p>On September 22, 2008, AECI filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On October 20, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the filing, effective August 12, 2008.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
212.	ER08-1519	ATC Calculation Agreement between Ohio Valley Electric Corporation (“OVEC”) and SPP	<p>On September 12, 2008, OVEC filed an ATC Calculation Agreement between OVEC and SPP, and requested that the Commission determine if it should be filed with FERC. If so, an effective date of September 1, 2008, is requested.</p> <p>On October 1, 2008, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene stating that it is not required to file the agreement under Commission precedent. SPP agrees with OVEC that the agreement is not jurisdictional.</p> <p>On October 14, 2008, OVEC filed a request to withdraw this filing.</p> <p>On December 3, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the motion to withdraw the filing in this proceeding.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
213.	ER08-1543	SPP Filing of Pricing Zone and Base Plan Rate Changes for Westar Energy, Inc. and Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company (OG&E)	<p>On September 15, 2008, SPP Submitted an Amendment to Pricing Zone and Base Plan Rates for Westar Energy, Inc. and Oklahoma Gas &amp; Electric. An effective date of September 30, 2007 is requested.</p> <p>On September 25, 2008 SPP submitted an amendatory filing in order to correct Attachment E due to removing Cleco from that attachment in error. In a filing made September 15, 2008, SPP removed Cleco as a transmission owner and pricing zone under SPP’s tariff. The removal was necessary because of Cleco’s withdrawal as a transmission-owning member of SPP on November 17, 1999. The tariff had not been updated to reflect the withdrawal. The amendatory filing made today was because Cleco was inadvertently removed from Attachment E, which is an index of customers taking point-to-point transmission service under SPP’s tariff.</p> <p>On November 7, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the September 15 and 25 filings, effective September 30, 2007.</p>
214.	ER08-1546	Second Amended Interchange Agreement (also known as the “OAM” agreement) between Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“AECI”), The Empire District Electric Company (“Empire”), Grand	<p>On September 16, 2008, SPP submitted to FERC an executed Second Amended Interchange Agreement between SPP, Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc., The Empire District Electric Company, Grand River Dam Authority, Southwestern Electric Power Company, and the Board of Public Utilities of Springfield, Missouri designated as Service Agreement No. 1670. An effective date of August 11, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>This agreement supersedes the Amended Interchange Agreement that was accepted by the Commission on February, 25, 2000. The Amended Interchange Agreement was submitted by SWEPCO in Docket No. OA97-268 on December 31, 1996.</p> <p>On September 23, 2008, AECI submitted a Motion to Intervene.</p>

		River Dam Authority (“GRDA”), Southwestern Electric Power Company (“SWEPCO”) and Board of Public Utilities of Springfield, MO	On November 7, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective August 11, 2008.
215.	ER08-1549	SPP Filing of Pricing Zone Rate Changes for Public Service Company of Oklahoma (“PSO”) and Southwestern Electric Power Company (“SWEPCO”)	<p>On September 17, 2008, SPP submitted changes to Pricing Zone Rates intended to implement a rate change for Public Service Company of Oklahoma (PSO) and Southwestern Electric Power Company (SWEPCO). An effective date of February 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On November 10, 2008, FERC issued a letter order conditionally accepting the filing effective February 1, 2008, subject to the outcome of the proceeding in Docket No. ER07-1069.</p>
216.	ER08-1563	Secondary Network Service Tariff Revisions	<p>On September 23, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC Revisions to SPP's Open Access Transmission Tariff. Specifically, SPP proposes to limit the transmission capacity Network Customers may reserve for secondary service in any single hour. An effective date of December 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On November 25, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the September 23 filing, effective December 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
217.	ER08-1585	Large Generator Interconnection Agreement between East Guymon Wind, LLC and Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”)	<p>On September 29, 2008, SPP submitted a Large Generator Interconnection Agreement between SPP, East Guymon Wind LLC as Interconnection Customer and Southwestern Public Service Company as Transmission Owner. Service Agreement No. First Revised 1056. An effective date of August 28, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On November 18, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the First Revised LGIA effective August 28, 2008, and the Original LGIA effective November 29, 2008.</p> <p>On November 19, 2008, FERC issued an Errata to the November 18 letter order correcting the effective date of the original LGIA to November 29, 2004.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
218.	ER08-1601	SPP Revisions to Bylaws, Tariff and Membership Agreement –	On September 30, 2008, SPP submitted proposed amendments to its Bylaws, Open Access Transmission Tariff (“OATT”), and Membership Agreement, in order to facilitate Nebraska Public Power District (“NPPD”), Omaha Public Power District (“OPPD”), and Lincoln Electric System (“LES”) becoming Members of SPP.

		Nebraska Membership Changes	<p>On October 21, 2008, Nebraska Public Power District filed a Motion to Intervene and Comments in Support.</p> <p>On October 22, 2008, American Electric Power Service Corporation and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene Out-of-Time.</p> <p>On November 26, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the September 30 filing, effective December 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p> <p>On December 2, 2008, Commissioner Moeller issued a statement applauding SPP's expansion to Nebraska.</p>
219.	ER08-1604	SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company (“OG&E”), as well as a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	<p>On September 30, 2008, SPP submitted a Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement No. First Revised 1313 between SPP and Oklahoma Gas &amp; Electric Company. As well as a Network Operating Agreement between SPP, OG&amp;E as Customer, and both OG&amp;E and American Electric Power Service Corporation, as agent for Public Service Company of Oklahoma, as Host Transmission Owners. An effective date of September 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On October 31, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective September 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
220.	PA08-16	FERC Audit of SPP – SPP OASIS Compliance with 18 C.F.R. Section 37.6	<p>By letter dated March 28, 2008, FERC announced that it is commencing an audit to determine whether the information posted on SPP's OASIS is consistent with the requirements of 18 C.F.R. Section 37.6, with primary focus on the modifications from Order No. 890.</p> <p>The audit will cover March 17, 2008 to the present.</p> <p>On August 22, 2008, FERC completed an audit of SPP for period March 17, 2008 through April 30, 2008, and issued a letter order approving the recommended corrective actions. SPP is to make quarterly filings (no later than 30 days after end of a calendar quarter), until all corrective actions are complete.</p> <p>On January 16, 2009, SPP submitted a response stating that SPP has completed all the required corrective actions.</p>
221.	AD08-7	Annual Charges Assessment for Public Utilities – Notice of Inquiry	<p>On April 21, 2008, FERC issued a Notice of Inquiry seeking comments on whether its current methodology for assessing electric annual charges to public utilities remains fair and equitable, as well as alternative methodologies.</p> <p>At Paragraph 23 of the Order, the Commission requests comment on the following inquiries:</p> <p>(A) Does the current electric annual charges assessment methodology remain a fair and equitable method for recovering the Commission's electric regulatory program costs, and why?</p>

			<p>(B) If the current electric annual charges assessment methodology is no longer a fair and equitable method, please identify what alternative methodology is fair and equitable, and explain why, providing, where possible, empirical evidence to support any proposed methodology.</p> <p>(C) For any such alternative methodology, please identify, with specificity, what entities should be assessed electric annual charges and how such an alternative methodology would work, including what data the Commission would need to allocate the charges and how the Commission would obtain the data. (Footnote omitted.) Comments were due May 28, 2008 and several were filed.</p> <p>The ISO/RTO Council filed Joint Comments on May 28, 2008 and Joint Reply Comments on July 3, 2008 requesting that the Commission reevaluate its annual charge regulations and adopt a methodology that mitigates the discriminatory impacts associated with the current methodology. The ISO/RTO Council contends, among other things, that FERC can reform its present methodology to eliminate undue discrimination without assessing Wholesale Power Sales and that reliability program costs are properly assessed to all users, owners, and operators of the grid.</p>
222.	AD08-9	Review of Wholesale Electricity Markets	<p>On July 1, 2008, FERC hosted a conference to review the state of regional wholesale electricity markets.</p> <p>President and CEO Nick Brown and Richard Dillon, Director of Market Development and Analysis, participated on behalf of the SPP RTO.</p>
223.	AD08-13	Transmission Barriers to Entry	<p>On October 14, 2008, the Commission held a Technical Conference regarding Transmission Barriers to Entry.</p> <p>On November 13, 2008, SPP filed comments noting that barriers include inadequate Seams Agreements are a barrier to long distance transmission projects and that the delay in studies of transmission requests by adjacent transmission providers can act as a barrier to transmission development.</p> <p>Numerous parties filed comments.</p>
224.	ZZ08-1	SPP's CPA Certification Report for 2007 FERC Form 1	<p>On May 16, 2008, SPP submitted its CPA Certification Report pertaining to SPP's FERC Form No. 1 for the year ended December 31, 2007.</p>
225.	ZZ08-4	SPP's RTO/ISO Market Monitoring Report for 2007	<p>On June 3, 2008, SPP submitted its RTO/ISO Market Monitoring Report for 2007, prepared by SPP's External Market Advisor, Boston Pacific. The report was published April 24, 2008.</p>

226.	AD09-4	Notice of Technical Conference – Integrating Renewable Resources into the Wholesale Electric Grid	<p>On February 5, 2009, FERC issued a notice of technical conference to be held on March 2, 2009 regarding integrating renewable resources into the wholesale electric grid.</p> <p>On March 2, 2009, Nick Brown spoke at the technical conference on SPP’s behalf.</p>
227.	EL09-40	Section 206 Proceeding to Determine the Justness and Reasonableness of Certain Language in Section 2.2 of SPP’s Existing OATT	<p>On March 19, 2009, FERC issued an Order on Compliance Filing in OA08-5 and instituted a Section 206 Proceeding in order to determine the justness and reasonableness of certain language in section 2.2 of SPP’s existing OATT, establishes a refund effective date, and directs SPP and interested parties to file comments on this matter by <b>April 17, 2009</b>. Reply comments are due May 18, 2009.</p> <p>The refund effective date in Docket No. EL09-40-000, established pursuant to section 206(b) of the Federal Power Act, shall be the date of publication in the <i>FederalRegister</i> of the notice.</p>
228.	ER09-7	SPP Filing of Two Executed Service Agreement for the Reassignment of Long-Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and J.P. Morgan Ventures Energy Corporation as the Assignee	<p>On October 1, 2008, SPP submitted two agreements to reassign transmission service between SPP as Transmission Provider and J.P. Morgan Ventures Energy Corporation (successor by merger to Bear Energy, LP), as the Assignee. Service Agreements No. 1671 and 1672 supersede Service Agreements 1608 and 1609 filed in Docket No. ER08-684.</p> <p>On November 10, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the two agreements and the two notices of cancellation, effective, September 1, 2008.</p>
229.	ER09-35	Tallgrass Transmission, LLC (“Tallgrass”) Formula and Incentive Rate Case	<p>On October 3, 2008, Tallgrass Transmission, LLC submits a request for acceptance of a formula rate and rate incentives for its investment in a major 765 kV transmission project (the "Tallgrass Project") that Tallgrass intends to build in SPP's region.</p> <p>On October 24, 2008, SPP, the Kansas Corporation Commission, and Western Farmers Electric Cooperative filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On October 24, 2008, the Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission filed a Motion to Intervene, Protest and Conditional Motion to Consolidate Docket Nos. ER09-35 and ER09-36.</p> <p>On October 24, 2008, the East Texas Cooperatives filed a Motion to Intervene, Protest and Motion to Consolidate Docket Nos. ER09-35 and ER09-36.</p> <p>On October 24, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed a Motion to Intervene and Limited Protest.</p> <p>On October 29, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed a Motion to Intervene Out-of-Time and to Accept Limited Protest for</p>



			<p>Filing. ITC states there were problems with the electronic submittal they previously filed.</p> <p>On October 29, 2008, Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative filed a Motion to Intervene Out-of-Time and Protest.</p> <p>On November 10, 2008, Tallgrass filed a Motion for Leave to Respond and Response.</p> <p>On December 2, 2008, FERC issued an Order Consolidating Docket Nos. ER09-35 and ER09-36, Granting Rate Incentives, Conditionally Accepting Tariff Revisions, and Establishing Hearing and Settlement Judge Procedures.</p> <p>On December 10, 2008, FERC issued an Order of Chief Judge designating David H. Coffman as the Settlement Judge, and scheduling the first Settlement Conference on January 6, 2009.</p> <p>On December 18, 2008, FERC issued an order rescheduling the January 6 settlement conference. It will now be held on January 8, 2009.</p> <p>On January 2, 2009, Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Request for Rehearing of the December 2 Order.</p> <p>A Settlement Conference was held on January 8, 2009.</p> <p>On January 9, 2009, FERC issued an Order Scheduling Settlement Conferences. Settlement conferences will be held on February 4, February 24, and March 10, 2009.</p> <p>On February 2, 2009, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration of its December 2 Order.</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, FERC issued an Order Cancelling Settlement Conference to be held on March 10, 2009.</p>
230.	ER09-36	Prairie Wind Transmission, LLC ("Prairie Wind") Formula and Incentive Rate Case	<p>On October 3, 2008, Prairie Wind Transmission, LLC submits a request for acceptance of a formula rate and rate incentives for its investment in a major 765 kV transmission project (the "Prairie Wind Project") that Prairie Wind intends to build in SPP's region.</p> <p>On October 24, 2008, SPP, Tallgrass Transmission, LLC, and Western Farmers Electric Cooperative filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On October 24, 2008, the Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission filed a Motion to Intervene, Protest and Conditional Motion to Consolidate Docket Nos. ER09-35 and ER09-36.</p> <p>On October 24, 2008, the East Texas Cooperatives filed a Motion to Intervene, Protest and Motion to Consolidate Docket Nos. ER09-35 and ER09-36.</p> <p>On October 24, 2008, Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, and the Kansas Corporation Commission filed Motions to Intervene and Protest.</p>

			<p>On October 24, 2008, ITC Great Plains, LLC, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation, and Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC filed Joint Motion for Leave to Intervene and Limited Protest.</p> <p>On November 10, 2008, Prairie Wind filed a Motion for Leave to Respond and Response.</p> <p>On December 2, 2008, FERC issued an Order Consolidating Docket Nos. ER09-35 and ER09-36, Granting Rate Incentives, Conditionally Accepting Tariff Revisions, and Establishing Hearing and Settlement Judge Procedures.</p> <p>On December 10, 2008, FERC issued an Order of Chief Judge designating David H. Coffman as the Settlement Judge, and scheduling the first Settlement Conference on January 6, 2009.</p> <p>On December 18, 2008, FERC issued an order rescheduling the January 6 settlement conference. It will now be held on January 8, 2009.</p> <p>On January 2, 2009, Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Request for Rehearing of the December 2 Order.</p> <p>A Settlement Conference was held on January 8, 2009.</p> <p>On January 9, 2009, FERC issued an Order Scheduling Settlement Conferences. Settlement conferences will be held on February 4, February 24, and March 10, 2009.</p> <p>On February 2, 2009, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration of its December 2 Order.</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, FERC issued an Order Cancelling Settlement Conference to be held on March 10, 2009.</p>
231.	ER09-39	SPP Revisions to OATT pursuant to Order 676-C	<p>On October 8, 2008, SPP submitted a compliance filing providing for revisions of its Open Access Transmission Tariff, pursuant to the requirements of Order 676-C. Specifically, SPP is incorporating into its Tariff the latest version of various standards developed by the Wholesale Electric Quadrant ("WEQ") of the North American Energy Standards Board ("NAESB"). An effective date of October 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On December 2, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the October 8 filing effective October 1, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
232.	ER09-55	Entergy Texas, Inc. ("ETI") and BASF Fina Petrochemicals Limited Partnership ("BASF") Interconnection and Operating Agreement	<p>On October 9, 2008, Entergy Services, Inc. submitted certain amendments to the Interconnection and Operating Agreement between Entergy Texas, Inc. and BASF Fina Petrochemicals Limited Partnership, which was originally filed on July 5, 2001.</p> <p>On November 10, 2008, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 25, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective December 8, 2008 as requested.</p>

		("IOA") Amendments	This order constitutes final agency action.
233.	ER09-57	Entergy Louisiana, Inc. and Chevron Oronite Company, LLC ("Chevron") Interconnection and Operating Agreement ("IOA") Amendments	<p>On October 9, 2008, Entergy Services, Inc. submitted certain amendments to the Interconnection and Operating Agreement between Entergy Louisiana, Inc. and Chevron Oronite Company, LLC, which was originally filed on August 28, 2000.</p> <p>On November 10, 2008, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On December 2, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective December 8, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
234.	ER09-58	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC and Shell Oil Company ("Shell") Interconnection and Operating Agreement ("IOA") Amendments	<p>On October 9, 2008, Entergy Services, Inc. submitted certain amendments to the Interconnection and Operating Agreement between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC and Shell Oil Company, which was originally filed on December 1, 2001.</p> <p>On November 10, 2008, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On December 2, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective December 8, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
235.	ER09-76	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC Bayou Cove Peaking Power, LLC ("Bayou Cove") Interconnection and Operating Agreement ("IOA") Amendments	<p>On October 15, 2008, Entergy Services, Inc. submitted certain amendments to the Interconnection and Operating Agreement between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC and Bayou Cove Peaking Power, LLC, which was originally filed on December 1, 2001. The amendments are intended to implement the results of the Retrospective Generation Interconnection Analysis ("RGIA") conducted by SPP as the ICT for Entergy pursuant to Attachment T, Section 5 of the Entergy OATT.</p> <p>On November 19, 2008, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene Out-of-Time.</p> <p>On December 2, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective December 14, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
236.	ER09-77	Entergy Arkansas, Inc. ("ETI") and Hot Springs Power Company, LLC ("Hot Springs")	<p>On October 15, 2008, Entergy Services, Inc. submitted certain amendments to the Interconnection and Operating Agreement between Entergy Arkansas, Inc. and Hot Springs Power Company, LLC. The amendments are intended to implement the results of the Retrospective Generation Interconnection Analysis ("RGIA") conducted by SPP as the ICT for Entergy pursuant to Attachment T, Section 5 of the Entergy OATT.</p>

		Interconnection and Operating Agreement (“IOA”) Amendments	<p>On November 19, 2008, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene Out-of-Time.</p> <p>On December 2, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective December 14, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
237.	ER09-78	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC and Acadia Power Partners, LLC (“Acadia”) Interconnection and Operating Agreement (“IOA”) Amendments	<p>On October 15, 2008, Entergy Services, Inc. submitted certain amendments to the Interconnection and Operating Agreement between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC and Acadia Power Partners, LLC. The amendments are intended to implement the results of the Retrospective Generation Interconnection Analysis (“RGIA”) conducted by SPP as the ICT for Entergy pursuant to Attachment T, Section 5 of the Entergy OATT.</p> <p>On November 19, 2008, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene Out-of-Time.</p> <p>On December 2, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective December 14, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
238.	ER09-79	Meter Agent Services Agreement between Smoky Hills Wind Project II, LLC and Westar Energy, Inc.	<p>On October 15, 2008, SPP filed with FERC a partially executed Meter Agent Services Agreement between Smoky Hills Wind Project II, LLC as the Market Participant and Westar Energy, Inc. as the Meter Agent. SPP Service Agreement No. 1683.</p> <p>On November 5, 2008, SPP submitted a Motion to Defer Action. Smoky Hills inadvertently executed the wrong version of the agreement and Smoky Hills and Westar are in process of executing the corrected version.</p> <p>On December 31, 2008, SPP submitted an Errata to the October 15 filing. The parties have executed a corrected service agreement. First Revised Service Agreement No. 1683. An effective date of December 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective December 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
239.	ER09-89	SPP Filing of Pricing Zone Rate Changes for Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company (OG&E)	<p>On October 17, 2008, SPP submitted revised changes to its OATT intended to implement a rate change for OG&amp;E, which is a transmission owner and pricing zone under the SPP Tariff. SPP submits this filing to track the rate changes filed on November 30, 2007 filed by OG&amp;E in Docket No. ER08-281.</p> <p>An effective date of July 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On October 22, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted an errata to a document filed with FERC on October 17, 2008 in order to reflect the necessary adjustment to the stated Point-to-Point transmission service rates in Attachment T of SPP’s Tariff.</p> <p>On November 7, 2008, Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority filed a Motion to Intervene and Limited Protest.</p> <p>On November 21, 2008, Oklahoma Gas &amp; Electric Company filed a Motion to Intervene Out of Time.</p>

			<p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued a letter order conditionally accepting the rate change, effective July 1, 2008, subject to refund, a compliance filing (due in 30 days), and the outcome of the hearing and settlement procedures in ER08-281. SPP is directed to submit a compliance filing by January 19, 2009. The filing must include OG&amp;E's formula rate template and must remove the data populating that formula.</p> <p>On January 16, 2009, SPP submitted the compliance filing pursuant to the December 19, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On March 24, 2009, FERC issued a letter order approving the changes effective July 1, 2008, subject to refund and outcome of the OG&amp;E Rate Proceeding in Docket No. ER08-281.</p>
<b>240.</b>	<b>ER09-90</b>	SPP Filing of Pricing Zone Rate Changes for Public Service Company of Oklahoma ("PSO") and Southwestern Electric Power Company ("SWEPCO")	<p>On October 17, 2008, SPP submitted revised changes to its OATT intended to implement a rate change for PSO and SWEPCO, which are transmission owners and together comprise a pricing zone under the SPP Tariff. SPP submits this filing to track the transmission formula rate annual update of American Electric Power Service Corporation ("AEP"), on behalf of PSO and SWEPCO, of which the Commission was notified on May 27, 2008 Docket No. ER07-1069.</p> <p>An effective date of July 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On November 7, 2008, Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority filed a Motion to Intervene and Limited Protest.</p> <p>On November 7, 2008, East Texas Cooperatives filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On December 16, 2008, FERC issued a letter order conditionally accepting the October 17 filing and nominally suspending the filing to be effective July 1, 2008, subject to refund and subject to outcome of Docket No. ER07-1069-000. SPP is directed to submit a compliance filing by January 15, 2009. The order states: "We also note that SPP included in the revised Tariff pages revisions to the unpopulated formula rate template to reflect the data inputs for the annual update at issue. When the Commission approves a formula rate, it approves the formula itself, which becomes the filed rate, not the specific data inputs used to update rates pursuant to the formula. Accordingly, we direct SPP to submit a compliance filing within 30 days of the date of this order that includes AEP's formula rate template and removes the data populating that formula."</p> <p>On January 13, 2009, SPP submitted the compliance filing pursuant to the December 16, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On February 12, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the January 13 filing, effective July 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
<b>241.</b>	<b>ER09-131</b>	Meter Agent Agreement between The Energy Authority (Market Participant) and City Utilities of Springfield (Meter Agent)	<p>On October 24, 2008, SPP filed a Meter Agent Agreement between The Energy Authority as the Market Participant and City Utilities of Springfield as the Meter Agent. SPP Service Agreement No. 1676.</p> <p>An effective date of October 8, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On November 26, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement effective October 8, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>

242.	ER09-132	Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”) between Goodland Wind, LLC and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation	<p>On October 24, 2008, SPP submitted to FERC an executed Large Generator Interconnection Agreement between SPP, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation, and Goodland Wind, LLC. SPP Service Agreement No. 1675.</p> <p>An effective date of September 24, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On November 14, 2008, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On December 17, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective September 24, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
243.	ER09-140	SPP Filing of Tariff Revisions – Updates of Annual Values Used in Calculation of Offer Caps	<p>On October 27, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC revisions to its tariff to incorporate updates of annual values used in the calculation of offer caps for all pivotal resources in SPP's real-time energy imbalance service market.</p> <p>An effective date of January 1, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On November 17, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On December 11, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the October 27 filing, effective January 1, 2009 as requested.</p>
244.	ER09-148	<p>SPP Tariff Revisions - Schedule 13 Generation Station Power Supply</p> <p>SPP submitted a Motion to Withdraw Filing on December 22, 2008</p>	<p>On October 28, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC revisions to its tariff to incorporate a new Schedule 13 (Generation Station Power Supply Schedule).</p> <p>An effective date of December 27, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On November 10, 2008, Calpine Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 17, 2008, Babcock &amp; Brown Renewable Holdings, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 18, 2008, Western Farmers Electric Cooperative, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc., Sunflower Electric Power Corporation, and Dogwood Energy, LLC filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On December 22, 2008, SPP submitted a Motion to Withdraw Filing. SPP has determined that it would be prudent to incorporate further improvements into its generation station power supply procedures. The further improvements will</p>

			<p>be developed through SPP’s stakeholder process. As it is unknown how long the stakeholder process will take to conclude, SPP requests the Commission grant SPP’s motion to withdraw the filing rather than defer action on the current filing pending amendments.</p>
245.	ER09-149	<p>SPP Tariff Revisions – Various Improvements to Energy Imbalance Service Market (“EIS Market”)</p>	<p>On October 28, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC revisions to its tariff to improve its real-time energy imbalance service market. This includes six revisions to Attachment AE.</p> <p>An effective date of December 27, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On November 10, 2008, Calpine Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 17, 2008, Babcock &amp; Brown Renewable Holdings, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 18, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc and Dogwood Energy, LLC filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 18, 2008, Covanta Energy Corporation and Xcel Energy Services, Inc. filed Motions to Intervene and Comments.</p> <p>On November 18, 2008, John Deere Renewables, LLC filed a Motion to Intervene and Protest.</p> <p>On November 19, 2008, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On December 3, 2008, SPP filed an Answer to Protests, Comments and Requests for Modification.</p> <p>On December 3, 2008, Xcel Energy Services, Inc. filed a Motion for Leave to Answer and Answer. Xcel states that it believes JD Wind is attempting, through its proposed modifications to the October 28 filing, to undo its commitments accepted as part of the resolution reached in FERC Docket No. EL07-87-000.</p> <p>On December 15, 2008, John Deere Renewables, LLC filed a Motion for Leave to Answer and Answer. John Deere states the Commission should either confirm John Deere’s interpretation of the Tariff and SPP and Xcel’s commitments, or in the alternative acknowledge that there are genuine issues of material fact that need to be resolved in a hearing and settlement procedures, and possibly a Technical Conference with SPP.</p> <p>On December 18, 2008, FERC issued a letter order conditionally accepting the tariff revisions, effective December 27, 2008. A compliance filing is due <b>January 21, 2009</b> (proposal must be consistent with the rights of qualifying facilities (QFs) under PURPA.</p> <p>On January 21, 2009, SPP filed a Request for Clarification of the December 18 Order. SPP states that: “...as a result of two Commission orders, including the December 18 Order, the Commission has created uncertainty regarding SPP’s ability to address imbalance charges arising from the operations of a Qualifying Facility (“QF”) selling its output under</p>

			<p>the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (“PURPA”) to another Market Participant.” SPP also states: “...the Commission should clarify that the December 18 Order permits SPP to amend its Tariff to allocate any imbalance charges associated with the QF generation to the utility purchasing the QF generation under PURPA.”</p> <p>On January 21, 2009, SPP submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the December 18 Order. Specifically, SPP is incorporating provisions clarifying that a Qualifying Facility (“QF”) under PURPA that SPP registers as a Market Participant in SPP’s real-time energy imbalance services market will not be required to participate in the EIS Market or subjected to any charges related to the EIS Market. An effective date of December 27, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On February 11, 2009, Xcel Energy Services, Inc. submitted a protest regarding SPP’s January 21 compliance filing.</p> <p>On February 12, 2009, AEP filed a Motion to Intervene Out-Of-Time and Protest and Motion to Deny request for Clarification.</p> <p>On February 19, 2009, FERC issued an Order Denying Clarification of the December 18 Order.</p> <p>On February 26, 2009, John Deere Renewables, LLC submitted an Answer to Protest of Xcel Energy Services, Inc.</p> <p>On February 27, 2009, SPP filed an Answer to Protest and Request for Rejection of American Electric Power Service Corporation. The answer states that AEP misinterpreted SPP’s Clarification Request. The purpose of SPP’s Clarification Request was to ensure that the December 18 Order did not prohibit SPP from developing Tariff provisions through its stakeholder process that would allocate any imbalance charges associated with the QF generation to the utility purchasing the QF generation under PURPA.</p>
246.	ER09-150	Meter Agent Agreement between Red Hills Wind Project, LLC (Market Participant) and Constellation Energy Control and Dispatch, LLC (Meter Agent)	<p>On October 28, 2008, SPP submitted to FERC an executed Meter Agent Services Agreement between Red Hills Wind Project, LLC as the Market Participant and Constellation Energy Control and Dispatch, LLC as the Meter Agent. SPP Service Agreement No. 1684.</p> <p>An effective date of October 2, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On November 26, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement effective October 2, 2008, as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
247.	ER09-160	Revisions to Congestion Management Process (“CMP”) of the Joint Operating Agreements (“JOA”) of Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator (“MISO”),	<p>On October 29, 2008, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., PJM Interconnection, LLC and Southwest Power Pool, Inc. filed proposed revisions to Sections 4.4 (Firm Market Flow Calculation Rules), 5.5 (Market-Based Operating Entity Real-time Actions), and Appendix H (Market Flow Threshold Field Test Terms and Conditions) of the Congestion Management Process of their Joint Operating Agreements. The proposed revisions to Sections 4.4 and 5.5 are intended to correct minor inconsistencies. The proposed revisions to Appendix H are intended to extend the Market Flow Threshold Field Test from October 31, 2008 to October 31, 2009.</p> <p>Consumers Energy Company, Exelon Corporation, Western Area Power Administration, Basin Electric Power Cooperative, and Xcel Energy Services, Inc. filed Motions to Intervene. Western Area Power Administration and Basin Electric Power Cooperative filed Protests.</p>



		PJM Interconnection, LLC (“PJM”) and SPP	<p>On December 4, 2008, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., PJM Interconnection, LLC and Southwest Power Pool, Inc. filed a Motion for Leave to Answer and Answer.</p> <p>On December 16, 2008, the Electric Power Supply Association filed a Motion to Intervene Out of Time. EPSA requests that the Commission address issues related to SPP, PJM, and MISO further testing of TLRs in the Eastern Interconnect.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, Basin Electric Power Cooperative submitted a Motion for Leave to Answer and Answer.</p> <p>On December 23, 2008, FERC issued an Order Accepting Revisions to Joint Operating Agreements. FERC accepts the minor revisions, effective October 31, 2008. FERC also accepts the proposed extension of the Market Flow Threshold Field Test until October 31, 2009.</p>
248.	ER09-162	SPP Filing of Pricing Zone and Base Plan Rates for Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”)	<p>On October 29, 2008, SPP submitted an Amendment to Pricing Zone and Base Plan Rates intended to amend the rate charges for SPS that were accepted by FERC in Docket No. ER08-472 on March 21, 2008. An effective date of July 6, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On November 19, 2008, West Texas Municipal Power Agency filed a Motion to Intervene and Limited Protest.</p> <p>On November 19, 2008, Farmers’ Electric Cooperative, Lea County Electric Cooperative, Central Valley Electric Cooperative, and Roosevelt County Electric Cooperative filed a joint Motion to Intervene, Protest and Request for Condition.</p> <p>On November 19, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative filed a Motion to Intervene, Protest, Motion to Reject, or, in the Alternative, Motion to Consolidate Docket Nos. ER09-162 and ER08-313.</p> <p>On November 24, 2008, Xcel Energy Services, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene Out of Time and Comments.</p> <p>On November 25, 2008, SPP filed an Answer to Protests and Requests for Rejection.</p> <p>On December 22, 2008, FERC issued an order conditionally accepting and nominally suspending the filing to be effective July 6, 2008, subject to refund and to the outcome of Docket No. ER08-313-000, et al. SPP must submit a compliance filing by January 21, 2009 which includes SPS’ formula rate template and removes the data populating that formula.</p> <p>On January 16, 2009, SPP submitted its compliance filing pursuant to the December 22, 2008 Order.</p>
249.	ER09-171	SPP Filing of Pricing Zone Rates for Southwestern Power Administration (“SWPA”)	<p>On October 30, 2008, SPP submitted revised pages to its Open Access Transmission Tariff intended to implement a rate change for Southwestern Power Administration. An effective date of October 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the rate changes, effective October 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>

<b>250.</b>	<b>ER09-175</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and City of Augusta, Kansas, as well as a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	<p>On October 30, 2008, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. submitted to FERC an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and the City of Augusta, Kansas as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider, Augusta as Network Customer and Westar as the Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. First Revised 1051. An effective date of October 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>These agreements modify the currently effective Service Agreement No. 1051, filed by Electronic Quarterly Report only.</p> <p>On December 9, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective October 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
<b>251.</b>	<b>ER09-208</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP and Westar Energy, Inc., as well as a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	<p>On October 31, 2008, SPP filed with FERC an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP and Westar Energy, Inc., as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP and Westar. SPP Service Agreement No. Third Revised 607. An effective date of October 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>These agreements modify the currently effective Service Agreement No. Second Revised 607, filed in Docket No. ER08-78.</p> <p>On December 17, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreements, effective October 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
<b>252.</b>	<b>ER09-224</b>	SPP Filing of Pricing Zone Rates for Westar Energy, Inc. (“Westar”)	<p>On October 31, 2008, SPP submitted revised pages to its Open Access Transmission Tariff intended to implement a rate update for Westar Energy, Inc. SPP submits this filing to track the formula rate updates to Westar’s transmission formula consistent with the Offer of Settlement filed by Westar on September 26, 2008 in Docket No. ER08-396. An effective date of January 1, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On November 14, 2008, Westar filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 21, 2008, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the filing, effective January 1, 2009.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>

253.	ER09-248	Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”) between Taloga Wind, LLC (“Taloga”) and Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company (“OG&E”)	<p>On November 6, 2008, SPP submitted an executed Large Generator Interconnection Agreement between Taloga Wind, LLC, as Interconnection Customer, and Oklahoma Gas &amp; Electric Company, as Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. 1677.</p> <p>An effective date of October 8, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On December 23, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective October 8, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
254.	ER09-251	Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”) between Spitfire Power Partners, LLC (“Spitfire Power”) and Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”)	<p>On November 6, 2008, SPP submitted an executed Large Generator Interconnection Agreement between Spitfire Power Partners, LLC, as Interconnection Customer, and Southwestern Public Service Company, as Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. 1678.</p> <p>An effective date of October 8, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On December 23, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective October 8, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
255.	ER09-254	SPP Filing of OATT changes to create three new pricing zones for the Nebraska Entities	<p>On November 7, 2008, SPP submitted revised pages to its Open Access Transmission Tariff intended to create three new pricing zones in SPP and incorporate rates charged for service provided in those zones. Also included are Tariff revisions to Base Plan Funding provisions to accommodate the new pricing zones. SPP proposes to create three new transmission pricing zones comprising the transmission systems of three transmission owners, the Nebraska Public Power District (“NPPD”), Omaha Public Power District (“OPPD”) and Lincoln Electric System (“LES”) (collectively “Nebraska Entities”), which have executed Membership Agreements with SPP and intend to transfer functional control of their transmission facilities to SPP by April 1, 2009.</p> <p>On November 25, 2008, NPPD and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 26, 2008, Lincoln Electric System, Omaha Public Power District, and Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 28, 2008, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On January 27, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the November 7 Filing, effective April 1, 2009 as requested.</p> <p>On April 1, 2009, SPP submitted a filing to correct pagination errors in SPP’s November 7 Filing (see Docket No. ER09-943).</p>

256.	ER09-255	Nebraska Public Power District (“NPPD”) Revenue Requirement Formula Rate	<p>On November 7, 2008 SPP submitted testimony and exhibits to implement a revenue requirement formula rate for transmission service using the facilities of Nebraska Public Power District (“NPPD”) once NPPD becomes a member of SPP and transfers operational control of its transmission facilities to SPP. Also included are testimony and exhibits supporting NPPD’s zonal loss factor, grandfathered agreements, and list of facilities to be transferred to SPP’s functional control. An effective date of April 1, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On November 25, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 26, 2008, Lincoln Electric System, Omaha Public Power District, and Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 26, 2008, NPPD filed a Motion to Intervene and Comments in Support of.</p> <p>On November 28, 2008, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On January 27, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the November 7 Filing, effective April 1, 2009 as requested.</p>
257.	ER09-256	Omaha Public Power District (“OPPD”) Revenue Requirement Formula Rate	<p>On November 7, 2008 SPP submitted testimony and exhibits to implement a revenue requirement formula rate for transmission service using the facilities of Omaha Public Power District (“OPPD”) once OPPD becomes a member of SPP and transfers operational control of its transmission facilities to SPP. Also included are testimony and exhibits supporting OPPD’s zonal loss factor, grandfathered agreements, and list of facilities to be transferred to SPP’s functional control. An effective date of April 1, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On November 25, 2008, NPPD and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 26, 2008, Lincoln Electric System, Omaha Public Power District, and Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 28, 2008, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On January 27, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the November 7 Filing, effective April 1, 2009 as requested.</p>
258.	ER09-257	Lincoln Electric System (“LES”) Revenue Requirement Formula Rate	<p>On November 7, 2008 SPP submitted testimony and exhibits to implement a revenue requirement formula rate for transmission service using the facilities of Lincoln Electric System (“LES”) once LES becomes a member of SPP and transfers operational control of its transmission facilities to SPP. Also included are testimony and exhibits supporting LES’ zonal loss factor, grandfathered agreements, and list of facilities to be transferred to SPP’s functional control. An effective date of April 1, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On November 25, 2008, NPPD and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On November 26, 2008, Lincoln Electric System and Omaha Public Power District filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On January 27, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the November 7 Filing, effective April 1, 2009 as requested.</p>

259.	ER09-262	SPP Filing of GI Clustering Waiver Petition	<p>On November 10, 2008, SPP submitted a Petition for Waiver of Tariff Provisions regarding certain provisions of its Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (“LGIP”) set forth in Attachment V of the Open Access Transmission Tariff to enable more efficient processing of pending requests in the SPP interconnection queue and to provide a smoother transition to its reformed queuing procedures that it plans to file by March 1, 2009. The waiver will allow SPP, prior to its planned interconnection queue reform filing, to study pending interconnection requests in clusters rather than serially, which will reduce the number of interconnection requests for which studies are still in process, when the planned, reformed queuing procedures become effective.</p> <p>SPP requested that the Commission act on the petition within 45 days and moves to shorten the comment period to accommodate expedited action. An effective date of November 11, 2008 is requested. Comments were due December 1, 2008.</p> <p>On December 1, 2008, Dogwood Energy, LLC and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On December 1, 2008, CPV Renewable Energy Company, LLC filed a Motion to Intervene and Comments.</p> <p>On December 1, 2008, Western Farmers Electric Cooperative and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative filed Motions to Intervene and Protests.</p> <p>On December 3, 2008, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company filed a Motion to Intervene Two Days Out-of-Time, Comments and Conditional Protest. OG&amp;E conditionally protested SPP’s petition for a waiver of certain provisions of SPP’s Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (“LGIP”). OG&amp;E asks that the Commission require SPP to take steps to ensure that already-delayed projects are not delayed further as a result of the requested waivers.</p> <p>On December 16, 2008, SPP submitted an Answer stating: 1) Specific deadlines for completion of transition cluster studies are unnecessary and will not advance the interconnection study process; 2) SPP’s transition cluster approach is reasonable, will result in non-discriminatory treatment, more efficient system-wide upgrades, and superior cost allocation; 3) exemption of load serving entity-designed network resources queued after June 17, 2008 from the transition cluster study procedures is unnecessary; 4) interconnection customers at the feasibility study stage should not be able to “opt out” of the cluster process; 5) comprehensive LGIP reform and the transition to new interconnection procedures will be addressed in a future filing.</p> <p>On December 22, 2008, CPV Renewable Energy Company, LLC filed a Motion for Leave to File Answer and Answer.</p> <p>On December 23, 2008, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company filed a Motion for Leave to File Answer and Answer.</p> <p>On December 31, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Motion for Leave to Answer and Answer.</p>

On January 5, 2009, Western Farmers Electric Cooperative filed a Request for Leave to Reply and Reply.

On January 7, 2009, SPP filed an Answer stating that 1) the Commission should not condition the waiver with OG&E's "Expedited Cluster" Proposal; 2) the purpose of SPP's waiver request is only to enable SPP to study interconnection requests queued between February 5, 2007 and June 17, 2008 through the two proposed transitional clusters.

On January 9, 2009, FERC issued a letter order conditionally granting the waiver in part and denying in part. The petition is granted in part and FERC permits SPP to temporarily waive section 4.2 of its Interconnection Procedures, which provides for clustering for study purposes based on a 180 calendar-day submission window. SPP is granted permission to cluster pending interconnection requests into the two transitional 15,000 MW clusters as described by SPP. FERC declines to waive the prescribed time frames for studies in sections 6.3, 7.4 and 8.3 of the Interconnection Procedures. FERC also grants waiver of sections 6.4, 7.6 and 8.5, which set forth parameters for restudies.

SPP is ordered to make a compliance filing by February 9, 2009 which identifies its timeline for completing the cluster interconnection studies.

On February 9, 2009, SPP submitted the compliance filing pursuant to the January 9 Order. SPP submitted the timeline for the completion of the study process for the transitional clusters. It is anticipated that the Final Interconnection Facilities Study Report and Draft Interconnection Agreements will be completed by March 24, 2010 for the first transitional cluster, and by September 16, 2010 for the second transitional cluster.

Also on February 9, 2009, SPP submitted a Motion for Clarification or, in the Alternative, for Modification of Waiver. SPP requests that the Commission clarify that, if SPP has not filed its reformed interconnection procedures by March 1, 2009, the expiration of the waiver will not affect the two existing 15,000 megawatt transitional clusters already established under the waiver. If clarification is not granted, SPP respectfully moves to modify the waiver.

On February 24, 2009, Novus Windpower, LLC submitted a Motion to Intervene and Protest in ER09-262-000. On March 2, 2009, Novus submitted another Motion to Intervene, this time in ER09-262-001.

On March 2, 2009, CPV Renewable Energy Company, LLC filed a Protest and Request for Expedited Action. They state the Commission should direct SPP: 1) to adopt a 90 day schedule to complete Facilities Studies for the transition clusters; 2) clarify that Interim IAs are available to projects in both transition clusters; and 3) submit a compliance filing within 30 days establishing an Interim IA and related implementing procedures.

On March 10, 2009, FERC issued an Order on Request for Rehearing. First, the Commission clarified that the Waiver Order permits all interconnection requests queued between February 5, 2007 and October 2, 2007, that have a feasibility study posted -- including those for which a system impact study agreement has been executed -- but for which no system impact study has been posted to be included in the first transitional cluster. The Commission also rejected Novus's pleading. Second, the Commission extended the waiver granted in the Waiver Order until a date specified by the Commission in an order on SPP's planned queue reform filing, or June 1, 2009, if SPP has not make a filing by that date. It denied, however, SPP's request to provide clarification that the transitional clusters remain intact after the expiration of the waiver. Additionally, because the Commission has clarified that (i) the waiver will expire on

			<p>June 1, 2009 unless SPP makes its queue reform filing by that date; and (ii) upon expiration of the waiver the transitional clusters will not remain intact (i.e. will be dissolved); to keep the transitional clusters in place, SPP needs to make its queue reform filing by <b>June 1, 2009</b>.</p> <p>On March 17, 2009, SPP submitted an Answer to Protest, which states: 1) allowing 180 calendar days for completion of the interconnection facilities studies in the cluster study timelines is appropriate; 2) SPP's process for offering interim interconnection agreements to interconnection customers prior to completion of the interconnection study process is outside the scope of this proceeding; a compliance filing regarding interim interconnection procedures is inappropriate and in any event unnecessary.</p>
260.	ER09-310	Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. ("MISO"), PJM Interconnection, LLC ("PJM") and SPP submit revisions to their Joint Operating Agreement ("JOA") and Congestion Management Process ("CMP")	<p>On November 18, 2008, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., PJM Interconnection, LLC and SPP submitted proposed revisions to Section 5.2 (Quantify and Provide Data for Market Flow) and Appendix B (Determination of Marginal Zone Participation Factors) of the Congestion Management Process of their Joint Operating Agreements. The proposed revisions will change the manner in which the RTOs use Marginal Zones and submit Marginal Zone information to the IDC.</p> <p>On January 7, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the revisions, effective December 18, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
261.	ER09-336	SPP Filing of Attachment AP – Reliability Penalty Cost Allocation and Notice	<p>On November 25, 2008, SPP submitted Attachment AP titled "Allocation of Costs Associated with Reliability Penalty Assessments". This is pursuant to the Commission's March 20, 2008 "Order Providing Guidance on Recovery of Reliability Penalty Costs by Regional Transmission Organizations and Independent System Operators."</p> <p>An effective date of January 24, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On December 16, 2008, Western Farmers Electric Cooperative submitted a Motion to Intervene and Comments. WFECC submits comments on two aspects: 1) the notice provision concerning the potential assessment of penalty cost by SPP, and 2) the opportunity to fully participate in the NERC penalty process for potentially affected parties.</p> <p>On December 16, 2008, the East Texas Electric Cooperatives file a Motion to Intervene and Limited Protest. Their filing states Attachment AP should be modified to provide that an SPP Member or Market Participant that is a Registered Entity has the same rights as SPP under Attachment AP if that Member or Market Participant is assessed a monetary penalty by the ERO for violation of a reliability standard. The East Texas Cooperatives further state: "In other words, if the ERO assesses a monetary penalty against an SPP Member or Market Participant for violation of a reliability standard, and the conduct of SPP contributed to the violation at issue, then the Member or Market Participant may directly allocate all or a portion of the penalty costs to SPP, as long as the requirements contained in Section 2 of proposed Attachment AP are met."</p> <p>On December 16, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. and J.P. Morgan Ventures Energy Corporation filed Motions to Intervene.</p>

			<p>On December 31, 2008, SPP filed an Answer to comments filed in this proceeding. SPP states that because the comments raise issues outside the scope of Attachment AP and request modifications outside of SPP's control, the Commission should reject the comments and accept Attachment AP as filed.</p> <p>On January 23, 2009, the Commission issued a letter order accepting SPP's proposed reliability penalty cost allocation methodology outlined in Attachment AP. An effective date of January 24, 2009 was granted.</p> <p>The Order rejects a request by the East Texas Cooperatives to require a reciprocity provision for market participants and members to assign penalty costs to SPP (similar to a provision the Commission approved in PJM) and a request by Western Farmers to require SPP to provide factual details, identity of other parties and proposed penalty cost allocation in the notices it issues under Attachment AP. The Commission also denied Western Farmers' request that the Commission reject Attachment AP unless the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program for the SPP region is modified to specifically provide for intervention rights.</p>
262.	ER09-342	<p>SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement ("NITSA") between SPP and American Electric Power Service Corporation ("AEP"), as well as a Network Operating Agreement ("NOA")</p>	<p>On November 25, 2008, SPP submitted a partially executed service agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and American Electric Power Service Corporation, as Agent for Public Service Company of Oklahoma and Southwestern Electric Power Company, as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider, AEP as Network Customer, and both AEP and AEP Texas North Company as Host Transmission Owner. The NITSA is submitted on a partially executed basis because of a dispute between AEP and SPP concerning assignment of costs of a particular network upgrade.</p> <p>SPP Service Agreement Number Eighth Revised 1148.</p> <p>These agreements replace Seventh Revised 1148, filed in Docket No. ER08-1306.</p> <p>An effective date of November 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On December 16, 2008, Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority submitted a Motion to Intervene and Protest. OMPA contests the provision in Section 8.9 (Southwestern Power Administration's Clarksville-Dardanelle upgrade).</p> <p>On December 16, 2008, American Electric Service Power Corporation submitted a Motion to Intervene and Protest. AEP states 1) the network upgrade has been identified in SPP Aggregate Study results as necessary for reliability independent of the transmission service requests; 2) studies indicate the assigned facility is already at emergency rating in 2012; 3) the network upgrade costs should not be directly assigned to AEP; 4) additional redispatch options may need exploration.</p> <p>On December 17, 2008, Southwestern Power Administration filed a Motion to Intervene Out of Time.</p> <p>On December 31, 2008, SPP filed an Answer to Protests and Requests for Rejection. SPP states that 1) SPP properly assigned to AEP a portion of the costs of the SPA network upgrade, 2) SPP's processing of the service agreement was consistent with Attachment AD of its tariff, 3) the requirements of SPP's cost allocation methodology do not apply to network upgrades on SPA's transmission system, 4) conditioning AEP's service request upon completion of the network upgrade is consistent with SPP's Tariff and Commission precedent, and 5) AEP may not rely upon redispatch</p>



			<p>service as a permanent alternative to the network upgrade.</p> <p>On January 7, 2009, OMPA filed a Motion for Leave to Answer and Reply.</p> <p>On January 15, 2009, AEP filed a Motion for Leave to Respond and Response and Motion to Consolidate Proceedings. AEP asks that the Commission to consolidate the cost allocation issues raised in this proceeding with those raised in Docket No. ER08-1206.</p> <p>On January 21, 2009, Western Farmers Electric Cooperative filed a Motion for Leave to File Answer, and Answer.</p> <p>On January 22, 2009, SPP submitted a Motion for Leave to Respond and Answer. SPP stated that AEP's and OMPA's responses to SPP's December 31 Answer are improper and asked that they be rejected. In the event that the Commission accepts the responses, SPP requested that it be permitted to incorporate by reference its answer submitted in Docket No. ER08-1206-001.</p> <p>On January 23, 2009, FERC issued a deficiency letter requesting information to be submitted by February 23, 2009. The requested information includes all study results as well as any relevant data and power flow files on CD ROM that SPP used to conduct the Aggregate Facility Study SPP-2006-AG3-AFS-11.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, SPP submitted a response to the request for additional information issued by FERC on January 23.</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene Out-of-Time.</p> <p>On March 11, 2009, East Texas Cooperatives filed a Motion for Leave to Intervene Out-of-Time.</p> <p>On March 20, 2009, AEP and OMPA filed Comments regarding SPP's February 23 Filing.</p>
263.	ER09-355	<p>SPP Filing of an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) between SPP, City of Prescott, Arkansas (“Prescott”) as Network Customer, and American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEP”) as Host Transmission Owner, as well as a</p>	<p>On December 1, 2008, SPP submitted an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and City of Prescott, Arkansas as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider, Prescott as Network Customer, and American Electric Power Service Corporation, as agent for Public Service Company of Oklahoma and Southwestern Electric Power Company, as Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. 1689.</p> <p>An effective date of November 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On December 18, 2008, SPP submitted an errata to the December 1 filing, in order to correct an inadvertent error. Specifically, the Public Service Company of Oklahoma was not specified as a Host Transmission Owner.</p> <p>On February 11, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective November 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>

		Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	
<b>264.</b>	<b>ER09-394</b>	SPP Filing of Tariff Revisions to Incorporate the Project Sponsor Upgrade Agreement into Attachment J	<p>On December 9, 2008, SPP submitted a filing to incorporate into the OATT a pro forma Agreement for Sponsored Upgrade, as well as various revisions necessary to accommodate the incorporation of this Agreement. The agreement is designated “Schedule 1 to Attachment J.”</p> <p>An effective date of February 7, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On December 30, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. and The East Texas Cooperatives filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On January 28, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the December 9 Filing, effective February 7, 2009 as requested.</p>
<b>265.</b>	<b>ER09-414</b>	Aquila, Inc. (“Aquila”) Withdraw from Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (“MISO”)	<p>On December 15, 2008, Aquila, Inc. submitted a filing requesting acceptance of, and authorization for, Aquila’s withdrawal from the MISO TOA. An effective date of November 8, 2008 is requested. Aquila is to transition to full participation in SPP.</p> <p>On December 23, 2008, MISO submitted a Motion to Intervene and a Motion to Extend the Comment Date to January 12, 2009.</p> <p>On December 24, 2008, Aquila filed an Answer in Support of Motion to Extend the Comment Date.</p> <p>On December 30, 2008, FERC issued a Notice of Extension of Time, granting an extension to and including January 12, 2009.</p> <p>On January 5, 2009, the Coalition of Midwest Transmission Customers and Consumers Energy Company filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On January 6, 2009, American Municipal Power – Ohio, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On January 12, 2009, SPP and Midwest ISO Transmission Owners filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>Also on January 12, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. filed a Protest. The Protest states, “WHEREFORE, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., respectfully requests that the Commission: (1) determine that Aquila’s withdrawal request is subject to the requirements of Article Five, Section II of the TOA or, alternatively, determine that Article Seven requires Aquila’s financial obligations to the Midwest ISO to be established as a pre-condition for its withdrawal from the TOA; (2) establish a process for determining Aquila’s exit fee, as set forth in Section III.C of this Protest; and (3) grant all other relief that may be appropriate or necessary.”</p>

			<p>On January 30, 2009, Aquila and MISO notified the Commission that as of January 21, they reached an agreement in principle resolving all outstanding issues raised in MISO's January 12 protest.</p> <p>On March 4, 2009, Aquila and MISO submitted a supplement to Aquila's December 15, 2008 request to withdraw from the MISO Transmission Owners Agreement. This supplement consists of an executed settlement agreement between the Parties to resolve the issues raised by the MISO protest and request for relief submitted by MISO on January 12, 2009.</p>
266.	ER09-425	SPP Submission of Tariff Revisions to Correct Errors in Schedule 2	<p>On December 17, 2008, SPP submitted tariff revisions to correct errors in Schedule 2. Specifically, SPP proposes to revise Schedule 2 to correct several clerical errors that became apparent as SPP implemented recent substantive changes to its compensation methodology for Reactive Power.</p> <p>An effective date of February 15, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On January 27, 2009, FERC issued an order accepting the December 17 Filing, effective February 15, 2009 as requested.</p>
267.	ER09-439	Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement ("NITSA") between SPP, Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation ("AECC") as Network Customer, and American Electric Power Service Corporation ("AEP") as Host Transmission Owner, as well as a Network Operating Agreement ("NOA")	<p>On December 22, 2008, SPP filed an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider, AECC as Network Customer, and American Electric Power Service Corporation, as agent for Southwestern Electric Power Company, as Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. First Revised 1518.</p> <p>An effective date of December 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>These agreements replace Original Service Agreement No. 1518 filed in ER08-60.</p> <p>On January 12, 2009, AECC filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On January 28, 2009, American Electric Power Service Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene Out of Time.</p> <p>On February 12, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective December 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>On February 13, 2009, FERC issued an Errata to the February 12 Letter Order, correcting the notice date to December 29, 2008.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
268.	ER09-441	Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement ("NITSA") between SPP, North Texas Electric Cooperative,	<p>On December 22, 2008, SPP filed an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and North Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc. as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider, NTEC as Network Customer, and American Electric Power Service Corporation, as agent for Public Service Company of Oklahoma and Southwestern Electric Power Company, as Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. First Revised 1066.</p> <p>An effective date of December 1, 2008 is requested.</p>

		Inc. (“NTEC”) as Network Customer, and American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEP”) as Host Transmission Owner, as well as a Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”)	<p>These agreements replace Original Service Agreement No. 1066 filed in ER05-523.</p> <p>On January 12, 2009, North Texas Electric Cooperative and Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On February 11, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective December 1, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
269.	ER09-442	Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”) between Western Trail Wind Project I, LLC (“Western Trail”) and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation (“Sunflower”)	<p>On December 22, 2008, SPP submitted an executed Large Generator Interconnection Agreement between Western Trail Wind Project I, LLC, as Interconnection Customer, and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation, as Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. 1693.</p> <p>An effective date of November 25, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On January 30, 2009, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On February 11, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective November 25, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
270.	ER09-443	SPP requests waiver of Section 17.7 of SPP’s OATT to permit SPP to grant AES Shady Point (“AES”) a one year extension of the commencement of its transmission service	<p>On December 22, 2008, SPP filed a request that the Commission waive the notice provisions of Section 17.7 of SPP’s OATT to permit SPP to grant AES Shady Point a one year extension of the commencement of its transmission service. Additionally, SPP requests that a waiver be granted as expeditiously as possible because without a waiver, the requested transmission service will begin on January 8, 2009 and AES Shady Point will be required to pay for transmission service that it has informed SPP it does not need. Due to an administrative oversight, AES did not make its formal request for an extension until December 4, 2008, after the required ninety day notice period to extend.</p> <p>On February 2, 2009, FERC issued a letter order granting the waiver request.</p>
271.	ER09-444	SPP Credit Policy Revisions	<p>On December 22, 2008, SPP submitted tariff revisions in order to adopt changes in its Credit Policy. In this filing, SPP proposed to modify various aspects of its Tariff and Credit Policy to enhance protections for SPP and market participants against risk of loss arising from customer defaults and to improve the process for customers to obtain credit for transactions under the Tariff.</p> <p>An effective date of February 20, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On January 7, 2009, Calpine Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On January 12, 2009, the East Texas Cooperatives filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>Also on January 12, Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority and Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission filed a Motion to Intervene and Protest. They asked that the Commission reject the proposed change to Section 7.3 of</p>

			<p>the Tariff creating an automatic default under the Tariff in the event of a missed payment.</p> <p>On January 27, 2009, SPP filed an Answer to the comments stating that: 1) SPP’s proposed credit policy revisions are superior to the <i>Pro Forma</i> OATT; 2) the proposed revisions protect customers and other Market Participants from unnecessary risks; 3) the proposed revisions provide sufficient flexibility for customer delinquencies resulting from minor administrative errors; and 4) the revisions are designed to benefit all stakeholders and cannot be tailored to protect a subset of customers from their own business decisions.</p> <p>On February 11, 2009, TDU Intervenors filed a Motion for Leave to Answer and Reply to SPP’s Answer to Protests, in which they ask that the Commission reject as unjust and unreasonable SPP’s proposal to create an automatic event of default in Section 7.3 of its Tariff.</p> <p>On February 20, 2009, FERC issued an Order Accepting in Part and Rejecting in Part. SPP’s proposed tariff revisions are accepted for filing to become effective February 20, 2009, with the exception of SPP’s proposed revision to section 7.3 of its Tariff. SPP is directed to make a compliance filing by March 23, 2009. The Commission has ordered SPP to file revisions to the tariff "to clarify that a default occurs only after a customer fails to make payment by the end of the cure period."</p> <p>On March 23, 2009, SPP filed its compliance filing pursuant to the February 20 Order. SPP submitted the requested changes in compliance with the February 20 Order, and added one modification to its Credit Policy contained in Attachment X of its Tariff to correct a discrepancy between the Tariff billing payment provisions. An effective date of February 20, 2009 is requested.</p>
272.	ER09-463	Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”) between EC&R Sherman, LLC (“EC&R Sherman”) and Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”)	<p>On December 23, 2008, SPP submitted an executed Large Generator Interconnection Agreement between EC&amp;R Sherman, LLC, as Interconnection Customer, and Southwestern Public Service Company, as Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. 1688.</p> <p>An effective date of November 24, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On February 10, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective November 24, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
273.	ER09-468	Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (“MISO”) and SPP submit revisions to their Joint Operating Agreement (“JOA”) and Congestion Management Process (“CMP”)	<p>On December 19, 2008, Midwest ISO and SPP submitted revisions to the JOA and CMP as part of the RTO’s 2008 initiative to update their JOA. This is Midwest ISO Rate Schedule No. 6 and SPP Rate Schedule No. 9.</p> <p>An effective date of February 19, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On January 9, 2009, Exelon Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On February 12, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the revisions, effective February 19, 2009 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>

274.	ER09-493	Meter Agent Agreement between Tenaska Power Services Co. (“Tenaska”) (Market Participant) and Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”) (Meter Agent)	<p>On January 2, 2009, SPP filed a Meter Agent Services Agreement between Tenaska Power Services Co., as Market Participant, and Southwestern Public Service Company, as Meter Agent. SPP Service Agreement No. 1700.</p> <p>An effective date of December 2, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective December 2, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
275.	ER09-495	SPP Filing of OATT Revisions to track the ministerial tariff revisions to Westar Energy, Inc.’s (“Westar”) Rate Formula Template	<p>On December 31, 2008, SPP submitted revised pages to its OATT to implement certain ministerial tariff revisions to the Rate Formula Template for Westar Energy, Inc. SPP requests an effective date of January 1, 2009 for these ministerial tariff revisions to coincide with the effective date requested by Westar’s December 30 filing in Docket No. ER09-481.</p> <p>On February 26, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the revisions effective January 1, 2009 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>
276.	ER09-548	ITC Great Plains, LLC’s Application for acceptance of a formula rate to establish a revenue requirement for transmission service over facilities that ITC will own in the SPP region	<p>On January 15, 2009, ITC Great Plains, LLC submitted its application for acceptance of a formula rate to establish a revenue requirement for transmission service over facilities that ITC will own in the SPP region. The formula rate will be used to recover the revenue requirement associated with the company’s initial assets: the Elm Creek and Flat Ridge Substations. An effective date of 60 days after filing, or upon the closing of the acquisition of the Elm Creek and Flat Ridge Substations by ITC Great Plains, whichever occurs later, is requested.</p> <p>On February 4, 2009, Xcel Energy Services, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On February 5, 2009, SPP, Occidental Chemical Company, and Western Farmers Electric Cooperative filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On February 5, 2009, Kansas Corporation Commission, Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, East Texas Electric Cooperative, Northeast Texas Electric Cooperative, and Tex-La Electric Cooperative of Texas filed Motions to Intervene and Protests.</p> <p>On February 19, 2009, Sunflower Electric Power Corporation and Mid-Kansas Electric Company filed a Joint Motion to Intervene Out-of-Time.</p> <p>On February 20, 2009, ITC Great Plains filed a Motion for Leave to Answer and Answer.</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, Occidental submitted a request for the Commission to deny the February 19 Motion to Intervene Out-of-Time. Occidental states that the Movants have failed to demonstrate good cause for their late intervention, and their Motion contains an unauthorized answer.</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, Occidental filed an Answer in Opposition to Motion for Leave to Answer and Answer of ITC Great</p>

			<p>Plains, LLC or, Alternatively, Motion for Leave to Reply and Reply of Occidental Permian LTD., Occidental Power Marketing, L.P. and Occidental Chemical Corporation.</p> <p>On March 9, 2009, Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation and Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Motion for Leave to Answer and Answer, asking for an evidentiary hearing and for settlement procedures to be instituted.</p> <p>On March 16, 2009, FERC issued an Order Granting In Part and Denying In Part Rate Incentives, Conditionally Accepting Tariff Revisions, and Establishing Hearing And Settlement Procedures.</p> <p>A settlement conference was held on April 2, 2009.</p>
277.	ER09-555	<p>Entergy Services, Inc. Filing of Proposed Revisions to Attachment V of Entergy's Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT")</p>	<p>On January 16, 2009, Entergy Services, Inc. submitted proposed revisions to Attachment V of Entergy's OATT. Attachment V includes the terms and conditions that will apply to the Weekly Procurement Process ("WPP") being implemented as part of the package of changes establishing SPP as the ICT for the Entergy system. In this filing, Entergy proposes to amend Attachment V to (a) limit supplier offers in the WPP to on-peak period and (b) eliminate WPP point-to-point transmission service. Entergy also is proposing conforming changes to Attachment S, Attachment T, and Parts I, II, and III of its OATT.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, SPP filed a Motion for Leave to File Answer and Answer to the comments and protests regarding the January 16 compliance filing. SPP states: 1) the Commission should reject the calls to re-evaluate the ICT arrangement and the requests to deny the proposed structural changes to the WPP; 2) the Commission should approve the ICT's planned approach for calculating savings resulting from the WPP; 3) the Commission should deny the request to delay WPP implementation, consolidate Entergy's Attachment V Filings, and set this case for hearing.</p> <p>On March 17, 2009, FERC issued an Order Conditionally Accepting Weekly Procurement Process Amendments and Ordering Further Compliance Filings. Energy is directed to make compliance filings on April 16 (informational filing detailing WPP start-up costs incurred) and May 1, 2009 (providing information with regard to the specific problems that prevent it from accommodating off-peak bids and point-to-point transmission). ICT is ordered to file its first quarterly report on WPP operation and savings as an informational filing no later than <b>June 15, 2009</b>, and each subsequent quarterly report every three months thereafter. In addition, Entergy is required to file a compliance filing one year prior to the expiration of the ICT agreement (November 17, 2009), detailing its intentions of a replacement arrangement or a continuation of the ICT arrangement.</p>
278.	ER09-569	<p>Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider and Kansas Municipal Energy Agency ("KMEA") as Transmission Customer</p>	<p>On January 26, 2009, SPP submitted an executed service agreement for Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Kansas Municipal Energy Agency ("KMEA") as Transmission Customer. SPP Service Agreement No. 1698.</p> <p>An effective date of January 1, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On March 24, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective January 1, 2009 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>

<b>279.</b>	<b>ER09-574</b>	SPP Filing of OATT Revisions to modify the transmission and base plan rates for certain pricing zones	<p>On January 26, 2009, SPP submitted changes to its OATT intended to modify the transmission and base plan rates for certain pricing zones. SPP submitted this filing in order to correct an error in the revised transmission and base plan rates that were accepted by the Commission on October 28, 2008 in Docket Nos. ER08-1371-001 and 001, 002. An effective date of June 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On February 5, 2009, SPP submitted a supplemental filing. Certain supporting information was inadvertently omitted from the January 26 Filing.</p> <p>On March 16, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the changes effective June 1, 2008.</p>
<b>280.</b>	<b>ER09-575</b>	SPP Filing of OATT Revisions to modify the transmission and base plan rates for certain pricing zones	<p>On January 26, 2009, SPP submitted changes to its OATT intended to modify the transmission and base plan rates for certain pricing zones. SPP submitted this filing in order to correct an error in the revised transmission and base plan rates that were accepted by the Commission on October 17, 2008 in Docket Nos. ER08-1212-001 and 001. An effective date of May 1, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On February 5, 2009, SPP submitted a supplemental filing. Certain supporting information was inadvertently omitted from the January 26 Filing.</p> <p>On March 16, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the changes effective May 1, 2008.</p> <p>On March 17, 2009, FERC issued an Errata to letter order clarifying that the effective date noted as May 1, 2009 in the March 16 Order should have read May 1, 2008.</p>
<b>281.</b>	<b>ER09-639</b>	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Service Agreement (“NITSA”) and an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP and Grand River Dam Authority (“GRDA”)	<p>On February 2, 2009, SPP submitted an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Grand River Dam Authority (“GRDA”) as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider and GRDA as both the Network Customer and the Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. First Revised 1641.</p> <p>The agreements modify the currently effective agreements that were accepted by the Commission on July 31, 2008, in Docket No. ER08-1162.</p> <p>An effective date of January 1, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On March 24, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement, effective January 1, 2009 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>



<p>282.</p>	<p><b>ER09-659</b></p>	<p>SPP Filing of an Executed Letter Agreement Regarding Inter-Regional Planning between SPP and Entergy Services, Inc. (Entergy Seams Agreement)</p>	<p>On February 6, 2009, SPP submitted an executed Letter Agreement Regarding Inter-Regional Planning between SPP and Entergy Services, Inc. Under the Letter Agreement, the Parties will form a Joint Planning Committee comprised of representatives from the Parties to oversee the transmission planning activities set forth in the Principles Governing Regional Planning that are attached to the Letter Agreement.</p> <p>An effective date of April 8, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On February 9, 2009, SPP filed an Amendatory Filing correction two inadvertent errors in the February 6 Filing: 1) The Letter Agreement should have been designated “Original Rate Schedule FERC No. 11”, instead of “FERC Electric Tariff, Rate Schedule No. 11”; 2) Section 1.5.3.2 of the “Principles Governing Regional Planning” contained an inadvertent reference to “Regional Participants” that should have read “stakeholders.”</p> <p>On February 13, 2009, Entergy Services, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On February 18, 2009, Arkansas Public Service Commission filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On February 27, 2009, American Electric Power Service Corporation, et al., filed a Motion to Intervene and Comments. The parties state: 1) a comprehensive seams agreement is needed; 2) SPP’s and Entergy’s Planning Criteria and Construction Procedures should be coordinated; 3) the Commission should establish a timeline for completion of a comprehensive seams agreement between SPP and Entergy.</p> <p>Also on February 27, Union Power Partners, L.P. filed a Motion to Intervene and Protest, Lafayette Utilities System filed a Motion to Intervene, and Lafayette Utilities System and Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation filed Joint Comments.</p> <p>Also on February 27, East Texas Electric Cooperative, Sam Rayburn G&amp;T Electric Cooperative, and Tex-La Electric Cooperative of Texas filed a Joint Motion to Intervene and Comments.</p> <p>On March 2, 2009, Arkansas Public Service Commission submitted Comments. The Commission states that the February 6 filing is a step forward, but falls short in adequately responding to Commission directives regarding regional planning.</p>
<p>283.</p>	<p><b>ER09-714</b></p>	<p>SPP Filing of revisions to OATT – Attachment P Corrections</p>	<p>On February 13, 2009, SPP submitted revisions to its OATT in order to correct various inadvertent errors that were proposed in Docket No. ER08-746-000 and accepted by the Commission on May 27, 2008. Some of the revisions to Attachment P that were part of the March 28, 2008 filing were made in error. Specifically, SPP unintentionally revised the customer response and scheduling times for certain short-term firm, non-firm and next hour market transmission requests that were accepted by the Commission on May 16, 2008 in OA08-5-000.</p> <p>An effective date of May 27, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>On March 19, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the revisions, effective May 27, 2008 as requested.</p> <p>This order constitutes final agency action.</p>

284.	ER09-715	SPP Filing of an Executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Service Agreement (“NITSA”) and an Executed Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP and Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”)	<p>On February 13, 2009, SPP submitted an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Southwestern Public Service Company as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP as Transmission Provider and Southwestern Public Service Company as both the Network Customer and the Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. Third Revised 543.</p> <p>These agreements modify the currently effective agreements that were accepted by the Commission on September 23, 2008, in Docket No. ER08-1308-000.</p> <p>An effective date of January 14, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On April 1, 2009, FERC issued a letter order accepting the agreement for filing, effective January 14, 2009, subject to the outcome of Docket Nos. ER08-1307-000, et al.</p>
285.	ER09-748	SPP Filing of Revisions to OATT to Modify Energy Imbalance Service Market (Attachment AE Revisions)	<p>On February 24, 2009, SPP submitted revisions to its OATT proposing various improvements to its real-time energy imbalance service market. SPP proposed refinements to the EIS Market Tariff sheets in Attachment AE.</p> <p>An effective date of April 25, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On March 13, 2009, Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc. and Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On March 17, 2009, Xcel Energy Services, Inc., American Electric Power Service Corporation, and the East Texas Cooperatives filed Motions to Intervene.</p> <p>On March 17, 2009, Southwest Industrial Customer Coalition filed a Motion to Intervene and Limited Protest, which requests that the Commission add to the proposed Tariff provisions certain language that SPP proposes to include in its Market Protocols.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company filed a Motion to Intervene, Comment, and Protest, which protests SPP’s revisions that intend to 1) incorporate demand response into the EIS Market and 2) remove one of two existing penalties for not following dispatch instructions.</p> <p>On April 1, 2009, SPP filed an Answer to Comments, Protests and Requests for Modification stating: 1) SPP’s Demand Response Tariff Revisions are consistent with the Commission’s rule of reason; 2) SPP’s proposal to remove the language requiring that it remove from EIS Market Operations Resources failing to follow dispatch instructions will reduce reliability problems within the EIS Market.</p>
286.	ER09-758	SPP Filing of Several Proposed Amendments to its Membership Agreement	<p>On February 25, 2009, SPP submitted proposed amendments to its Membership Agreement. Specifically, SPP proposes to modify the Membership Agreement to state expressly that Members who are not otherwise subject to Commission jurisdiction as a public utility will not become subject to the Commission’s jurisdiction by their membership in SPP. Likewise, Members will not be required by the Membership Agreement to take any action that would trigger Commission jurisdiction that does not otherwise exist, and non-jurisdictional Members may terminate the Membership Agreement if the Commission does not accept their revenue requirements or rates.</p>

			<p>An effective date of April 27, 2009 is requested.</p> <p>On March 17, 2009, American Electric Power Service Corporation filed a Motion to Intervene.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative filed a Motion to Intervene and Conditional Protest. Golden Spread protests proposed section 3.0(h).</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, Western Farmers Electric Cooperative filed a Motion to Intervene and Comments. WFEC states that section 3.11 on waiver of jurisdictional immunity and section 3.12 on compliance with state law require changes in order to be just and reasonable.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, the East Texas Cooperatives filed a Motion to Intervene and Request for Clarification, which requests clarification of Section 3.10 addressing the rights of a non-jurisdictional Transmission Owner to file its revenue requirements with the Commission. They suggest that SPP should clarify that non-jurisdictional transmission owners retain the unilateral right to file rates with the commission without first having to submit the rates to SPP.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, Dogwood Energy, LLC filed a Motion to Intervene and Comments, which asks that Section 3.12 be modified to state that it applies to only non-jurisdictional utilities.</p> <p>On April 2, 2009, SPP filed an Answer to Comments stating: 1) Golden Spread protested language already accepted by the Commission; 2) the proposed revisions adequately protect the status of non-jurisdictional members; 3) the proposed revisions to the Membership Agreement are not intended to limit the rights of non-jurisdictional utilities; 4) the proposed amendments are not intended to confer rights or immunities that do not otherwise exist.</p>
287.	ER09-778	SPP Filing of Revisions to the External Market Advisor Services Agreement for 2009	<p>On February 27, 2009, SPP filed revisions to the External Market Advisor Services Agreement for 2009. This is the agreement with Boston Pacific Company, Inc. contained in Attachment AJ of the SPP Tariff.</p> <p>An effective date of January 1, 2009 is requested.</p>
288.	ER09-790	SPP Submits an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement (“NITSA”) and Network Operating Agreement (“NOA”) between SPP and The Empire District Electric Company (“Empire”)	<p>On March 3, 2009, SPP filed an executed Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service between SPP as Transmission Provider and Empire District Electric Company as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement between SPP and Empire as both the Network Customer and the Host Transmission Owner. These agreements replace the currently effective agreements that were accepted by FERC on May 30, 2008 in Docket No. ER08-903. SPP Service Agreement No. First Revised 1630.</p> <p>An effective date of February 1, 2009 is requested.</p>

289.	ER09-791	SPP Filing of Revisions to OATT to Implement Changes to the Formula Rate Template for Westar Energy, Inc.	On March 3, 2009, SPP filed revised pages to its OATT to implement changes to the formula rate template for Westar Energy, Inc., which is a transmission owner and pricing zone under the SPP Tariff. SPP submitted this filing to track the rate changes filed by Westar on April 1, 2008, June 13, 2008, and February 12, 2009, and requests an effective date of June 1, 2008. The rates submitted in this filing are intended to be effective from June 1, 2008, per Westar's April 1 Filing, until December 31, 2008.
290.	ER09-801	SPP Filing of Revision to Attachment AD of Tariff to Extend and Modify Tariff Administration Agreement between SPP and Southwestern Power Administration ("SWPA")	On March 5, 2009, SPP submitted revisions to Attachment AD of its OATT to modify the Tariff Administration Agreement governing its relationship with the Southwestern Power Administration.  An effective date of February 1, 2009 is requested.
291.	ER09-883	Meter Agent Services Agreement between The Energy Authority and Westar Energy, Inc.	On March 23, 2009, SPP submitted an executed Meter Agent Services Agreement between The Energy Authority and Westar Energy, Inc. SPP Service Agreement No. 1763.  An effective date of March 1, 2009 is requested.
292.	ER09-915	SPP Submits an Executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement ("NITSA") and Network Operating Agreement ("NOA") between SPP and Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. ("KEPCO") as Network Customer and Westar Energy, Inc. ("Westar") as Host Transmission Owner	On March 30, 2009, SPP filed an executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement between Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. as Network Customer, as well as an executed Network Operating Agreement with KEPCO as Network Customer and Westar Energy, Inc. as Host Transmission Owner. SPP Service Agreement No. First Revised 1636.  An effective date of March 1, 2009 is requested.

293.	ER09-916	SPP Submits an Executed Agreement Establishing External Generation Non-Physical Electrical Interconnection Point between SPP, KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company ("KCP&L GMO") as the Source Balancing Authority, Westar Energy, Inc. ("Westar") as the Sink Balancing Authority, and Dogwood Energy, LLC ("Dogwood") as Market Participant	<p>On March 30, 2009, SPP filed an executed Agreement Establishing External Generation Non-Physical Electrical Interconnection Point between SPP, KCP&amp;L Greater Missouri Operations Company ("KCP&amp;L GMO") as the Source Balancing Authority, Westar Energy, Inc. ("Westar") as the Sink Balancing Authority, and Dogwood Energy, LLC ("Dogwood") as Market Participant. SPP Service Agreement No. 1774.</p> <p>An effective date of June 1, 2009 is requested.</p>
294.	ER09-928	SPP Submits an Executed Agreement for Sponsored Upgrade between SPP and Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company ("OG&E")	<p>On March 31, 2009, SPP filed an executed Agreement for Sponsored Upgrade between SPP and Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company. SPP Service Agreement No. 1764.</p> <p>This upgrade includes approximately 120 miles of 345 kV line consisting of bundled 1590 ACSR conductor on steel poles from the OG&amp;E Northwest 345 kV substation located northwest Oklahoma City to a new 345 kV section of the OG&amp;E Woodward District EHV substation near Woodward, Oklahoma. Additionally, a 3-breaker switching station is to be installed at approximately one-half of the distance between Oklahoma City and Woodward, Oklahoma.</p> <p>An effective date of March 1, 2009 is requested.</p>
295.	ER09-943	SPP Submits Revised Pages to OATT to Correct an Administrative Error Resulting in Improper Designation of Certain Tariff Sheets Filed with the Commission in ER09-254	<p>On April 1, 2009, SPP filed revised pages to its OATT to correct an administrative error resulting in improper designation of certain Tariff sheets previously filed with and accepted by the Commission in ER09-254 (Tariff revisions to implement three new pricing zones, filed on November 7, 2008).</p> <p>An effective date of April 1, 2009 is requested.</p>

296.	PL09-4	Smart Grid Policy - Proposed Policy Statement and Action Plan	<p>On March 19, 2009, FERC issued a Proposed Policy Statement and Action Plan on the Smart Grid Policy. Comments are due May 11, 2009.</p> <p>This proposed policy statement and action plan provides guidance to inform the development of a smarter grid for the Nation’s electric transmission system focusing on the development of key standards to achieve interoperability of smart grid devices and systems. The Commission also proposes a rate policy for the interim period until interoperability standards are adopted. Smart grid investments that demonstrate system security and compliance with Commission-approved Reliability Standards, the ability to be upgraded, and other specified criteria will be eligible for timely rate recovery and other rate treatments. <u>This rate policy will encourage development of smart grid systems.</u></p>
297.	OA09-5	SPP Revisions to OATT pursuant to Order 890-B	<p>On October 6, 2008, SPP submitted revisions to its Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT") incorporating specific changes to the Order 890 pro forma OATT adopted by FERC in Order 890-B. An effective date of October 6, 2008 is requested.</p> <p>SPP states that its EIS Market is consistent with or superior to the pro forma Schedules 4 and 9, and declines to incorporate the revisions adopted by the Order 890-B for Schedules 4 and 9. In the May 16, 2008 Order in Docket OA08-5, the Commission concluded "that SPP's imbalance market is consistent with the Order No. 890 pro forma OATT. Accordingly, we will not require SPP to file revisions in its OATT to include the revisions to Schedule 4 and the new Schedule 9 adopted in Order No. 890."</p> <p>On October 27, 2008, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Motions to Intervene.</p>
298.	OA09-23	SPP filing of a waiver request of certain posting requirements set forth in Order No. 890 (890 AFC-ATC Waiver Request)	<p>On March 26, 2009, SPP filed a waiver request, to the extent that such waiver is needed, of certain posting requirements set forth in Order No. 890. As detailed in the filing, SPP makes available to its customers a query software tool called a "Scenario Analyzer" enabling customers to convert Available Flowgate Capacity ("AFC") into Available Transfer Capability ("ATC") for a specified transmission path. Until recently, SPP believed that the availability of its Scenario Analyzer satisfied the requirement to make ATC values available to its customers. However, action in a recent Commission proceeding suggests that SPP may require a waiver from the Commission in order to rely upon the Scenario Analyzer. Accordingly, SPP is requesting a waiver, to the extent necessary, until June 30, 2009, to enable it to continue to rely on its Scenario Analyzer to provide a means for customers to convert AFC into ATC rather than posting ATC and related Total Transmission Capacity ("TTC"), Capacity Benefit Margin ("CBM") and Transmission Reliability Margin ("TRM") values on its Open Access Same-Time Information System ("OASIS").</p>
299.	CE06-285	W. Howard Phillip’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") - Cameron Epard – Air Stream Energy	<p>On September 19, 2006, W. Howard Phillips of Cameron Epard – Air Stream Energy filed a FERC CEII request seeking a copy of the power flow data for 2006, 2007, 2008, 2011 and 2016 summer cases for the SPP transmission system (contained in the 2006 FERC Form No. 715 data filed with FERC by SPP), as noticed December 14, 2006.</p> <p>FERC issued an order granting Mr. Phillip’s CEII request on January 16, 2007.</p>
300.	CE07-226	Jaime McAlpine’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure	<p>On August 14, 2007, Jaime McAlpine of Chermac filed a FERC CEII request for Form No. 715 annual transmission planning and area reports for 2007 submitted by SPP.</p>

		Information (“CEII”) - Chermac	SPP received notice of Mr. McAlpine’s request by letter dated October 17, 2007, with comments due October 24, 2007.  FERC granted Mr. McAlpine’s CEII request by letter order on October 30, 2007. SPP complied with Mr. McAlpine’s request on November 1, 2007.
301.	CE07-263	Andrew Waranch’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure information (“CEII”) - Elliot Management	Andrew Waranch, a trader representing Elliott Management, filed a CEII request with FERC on August 27, 2007 seeking FERC Form No. 715 data for all sections and regions corresponding to the April 2006 filings, as noticed September 12, 2007.  FERC granted Mr. Waranch’s CEII request on October 2, 2007.
302.	CE07-266	Shawn Sheehan’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure information (“CEII”) – Energy Endeavors, LLC	On September 17, 2007, Shawn Sheehan of Energy Endeavors, LLC submitted a letter requesting the most recent FERC Form No. 715, Part 3 filings of the control areas for all NERC regions, as noticed December 10, 2007. SPP submitted comments December 12, 2007.  FERC granted Mr. Sheehan’s request on January 4, 2008.
303.	CE07-268	David P. Estey’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) - Competitive Power Ventures, Inc.	On September 19, 2007, David P. Estey filed a CEII request with FERC for the FERC Form No. 715 power flow data for the SPP system, specifically the West Kansas area, on behalf of Competitive Power Ventures, Inc.  Comments on this request were due October 4, 2007.  FERC granted Mr. Estey’s request on October 23, 2007.
304.	CE08-11	Stanley A. Gray’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) - RES America Developments, Inc.	On October 24, 2007, Stanley A. Gray filed a FERC CEII request on behalf of RES America Developments, Inc., seeking a copy of the powerflow base cases contained in FERC Form No. 715 data for all sections and regions of NERC filed in 2007, as noticed November 7, 2007.  SPP submitted comments on November 8, 2007.  FERC granted Mr. Gray’s CEII request on November 20, 2007.
305.	CE08-12	Abigail Krich’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Tamarack Energy, Inc.	On October 25, 2007, Abigail Krich of Tamarack Energy, Inc. filed a CEII request with FERC for a copy of the powerflow data and maps of the FERC Form No. 715 data for: (1) Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC; Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.; and Virginia Electric and Power Company control areas within the Southeastern Electric Reliability Council region; and (2) the Tampa Electric and Power Company and Florida Power & Light Company control areas within the Florida Reliability Coordinating Council region of NERC filed in 2007.  SPP received notice of this request by letter dated November 7, 2007.  Comments were due November 14, 2007.  FERC granted Ms. Krich’s CEII request on November 21, 2007.
306.	CE08-14	Mike McGuffin’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure	Mike McGuffin of Shell Energy North America filed a FERC CEII request for a copy of the FERC Form 715 data that are released by FERC to LCG Consulting LP.

		Information (“CEII”) – Shell Energy North America	<p>SPP received notice of this request by letter dated November 14, 2007, with SPP’s comments due November 21, 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on November 15, 2007.</p> <p>On November 26, 2007, the City of San Antonio, Texas informed FERC that it objects to the release of its information and requests that FERC not disclose its FERC Form No. 715 information to Mr. McGuffin.</p> <p>FERC granted this CEII request on January 2, 2008.</p>
307.	CE08-15	Robert S. Fairey’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Cox Enterprises	<p>On November 8, 2007, Robert S. Fairey of Cox Enterprises filed a FERC CEII request for a copy of detailed transmission line maps for SPP, PJM, MISO and ERCOT, as noticed by FERC on November 14, 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on November 19, 2007.</p> <p>FERC granted Mr. Fairey’s request on January 9, 2008.</p>
308.	CE08-16	Kevin Sok’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Cox Enterprises	<p>On November 8, 2007, Kevin Sok of Cox Enterprises filed a FERC CEII request for a copy of detailed transmission line maps for SPP, PJM, MISO and ERCOT, as noticed by FERC on November 14, 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on November 19, 2007.</p> <p>FERC granted Mr. Sok’s request on January 9, 2008.</p>
309.	CE08-17	Robert A. Mullendore’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Kansas City Board of Public Utilities	<p>On November 9, 2007, Robert A. Mullendore of the Kansas City Board of Public Utilities filed a FERC CEII request seeking access to the MDWG database – model on demand data, as noticed by the Commission on November 14, 2007.</p> <p>SPP’s comments were due November 21, 2007; however, Robert Mullendore withdrew his request pending collective action for expedited approval with FERC.</p>
310.	CE08-20	John A. Payne’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	<p>On November 14, 2007, John A. Payne of Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request for access to SPP’s Model on Demand loadflow data system, as noticed by the Commission on November 3, 2007.</p> <p>This request was withdrawn.</p>
311.	CE08-27	Vu Nguyen’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Element Markets LLC	<p>On November 26, 2007, Vu Nguyen filed a CEII request with FERC, which he modified December 12, 2007 to request transmission maps for all companies within MISO, ERCOT and SPP, as noticed December 12, 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on Mr. Nguyen’s request on December 17, 2007.</p> <p>FERC granted Mr. Nguyen’s CEII request on January 11, 2008, despite opposition to its release by Oncor Electric Delivery Company, as well as CenterPoint Energy and SPP’s concern that Mr. Nguyen failed to provide a detailed statement explaining his particular need for obtaining the FERC Form No. 715 data, as required by 18 C.F.R. § 388.113(d)(4)(i).</p>



312.	CE08-28	Todd Irwin's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – American Superconductor Corporation	<p>On November 19, 2007, Todd Irwin filed a CEII request with FERC for a copy of SPP's most recent FERC Form No. 715 information, as noticed December 18, 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on Mr. Irwin's request on December 18, 2007.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on February 4, 2008.</p>
313.	CE08-29	Narend Reddy's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – American Superconductor Corporation	<p>On November 28, 2007, Narend Reddy filed a CEII request with FERC for a copy of SPP's most recent FERC Form No. 715 information, as noticed December 18, 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on Mr. Reddy's request on December 18, 2007.</p> <p>FERC granted Mr. Reddy's request on February 4, 2008.</p>
314.	CE08-30	Tim Poor's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – American Superconductor Corporation	<p>On November 13, 2007, Tim Poor filed a CEII request with FERC for a copy of SPP's most recent FERC Form No. 715 information, as noticed December 18, 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on Mr. Poor's request on December 18, 2007.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on February 4, 2008.</p>
315.	CE08-31	Tony Siebert's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – American Superconductor Corporation	<p>On November 18, 2007, Tony Siebert filed a CEII request with FERC for a copy of SPP's most recent FERC Form No. 715 information, as noticed December 18, 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on Mr. Siebert's request on December 18, 2007.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on February 4, 2008.</p>
316.	CE08-36	Anthony White's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Xcel Energy	<p>On December 7, 2007, Anthony White of Xcel Energy filed a CEII request with FERC seeking to obtain electronic and hard copies of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed December 14, 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request December 19, 2007.</p> <p>On December 21, 2007, FERC issued an order granting Mr. White's CEII request.</p>
317.	CE08-37	Josh Berkow's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – BQ Energy, Inc.	<p>On December 10, 2007, Josh Berkow of BQ Energy, Inc. filed a CEII request with FERC seeking an SPP Transmission Map, as noticed December 14, 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on December 17, 2007.</p> <p>Mr. Berkow's request was granted by FERC on December 27, 2007.</p>
318.	CE08-51	Judah Rose's Request for Critical	<p>On December 11, 2007 and January 24, 2008 Judah Rose of ICT Consulting filed a FERC CEII request on behalf of himself, Kenneth Collison, Delphine Hou, Kiran Kumaraswamy, Ahmed Saleh Nasir, Himali Pamar and Rezaur</p>

		Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – ICF Consulting	<p>Rahman seeking SPP’s 2007 FERC Form No. 715 information. (Consultant FERC NDAs were filed by each individual.)</p> <p>SPP received notice of the request by faxed letter dated January 9, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request January 16, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on February 22, 2008.</p>
319.	CE08-54	Deral Danis’ Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Constellation Energy Group, Inc.	<p>On November 27, 2007, Deral Danis of Constellation Energy Group, Inc. filed a CEII request with FERC requesting SPP’s FERC Form No. 715 filings for 2005, 2006 and 2007, as noticed January 11, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request January 14, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on January 31, 2008.</p>
320.	CE08-57	Benji Hoffner’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Exponential Engineering Company	<p>On January 9, 2008, Benji Hoffner of Exponential Engineering Company filed a CEII request with FERC seeking to obtain information contained in SPP’s FERC Form No. 715 filed with FERC in 2007, as noticed January 16, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on January 18, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted Mr. Hoffner’s request on February 20, 2008.</p>
321.	CE08-61	Mark Tribett and Vikas Singhvi’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Constellation Energy Group, Inc.	<p>On January 14, 2008, Mark Tribett and Vikas Singhvi of Constellation filed a CEII request with FERC seeking to obtain a copy of the FERC Form NO. 715 filed by SPP in 2005, 2006 and 2007.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on January 25, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on February 13, 2008.</p>
322.	CE08-63	Lan Trinh’s. Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”)	<p>On January 18, 2008, Lan Trinh filed a CEII request with FERC seeking to obtain the power flow base case for the Eastern Interconnect for the years 2008 and 2013, as noticed January 30, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on February 6, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on March 7, 2008.</p>
323.	CE08-65	Peter Jones, et al. Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Energy Endeavors, LLC	<p>On January 14, 2008, Peter Jones, Nicole Simpson, John Labbe, Gregory Riblett, Daniel Jones, Gary Wrinn, William Rogers, Adam Hughes, Jeffrey Miller and Moussa Kourouma filed a CEII request with FERC seeking approval to access the CEII previously provided to Shawn Sheehan in Docket No. CE07-266-000.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on February 20, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on April 1, 2008.</p>
324.	CE08-73	Norm Richardson’s	<p>On February 1, 2008, Norm Richardson, Associate Director of Energy Research at SNL Financial, filed a CEII request</p>

		Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – SNL Financial	with FERC seeking to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 filed by SPP in 2007. SPP submitted comments on February 14, 2008 FERC granted Mr. Richardson’s request by letter dated February 26, 2008
325.	CE08-75	Adam Lutz’ Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – DC Energy, LLC	On February 5, 2008, Adam Lutz of DC Energy, LLC filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain NERC MMWG models and PJM RTEP planning models, as noticed February 14, 2008. Comments on this request were due February 21, 2008. SPP elected not to file comments. FERC granted this request on February 29, 2008.
326.	CE08-78	William Carr’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Dynegy, Inc.	On January 28, 2008, William Carr of Dynegy, Inc. filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of the electrical powerflow cases contained in the FERC Form No. 715 filed by SPP in 2007, as noticed February 28, 2008. SPP submitted comments on March 4, 2008. The Commission has not yet acted on this request.
327.	CE08-82	Calvin Daniels’ Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Anis Sherali	On February 13, 2008, Calvin Daniels filed a CEII request with FERC on behalf of Anis Sherali, as noticed March 19, 2008, to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 filed by the SERC Reliability Corporation (information for PowerSouth, Dalton Utilities, Georgia Transmission Corporation, Duke Energy Carolinas, Entergy, E. ON U.S., Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, Progress Energy Carolinas, Santee Cooper, South Carolina, Electric & Gas, South Mississippi Electric Power Association, Southern Companies, and the Tennessee Valley Authority). SPP filed a response to this request on March 20, 2008. The Commission has not yet acted on this request.
328.	CE08-84	Robert M. Vosberg’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Alliant Energy	On February 17, 2008, Robert Vosberg filed a CEII request with FERC on behalf of Alliant Energy to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed March 20, 2008. SPP submitted comments on Mr. Vosberg’s request on March 27, 2008. FERC granted this request on April 17, 2008.
329.	CE08-86	Yakov Mastov’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Stanley Consultants, Inc.	On February 19, 2008, Yakov Mastov of Stanley Consultants, Inc. filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed March 20, 2008. SPP submitted comments on March 21, 2008. FERC has not yet acted on Mr. Mastov’s request.
330.	CE08-88	Ching Yee Janny Chen-Read’s Request	On February 19, 2008, Ching Yee Janny Chen-Read and twenty-eight other employees of Siemens PTI filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of Parts 1 through 6 of the FERC Form No. 715 filed by SPP in 2007.

		for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Siemens PTI	SPP submitted comments on March 21, 2008. FERC action on this request is forthcoming.
331.	CE08-89	Kevin McKeown’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Gaelectric Developments Ltd	On February 21, 2008, Kevin McKeown of Gaelectric Developments, Ltd. filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 filed by SPP in 2007, as noticed March 20, 2008.  Gaelectric Developments Ltd. is an independent wind and wave power development company based in Ireland. SPP submitted comments on March 21, 2008.  FERC has not yet acted on Mr. McKeown’s request.
332.	CE08-98	Anthony A. Apodaca’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Karbon Zero, LLC	On March 5, 2008, Anthony A. Apodaca, Jr. of Karbon Zero, LLC filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed March 13, 2008. SPP submitted comments on March 17, 2008.  FERC granted this request on March 21, 2008.
333.	CE08-106	James Filippi’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – NextLight Renewable Power, LLC	On March 11, 2008, James Filippi of NextLight Renewable Power, LLC filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 filed by SPP in 2007.  SPP submitted comments on Mr. Filippi’s request on March 20, 2008.  FERC granted this request on May 19, 2008.
334.	CE08-112	Devin Van Zandt, et al’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – GE Energy  Additional Requesters – Seth Williams, Andrea Fossa, Mark Walling, and Gary A. Jordan	On March 19, 2008, Devin Van Zandt, et al. of GE Energy filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain FERC No. 715 filings, as noticed April 21, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request on April 24, 2008.  FERC granted this request on October 24, 2008.  FERC issued a release letter to Mr. Van Zandt on January 9, 2009.  On February 18, 2009, Devin Van Zandt submitted a request to add the following additional employees to the GE Energy group request, as noticed to SPP by Mr. Van Zandt on February 18, 2009.  SPP submitted comments on this request on February 18, 2009.
335.	CE08-114	Gregory Payne’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”)	On March 21, 2008, Gregory Payne of the Ohio Department of Development Energy Office filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a transmission map for the State of Ohio.  SPP submitted comments on Mr. Payne’s request on April 24, 2008.

		– Ohio Department of Development Energy Office	FERC action on this request is forthcoming.
336.	CE08-115	Jingjia Chen’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Pterra Consulting	On March 21, 2008, Jingjia Chen of Pterra Consulting filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 filed by SPP in 2007, as noticed March 26, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request March 31, 2008.  FERC granted Dr. Chen’s request on April 11, 2008.
337.	CE08-117	Omar Alejandro Martino’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Renewable Energy Services (RES) Americas	On March 12, 2008, Omar Alejandro of RES Americas filed a CEII request with FERC seeking to obtain SPP’s CD resubmitting SPP’s regional base case power flow models in response to Part 2 of FERC Form No. 715, Accession No. 20070411-4003.  SPP submitted comments on Mr. Martino’s request on April 4, 2008.  FERC granted this CEII Request on April 23, 2008.
338.	CE08-119	Matthew Mooney’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Green Hunter Wind Energy, Inc.	On March 24, 2008, Matthew Mooney of Green Hunter Wind Energy, Inc. filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed April 1, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on Mr. Mooney’s request on April 4, 2008.  FERC granted Mr. Mooney’s request on April 23, 2008.
339.	CE08-121	Gary Thompson, et al.’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – EPIS, Inc.  Additional Requesters – Daniel Suurkask & Stephanie Perry	On March 18, 2008, Gary Thompson of EPIS, Inc. submitted a group CEII request for himself and seventeen additional EPIS, Inc. employees: LeGrand N. Adams, Deborah Austin-Smith, George Anderson, Jonell Anderson, Lisa Baumgartner, Lee A. Barney, Sara Bishop, Donnavan J. Leavitt, Seth D. Long, Troy J. Salo, John A. Scott, Ronald Sumida, Peter J. Swartz, Benjamin Thompson, Doug Walker, Vincent Todd Wheeler, and Warren H. Winter. These seek to obtain a copy of 2008 FERC Form No. 715 data for all NERC regions.  SPP received notice of this request on June 11, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request June 18, 2008.  FERC granted this request on June 26, 2008.  On December 22, 2008, Daniel Suurkask and Stephanie Perry submitted a request to be added to the EPIS, Inc. group request (CE08-121), as noticed by Ms. Perry December 22, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request December 26, 2008.  FERC approved Daniel Suurkask and Stephanie Perry’s request on February 24, 2009.
340.		Michael Behnke’s	On March 31, 2008, Michael Behnke of Clipper Windpower Development Co. submitted a CEII request with FERC to

	<b>CE08-123</b>	Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Clipper Windpower Development Co.	obtain SPP’s base case powerflow models, submittal no. 20070514-0210. SPP submitted comments on this request on April 14, 2008.  FERC granted Mr. Behnke’s request by letter on May 20, 2008.
<b>341.</b>	<b>CE08-131</b>	Martin Baughman’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Self, Consultant	On April 7, 2008, Martin Baughman filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain all regional power flow base cases and associated information filed in response to FERC Form No. 715 on April 1, 2008. Mr. Baughman intends to use this data to analyze transmission line flows for various generation projects being considered his generation developer/clients.  SPP submitted comments on this request on April 30, 2008.  FERC granted this request on June 27, 2008.
<b>342.</b>	<b>CE08-134</b>	Anthony A. Apodaca, Jr.’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Karbon Zero, LLC	On April 9, 2008, Anthony A. Apodaca, Jr. of Karbon Zero, LLC filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain data regarding the location of electric transmission and distribution systems of various regions in the U.S. to be used in the development and coordination of wind energy. SPP submitted comments on this request on April 30, 2008.  FERC granted Mr. Apodaca’s request on May 12, 2008.
<b>343.</b>	<b>CE08-135</b>	Evan Estes’ Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – LS Power	On April 10, 2008, 2008, Evan Estes of LS Power filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain FERC Form No. 715 data for the purpose of aiding in the analysis of possible transmission and generation interconnection locations.  SPP’s comments on this request were submitted April 30, 2008.  FERC granted this request on June 27, 2008.
<b>344.</b>	<b>CE08-138</b>	Chris Hise’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – The Nature Conservancy	On April 15, 2008, Chris Hise of the Nature Conservancy filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain geographic data of electric transmission lines in the SPP service area, as noticed May 5, 2008. SPP submitted comments on Mr. Hise’s request on May 12, 2008.  FERC has not yet acted on this request.
<b>345.</b>	<b>CE08-140</b>	Pete Ferrell’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Energy for Generations, LLC	On April 16, 2008, Pete Ferrell filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed May 5, 2005.  SPP submitted comments on Mr. Ferrell’s request on May 12, 2008.  FERC issued a letter of release for this request on December 30, 2008.
<b>346.</b>	<b>CE08-142</b>	Elizabeth Guillen’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Black & Veatch	On April 16, 2008, Elizabeth Guillen of Black & Veatch filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain SPP powerflow data and models, as noticed May 5, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on Ms. Guillen’s request on May 12, 2008.

		Additional Requester – Joseph Peters	<p>FERC granted Ms. Guillen’s request on October 17, 2008.</p> <p>On December 8, 2008, Joseph Peters of Black &amp; Veatch submitted a request to be added to the Black &amp; Veatch group request (CE08-142), as noticed by Mr. Peters December 8, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on Mr. Peters’ request December 15, 2008.</p>
347.	CE08-147	Mark Etherton’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – PDS Consulting, PLC	<p>On April 24, 2008, Mark Etherton of PDS Consulting, PLC filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed May 2, 2008. (Mr. Etherton is doing consulting work for Torch Renewables.)</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on Mr. Etherton’s request on May 2, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request by letter on May 15, 2008.</p>
348.	CE08-148	Madeline Chen’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – LCG Consulting	<p>On April 25, 2008, Madeline Chen of LCG Consulting filed an organizational FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 filed by SPP in 2008, as noticed May 12, 2008.</p> <p>Comments on Ms. Chen’s filing were due May 19, 2008. SPP submitted comments on May 15, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on June 23, 2008.</p>
349.	CE08-151	Diana Liebmann’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Coral Power, LLC	<p>On May 1, 2008, Diana Liebmann, a partner in Haynes and Boone, LLP representing Coral Power, LLC, submitted a FERC CEII request seeking to obtain information relating to PUCT Docket No. 33687, including access to transmission modeling data.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on Ms. Liebmann’s request on May 12, 2008.</p> <p>FERC has not yet acted on this request.</p>
350.	CE08-157	Dan Lucy’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Blue Horizon, Inc.	<p>On May 12, 2008, Dan Lucy of Blue Horizon, Inc. filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain an SPP transmission map, as noticed May 28, 2008.</p> <p>SPP’s comments on Mr. Lucy’s request were due June 4, 2008. SPP submitted comments on June 3, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted Mr. Lucy’s request by letter on June 17, 2008.</p>
351.	CE08-160	Matt Piper’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Southern Company	<p>On May 14, 2008, Matt Piper of Southern Company filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 filed by SPP, as noticed May 28, 2008.</p> <p>Comments on this request were due June 4, 2008. SPP submitted comments on June 3, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted Mr. Piper’s request on June 17, 2008.</p>
352.	CE08-161	Deral Danis, et al.’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Constellation Energy	<p>On May 15, 2008, Deral Danis of Constellation Energy filed an organizational CEII request with FERC for access to the FERC Form No. 715 filed by SPP in 2008 for himself and Leigh A. Brokovich, Vikram Krishnaswamy, Israel Melendez, Hang Wang, and Thinesh Devadhas Mohanadhas.</p> <p>Comments on Constellation’s request were due June 4, 2008. SPP submitted comments on June 3, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on June 17, 2008.</p>

353.	CE08-163	Miles Lamont's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – TNW Corporation	<p>On May 19, 2008, Miles Lamont, a consultant for TNW Railway, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain transmission maps in the panhandle region of Texas controlled by SPP, as noticed June 13, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on June 20, 2008. FERC granted this request on July 23, 2008.</p>
354.	CE08-165	Archie Richardson, et al.'s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – General Electric International, Inc.	<p>On May 20, 2008, Archie Richardson submitted a group FERC CEII request on behalf of himself and two other General Electric International, Inc. employees: Ravikanth Varanasi and Sundar Venkataraman. These requesters seek to obtain a copy of SPP's 2008 FERC Form No. 715 filing.</p> <p>SPP received notice of this request on June 13, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request June 20, 2008.</p> <p>FERC has not yet acted on this request.</p> <p>On January 9, 2009, Steven Barnes and nineteen additional employees of General Electric International, Inc. submitted a request to be added to the group FERC CEII request previously submitted by Archie Richardson in this docket, as noticed by an electronic communication from Elizabeth LaRose on January 9, 2009.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this group request on January 14, 2009.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on March 10, 2009.</p> <p>On March 10, 2009, Jerry Martinez and four additional employees of General Electric International, Inc. submitted a request to be added to the group request in Docket No. CE08-165-000, as noticed by an electronic communication from Elizabeth LaRose on March 10, 2009.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request on March 16, 2009.</p>
355.	CE08-167	Shawn Sapp's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – RW Beck	<p>On May 23, 2008 Shawn Sapp of JW Beck filed a CEII request with FERC to obtain a copy of powerflow cases, transmission maps, data dictionaries and load forecasts.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request June 11, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on June 24, 2008.</p>
356.	CE08-172	Norm Richardson's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – SNL Energy	<p>On May 29, 2008, Norm Richardson of SNL Energy filed a CEII request with FERC seeking to obtain FERC Form No. 715 data for 2007 filed by April 1, 2008 (as noticed June 6, 2008).</p> <p>SPP's comments on Mr. Richardson's request were due June 13, 2008. SPP submitted comments on June 11, 2008.</p>



			FERC granted Mr. Richardson's request on July 8, 2008.
357.	CE08-174	W. Howard Phillips' Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – WH Power Consultants	On June 2, 2008, W. Howard Phillips of WH Power Consultants filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP's FERC Form No. 715 data, as noticed July 2, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request on July 7, 2008.  FERC action is forthcoming.
358.	CE08-176	Scott Feuerborn's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Burns and McDonnell Engineering	On June 4, 2008, Scott Feuerborn of Burns and McDonnell Engineering filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP's powerflow modeling databases, as noticed June 27, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request on July 7, 2008.  FERC has not yet issued its determination on this request.
359.	CE08-180	Patrick Guinness' Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Guinness Development	On June 9, 2008, Patrick Guinness of Guinness Development filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 data filed by SPP, as noticed June 27, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request on July 7, 2008.  FERC action is forthcoming.
360.	CE08-183	Daniel Bachman's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – OptiSolar	On June 10, 2008, Daniel Bachman of OptiSolar filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a transmission map of the SPP control area, as noticed June 27, 2008. OptiSolar intends to site solar plants in Oklahoma, New Mexico and Texas.  SPP submitted comments on this request on July 7, 2008.  FERC granted this request on July 28, 2008.
361.	CE08-186	William Harkins' Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Zachry Project Management & Consulting, LLC	On June 12, 2008, William Harkins of Zachry Project Management and Consulting, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map.  SPP submitted comments on this request on July 7, 2008.  FERC has not yet acted on this request.
362.	CE08-187	DeAun Willoughby's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII")	On June 13, 2008, DeAun Willoughby filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed June 25, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on July 1, 2008.  FERC granted this request on July 23, 2008.
363.	CE08-188	Eugene Preston's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure	On June 18, 2008, Dr. Eugene Preston, consultant for Babcock and Brown, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the powerflow data in PSSE rawd format fro 2011 through 2013.

		Information (“CEII”) – Consultant for Babcock and Brown	Comments on this request were submitted July 14, 2008. FERC granted this request on August 28, 2008.
364.	CE08-189	Matthew Tate’s Group Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – DC Energy Holdings, LLC	On June 18, 2008, Matthew Tate and forty-nine additional employees of DC Energy Holder, LLC filed a group CEII request to obtain FERC Form No. 715 data for all of North America.  Comments on this request were submitted July 14, 2008.  FERC granted this request on August 28, 2009.  On October 29, 2008, Adam Lutz of DC Energy provided notice pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 388.113(d)(4)(vi) (2008) that the following employees seek addition to the previously approved group request in this docket: Caroline Furman, Roxanna Ward, Ben Warren, Hyungjun Lee, Jonathan Halpert, Refik Dula, Max Rausch, Rahul Singh, Chloe Kim, Minggang Guo, Konstantinos Rokas, Scott Stern, Pares Jain, Taylor Moulton, Christopher Pallotta, and Martina Hayes.  SPP Comments were due November 5, 2008.
365.	CE08-190	Christopher Narducci’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Everpower Renewables	On June 18, 2008, Christopher Narducci of Everpower Renewables filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed June 25, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on July 1, 2008.  FERC granted this request on August 28, 2008.
366.	CE08-191	Sindhu Suresh’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Pira Energy Group	On June 19, 2008, Sindhu Suresh of the Pira Energy Group filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of all FERC Form No. 715 data for all NERC regions, as noticed June 25, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on July 1, 2008.  FERC granted this request on August 28, 2008.
367.	CE08-193	Stephan Pollard’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Trem I Wel Energy, LLC	On June 20, 2008, Stephan Pollard of Trem I Wel Energy, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed August 15, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on August 22, 2008.  FERC granted this request on September 5, 2008.  Access has been authorized for Trem I Wel Energy, LLC employees Stephan Pollard and Terry Tremwel pursuant to FERC non-disclosure agreements.
368.	CE08-195	Stephen Miller’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure	On June 25, 2008, Stephen Miller of Commonwealth Associates filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 powerflow data in its entirety, as noticed July 11, 2008.

		Information (“CEII”) – Commonwealth Associates, Inc.	SPP submitted comments on this request July 18, 2008. FERC granted Mr. Miller’s CEII request on October 10, 2008.
369.	CE08-196	Lingling Fan’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – North Dakota State University	On June 24, 2008, Professor Lingling Fan filed a FERC CEII request on behalf of North Dakota State University to obtain the current study information from MISO/PJM/SPP transmission studies, as noticed July 11, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request July 18, 2008.
370.	CE08-198	Bobby Gwartney Jr.’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Western Oklahoma Renewable Power, LLC	On June 26, 2008, Bobby Gwartney, Jr. of Western Oklahoma Renewable Power, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map. SPP submitted comments on this request July 18, 2008.
371.	CE08-199	Wenbin Li’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Ameren	On June 27, 2008, Wenbin Li filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the latest FERC 715 filings and other transmission related information available to NERC such as power flow model and system maps, etc., as noticed July 10, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request on July 17, 2008. FERC granted this request on July 29, 2008.
372.	CE08-203	Steve Rose, et al.’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – City Water Light & Power	On July 3, 2008, Steve Rose, Karl Kohlrus and Nick Fogleman of City Water Light & Power filed FERC CEII requests to obtain access to the MISO, PJM, SPP and TVA Joint Coordinated System Plan data and report, as noticed July 10, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request on July 17, 2008. FERC granted this request on July 29, 2008.
373.	CE08-204	Kenneth Karas’ Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – High Plains Wind Energy, LLC	On July 3, 2008, Kenneth Karas of High Plains Wind Energy, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed July 18, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request July 25, 2008.
374.	CE08-205	Brett Rollow’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Kansas City Power & Light/Great Plains	On July 7, 2008, Brett Rollow, representing Kansas City Power & Light/Great Plains Energy, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of FERC Form No. 715 powerflow models, as noticed July 18, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request July 25, 2008.

		Energy	
375.	CE08-206	Thomas McDonough's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Freedom Energy	On July 7, 2008, Thomas McDonough of Freedom Energy filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed July 18, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request July 25, 2008.
376.	CE08-209	Matt Riley's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Infinity Wind Power, Inc.	On July 10, 2008, Matt Riley of Infinity Wind Power, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed July 18, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request July 25, 2008.
377.	CE08-210	Jennifer Ayers-Brasher's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – E.ON Climate & Renewables North America, Inc.	On July 10, 2008, Jennifer Ayers-Brasher of E.ON Climate Renewables North America, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the 2008 FERC Form No. 715 data for MISO, SPP, and WECC, as noticed July 18, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request July 25, 2008.
378.	CE08-213	Edward F. Stoneburg's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Consultant for Trans-Elect Development Co., LLC	On July 10, 2008, Edward F. Stoneburg, a consultant for Trans-Elect Development Co., LLC, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form 715 data for SPP, PJM and MISO, as noticed July 28, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request August 1, 2008.  FERC granted Mr. Stoneburg's CEII request on October 20, 2008.
379.	CE08-214	Terry Carty's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Carland Group, LLC	On July 11, 2008, Terry Carty of Carland Group, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of transmission line maps for Oklahoma and Kansas, as noticed July 18, 2008.  Comments on this request are due July 25, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on July 25, 2008.  FERC granted this request on September 12, 2008.
380.	CE08-215	Kemper McSpadden's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure	On July 14, 2008, Kemper McSpadden filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of transmission infrastructure, capacity and ownership information for Texas, Louisiana and Mississippi filed in the FERC Form No. 715, as noticed September 24, 2008.

		Information (“CEII”) - Self	SPP submitted comments on this request on September 29, 2008.
381.	CE08-216	Scott Deffenderfer’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Ameren Transmission Planning	On July 16, 2008, Scott Deffenderfer of Ameren Transmission Planning filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form No. 715 data from SPP, PJM and MISO member companies, as noticed July 18, 2008.  Comments on this request are due July 25, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request on July 25, 2008.  FERC granted this request on September 15, 2008.
382.	CE08-218	Stephen Thome’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – J-Power USA Development Co., Ltd.	On July 16, 2008, Stephen Thome of J-Power USA Development Co., Ltd. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP’s Stability Study models filed in FERC Form No. 715, as noticed August 6, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request August 6, 2008.
383.	CE08-219	John Weber, et al. Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Missouri River Energy Services	On July 14, 2008, John Weber, Richard Dahl and Austin Hoekman of Missouri River Energy Services filed FERC CEII requests to obtain access to FERC Form No. 715 data from SPP, PJM and MISO, as noticed August 7, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request August 14, 2008.  FERC granted this request on September 12, 2008.
384.	CE08-223	Matthew Schuerger’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – Energy Systems Consulting Services, LLC	On July 20, 2008, Matthew Schuerger of Energy Systems Consulting Services, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form No. 715 data for SPP, PJM and MISO, as noticed September 24, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request on September 29, 2008.  FERC granted Mr. Schuerger’s request on October 23, 2008.
385.	CE08-228	Gerald Berton’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – The Berton Group, LLC	On July 18, 2008, Gerald Berton of The Berton Group, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed September 17, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request September 19, 2008.  FERC granted this request on October 29, 2008.
386.	CE08-229	Joshua Berkow’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – BQ Energy, LLC	On July 25, 2008, Joshua Berkow of BQ Energy, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of all FERC Form No. 715 data for calendar year 2007, as noticed September 17, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request September 19, 2008.
387.	CE08-230	Christopher	On July 25, 2008, Christopher Narducci of EverPower Renewables filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the

		Narducci's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – EverPower Renewables	SPP transmission map, as noticed October 20, 2008.  Comments on this request were due October 27, 2008.  FERC has not yet acted on this request.
<b>388.</b>	<b>CE08-231</b>	Ian Hoffman's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Graduate Researcher, C3 Modeling Team, Energy and Resources Group, University of California, Berkeley	On July 25, 2008, Ian Hoffman, a graduate researcher at the University of California, Berkeley, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 data filed by SPP in 2008, as noticed August 18, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request August 22, 2008.  FERC granted this request on September 17, 2008.
<b>389.</b>	<b>CE08-232</b>	Carlo Capra, et al.'s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – ITC Holdings	On July 30, 2008, Carlo Capra of ITC Holdings filed a FERC CEII request on behalf of himself, Michael C. Hamlin, Clayton J. Welch, and Yujia Zhou to obtain a copy of the FERC Form No. 715 data filed by SPP in 2008, as noticed August 20, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request on August 27, 2008.  FERC granted this request on September 17, 2008.
<b>390.</b>	<b>CE08-239</b>	Anthony "Wayne" Galli's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – FPL Energy	On August 11, 2008, Wayne Galli of FPL Energy filed a FERC CEII request to obtain transmission planning data associated with SPP (including models, maps, presentations and materials associated with planning/stakeholder meetings, etc.), as noticed August 22, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request August 28, 2008.
<b>391.</b>	<b>CE08-243</b>	Paul LeRoy's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Maverick Engineering, Inc.	On August 12, 2008, Paul LeRoy of Maverick Engineering, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed August 15, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request August 22, 2008.  FERC granted Mr. LeRoy's request on October 27, 2008.
<b>392.</b>	<b>CE08-248</b>	Karl Oltermann's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Legacy Homes	On August 18, 2008, Karl Oltermann, a realtor for Legacy Homes, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed August 26, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request September 3, 2008.  FERC granted this request on September 17, 2008.

393.	CE08-249	Michael T. Swearingen's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Tri-County Electric Cooperative, Inc. of Oklahoma	<p>On August 18, 2008, Michael T. Swearingen of Tri-County Electric Cooperative, Inc. of Oklahoma filed a FERC CEII request to obtain SPP's seasonal powerflow models, as noticed August 26, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request August 29, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on September 17, 2008.</p>
394.	CE08-251	Steven Garwood's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – PowerGrid Strategies, LLC (Consultant for Midwest Wind Energy, LLC)	<p>On August 19, 2008, Steven Garwood of PowerGrid Strategies, LLC filed a CEII request to obtain FERC Form No. 715 data for PJM, MISO, and SPP, as noticed August 26, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request September 3, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on September 17, 2008.</p>
395.	CE08-252	David P. Estey & Rick L. Conant's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") –RLC Engineering, LLC	<p>On August 19, 2008, David P. Estey and Rick L. Conant of RLC Engineering filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form No. 715 data for PJM, MISO, and SPP, as noticed August 26, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request August 29, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on September 17, 2008.</p>
396.	CE08-254	Sarah Babcock's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Ayres Associates	<p>On July 28, 2008, Sarah Babcock of Ayres Associates filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed August 27, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request August 29, 2008.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on September 17, 2008.</p>
397.	CE08-260	Gary Hurse's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") – Lea County Electric Cooperative, Inc.	<p>On August 26, 2008, Gary Hurse of Lea County Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain SPP Transmission Model Data.</p> <p>Comments on this request were due September 29, 2008.</p> <p>SPP submitted notice to FERC on September 22, 2008 that it will provide the requested generation interconnection model data directly to Mr. Hurse.</p>
398.	CE08-262	Micah Engum & Billy Alexander, Jr.'s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII")	<p>On August 27, 2008, Micah Engum and Billy Alexander, Jr. of Bill Alexander Forestry, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain SPP FERC Form No. 715 data.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on September 29, 2008.</p>

		– Bill Alexander Forestry, Inc.	FERC granted this request on November 17, 2008.
399.	CE08-268	Kyle Bessette’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – EnvaPower, LLC	On September 4, 2008, Kyle Bessette of Envapower, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map.  SPP submitted comments on this request on September 18, 2008.  FERC granted Mr. Bessette’s request on October 27, 2008.
400.	CE08-273	Tony Hayne’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) – BG Energy Merchants	On September 10, 2008, Tony Haynes of BG Energy Merchants filed a FERC CEII request to obtain 2008 FERC Form No. 715 load flow data for all NERC regions.  SPP submitted comments on this request on September 18, 2008.  FERC granted this request by separate orders issued February 3 and 5, 2009.
401.	CE08-276	Joel Eggemeyer’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Ameren Services	On September 17, 2008, Joel Eggemeyer of Ameren Services filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form 715 data from MISO/PJM/TVA/Manitoba Hydro/SERC/ERCOT member companies, as noticed October 2, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request October 9, 2008.
402.	CE08-277	Teresa Ives’ Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Electric Power Engineers, Inc.	On September 17, 2008, Teresa Ives of Electric Power Engineers, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form No. 715 data, as noticed October 2, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request October 9, 2008.  FERC granted this request on November 21, 2008.
403.	CE08-278 CE08-279 CE08-280 CE08-282	Shafique Mirza, et al.’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Public Service Electric and Gas (PSE&G)	On September 18 and 19, 2008, Shafique Mirza, MD Nazmus Sakib, Pragna Pulusani, and Qamar Arsalan of Public Service Electric and Gas filed a FERC CEII request to obtain two PROMOD cases of the Joint Coordinated System Study Plan, as noticed October 2, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request October 9, 2008.  FERC granted this request on November 21, 2008.
404.	CE08-283	Judah Rose, et al.’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – ICF International, Inc.	On September 15, 2008, Judah Rose filed a FERC CEII request, on behalf of himself and nine additional ICF International, Inc. employees, to obtain a copy of all FERC Form 715 data, as noticed November 17, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request November 21, 2008.  FERC granted this request on February 10, 2009.
405.	CE08-284	Raul Chumilla’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Red	On September 18, 2008, Raul Chumilla of Red Top Wind Power filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed November 17, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request November 21, 2008.



		Top Wind Power	
406.	CE08-285	Thomas Siegrist, et al.'s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – EnerVision, Inc.	On September 22, 2008, Thomas Siegrist filed a FERC CEII request, on behalf of himself and Charles Askey, Charles Nash and Joshua Warmack, to obtain a copy of all FERC Form 715 data, as noticed November 17, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request November 21, 2008.  FERC granted this request on February 13, 2009.
407.	CE08-289	Jay Porter, et al.'s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Great River Energy	Jay Porter, Gordon Pietsch, Dave Kempf, Mike Steckelberg, Tim Mickelson, Yewulsew Atnafu, Wayne Roelofs, Jared Alholinna, Berhanu Bedada and Josh Lantto of Great River Energy have filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form 715 data filed by SPP and PJM, as noticed October 7, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request October 15, 2008.  FERC granted this request on October 27, 2008.
408.	CE08-293	Brian Zavesky and Terry Wolf's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Missouri River Service	On September 25, 2008, Brian Zavesky and Terry Wolf of Missouri River Service filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form 715 data for SPP, PJM and MISO, as noticed October 7, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request October 15, 2008.  FERC granted this request on October 27, 2008.
409.	CE08-295	Godfrey Chibulunje's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Wisconsin Public Service Corporation	On September 29, 2008, Godfrey Chibulunje of the Wisconsin Public Service Corporation filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form 715 data for SPP, PJM and MISO, as noticed October 7, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request October 15, 2008.  FERC granted Mr. Chibulunje's request on October 27, 2008.
410.	CE09-9	Zeryai Hagos's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – General Electric International, Inc.	On October 9, 2008, Zeryai Hagos of General Electric International, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form No. 715 data for all NERC regions, as noticed October 23, 2008. (This requester seeks to be added to the group request submitted by Archie Richardson in Docket No. CE08-165.)  SPP submitted comments on this request October 24, 2008.  FERC has not yet acted on this request.
411.	CE09-9 <i>(Duplicate Number Assigned by FERC)</i>	Pete Blum's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – MAP Royalty, Inc.	On October 20, 2008, Pete Blum of MAP Royalty, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain transmission maps for SPP, ERCOT and WECC, as noticed December 1, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request December 8, 2008.  FERC granted this request on February 23, 2009.
412.	CE09-10	Kim Scullion's Request for Critical	On October 23, 2008, Kim Scullion of Mainstream Renewable Power filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed December 3, 2008.

		Energy Infrastructure Information – Mainstream Renewable Power	SPP submitted comments on this request December 10, 2008.
413.	CE09-13	Kevin Chen’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – KEMA, Inc.	On October 29, 2008, Kevin Chen of KEMA, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain powerflow and dynamics models, as noticed November 10, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request November 12, 2008.  FERC granted this request on February 20, 2009.
414.	CE09-15	Matthew Butcher’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Representing Velocitel, Inc.	On October 29, 2008, Matthew Butcher of Sitesafe, Inc., representing Velocitel, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain the location of utility interconnect facilities where generated electricity can be attached to the grid for distribution (FERC Form No. 715 data), as noticed November 10, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request November 12, 2008.  FERC granted this request on February 20, 2009.
415.	CE09-17	Wes Anderson’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – EPIC Merchant Energy	On October 30, 2008, Wes Anderson of Epic Merchant Energy filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed November 10, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request November 18, 2008.  FERC has not yet acted on this request.
416.	CE09-20	Paul Turner’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Nacel Energy	On November 1, 2008, Paul Turner of Nacel Energy filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP “Transmission maps with voltage and substation names as well as county names as an overlay”, as noticed November 20, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request November 21, 2008.  FERC granted this request on February 17, 2009.
417.	CE09-25	John Nixon’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – PSEG Texas	On November 14, 2008, John Nixon of PSEG Texas filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed December 29, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request January 6, 2009.
418.	CE09-27	Paul LeRoy’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Maverick Engineering	On November 18, 2008, Paul LeRoy of Maverick Engineering filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a map showing the service area boundaries of Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc., as noticed December 29, 2008.  SPP submitted comments on this request January 6, 2009.
419.	CE09-28	Michael Taylor’s Request for Critical	On November 19, 2008, Michael Taylor of ITC Holdings Corporation filed a FERC CEII request to obtain information related to powerflows and other related data pertaining to the MISO-PJM Joint Coordinated System Plan, as noticed

		Energy Infrastructure Information – ITC Holdings Corporation	December 29, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request January 6, 2009. FERC granted this request on February 25, 2009.
420.	CE09-29	Arne Nielsen’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Renewable Power Market Access, Inc.	On November 28, 2008, Arne Nielsen of Renewable Power Market Access, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map and power flow models, as noticed December 4, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request December 10, 2008. FERC granted Mr. Nielsen’s request on January 16, 2009.
421.	CE09-30	Clifford Franklin’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Westar Energy	On December 1, 2008, Clifford Franklin, Senior Regulatory Specialist at Westar, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of 2007 and 2008 FERC Form No. 715 data for SPP, MRO, ERCOT, RFC, RCC, NPCC, SERC, FRCC and WECC, as noticed December 4, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request December 10, 2008.
422.	CE09-32	Kerry Griffis-Kyle’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Texas Tech University	On December 4, 2008, Kerry Griffis-Kyle, Assistant Professor in the Department of Natural Resources Management at Texas Tech University, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP’s FERC Form No. 715 data, as noticed December 9, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request December 16, 2008. FERC granted this request on January 8, 2009.
423.	CE09-36	Michael Swearingen’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Tri-County Electric Cooperative, Inc. of Oklahoma	On December 30, 2008, Michael Swearingen of Tri-County Electric Cooperative, Inc. of Oklahoma filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a “Dynamic Model for Impact Study”, as noticed December 31, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request January 8, 2009.
424.	CE09-37	Bruce Thompson’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – US Mainstream Renewable Power, Inc.	On December 18, 2008, Bruce Thompson of US Mainstream Renewable Power, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain SPP’s FERC Form No. 715 data, as noticed December 31, 2008. SPP submitted comments on this request January 8, 2009.
425.	CE09-39	John Kenworthy’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information –	On December 31, 2008, John Kenworthy of Kenworthy Partners, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of transmission maps for ISO-NE, MISO and SPP, as noticed January 6, 2009. SPP submitted comments on this request January 8, 2009.

		Kenworthy Partners, LLC	
426.	CE09-48	Madeline Chen et al.'s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – LCG Consulting	<p>On January 15, 2009, Madeline Chen filed a FERC CEII request on behalf of herself and ten additional LCG Consulting employees (Rajat Deb, Lielong Hsue, Ulric Kwan, Hossein Daneshi, Taylor Russell, Jose Camilo Ordenez, Nandini Rajan, Srinivas Jampani, Chhaged Pushkar Santosh, and Chi Law), as noticed February 2, 2009. These requesters seek to obtain 2009 FERC Form No. 715 data for all regions.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on February 20, 2009.</p> <p>SPP was not noticed of this request, as communicated to FERC March 5, 2009.</p>
427.	CE09-49	William Osborn's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Osborn & Griffith	<p>On January 25, 2009, Attorney William Osborn of Osborn and Griffith filed a FERC CEII request seeking to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed January 29, 2009.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request on February 5, 2009.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on February 26, 2009.</p>
428.	CE09-51	Christopher Caldwell's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Foresight Wind Energy	<p>On January 25, 2009, Christopher Caldwell of Foresight Wind Energy filed a FERC CEII request seeking to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map and STEP Map, as noticed January 29, 2009.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request on February 5, 2009.</p> <p>FERC granted this request on February 26, 2009.</p>
429.	CE09-54	Evan Estes' Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – LS Power Development	<p>On January 23, 2009, Evan Estes of LS Power Development filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP's FERC Form No. 715 data.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request on February 5, 2009.</p>
430.	CE09-58	Eric Toolson's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – PLEXOS Solutions, LLC	<p>On February 2, 2009, Eric Toolson of PLEXOS Solutions, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain Eastern Interconnect power flow data and network information, as noticed February 11, 2009.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request on February 17, 2009.</p>
431.	CE09-59	David Jones's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – BP Wind Energy North America, Inc.	<p>On February 11, 2009, David Jones of BP Wind Energy North America, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain the Joint Coordinated System Planning ("JCSP") Reliability Model, as noticed March 18, 2009.</p> <p>SPP submitted comments on this request March 25, 2009.</p>
432.	CE09-60	Gary Moland, et al.'s	On February 10, 2009, Gary Moland and twenty additional employees of Ventyx filed a FERC CEII request to obtain

		Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Ventyx	FERC Form No. 715 data for SPP, PJM, and MISO, as noticed March 18, 2009. SPP submitted comments on this request March 25, 2009.
433.	CE09-62	James Bingaman, et al.'s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – EPIS, Inc.	On February 4, 2009, James Bingaman filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP's FERC Form No. 715 data, as noticed March 6, 2009. SPP submitted comments on this request March 16, 2009.
434.	CE09-64	David Varga, et al.'s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – PSEG Power	On February 23, 2009, David Varga of PSEG Power filed a FERC CEII request on behalf of himself and three additional PSEG Power employees (Laurent Pommier, Bryan Mills, and Nicolae Dumitriu) to obtain a copy of SPP's FERC Form No. 715 data, as noticed February 27, 2009. SPP submitted comments on this request March 3, 2009.
435.	CE09-68	Greg Riblett, et al.'s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Energy Endeavors, LLC	On February 23, 2009, Greg Riblett filed a FERC CEII request on behalf of himself and twelve additional employees of Energy Endeavors, LLC (Peter Jones, Shawn Sheehan, John Charette, Gary Wrinn, William Rogers, Jack Wells, Robert Jones, Nicole Simpson, Jeffrey Miller, Adam Hughes, Moussa Kourouma, and Daniel Jones) to obtain a copy of SPP's FERC Form No. 715 data, as noticed March 4, 2009. SPP's comments on this request are due March 11, 2009. SPP submitted comments on this request March 11, 2009.
436.	CE09-69	Thomas Nieder's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Homeland Energy Systems, LLC	On January 5, 2009, Thomas Nieder of Homeland Energy Systems, LLC filed a FERC CEII request to obtain detailed maps of electric lines in Northwestern and Southwestern Missouri, Iowa, Kansas, and Nebraska, as noticed March 18, 2009. SPP submitted comments on this request March 25, 2009.
437.	CE09-70	Samuel Enfield's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – SEE 3 LTD	On March 2, 2009, Samuel Enfield of SEE 3 LTD filed a FERC CEII request to obtain transmission system diagrams for WECC, MISO, and SPP, as noticed March 18, 2009. SPP submitted comments on this request March 25, 2009.
438.	CE09-72	Thomas Piascik's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – PSEG Power	On March 3, 2009, Thomas Piascik of PSEG Power filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of FERC Form No. 715 data, as noticed March 18, 2009. SPP submitted comments on this request March 25, 2009.
439.	CE09-75	Bradley Schwarz' Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – E.ON	On March 4, 2009, Bradley Schwarz of E.ON Climate Renewables North America, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP's FERC Form No. 715 data and the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed March 18, 2009. SPP submitted comments on this request March 25, 2009.

		Climate and Renewables North America, Inc.	
440.	CE09-77	Steven Quoy's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Alta Wind Energy Corp.	On March 4, 2009, Steven Quoy of Alta Wind Energy Corp. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Map, as noticed March 18, 2009.  SPP submitted comments on this request March 25, 2009.
441.	CE09-81	Monique Rowtham-Kennedy's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information –	On March 9, 2009, Monique Rowtham-Kennedy, Senior Counsel for AEP, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the “geographical maps and associated information showing the Lamar Stall and John W. Turk generation facilities relative to the Clarksville-Dardanelle 161 kv circuit identified as CEII in Southwest Power Pool's <i>Submission of Response to Request for Additional Information</i> ,” filed in FERC Docket Nos. ER08-1026 and ER09-342, as noticed to Matt Segers March 30, 2009.  SPP's comments on this request are due <b>April 6, 2009</b> .
442.	CE09-83	Jennifer MacLean's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – ITC Holdings	On March 10, 2009, Jennifer MacLean of ITC Holdings filed a FERC CEII request to obtain powerflow and related data pertaining to the MISO-PJM Coordinated System Plan along with FERC Form No. 715 filings for other Transmission Owners within the Eastern Interconnection, as noticed March 30, 2009.  SPP submitted comments on this request April 3, 2009.
443.	CE09-84	Aleksandr Rudkevich's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – CRA International	On March 10, 2009, Aleksandr Rudkevich of CRA International filed a FERC CEII request to obtain SPP's most recent FERC Form No. 715 filing, as noticed March 30, 2009.  SPP submitted comments on this request April 3, 2009.
444.	CE09-86	Dr. Eugene Preston's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Transmission Adequacy Consulting	On March 6, 2009, Dr. Eugene Preston of Transmission Adequacy Consulting filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP load flow data, as noticed March 31, 2009.  SPP submitted comments on this request March 31, 2009.
445.	CE09-88	Gregory Don Adam's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Consultant for Chermac Energy Corporation	On March 16, 2009, Gregory Don Adams, consultant for Chermac Energy Corporation, filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP's FERC Form No. 715 data, as noticed April 1, 2009.  Comments on this request are due <b>April 8, 2009</b> .
446.	CE09-90	Kiran Thammineni's Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure	On March 17, 2009, Kiran Thammineni of Ameren Services Company filed a FERC CEII request to obtain FERC Form No. 715 data filed by SPP in 2007 and 2008, as noticed March 31, 2009.

		Information – Ameren Services Company	SPP submitted comments on this request April 3, 2009.
447.	CE09-91	Neil Copeland’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – R.W. Beck, Inc.	On March 17, 2009, Neil Copeland of R.W. Beck, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of SPP’s FERC Form No. 715 data, as noticed March 31, 2009.  SPP submitted comments on this request April 3, 2009.
448.	CE09-96	John Cobb’s Request for Critical Energy Infrastructure Information – Green Breeze Energy, Inc.	On March 23, 2009, John Cobb of Green Breeze Energy, Inc. filed a FERC CEII request to obtain a copy of the SPP Transmission Maps, as noticed April 1, 2009.  Comments on this request are due <b>April 8, 2009</b> .
449.	Arkansas 04-137-U	SPP Application before the Arkansas Public Service Commission (“APSC”) for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (“CCN”)	On December 1, 2006, the APSC issued Order No. 7, closing consolidated Docket Nos. 04-137-U, 04-129-U, 04-111-U and 04-143-U.
450.	Arkansas 04-050-U	Investigation Concerning Entergy Arkansas, Inc.’s Participation in an Entergy-only Independent Transmission System Administrator Structure as Compared to its Participation in the SPP RTO	In the 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter of 2008, affidavits of non-disclosure were filed in this docket to complete the record.  The docket remains open, with no additional activity posted for this quarter.
451.	Arkansas 05-021-U	Southwestern Electric Power Company’s (“SWEPCO”) Application for a Certificate of Environmental Capability and Public Need (“CECPN”) to Build a New 345 kV	On February 1, 2007, the Arkansas Public Service Commission (“APSC”) issued Order No. 11, granting a CECPN to construct, operate and maintain new 345 kV electric transmission line, approximately 11.25 miles in length, extending between SWEPCO’s existing Chambers Spring Substation and its existing Tontitown Substation. A variance zone was also granted.  SWEPCO has completed construction of the 345 kV transmission line associated with this docket and reports that the line was energized on May 15, 2008.  This docket was closed by order of the APSC on June 27, 2008.

		<p>Transmission Line between SWEPCO's Tontitown and Chambers Spring Stations Located in Benton and Washington Counties</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on November 9, 2006.</p>	
452.	<b>Arkansas 06-028-R</b>	<p>Arkansas Public Service Commission Proceeding on Rules and Regulations for Comprehensive Resource Planning</p> <p>SPP was granted Intervenor status on March 13, 2006.</p>	<p>On May 29, 2008, the APSC issued Order No. 8 announcing that it is not in the public interest to adopt the Fossil Fuel Generation Efficiency Standard of Section 1251 of the EPAct amending Section III(d)(13) of PURPA .</p> <p>The standard requires each electric utility to develop and implement a 10-year plan to increase the efficiency of its fossil fuel generation.</p> <p>This docket was closed by order of the Commission on June 27, 2008.</p>
453.	<b>Arkansas 06-077-U</b>	<p>Southwestern Electric Power Company's ("SWEPCO") Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CCN") The subject facilities are an approved project included in the SPP RTO Transmission Expansion Plan. SPP was granted intervenor status on June 28, 2006.</p>	<p>On October 5, 2006, the APSC granted SWEPCO's CCN Application to construct, operate and maintain along preferred Route A a new 161 kV electric transmission line between its existing Siloam Springs Substation and its existing Chambers Spring Substation in Benton County.</p> <p>This docket closed by order of the Commission on June 1, 2007.</p>
454.	<b>Arkansas 06-142-U</b>	<p>Southwestern Electric Power Company's ("SWEPCO") Application for Authority to Build a</p>	<p>On March 14, 2007, the APSC issued Order No. 7 granting SWEPCO a CCN to construct, operate and maintain a rebuild and voltage capacity conversion from 69 kV to 161 kV of its existing North Fayetteville Substation on a proposed one acre site located on the north side of West Van Asche Drive in the City of Johnson, Washington County, Arkansas, on land to be acquired from WG Land.</p>



		<p>New 161 kV Transmission Station in Close Proximity to its Existing North Fayetteville 69 kV Station and to Transfer its Existing Facilities in the North Fayetteville Station to the Proposed New Facility</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on November 17, 2006.</p>	<p>This docket closed June 1, 2007 pursuant to APSC Order No. 8.</p>
<p>455.</p>	<p><b>Arkansas 06-154-U</b></p>	<p>In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company (“SWEPCO”) for a Certificate of Environmental Compatability and Public Need for the Construction, Ownership, Operation and Maintenance of a Coal-Fired Baseload Generating Facility in Hempstead County, Arkansas (to build a proposed Turk Coal Plant)</p>	<p>The Arkansas Public Service Commission (APSC) granted SWEPCO a CECPN for the Turk Plant, subject to the conditions ordered, on November 21, 2007.</p> <p>On January 24, 2008, the Intervenors filed a motion to consolidate in this proceeding requesting that the APSC: (1) find that both Order No. 3 in Docket No. 06-024-U and Condition No. 2 of Order No. 11 in Docket No. 06-154-U have been violated; (2) reopen Docket Nos. 06-024-U and 06-154-U and consolidate them with Docket Nos. 08-001-U and 08-002-U, and (3) stay consideration of all matters until SPP has completed the congestion study required by the Commission, and until applications for all of the electric and gas transmission lines that will serve the Turk plant are filed and consolidated.</p> <p>APSC Staff, the Arkansas Attorney General’s Office and SWEPCO each submitted filings in opposition of Intervenors’ Motion to Consolidate.</p> <p>On February 8, 2008, Intervenors requested an extension of time to file a responsive pleading to SWEPCO’s Response to Intervenors’ Motion to Consolidate <u>until 10 days after their Petition to Intervene in Docket Nos. 08-001-U and 08-002-U is acted upon by the Commission.</u> The APSC has not yet acted on this request.</p> <p>Monthly status reports are being filed by SWEPCO, as required by Order No. 11. SWEPCO reports that the Arkansas Pollution Control &amp; Ecology Commission Air Permit Hearing is scheduled for June 8-19 in Little Rock. An in-service date of October 1, 2012 is currently anticipated.</p> <p>On February 19, 2009, Intervenors Hempstead Hunting Club, et al. filed an application for rehearing of Orders No. 16 and 17 of Docket No. 06-154-U; Orders No. 5, 10, 12, 13 and 14 of Docket No. 08-001-U; Orders No. 4, 8, 10 and 12 of Docket No. 08-002-U; Orders No. 3, 5, 7 and 8 of Docket No. 08-098-U.</p> <p>The Commission denied rehearing on March 13, 2009 per Order No. 18.</p> <p>For associated activity in Louisiana and Texas, please see LPSC Docket No. U-29702 and PUCT Docket No. 33891.</p>

			<p>For the associated appeal of the APSC's Order No. 11 by Intervenor, please see Arkansas Court of Appeals Case No. CA 08-128, below.</p>
<p>456.</p>	<p><b>Arkansas Court of Appeals</b>  <b>Case No. CA 08-128</b></p>	<p><u>Hempstead County Hunting Club, Inc. and Schultz Family Management Company v. Arkansas Public Service Commission, American Electric Power Company and Southwestern Electric Power Company</u>  (Turk Power Plant Appeal)</p>	<p>On January 29, 2008, the Hempstead Hunting Club, Inc. and Schultz Family Management Company filed a Notice of Appeal to the Arkansas Court of Appeals seeking reversal of the APSC's Order No. 11, issued November 21, 2007 in Docket No. 06-154-U, granting SWEPCO's CECPN for the Turk Plant subject to the conditions ordered therein.</p> <p>Intervenor filed an Amended Notice of Appeal on February 4, 2008, adding Po-boy Land Company, Inc. and Yellow Creek Corporation as Appellants.</p> <p>On February 4, 2008, the APSC filed a motion requesting that the Court strike the Amended Notice of Appeal in this case or, in the alternative, dismiss would-be Appellants Po-boy Land Company, Inc.'s and Yellow Creek Corporation's names from the matter. A responsive pleading was filed by the Appellants on February 25, 2008.</p> <p>On March 7, 2008, SWEPCO moved to dismiss the appeal in this proceeding, and the APSC has filed a response in support of SWEPCO's motion.</p> <p>On April 9, 2008, the Arkansas Court of Appeals issued an order denying SWEPCO's motion to dismiss the appeal in this proceeding and a separate order denying SWEPCO's motion to strike the amended notice of appeal and/or motion to dismiss appeal.</p> <p>On May 30, 2008, SWEPCO filed (1) a Motion to Certify and Transfer Case to the Arkansas Supreme Court and (2) its brief and memorandum of authorities in support of its motion.</p> <p>On June 3, 2008, the Appellants moved to consolidate Appeal CA 08-128 (Turk power plant appeal) and CA 08-662 (Transmission line appeal) into one proceeding.</p> <p>On June 9, 2008, the APSC filed a response in support of SWEPCO's May 30, 2008 motion to certify and transfer the case to the Arkansas Supreme Court.</p> <p>On June 13, 2008, the APSC filed an objection to the Appellants' Motion to Consolidate Appeals filed June 3, 2008.</p> <p>The deadline for Appellants' brief was extended to July 21, 2008.</p> <p>The APSC filed its brief on October 22, 2008. Reply briefs were filed in mid-November.</p> <p>On December 11, 2008, the Arkansas Supreme Court denied Appellees' motion to certify and transfer case to the Arkansas Supreme Court.</p> <p>On January 14, 2009, the Arkansas Court of Appeals issued an order denying the Motion of Charles Michael Turner, Donald, R. Johnson, Mary D. Johnson, Willis B. Smith and Patty F. Smith to file amicus curiae brief in support of the appellants.</p>

<p>457.</p>	<p><b>Arkansas 06-171-U</b></p>	<p>In the Matter of the Application of Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need (“CECPN”) for the Construction, Ownership, Operation and Maintenance of a Combustion Turbine Generating Facility in Washington County Near Elkins, Arkansas</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on February 9, 2007.</p>	<p>On March 23, 2007, the APSC issued Order No. 6 granting Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation a CECPN to construct, own, operate, and maintain the Elkins Generation Facility.</p> <p>This docket closed October 26, 2007 pursuant to APSC Order No. 7.</p>
<p>458.</p>	<p><b>Arkansas 06-172-U</b></p>	<p>Southwestern Electric Power Company’s (“SWEPCO”) Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (“CCN”) to Rebuild and Convert its Existing 69 kV Transmission Line in Washington County to 161 kV between Fayetteville and North Fayetteville Substations</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on January 12, 2007.</p>	<p>On October 4, 2007, the APSC issued Order No. 6 granting SWEPCO’s CCN application, also granting a variance zone of a reasonable distance, not to exceed 500 feet on the east side of the center line of the approved route, to accommodate the concerns and objections of Neal and Gina Pendergraft, the Ross Pendergraft Trust and the University of Arkansas.</p> <p>This docket closed December 6, 2007 pursuant to APSC Order No. 7.</p> <p>SWEPCO is to file a construction report in this docket pursuant to Order No. 6.</p>

459.	Arkansas 07-009-U	In the Matter of the Application of SPP for Authority to Issue up to \$5,400,000 in Secured Promissory Notes with a Maturity Not to Exceed Twenty Years	<p>On March 8, 2007, the APSC issued Order No. 1 approving SPP's January 29, 2007 application for authority to issue secured promissory notes in a principal amount not to exceed \$5,400,000 with a maturity not to exceed 20 years to U.S. Bank National Association.</p> <p>This docket closed on June 7, 2007 pursuant to APSC Order No. 2.</p>
460.	Arkansas 07-060-U	In the Matter of the Application of SPP for Authority to Issue up to \$50,000,000 in Non-Secured Promissory Notes with a Maturity Not to Exceed Eight Years	<p>On August 23, 2007, the APSC issued Order No. 2 granting SPP's May 22, 2007 Application for Authority to Issue Indebtedness and Request for an Expedited Order.</p>
461.	Arkansas 07-101-R	In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to Implement Act 647 of 2007 regarding the Retention of Contract Attorneys and Consultants by the Arkansas Public Service Commission	<p>On September 28, 2007, APSC Staff has filed a certified copy of Rule 8.01. Federal Litigation Consulting Fees as amended by APSC Order No. 3 with the Secretary of State Bureau of Legislative Research and Arkansas State Library Document Services in order to implement Act 647 of 2007.</p> <p>This docket closed November 14, 2007 pursuant to APSC Order No. 1.</p>
462.	Arkansas 08-001-U	In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company ("SWEPCO") for a Certificate of Environmental Capability and Public Need ("CECPN") for the Construction, Ownership, Operation and Maintenance of a 138 kV Transmission Line Originating at the <b>Southeast</b>	<p>On January 15, 2008, SWEPCO filed an application for a CECPN seeking authority to build a transmission substation at the John W. Turk, Jr. Generation Facility and a 138 kV transmission line originating at SWEPCO's existing Southeast Texarkana Station in Texarkana, Arkansas and terminating at the proposed Turk Station.</p> <p>This proposed 138 kV transmission line is one of two new 138 kV transmission lines that will connect the Turk Generation Facility to the existing transmission infrastructure.</p> <p>On July 3, 2008, the APSC issued Order Nos. 5 and 4, respectively, allowing intervention by the Hempstead County Hunting Club, Inc., the Po-Boy Land Company, Inc., the Yellow Creek Corporation, the Schultz Family Limited Partnership and its General Partner, the Schultz Family Management Company. F. Patrick Schultz and Mary O'Boyle are entitled to make a "limited appearance" pursuant to Rule 3.04(b) of the APSC's Rules of Practice and Procedure.</p> <p>In these orders, the Commission clarifies that, "the issue of SWEPCO's need for additional generation resources which was decided in Docket No. 06-424-U and the environmental and other matters decided in Docket No. 06-154-U will not be relitigated in the instant Dockets." At 12-13. The intervenors are to participate as a "single and united interest represented by common legal counsel." At 13.</p>

		<p><b>Texarkana Station</b>          Located in          Texarkana, Arkansas          and Terminating at a          Proposed Station to          be Constructed at the          John W. Turk, Jr.          Generation Facility          Located in          Hempstead County,          Arkansas and for the          Proposed Turk          Station and Related          Facilities</p> <p>SPP was granted          intervenor status on          February 5, 2008.</p>	<p>On July 9, 2008, the Parties submitted a “Joint Motion to Establish Procedural Schedules” in Docket Nos. 08-001-U, 08-002-U and 08-098-U. It is proposed that a hearing begin October 28, 2008 for Docket No. 08-002-U, with the hearing for Docket No. 08-001-U beginning immediately thereafter, followed by the hearing for Docket No. 08-098-U.</p> <p>SWEPCO has agreed to waive the requirement for beginning the hearing within 180 days on the first two CECPN applications.</p> <p>On August 4, 2008, the APSC established a procedural schedule in these dockets: Staff/Intervenor Rebuttal Testimony was due September 19, 2008; Company/SPP Surrebuttal Testimony was due October 17, 2008.</p> <p>SPP filed Jay Caspary’s Rebuttal Testimony on October 14, 2008.</p> <p>Consecutive hearings were held on October 28, 2008 for 08-002-U, 08-001-U and 08-098-U.</p> <p>On November 24, 2008, the APSC General Staff filed its Post-hearing Reply Brief in which Staff requests that the Commission grant SWEPCO its three separate CECPNs for the transmission lines necessary to serve the Turk Plant for the preferred routes, subject to the conditions outlined in the testimony of Staff witness Clark D. Cotton to which SWEPCO has agreed.</p> <p>The Arkansas Public Service Commission (APSC) granted SWEPCO the requested CECPNs on January 20, 2009.</p> <p>On February 13, 2009, Intervenor Donald R. Johnson, Mary D. Johnson and Charles Michael Turner filed in Docket No. 08-001-U their Application for Rehearing of Orders No. 4, 9, 13 and 14 issued April 21,2008; August 4,2008; January 20,2009; and January 22,2009, respectively, in Docket No. 08-001-U.</p> <p>On February 17, 2009, Intervenor Willis B. Smith and Patty F. Smith filed in Docket No. 08-001-U their Application for Rehearing of Orders No. 4, 9, 13 and 14 issued on April 21, 2008; August 4, 2008; January 20, 2009; and January 22, 2009, respectively, in Docket No. 08-001-U.</p> <p>On February 19, 2009, Intervenor Hempstead Hunting Club, et al. filed an application for rehearing of Orders No. 16 and 17 of Docket No. 06-154-U; Orders No. 5, 10, 12, 13 and 14 of Docket No. 08-001-U; Orders No. 4, 8, 10 and 12 of Docket No. 08-002-U; Orders No. 3, 5, 7 and 8 of Docket No. 08-098-U.</p> <p>The Commission denied these Applications for Rehearing on March 13, 2009 per Order No. 18.</p> <p>On March 17, 2009, Intervenor Donald R. Johnson, Mary D. Johnson, and Charles M. Turner filed a Notice of Appeal with the Arkansas Court of Appeals (Case No. CA 09-283).</p> <p>On March 24, 2009, Willis B. Smith and Patty F. Smith filed a Notice of Appeal with the Arkansas Court of Appeals (Case No. CA 09-304).</p>
463.	Arkansas	Willis B. Smith, Jr.	On June 3, 2008, Willis B. Smith and Patty Smith filed a Notice of Appeal to the Arkansas Court of Appeals, appealing

	<b>Court of Appeals CA 08-662</b>	<u>and Patty F. Smith v. Arkansas Public Service Commission</u>  (Transmission Line Appeal)	<p>the APSC’s decision as set out in Order No. 4 in APSC Docket No. 08-001-U which granted their Petition to Intervene but allegedly limited their rights pursuant to the issues.</p> <p>On June 13, 2008, the APSC filed its Motion to Dismiss Appeal and Memorandum of Authorities. Appellants filed their response to this motion on June 23, 2008. The APSC filed its reply on June 30, 2008.</p> <p>On July 3, 2008, SWEPCO filed a reply to the Smiths’ response to motion to dismiss appeal</p>
464.	<b>Arkansas Court of Appeals CA 09-283</b>	<u>Donald R. Johnson, Mary D. Johnson and Charles Michael Turner v. Arkansas Public Service Commission</u>	<p>On March 17, 2009, Donald R. Johnson, Mary D. Johnson and Charles Michael Turner filed a Notice of Appeal to the Arkansas Court of Appeals, appealing the APSC’s Order Nos. 4, 9, 13 and 14 in Docket No. 08-001-U.</p>
465.	<b>Arkansas Court of Appeals CA 09-304</b>	<u>Willis B. Smith and Patty F. Smith v. Arkansas Public Service Commission</u>	<p>On March 24, 2009, Willis B. Smith and Patty F. Smith filed a Notice of Appeal to the Arkansas Court of Appeals, appealing the APSC’s Order Nos. 4, 9, 13 and 14 in Docket No. 08-001-U.</p>
466.	<b>Arkansas 08-002-U</b>	In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company (“SWEPCO”) for a Certificate of Environmental Capability and Public Need (“CECPN”) for the Construction, Ownership, Operation and Maintenance of a 138 kV Transmission Line Originating at the <b>Sugar Hill Station</b> Located Near Texarkana, Arkansas and Terminating at a Proposed Station to be Constructed at the John W. Turk, Jr. Generation Facility Located in Hempstead County, Arkansas and for the	<p>On January 15, 2008, SWEPCO filed an application for a CECPN seeking authority to construct a new transmission substation at the John W. Turk, Jr. Generation Facility and a new 138 kV transmission line originating at SWEPCO’s existing Sugar Hill Station, located near Texarkana, Arkansas, and terminating at the proposed Turk Station.</p> <p>On July 3, 2008, the APSC issued Order Nos. 5 and 4, respectively, allowing intervention by the Hempstead County Hunting Club, Inc., the Po-Boy Land Company, Inc., the Yellow Creek Corporation, the Schultz Family Limited Partnership and its General Partner, the Schultz Family Management Company. F. Patrick Schultz and Mary O’Boyle are entitled to make a “limited appearance” pursuant to Rule 3.04(b) of the APSC’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.</p> <p>In these orders, the Commission clarifies that, “the issue of SWEPCO’s need for additional generation resources which was decided in Docket No. 06-424-U and the environmental and other matters decided in Docket No. 06-154-U will not be relitigated in the instant Dockets.” At 12-13. The intervenors are to participate as a “single and united interest represented by common legal counsel.” At 13.</p> <p>On July 9, 2008, the Parties submitted a “Joint Motion to Establish Procedural Schedules” in Docket Nos. 08-001-U, 08-002-U and 08-098-U. It is proposed that a hearing begin October 28, 2008 for Docket No. 08-002-U, with the hearing for Docket No. 08-001-U beginning immediately thereafter, followed by the hearing for Docket No. 08-098-U.</p> <p>SWEPCO has agreed to waive the requirement for beginning the hearing within 180 days on the first two CECPN applications.</p> <p>On August 4, 2008, the APSC established a procedural schedule in these dockets: Staff/Intervenor Rebuttal Testimony was due September 19, 2008; Company/SPP Surrebuttal Testimony was due October 17, 2008.</p> <p>SPP filed Jay Caspary’s Rebuttal Testimony on October 14, 2008.</p>

		<p>Proposed Turk Station and Related Facilities</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on February 5, 2008.</p>	<p>Consecutive hearings were held on October 28, 2008 for 08-002-U, 08-001-U and 08-098-U.</p> <p>On November 24, 2008, the APSC General Staff filed its Post-hearing Reply Brief in which Staff requests that the Commission grant SWEPCO its three separate CECPNs for the transmission lines necessary to serve the Turk Plant for the preferred routes, subject to the conditions outlined in the testimony of Staff witness Clark D. Cotton to which SWEPCO has agreed.</p> <p>On January 20, 2009, APSC issued an order granting the CECPN.</p> <p>Several parties filed applications for rehearing. In Order No. 13, issued on March 13, 2009, the Commission denied the applications for rehearing.</p>
467.	Arkansas 08-098-U	<p>In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need (“CECPN”) for the Construction, Ownership, Operating and Maintenance of a 345 kV Transmission Line Originating at the NW Texarkana Station Located Near Leary, Bowie County, Texas and Terminating at a Proposed Station to be Constructed at the John W. Turk, Jr. Generation Facility Located in Hempstead County, Arkansas</p>	<p>On July 1, 2008, SWEPCO filed a CECPN Application to construct a new 345 kV transmission line originating at the existing NW Texarkana Station near the city of Leary, Bowie County, Texas, traversing approximately 30 miles through Bowie County, Texas, and Hempstead, Miller and Little River Counties, Arkansas, and terminating at the proposed Turk Station located on the premises of the John W. Turk, Jr. Generation Facility in Hempstead County, Arkansas.</p> <p>The proposed Turk Station is the subject of pending CECPN applications in APSC Docket Nos. 08-001-U and 08-002-U.</p> <p>The authorization for the construction of the Turk Generation Facility was established in Docket No. 06-154-U by Order No. 11 entered on November 21, 2007.</p> <p>Direct Testimony has been filed by SWEPCO witnesses Terri Gallup, George Carpenter, Rob Reid, and Bob Tumilty.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene in this proceeding on July 1, 2008. SPP is prepared to file the Direct Testimony of Jay Caspary as soon as its intervention is granted.</p> <p>On July 9, 2008, the Parties submitted a “Joint Motion to Establish Procedural Schedules” in Docket Nos. 08-001-U, 08-002-U and 08-098-U. It is proposed that a hearing begin October 28, 2008 for Docket No. 08-002-U, with the hearing for Docket No. 08-001-U beginning immediately thereafter, followed by the hearing for Docket No. 08-098-U.</p> <p>SWEPCO has agreed to waive the requirement for beginning the hearing within 180 days on the first two CECPN applications.</p> <p>On August 4, 2008, the APSC established a procedural schedule in these dockets: Staff/Intervenor Rebuttal Testimony was due September 19, 2008; Company/SPP Surrebuttal Testimony was due October 17, 2008.</p> <p>SPP filed Jay Caspary’s Rebuttal Testimony on October 14, 2008.</p> <p>Consecutive hearings were held on October 28, 2008 for 08-002-U, 08-001-U and 08-098-U.</p>

			<p>On November 24, 2008, the APSC General Staff filed its Post-hearing Reply Brief in which Staff requests that the Commission grant SWEPCO its three separate CECPNs for the transmission lines necessary to serve the Turk Plant for the preferred routes, subject to the conditions outlined in the testimony of Staff witness Clark D. Cotton to which SWEPCO has agreed.</p> <p>On January 20, 2009, APSC issued an order granting the CECPN.</p> <p>Several parties filed applications for rehearing. In Order No. 9, issued on March 13, 2009, the Commission denied the applications for rehearing.</p>
<p>468.</p>	<p><b>Arkansas 08-135-R</b></p>	<p>In the Matter of Amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Arkansas Public Service Commission</p>	<p>On September 25, 2008, the APSC opened this docket for the purpose of amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure.</p> <p>The Commission will appoint as members of a Drafting Committee ("DC") certain attorneys who have regularly appeared before the Commission for many years and therefore have extensive experience with practice before the Commission under the Rules. Any attorney with such practice and experience may request appointment by filing within seven (7) days of the date of this Order a letter requesting appointment. Thereafter, the Commission will designate the specific members of the DC. The DC shall include as appropriate and needed the Secretary of the Commission and the Information Technology Director of the Commission. The Chairman of the DC shall be Ms. Valerie Boyce, General Counsel for the General Staff of the Commission. Once the members are appointed, the DC shall develop and file within seventy-five (75) days the initial draft of a proposed comprehensive rewrite of the Rules.</p> <p>Thereafter, the Commission will establish a procedural schedule for the filing of initial and reply comments and a public hearing on the proposed amended Rules.</p> <p>The Secretary of the Commission shall serve a copy of this Order on all jurisdictional public utilities, the Attorney General of the State of Arkansas, the General Staff of the Commission and upon legal counsel for the Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers, Inc. and the Arkansas Gas Consumers, Inc. Such entities may become official parties to this Docket by filing within twenty (20) days of this Order a letter stating the intent to participate as an official party. A formal petition to intervene will not be required of such entities. Other entities desiring official party status shall file a formal petition to intervene pursuant to Rule 3.04 of the Rules.</p> <p>On October 10, 2008, the Commission issued Order No. 2, appointing the members of the Draft Committee for the Rules of Practice and Procedure.</p> <p>On November 3, 2008, the Commission issued Order No. 3, extending the deadline for the filing the initial draft of the proposed comprehensive rewrite of the Rules to June 1, 2009.</p> <p>On April 7, 2009, the Drafting Committee filed a Motion to Amend the Filing Deadline Established by Order No. 3 and to Authorize a Pilot Electronic Filing Program. The Drafting Committee proposes that the filing date be revised from June 1, 2009 to December 1, 2009. The Drafting Committee also encourages the Commission to authorize the Secretary of the Commission, with the assistance of the Drafting Committee, to implement a pilot electronic filing program during the pendency of this docket, so that the parties may use their actual experience in electronic filing</p>



			<p>processes during the drafting process.</p> <p>SPP outside counsel Ed Skinner of Wright, Berry, Hughes &amp; Moore serves on the Drafting Committee.</p>
469.	Arkansas 08-136-U	<p>In the Matter of an Inquiry into Electric Transmission Issues within the Areas Served by the Southwest Power Pool Regional Transmission Organization and the Entergy Corporation as such Issues Affect Electric Service within Arkansas</p> <p>SPP is a party sua sponte to this proceeding.</p>	<p>On September 25, 2008, the APSC opened this docket to inquire into electric transmission issues within the areas served by the Southwest Power Pool RTO and Entergy as those issues might impact the electric service within Arkansas.</p> <p>The SPP-RTO, all jurisdictional electric public utilities, the General Staff of the Commission, the Attorney General and the Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers were granted official party status.</p> <p>The SPP-RTO is to file a briefing document not less than 30 days after the filing with FERC of the next quarterly report of the SPP-RTO as the ICT (Independent Coordinator of Transmission) and should also include a briefing of the monthly activities of the SPP-RTO market monitor during 2008.</p> <p>After that filing, the Commission will establish an expanded procedural schedule allowing for reply comments and public hearings as needed.</p> <p>On October 17, 2008, APSC issued an Order of Clarification. It requires SPP Inc. to file the most recent ICT Quarterly Report and the most recent monthly Market Monitoring Report by October 30, 2008. It also requires us, from today forward, to file these reports contemporaneously with APSC as they are filed with FERC (no limit on how long we have to do this). The remainder of the briefing document would be due January 15, 2009.</p> <p>On October 30, 2008, SPP filed the ICT Quarterly Performance Report (3 Quarter 2008) and the September 2008 Market Monitoring Report.</p> <p>On November 17, 2008, SPP filed the October 2008 Market Monitoring Report.</p> <p>On December 17, 2008, SPP filed the November 2008 Market Monitoring Report.</p> <p>On December 23, 2008, SPP filed the ICT Quarterly Performance Report (4 Quarter 2008).</p> <p>On January 2, 2009, SPP filed a Motion for an Extension of Time to File Briefing Document. An extension to March 16, 2009 was requested.</p> <p>On January 9, 2009, APSC granted SPP's request for extension of time. The remainder of the briefing document was due March 16, 2009.</p> <p>On February 12, 2009, SPP filed the ICT's Annual Performance Report for the period of November 17, 2007 through</p>

			<p>November 17, 2008.</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, APSC issued order No. 7, setting an April 6, 2009 public comment hearing on the ICT's Annual Performance Report.</p> <p>On March 13, 2009, Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation, Entegra Power Group, LLC, Entergy Arkansas, Inc., and SUEZ Energy Marketing, NA, Inc. filed comments regarding the ICT Annual Report. Southwestern Electric Power Company, Oklahoma Gas &amp; Electric Company, and Empire District Electric Company filed joint comments.</p> <p>On March 16, 2009, SPP filed the Briefing Document, as requested by the Commission. This document included a broad overview of the SPP RTO and ICT, as well as a large number of Exhibits which include the 2008 monthly market monitoring reports not previously filed with the Commission, and the ICT's Annual Performance Report for 2007.</p> <p>On April 1, 2009, SPP filed the ICT's First Quarterly Performance Report for 2009.</p> <p>On April 6, 2009, the APSC held a public comment hearing regarding the ICT Annual Performance Report for 2008. Bruce Rew participated as the sponsoring witness for SPP.</p> <p>SPP continues to file the Market Monitoring Report on a monthly basis. The ICT Performance Report is filed quarterly.</p>
470.	Arkansas 08-137-U	In the Matter of the Consideration of Innovative Approaches to Ratebase, Rate of Return Ratemaking Including, but not limited to, Annual Earnings Reviews, Formula Rates, and Incentive Rates for Jurisdictional Electric and Natural Gas Public Utilities	<p>On September 25, 2008, the APSC opened this docket for the consideration of innovative approaches to utility regulation in Arkansas to address the many challenges facing the electric and natural gas public utility industries. The Attorney General, Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers, Arkansas Gas Consumers and the General Staff of the Arkansas Public Service Commission and all jurisdictional gas and electric companies were granted party status and allowed 45 days to file comments.</p> <p>SPP filed comments on November 7, 2008.</p> <p>Reply comments were due on February 3, 2009, pursuant to Order No. 3 issued on December 2, 2008.</p> <p>SPP filed its Reply Comments on February 3, 2009.</p> <p>On February 26, 2009, APSC issued Order No. 6, stating that Final Surreply Comments are due by Noon on March 27, 2009.</p> <p>Final Surreply Comments were due March 27, 2009, and were filed by the Electric Cooperatives of Arkansas, Centerpoint Energy Resources Corp., APSC General Staff, Entergy Arkansas, Inc., the Arkansas Attorney General, and the National Audubon Society.</p> <p>A public hearing has not yet been calendared.</p>
471.	Arkansas	In the Matter of a	On October 7, 2008, the APSC opened this docket to explore the expanded development of Sustainable Energy

	<p><b>08-144-U</b></p>	<p>Notice of Inquiry regarding the Expanded Development of Sustainable Energy Resources in Arkansas</p>	<p>Resources (SER) within the State of Arkansas with the end result being the development of a Sustainable Energy Resources Guide for this Commission to use in promoting SER initiatives.</p> <p>Parties to dockets 06-004-R, 06-028-R, 08-136-U and 08-137-U, General Staff of the Arkansas Public Service Commission and the Attorney General are invited to participate in the Collaborative and to submit comments, respond to the questions posed in this and subsequent orders and to make suggestions for the efficient and productive conduct of this inquiry by December 15, 2008.</p> <p>The first collaborative sessions will be the jointly-sponsored appearance of Duke Energy Chief Executive Officer Jim Rogers at the William Jefferson Clinton School of Public Service on October 14, 2008. This will be followed by the Commission's hosting a panel of invited utility CEOs to present company position on SER issues and respond to written and oral questions from parties and the Commission at a date to be determined. The Commission will sponsor other public forums and guest speakers to address topics of interest to the Commission and the Collaborative.</p> <p>SPP filed comments on December 15, 2008.</p> <p>On February 12, 2009, APSC issued Order No. 7, stating Reply Comments are due April 3, 2009. In addition, all Parties are directed to file a separate set of Initial Comments on the Public Utility Regulatory Polices Act of 1978 ("PURPA") ratemaking standards as described within the Order. Reply Comments on the PURPA ratemaking standards are due April 24, 2009.</p> <p>Pursuant to Order No. 9, issued March 24, 2009, the deadline for filing Reply Comments to the initial comments filed in this docket has been extended to <b>April 24, 2009</b>; initial comments on the four new ratemaking standards added to Section 111(d) of PURPA has been extended to <b>April 24, 2009</b>; a best estimate of the impact on customer rates and the utilities themselves of leading proposals to adopt federal Renewable Portfolio Standards and Energy Efficiency Resource Standards has been extended to <b>April 24, 2009</b>; and Reply Comments on the PURPA Ratemaking Standards has been extended to <b>May 15, 2009</b>.</p> <p>As a part of the Commission's Sustainable Energy Resources Collaborative established in this docket, on April 7, 2009, the APSC and Clinton School of Public Service hosted Duke Energy Chairman and CEO Jim Rogers for a lecture on energy efficiency.</p>
<p>472.</p>	<p><b>Arkansas 08-168-U</b></p>	<p>In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Rebuild its Patterson Station Near Ashdown, Arkansas</p>	<p>On December 30, 2008, SWEPCO filed an Application for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity in order to rebuild its Patterson Station near Ashdown, Arkansas. The new facility is needed in order to interconnect the Turk Generation Facility to the electrical grid pursuant to the respective SPP Impact Study related to Generation Interconnection Request SPP GEN-2006-10.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene on December 30, 2008, requesting expedited consideration of its Petition so that it may file supporting testimony to establish compliance with the APSC's directives in Order Nos. 11 and 13 in Docket No. 06-154-U.</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on January 9, 2009, and immediately filed the Direct Testimony of Jay Caspary and Charles Hendrix.</p>

			<p>On January 21, 2009, the Commission issued Order No. 2, establishing the following procedural schedule:  February 12, 2009 - Staff and Intervenor Direct Testimony due by Noon  February 26, 2009 - SWEPCO and SPP RTO Rebuttal Testimony due by Noon  March 5, 2009 – Staff and Intervenor Surrebuttal Testimony due by Noon  March 10, 2009 – Public Hearing begins 9:30 AM</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, the Parties filed a Motion to Excuse Witnesses and Waive Hearing.</p> <p>On March 6, 2009, the Commission issued Order No. 6, granting motion to excuse witnesses and waive hearing filed by the Parties.</p> <p>On March 18, 2009, the Commission issued Order No. 7, granting SWEPCO a CCN to rebuild, operate, and maintain a transmission substation with a 138 kV breaker and a half configuration to replace the existing 138/115 kV Patterson Station and to construct the necessary transmission lines from the existing Patterson Station to the approved rebuilt Patterson Station.</p>
473.	<p><b>Kansas</b>  <b>06-SPPE-202-COC</b></p> <p><b>06-WSEE-203-MIS</b></p>	<p>In the Matter of the Application of SPP for a Certificate of Convenience and Authority for the Limited Purpose of Managing and Coordinating the Use of Certain Transmission Facilities Located within the State of Kansas</p> <p>Joint Application of Westar Energy, Inc., Kansas Gas and Electric Company, the Empire District Electric Company, Kansas City Power and Light Company (“KCPL”), Aquila, Inc., Midwest Energy, Inc. and Southwestern Public</p>	<p>On September 19, 2006 the Kansas Corporation Commission (“KCC”) issued an order in Docket Nos. 06-SPPE-202-COC and 06-WSEE-203-MIS approving: (1) the transfer of functional control of the transmission facilities to SPP; (2) SPP’s request for a certificate of convenience and authority for the limited purpose of managing and coordinating the use of said transmission facilities; and (3) KCPL and Empire’s request that authorization of the transfer of functional control of their respective transmission facilities to SPP not become effective until other commissions in states in which the KCPL and Empire operate have provided similar authorizations.</p> <p>On April 11, 2007, Mid-Kansas Electric Company (“MKEC”) reported that: the sale of Aquila’s Kansas electric utility properties to MKEC was completed and effective April 1, 2007; Aquila’s application to the SPP to place the Aquila Kansas retail load under NITS service was assigned to MKEC and approved by the SPP; and MKEC began taking SPP NITS service for its retail electric load on April 1, 2007.</p> <p>These dockets have been closed.</p>

		Service Company for Authority to Transfer Functional Control of Certain Transmission Facilities to SPP	
474.	<b>Kansas 07-SEPE-957- MIS</b>	In the Matter of the Sunflower Electric Power Corporation for Authority to Transfer Functional Control of Certain Transmission Facilities to the Southwest Power Pool, Inc.	<p>On April 17, 2007, the KCC granted Sunflower's March 9, 2007 request for approval in this docket to transfer functional control of its transmission system to SPP and take SPP NITS to serve its load.</p> <p>This docket has been closed.</p>
475.	<b>Kansas 07-WSEE- 715-MIS</b>	In the Matter of the Application of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company (collectively "Westar") for a Siting Permit for the Construction of a 345 kV Transmission Line in Sedgwick, Harvey, Reno, McPherson and Saline Counties, Kansas SPP was granted intervenor status on March 26, 2007.	<p>On May 16, 2007, the KCC issued an order approving Westar's application for a siting permit authorizing two-phase construction of a 345 kV transmission line from northwest of Wichita, Kansas to the Salina, Kansas area.</p> <p>The KCC approved Landowner Alternative I: Arkansas River to Halstead; Landowner Alternative II: Halstead to Moundridge, Moundridge to Near Buhler; Landowner Alternative III: Stover/McPherson Alternative; and Landowner Alternative IV: McReynolds Road.</p> <p>This docket has been closed.</p>
476.	<b>Kansas 08-ITCE-936- COC</b>	In the Matter of the Application of ITC Great Plains, LLC to Amend its Certificate of Public Convenience and Authority to Transact the Business of an Electric Public Utility in the State of Kansas	<p>On April 11, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed an application to for an amendment to its Certificate of Public Convenience and Authority to construct, own, operate and manage wholesale electrical transmission facilities in portions of the Counties of Ford, Kiowa, Clark and Comanche in the State of Kansas.</p> <p>This docket pertains to the initial segment of the V-Plan (northern half or Kansas portion of the "X-Plan").</p> <p>ITC Great Plains filed two other applications to amend its certificate of public convenience for the middle and final segments of the V-Plan in Docket Nos. 08-ITCE-937-000 and 08-ITCE-937-000, respectively.</p> <p>On May 8, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed its response to Westar's April 25, 2008 motion to intervene, consolidate ITC's</p>

(Ford, Kiowa, Clark and Comanche Counties)

SPP moved to intervene on April 25, 2008.

three applications into a single proceeding, or dismiss the applications for failing to provide a basis for the requested amendment to ITC Great Plains' certificate.

Westar filed a Reply on May 19, 2008.

On May 27, 2008, Kansas Power Pool filed Resolution No. 2008-2 in Docket Nos. 08-ITCE-936-COC, 08-ITCE-937-COC and 08-ITCE-938-COC. The resolution, passed by the Kansas Power Pool Board of Directors on May 14, 2008, is in support of transmission line construction and expansion in Kansas.

On June 2, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed a response to Westar's May 19, 2008 Reply.

Westar filed a response to ITC Great Plains' Response on June 16, 2008.

Sunflower Electric Cooperative, Mid-Kansas Electric Co., LLC and KCPL have moved to intervene.

On September 2, 2008, an Order Granting Petitions to Intervene was issued in Dockets 08-ITCE-936-COC, 08-ITCE-937-COC, 08-ITCE-938-COC, and 08-PWTE-1022-COC. In this order, the KCC also directed Staff to file a Report and Recommendation that (1) summarizes issues the Commission will need to decide including factual questions that will need to be determined; (2) proposes procedures to address these issues; and (3) suggests a schedule for resolving these dockets. Comments from parties regarding the Report and Recommendation are due 15 days after the report is filed.

On October 2, 2008, Staff issued the Report and Recommendation. Comments on this report were due October 20, 2008.

In the October 2, 2008 recommendation, KCC Staff recommends that these three dockets and the Prairie Wind Docket (08-PWTE-1022-COC) move forward in three phases:

- a. Phase 1a – Resolving the issues of Prairie Wind's Application for a Limited Certificate
- b. Phase 1b – Resolving the issues of consolidating the ITC dockets
- c. Phase 2 – Resolving the issue of who should receive a certificate for the proposed Wichita to Spearville Electric Circuit

SPP filed comments on October 20, 2008.

On December 18, 2008, KCC issued an Order setting the following Procedural Schedule. Hearings will be in two phases:

Phase 1: *Are these applicants qualified to receive certificates that allow them to build an EHV transmission line in Kansas?*

*Schedule for ITC Great Plains:*

Jan. 15-16 - Parties to meet to evaluate possible settlement.

Jan. 28 – Intervenors and Staff file Direct Testimony

Feb. 18 – Intervenors and Staff file testimony responding to their direct testimony  
Mar. 10 – ITC Great Plains file Rebuttal Testimony  
Mar. 24-27 – Settlement Conference (begins 9 AM)  
Mar. 31 – Prehearing Conference (begins 9 AM)  
Apr. 6-8 – Hearing before Commission (begins 9 AM)  
Apr. 20 – ITC Great Plains Post Hearing Brief Due  
May 1 – Intervenors and Staff Post Hearing Brief Due  
May 15 – ITC Great Plains Reply Brief Due  
Mid-June – Commission Order expected

*Phase 2: Which Applicant is best qualified to build this EHV line in Kansas?  
Schedule for both ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind:*

Jul. 8 – ITC and Prairie Wind file Direct Testimony  
Aug. 4-6 – Parties meet to evaluate possible settlement (begins 9 AM)  
Aug. 19 – Direct Testimony Due of “all other parties” responding to ITC’s and Prairie Wind’s Direct  
Aug. 28 – Responding Testimony Due of “all other parties” to their Direct  
Sep. 10 – ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind Rebuttal Testimony Due  
Sep. 21-23 – Settlement Conference (begins 9 AM)  
Sep. 23 – Prehearing Conference (begins 9 AM)  
Sep. 28-Oct. 2 – Hearing before Commission (begins 9 AM)  
Oct. 16 – ITC and Prairie Wind Post Hearing Briefs Due  
Oct. 30 – Responsive Post Hearing Briefs Due  
Nov. 6 – ITC and Prairie Wind Reply Briefs Due  
Dec. – Commission Order expected

On December 18, 2008, the KCC issued a Discovery Order in this case.

On December 24, 2008, the KCC issued a Protective Order in this case.

On January 5, 2009, a Petition for Reconsideration of the December 18, 2008 Order and Petition for Reconsideration and Motion of Clarification were filed by ITC Great Plains, Mid-Kansas Electric Co., LLC and Sunflower, respectively.

Discovery is ongoing.

The parties met to evaluate possible settlement on January 15-16, 2009, as directed by the KCC in its December 18, 2008 procedural order.

On January 27, 2009, Jaime Lyle McAlpine filed testimony on behalf of Chermac Energy Corporation.

On January 29, 2009, ITC Great Plains, LLC, Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC, and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Joint Motion to Stay and Motion for Extension of Time, asking that an extension be granted to

			<p>February 6, 2009 for their privilege logs to be filed.</p> <p>On February 2, 2009, Staff filed a Motion to File Amended Testimony With Exhibits. The amended testimony of Mr. Holloway is necessary to lift the confidentiality on recitations involving Article 9.4 of Exhibit D and Exhibit H of ITC's Designation Agreement (DA) with MKEC, and allow the DAs to be filed. In light of the additional public data contained in the DAs, it is necessary for Staff to supplement its direct testimony and exhibits.</p> <p>On February 4, 2009, KCC issued an Order Denying Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarifying the December 18, 2008 Order.</p> <p>On February 5, 2009, Larry Holloway filed Direct Testimony on behalf of KCC Staff.</p> <p>On February 18, 2009, Jay Caspary filed Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of SPP.</p> <p>On March 17, 2009, ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind Transmission filed a Joint Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule.</p> <p>On March 20, 2009, the Commission issued the Presiding Officer's Order Granting Joint Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule. The March 24-27, 2009 settlement dates have been vacated to allow the parties to conduct further mediation on March 24-25.</p> <p>On March 25, 2009, the Parties filed a Joint Motion to Approve Stipulation and Agreement, along with the proposed Stipulation and Agreement. The proposed stipulation and agreement reflects an agreement by the Parties that both ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind are qualified to build, negating the need for hearings on the issue in Phase 1 of these proceedings.</p> <p>A prehearing conference was held on March 31, 2009.</p> <p>On April 1, 2009, the Prehearing Officer issued an order cancelling the Phase 1 Hearings and scheduling an evidentiary hearing for April 13 to address the Joint Motion to Approve Stipulation and Agreement.</p> <p>On April 13, 2009, a hearing on the Joint Motion to Approve Stipulation and Agreement was held at the KCC in Topeka, Kansas. Les Dillahunty participated by phone, answering Commissioner questions on how Phase 2 of these proceedings fit into the SPP process, as discussed in his prior-filed testimony. Phase 2 will address which of the two applicants is best qualified to build.</p> <p>A Commission order on Phase 1 is expected soon. Meanwhile, mediation between ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind continues.</p>
477.	<b>Kansas 08-ITCE-937- COC</b>	In the Matter of the Application of ITC Great Plains, LLC to	On April 11, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed for an amendment to its Certificate of Public Convenience and Authority to construct, own, operate and manage wholesale electrical transmission facilities in portions of the Counties of Comanche, Clark and Barber, in the State of Kansas.



	<p>Amend Its Certificate of Public Convenience and Authority to Transact the Business of an Electric Public Utility in the State of Kansas. (Comanche, Clark and Barber Counties)</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene on April 25, 2008.</p>	<p>This docket pertains to the middle segment of the V-Plan (northern half or Kansas portion of the “X-Plan”).</p> <p>ITC Great Plains filed two other applications to amend its certificate of public convenience for the initial and final segments of the V-Plan in Docket Nos. 08-ITCE-936-000 and 08-ITCE-938-000, respectively.</p> <p>On May 8, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed its response to Westar’s April 25, 2008 motion to intervene, consolidate ITC’s three applications into a single proceeding, or dismiss the applications for failing to provide a basis for the requested amendment to ITC Great Plains’ certificate.</p> <p>Westar filed a Reply on May 19, 2008.</p> <p>On May 27, 2008, Kansas Power Pool filed Resolution No. 2008-2 in Docket Nos. 08-ITCE-936-COC, 08-ITCE-937-COC and 08-ITCE-938-COC. The resolution, passed by the Kansas Power Pool Board of Directors on May 14, 2008, is in support of transmission line construction and expansion in Kansas.</p> <p>On June 2, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed a response to Westar’s May 19, 2008 Reply.</p> <p>Westar filed a response to ITC Great Plains’ Response on June 16, 2008.</p> <p>Sunflower Electric Cooperative, Mid-Kansas Electric Co., LLC and KCPL have moved to intervene.</p> <p>On September 2, 2008, an Order Granting Petitions to Intervene was issued in Dockets 08-ITCE-936-COC, 08-ITCE-937-COC, 08-ITCE-938-COC, and 08-PWTE-1022-COC. In this order, the KCC also directed Staff to file a Report and Recommendation that (1) summarizes issues the Commission will need to decide including factual questions that will need to be determined; (2) proposes procedures to address these issues; and (3) suggests a schedule for resolving these dockets. Comments from parties regarding the Report and Recommendation are due 15 days after the report is filed.</p> <p>On October 2, 2008, Staff issued the Report and Recommendation. Comments on this report were due October 20, 2008.</p> <p>In the October 2, 2008 recommendation, KCC Staff recommends that these three dockets and the Prairie Wind Docket (08-PWTE-1022-COC) move forward in three phases:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Phase 1a – Resolving the issues of Prairie Wind’s Application for a Limited Certificate</li> <li>b. Phase 1b – Resolving the issues of consolidating the ITC dockets</li> <li>c. Phase 2 – Resolving the issue of who should receive a certificate for the proposed Wichita to Spearville Electric Circuit</li> </ol> <p>SPP filed comments on October 20, 2008.</p> <p>On December 18, 2008, KCC issued an Order setting the following Procedural Schedule. Hearings will be in two phases:</p>
--	--	--

Phase 1: *Are these applicants qualified to receive certificates that allow them to build an EHV transmission line in Kansas?*

*Schedule for ITC Great Plains:*

Jan. 15-16 - Parties to meet to evaluate possible settlement.

Jan. 28 – Intervenors and Staff file Direct Testimony

Feb. 18 – Intervenors and Staff file testimony responding to their direct testimony

Mar. 10 – ITC Great Plains file Rebuttal Testimony

Mar. 24-27 – Settlement Conference (begins 9 AM)

Mar. 31 – Prehearing Conference (begins 9 AM)

Apr. 6-8 – Hearing before Commission (begins 9 AM)

Apr. 20 – ITC Great Plains Post Hearing Brief Due

May 1 – Intervenors and Staff Post Hearing Brief Due

May 15 – ITC Great Plains Reply Brief Due

Mid-June – Commission Order expected

Phase 2: *Which Applicant is best qualified to build this EHV line in Kansas?*

*Schedule for both ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind:*

Jul. 8 – ITC and Prairie Wind file Direct Testimony

Aug. 4-6 – Parties meet to evaluate possible settlement (begins 9 AM)

Aug. 19 – Direct Testimony Due of “all other parties” responding to ITC’s and Prairie Wind’s Direct

Aug. 28 – Responding Testimony Due of “all other parties” to their Direct

Sep. 10 – ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind Rebuttal Testimony Due

Sep. 21-23 – Settlement Conference (begins 9 AM)

Sep. 23 – Prehearing Conference (begins 9 AM)

Sep. 28-Oct. 2 – Hearing before Commission (begins 9 AM)

Oct. 16 – ITC and Prairie Wind Post Hearing Briefs Due

Oct. 30 – Responsive Post Hearing Briefs Due

Nov. 6 – ITC and Prairie Wind Reply Briefs Due

Dec. – Commission Order expected

On December 18, 2008, the KCC issued a Discovery Order in this case.

On December 24, 2008, the KCC issued a Protective Order in this case.

On January 5, 2009, a Petition for Reconsideration of the December 18, 2008 Order and Petition for Reconsideration and Motion of Clarification were filed by ITC Great Plains, Mid-Kansas Electric Co., LLC and Sunflower, respectively.

Discovery is ongoing.

			<p>The parties will be meeting to evaluate possible settlement on January 15-16, 2009, as directed by the KCC in its December 18, 2008 procedural order.</p>
478.	<p><b>Kansas 08-ITCE-938- COC</b></p>	<p>In the Matter of the Application of ITC Great Plains, LLC to Amend Its Certificate of Public Convenience and Authority to Transact the Business of an Electric Public Utility in the State of Kansas. (Barber, Harper, Kingman, Sumner and Sedgwick Counties)</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene on April 25, 2008.</p>	<p>On April 11, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed for an amendment to its Certificate of Public Convenience and Authority to construct, own, operate and manage wholesale electrical transmission facilities in portions of the Counties of Barber, Harper, Kingman, Sumner and Sedgwick, in the State of Kansas.</p> <p>This docket pertains to the final segment of the V-Plan (northern half or Kansas portion of the “X-Plan”).</p> <p>ITC Great Plains filed separate applications to amend its certificate of public convenience for the initial and middle segments of the V-Plan in Docket Nos. 08-ITCE-936-000 and 08-ITCE-937-000, respectively.</p> <p>On May 8, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed its response to Westar’s April 25, 2008 motion to intervene, consolidate ITC’s three applications into a single proceeding, or dismiss the applications for failing to provide a basis for the requested amendment to ITC Great Plains’ certificate.</p> <p>Westar filed a Reply on May 19, 2008.</p> <p>On May 27, 2008, Kansas Power Pool filed Resolution No. 2008-2 in Docket Nos. 08-ITCE-936-COC, 08-ITCE-937-COC and 08-ITCE-938-COC. The resolution, passed by the Kansas Power Pool Board of Directors on May 14, 2008, is in support of transmission line construction and expansion in Kansas.</p> <p>On June 2, 2008, ITC Great Plains filed a response to Westar’s May 19, 2008 Reply.</p> <p>Westar filed a response to ITC Great Plains’ Response on June 16, 2008.</p> <p>Sunflower Electric Cooperative, Mid-Kansas Electric Co., LLC and KCPL have moved to intervene.</p> <p>On September 2, 2008, an Order Granting Petitions to Intervene was issued in Dockets 08-ITCE-936-COC, 08-ITCE-937-COC, 08-ITCE-938-COC, and 08-PWTE-1022-COC. In this order, the KCC also directed Staff to file a Report and Recommendation that (1) summarizes issues the Commission will need to decide including factual questions that will need to be determined; (2) proposes procedures to address these issues; and (3) suggests a schedule for resolving these dockets. Comments from parties regarding the Report and Recommendation are due 15 days after the report is filed.</p> <p>On October 2, 2008, Staff issued the Report and Recommendation. Comments on this report were due October 20, 2008.</p> <p>In the October 2, 2008 recommendation, KCC Staff recommends that these three dockets and the Prairie Wind Docket (08-PWTE-1022-COC) move forward in three phases:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Phase 1a – Resolving the issues of Prairie Wind’s Application for a Limited Certificate</li> <li>b. Phase 1b – Resolving the issues of consolidating the ITC dockets</li> <li>c. Phase 2 – Resolving the issue of who should receive a certificate for the proposed Wichita to Spearville Electric Circuit</li> </ol>

SPP filed comments on October 20, 2008.

On December 18, 2008, KCC issued an Order setting the following Procedural Schedule. Hearings will be in two phases:

Phase 1: *Are these applicants qualified to receive certificates that allow them to build an EHV transmission line in Kansas?*

*Schedule for ITC Great Plains:*

Jan. 15-16 - Parties to meet to evaluate possible settlement.

Jan. 28 – Intervenors and Staff file Direct Testimony

Feb. 18 – Intervenors and Staff file testimony responding to their direct testimony

Mar. 10 – ITC Great Plains file Rebuttal Testimony

Mar. 24-27 – Settlement Conference (begins 9 AM)

Mar. 31 – Prehearing Conference (begins 9 AM)

Apr. 6-8 – Hearing before Commission (begins 9 AM)

Apr. 20 – ITC Great Plains Post Hearing Brief Due

May 1 – Intervenors and Staff Post Hearing Brief Due

May 15 – ITC Great Plains Reply Brief Due

Mid-June – Commission Order expected

Phase 2: *Which Applicant is best qualified to build this EHV line in Kansas?*

*Schedule for both ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind:*

Jul. 8 – ITC and Prairie Wind file Direct Testimony

Aug. 4-6 – Parties meet to evaluate possible settlement (begins 9 AM)

Aug. 19 – Direct Testimony Due of “all other parties” responding to ITC’s and Prairie Wind’s Direct

Aug. 28 – Responding Testimony Due of “all other parties” to their Direct

Sep. 10 – ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind Rebuttal Testimony Due

Sep. 21-23 – Settlement Conference (begins 9 AM)

Sep. 23 – Prehearing Conference (begins 9 AM)

Sep. 28-Oct. 2 – Hearing before Commission (begins 9 AM)

Oct. 16 – ITC and Prairie Wind Post Hearing Briefs Due

Oct. 30 – Responsive Post Hearing Briefs Due

Nov. 6 – ITC and Prairie Wind Reply Briefs Due

Dec. – Commission Order expected

On December 18, 2008, the KCC issued a Discovery Order in this case.

On December 24, 2008, the KCC issued a Protective Order in this case.

On January 5, 2009, a Petition for Reconsideration of the December 18, 2008 Order and Petition

			<p>for Reconsideration and Motion of Clarification were filed by ITC Great Plains, Mid-Kansas Electric Co., LLC and Sunflower, respectively.</p> <p>Discovery is ongoing.</p> <p>The parties will be meeting to evaluate possible settlement on January 15-16, 2009, as directed by the KCC in its December 18, 2008 procedural order.</p>
479.	<b>Kansas 08-KMOE- 028-COC</b>	<p>In the Matter of the Application of KAMO Electric Cooperative, Inc. for a Limited Certificate of Public Convenience to Transact the Business of an Electric Public Utility in the State of Kansas</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on August 21, 2007.</p>	<p>The KCC issued an order on April 11, 2008 continuing the hearing and ordering SPP to commence a cost/benefit analysis study concerning the transmission line proposed in this proceeding. SPP presented the results of its supplemental analysis at a technical conference in Little Rock, Arkansas on June 2, 2008.</p> <p>On June 3, 2008, SPP published its final report entitled, “Supplemental SPP Analysis of Blackberry – Chouteau – GRDA1 345 kV Project Per KCC Order Issued April 11, 2008”. This study was endorsed by the TWG on June 11, 2008 as providing the opportunity for potentially impacted parties/stakeholders to participate.</p> <p>On June 20, 2008, SPP published an additional report entitled, “Alternative Solutions for AECI and KAMO: Supplemental SPP Analysis of Blackberry – Chouteau – GRDA1 345 kV Project Per KCC Order Issued April 11, 2008”.</p> <p>On June 23, 2008, SPP filed the Supplemental Testimony of Keith Tynes and the Direct Testimony of Pat Bourne.</p> <p>Supplemental testimony has also been filed by Larry Holloway of KCC Staff, Tom Stuchlik of Westar, Chris Bolick of AECI, and Chris Cariker and Ted Hilmes of KAMO.</p> <p>On July 9, 2008, the parties met at the Commission’s offices in Topeka, Kansas for a settlement conference. As a result of those efforts and subsequent discussions, a settlement was reached on July 14, 2008.</p> <p>On July 14, 2008, AECI, KAMO, SPP, Westar, and Empire filed a Joint Motion for Continuance of the evidentiary hearing from July 15-16, 2008 until July 31, 2008 at 1:30 pm.</p> <p>KAMO/AECI, SPP and KCC Staff Testimony in Support of Settlement were due July 28, 2008.</p> <p>A settlement hearing was held at the KCC in Topeka, Kansas on July 31, 2008.</p> <p>On August 12, 2008, the KCC issued an “Order Approving Unanimous Settlement” granting AECI/KAMO a limited certificate of public convenience to construct, own, and operate the proposed transmission line pursuant to the terms of the Agreement.</p> <p>AECI shall provide the KCC and the parties and update <b>one year after the date the Order becomes final</b> as to the progress of further agreement between AECI and SPP on congestion management and other issues.</p> <p>On September 10, 2008, the Joint Operating Agreement executed pursuant to the August 12, 2008 Order was filed with</p>

			FERC in Docket ER08-1516. On October 20, 2008, FERC issued a letter order accepting the filing, effective August 12, 2008.
480.	<b>Kansas 08-PWTE- 1022-COC</b>	In the Matter of the Application of Prairie Wind Transmission, LLC for a Certificate of Public Convenience to Transact the Business of an Electric Public Utility in Ford, Kiowa, Clark, Comanche, Barber, Pratt, Harper, Kingman, Sumner and Sedgwick Counties, Kansas.	<p>On May 19, 2008, Prairie Wind Transmission, LLC filed an application for a certificate of public convenience and authority to site, construct, own, operate and maintain bulk electric transmission facilities in the State of Kansas.</p> <p>As an initial project, Prairie Wind proposes to construct a new 765 kV transmission system comprised of two segments. Prairie Wind anticipates that one segment will run west-southwest from a new 765 kV or existing substation near Wichita, Kansas to a new 765 kV substation near Medicine Lodge, Kansas and then west-northwest to a new or existing station near Spearville, Kansas and that the other segment will run from the new Medicine Lodge 765 kV substation south-southwest to the Kansas-Oklahoma border.</p> <p>The Direct Testimony of Kelly Harrison (Westar), Lisa Barton (AEP), Mark Ruelle (Westar) and Wayne Irmiter (MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company) has been filed on behalf of Prairie Wind.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene in this proceeding on June 6, 2008. ITC Great Plains and KCPL have also moved to Intervene.</p> <p>On September 2, 2008, an Order Granting Petitions to Intervene was issued in Dockets 08-ITCE-936-COC, 08-ITCE-937-COC, 08-ITCE-938-COC, and 08-PWTE-1022-COC. In this order, the KCC also directed Staff to file a Report and Recommendation that (1) summarizes issues the Commission will need to decide including factual questions that will need to be determined; (2) proposes procedures to address these issues; and (3) suggests a schedule for resolving these dockets. Comments from parties regarding the Report and Recommendation are due 15 days after the report is filed.</p> <p>On October 2, 2008, Staff issued the Report and Recommendation. Comments on this report were due October 20, 2008.</p> <p>In the October 2, 2008 report, KCC Staff recommends that this docket and the three ITC Great Plains dockets (08-ITCE-936-COC, 08-ITCE-937-COC, 08-ITCE-938-COC) move forward in three phases:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Phase 1a – Resolving the issues of Prairie Wind’s Application for a Limited Certificate</li> <li>b. Phase 1b – Resolving the issues of consolidating the ITC dockets</li> <li>c. Phase 2 – Resolving the issue of who should receive a certificate for the proposed Wichita to Spearville Electric Circuit</li> </ol> <p>SPP filed comments on October 20, 2008.</p> <p>On December 18, 2008, KCC issued an Order setting the following Procedural Schedule. Hearings will be in two phases:</p> <p>Phase 1: <i>Are these applicants qualified to receive certificates that allow them to build an EHV transmission line in Kansas?</i></p>

*Schedule for Prairie Wind Transmission:*

Jan. 15-16 - Parties to meet to evaluate possible settlement.  
Feb. 4 – Intervenors and Staff file Direct Testimony  
Feb. 25 – Intervenors and Staff file testimony responding to their direct testimony  
Mar. 19 – ITC Great Plains file Rebuttal Testimony  
Mar. 24-27 – Settlement Conference (begins 9 AM)  
Apr. 9 – Prehearing Conference (begins 9 AM)  
Apr. 13-15 – Hearing before Commission (begins 9 AM)  
Apr. 27 – Prairie Wind Post Hearing Brief Due  
May 8 – Intervenors and Staff Post Hearing Brief Due  
May 22 – Prairie Wind Reply Brief Due  
Mid-June – Commission Order expected

Phase 2: *Which Applicant is best qualified to build this EHV line in Kansas?*  
*Schedule for both ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind:*

Jul. 8 – ITC and Prairie Wind file Direct Testimony  
Aug. 4-6 – Parties meet to evaluate possible settlement (begins 9 AM)  
Aug. 19 – Direct Testimony Due of “all other parties” responding to ITC’s and Prairie Wind’s Direct  
Aug. 28 – Responding Testimony Due of “all other parties” to their Direct  
Sep. 10 – ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind Rebuttal Testimony Due  
Sep. 21-23 – Settlement Conference (begins 9 AM)  
Sep. 23 – Prehearing Conference (begins 9 AM)  
Sep. 28-Oct. 2 – Hearing before Commission (begins 9 AM)  
Oct. 16 – ITC and Prairie Wind Post Hearing Briefs Due  
Oct. 30 – Responsive Post Hearing Briefs Due  
Nov. 6 – ITC and Prairie Wind Reply Briefs Due  
Dec. – Commission Order expected

On December 18, 2008, the KCC issued a Discovery Order in this case.

On December 24, 2008, the KCC issued a Protective Order in this case.

On January 5, 2009, a Petition for Reconsideration of the December 18, 2008 Order and Petition for Reconsideration and Motion of Clarification were filed by ITC Great Plains, Mid-Kansas Electric Co., LLC and Sunflower, respectively.

Discovery is ongoing.

The parties met to evaluate possible settlement on January 15-16, 2009, as directed by the KCC in its December 18, 2008 procedural order.

On January 27, 2009, Jaime Lyle McAlpine filed testimony on behalf of Chermac Energy Corporation.

On January 29, 2009, ITC Great Plains, LLC, Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC, and Sunflower Electric Power Corporation filed a Joint Motion to Stay and Motion for Extension of Time, asking that an extension be granted to February 6, 2009 for their privilege logs to be filed.

On February 2, 2009, Staff filed a Motion to File Amended Testimony With Exhibits. The amended testimony of Mr. Holloway is necessary to lift the confidentiality on recitations involving Article 9.4 of Exhibit D and Exhibit H of ITC's Designation Agreement (DA) with MKEC, and allow the DAs to be filed. In light of the additional public data contained in the DAs, it is necessary for Staff to supplement its direct testimony and exhibits.

On February 4, 2009, KCC issued an Order Denying Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarifying the December 18, 2008 Order.

On February 4, 2009, Larry Holloway filed Direct Testimony on behalf of the KCC Staff. In addition, Jon Jipping and Carl Huslig filed Direct Testimony on behalf of ITC Great Plains, LLC.

On February 18, 2009, Jay Caspary filed Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of SPP.

On March 17, 2009, ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind Transmission filed a Joint Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule.

On March 20, 2009, the Commission issued the Presiding Officer's Order Granting Joint Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule. The March 24-27, 2009 settlement dates have been vacated to allow the parties to conduct further mediation on March 24-25.

On March 25, 2009, the Parties filed a Joint Motion to Approve Stipulation and Agreement, along with the proposed Stipulation and Agreement. The proposed stipulation and agreement reflects an agreement by the Parties that both ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind are qualified to build, negating the need for hearings on the issue in Phase 1 of these proceedings.

A prehearing conference was held on March 31, 2009.

On April 1, 2009, the Prehearing Officer issued an order cancelling the Phase 1 Hearings and scheduling an evidentiary hearing for April 13 to address the Joint Motion to Approve Stipulation and Agreement.

On April 13, 2009, a hearing on the Joint Motion to Approve Stipulation and Agreement was held at the KCC in Topeka, Kansas. Les Dillahunty participated by phone, answering Commissioner questions on how Phase 2 of these proceedings fit into the SPP process, as discussed in his prior-filed testimony. Phase 2 will address which of the two applicants is best qualified to build.

A Commission order on Phase 1 is expected soon. Meanwhile, mediation between ITC Great Plains and Prairie Wind continues.



<b>481.</b>	<b>Kansas 08-WSEE- 609-MIS</b>	<p>In the Matter of the Joint Application of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company (collectively "Westar") for a Siting Permit for the Construction of a 345 kV Transmission Line in Butler, Sumner and Cowley Counties, Kansas.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene on January 28, 2008, and was granted intervenor status on March 4, 2008.</p>	<p>On December 27, 2007, Westar submitted an Application for a siting permit to construct a 345 kV transmission line from the Rose Hill Substation near Rose Hill, Kansas to the Kansas/Oklahoma border.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene on January 28, 2008 and was granted intervenor status on March 4, 2008.</p> <p>An evidentiary hearing was held March 18-19, 2008.</p> <p>On April 25, 2008, the KCC issued a written order granting Westar's Application conditional upon inclusion of the "Burley/Bannon Alternative".</p> <p>A Motion for Reconsideration of Bois D-Arc, Inc. was denied by the KCC on June 16, 2008 because Petitioner was not a party to this proceeding and lacks standing to seek reconsideration.</p>
<b>482.</b>	<b>Louisiana</b>	In Re: Possible	On June 16, and 17 2008, post technical conference comments were filed on behalf of AEP/SWEPCO, Gulf States

	<p><b>R-26172 Subdocket C</b></p>	<p>Suspension of, or amendments to, the Commission's General Order dated November 3, 2006 (Market Based Mechanism Order) to make the process more efficient and to consider allowing the use of on-line auctions for competitive procurement</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene on July 13, 2007.</p>	<p>Louisiana, LLC and Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Joint Stakeholders, Louisiana Energy Users Group, SUEZ Energy North America, Calpine Corporation, and Entegra Power Group, LLC, and Cleco Power, LLC.</p> <p>Docket Nos. R-26172, Subdocket C and R-30517 have been consolidated.</p> <p>Docket No. R-30517 involves possible modifications to the September 20, 1983 General Order to allow for more expeditious certifications of limited-term resource procurements and an exception for annual and seasonal liquidated damages block energy purchases.</p> <p>On October 29, 2008, the Commission issued an order stating that electric utilities subject to the Commission's jurisdiction shall employ a market-based mechanism to support the acquisition of generating capacity or purchase power contracts intended to serve LPSC-jurisdictional retail customers. This requirement shall not apply to non-jurisdictional affiliates of a Louisiana utility except in cases where the affiliate enters into a purchase power contract on behalf of the Louisiana utility.</p>
<p>483.</p>	<p><b>Louisiana R-30738</b></p>	<p>Louisiana Public Service Commission, ex parte. In re: Identification of Regulatory Obstacles to Merchant Transmission Investment in Louisiana and Recommendation of Potential Regulatory Framework Governing Merchant Transmission Investment in Louisiana</p>	<p>This docket was opened pursuant to Commissioner Field's directive at the Commission's August 12, 2008 meeting.</p> <p>LPSC Staff is currently seeking comments on (i) any regulatory obstacles and (ii) the following possible regulatory frameworks governing the interaction of jurisdictional electric utilities and merchant transmission companies:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Should the stakeholder process (sponsored by the ICT in the case of Entergy and SPP for Cleco and SWEPCO) continue to be used to identify transmission projects that promise reliability and/or economic benefits?</li> <li>(2) Should the ICT or SPP, as the neutral third party and after weighing the arguments, advise on whether a proposed transmission project is in the public interest?</li> <li>(3) Should the utility, in whose territory the recommended project is to be located, be required to provide the Commission with the following information: (a) whether they will build the recommended transmission project (subject to contributions under the applicable cost allocation formula), the cost of building the proposed project, and when the project will be placed in service, or (b) why they will not build the recommended project?</li> <li>(4) If the utility will not build the recommended transmission project, or if a merchant transmission company can build it cheaper or quicker, should the merchant transmission company be able to petition the Commission for the right to construct the recommended project? The decision of a merchant transmission company to build or not build a particular transmission project would have no bearing on the utility's prudence obligations.</li> <li>(5) Any additional items/issues relative to the above.</li> </ol> <p>Interventions and comments were due September 16, 2008 (25 days from the publication of LPSC Bulletin No. 913, August 22, 2008).</p> <p>On September 15, 2008, SPP filed Petitions to Intervene in this matter on behalf of the SPP-RTO and the SPP-ICT.</p> <p>On October 8, 2008, the SPP-RTO and SPP-ICT filed separate comments.</p>

			<p>On February 2, 2009, Staff issued a Report and Recommendation on initial and reply comments.</p> <p>On February 4, 2009, Staff issued notice of ICT's responses to several Staff questions posted in the February 2 summary letter.</p> <p>On March 16, 2009, Cottonwood Energy Company LP filed Reply Comments in Response to LPSC Staff's Summary of Comments and Recommendation. Also on March 16, the Louisiana Energy Users Group, SUEZ Energy Marketing NA, Inc. and Entegra Power Group, LLC ("Joint Stakeholders") also filed Reply Comments regarding the Staff's Reports and Recommendations. The deadline for submitting comments on these reply comments was April 6, 2009.</p>
484.	Louisiana S-30045	SPP Request for Letter of Non-opposition to Act as a Neutral Facilitator of Study on Potential Public Benefits of Alternatives to Rate Pancaking	<p>On June 27, 2007, LPSC Staff submitted an Unopposed Motion to Revert to "S Docket" (Staff level) status. (This docket was initially designated as S-30045 and then redesignated as U-30045 upon the filing of interventions.)</p> <p>The LPSC granted the request of LPSC Staff on July 2, 2007, reclassifying this docket as S-30045.</p>
485.	Louisiana U-28155	<p>Application of Entergy Louisiana, Inc. and Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for Review of its Proposal to Establish an Independent Coordinator of Transmission ("ICT")</p> <p>The Louisiana Public Service Commission issued its order approving the Entergy ICT proposal on September 1, 2006.</p>	<p>On May 18, 2007, Entergy Louisiana, LLC and Entergy Gulf States, Inc. submitted a filing in compliance with the Louisiana Public Service Commission's Order No. U-28155 issued September 1, 2006, which requires submission of an additional filing for review of the WPP model.</p> <p>No activity is posted for 2008.</p>
486.	Louisiana U-27866 Subdocket B U-29702 (Consolidated)	Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company ("SWEPCO") for Certification of Contracts for the Purchase of Capacity and to Purchase, Operate, Own and	<p>On March 19, 2008, at its regularly scheduled Business and Executive Session, the LPSC unanimously approved SWEPCO's application in this docket to construct, own, and operate the Turk Plant, pursuant to the Louisiana Public Service Commission's Market Based Mechanism Order ("MBM") and LPSC's 1983 General Order.</p> <p>A hearing was held April 8-10, 2008. A written order was issued on April 29, 2008. The Commission adopted a variety of ratepayer protection and reporting conditions and SWEPCO must comply with those conditions. Post-hearing briefs have been filed.</p> <p>On October 7, 2008, the Commission issued an order in this docket authorizing SWEPCO to construct, own and</p>

		Install Peaking, Intermediate and Baseload Generating Facilities	operate a 508 MW gas-fired intermediate facility at Arsenal Hill, in downtown Shreveport, Louisiana. If costs exceed \$445 million, the cost will be borne by the shareholder, not SWEPCO's Louisiana ratepayers.
487.	Mississippi 2008-AD-158	Proceeding to Review Statewide Energy Generation Needs	<p>On April 30, 2008, the Mississippi Public Service Commission opened this docket to develop an on-going review of the five-year long-range energy needs for the State of Mississippi.</p> <p>The MPSC directed all electric utilities and subject to the Commission's jurisdiction to submit documentation and proofs as to forecasts, plans and future electric generation needs by July 31, 2008.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene in this proceeding on June 9, 2008 and was granted intervenor status on June 10, 2008.</p> <p>Initial public hearings commenced on August 18, 2008 for oral testimony and comments. Bruce Rew, on behalf of the SPP ICT, participated in the public hearing by providing a presentation on the ICT as requested by the Commission staff.</p> <p>On September 12, 2008, several parties filed closing comments regarding the August 18-21, 2008 hearings.</p> <p>This docket remains open, but has no current activity.</p>
488.	Missouri EA-2006-0309	Aquila Inc.'s Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CCN") for its already-built South Harper Facility and Peculiar Substation in Cass County, Missouri	<p>The Missouri Public Service Commission ("MoPSC") approved Aquila's CCN Application for its South Harper peaking facility and Peculiar Substation on May 23, 2006.</p> <p>On May 30, 2006, Cass County filed its application for rehearing on the order, which was denied by the MoPSC on June 1, 2006.</p> <p>This case is closed, as noticed October 18, 2006.</p>
489.	Missouri EO-2006-0141 EO-2006-0142	Empire District Electric Company and Kansas City Power and Light ("KCPL") Applications for Authority to Transfer Functional Control of Certain Transmission Assets to SPP	<p>On July 13, 2006, the MoPSC: (1) granted the motion for clarification filed by Empire, KCPL and SPP with regard to the Commission's June 13, 2006 order approving the stipulation and agreement and (2) issued an amended order approving the stipulation and agreement.</p> <p>The order became effective July 23, 2006, with the MoPSC directing that the case may be closed on July 24, 2006.</p> <p>Separate notices closing these cases were issued on October 18, 2006 (EO-2006-0142) and February 23, 2007 (EO-2006-0141).</p>
490.	Missouri EO-2008-0046	In the Matter of the Application of Aquila, Inc., d/b/a	On August 20, 2007, Aquila filed an application with the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) to transfer operational control of certain transmission assets to MISO.

		<p>Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-L&amp;P for Authority to Transfer Operational Control of Certain Transmission Assets to the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. ("MISO")</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on September 28, 2007.</p>	<p>SPP moved to intervene on September 10, 2007 and was granted intervenor status on September 28, 2007.</p> <p>An evidentiary hearing was held April 14-15, 2008 in Jefferson City, Missouri. At the conclusion of the hearing, the parties were directed to file post-hearing briefs addressing the meaning of the "not detrimental to the public interest" standard.</p> <p>SPP filed its post-hearing brief on May 29, 2008, requesting that the Commission reject Aquila's Application.</p> <p>On October 9, 2008, the Commission issued an order stating that Aquila's proposal to transfer operational control of its transmission assets to Midwest ISO would cause a detriment to the public interest and on that basis, Aquila's application is denied. The order goes on to state: "As established by the independent and credible cost benefit analysis performed by CRA International, the net benefit to Aquila of joining Midwest ISO would be approximately \$65 million less over then years than the net benefit it could obtain by joining Southwest Power Pool."</p> <p>On October 20, 2008, the Commission issued a Notice Closing Case.</p>
491.	<p><b>Missouri</b> <b>EO-2008-0134</b></p>	<p>In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company for Authority to Continue the Transfer of Functional Control of its Transmission System to the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. ("MISO")</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on December 4, 2007.</p>	<p>On November 1, 2007, AmerenUE filed an application with the MoPSC to continue the transfer of functional control of its transmission system to MISO through April 30, 2012.</p> <p>SPP moved to intervene on November 21, 2007 and was granted intervenor status on December 4, 2007.</p> <p>On June 30, 2008, Ameren, MISO and the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers submitted for MoPSC consideration and approval a Stipulation and Agreement to resolve all issues in this proceeding.</p> <p>Statements of Non-objection have been filed by Empire, Aquila, SPP and Kansas City Power and Light, respectively.</p> <p>On July 15, 2008, the MoPSC issued an order scheduling an on-the-record presentation for <b>July 29, 2008</b> in Jefferson City, Missouri to allow the Commissioners an opportunity to question the parties about the Stipulation and Agreement.</p> <p>On July 16, 2008, AmerenUE filed a motion to reschedule the on-the-record presentation in this docket for either August 5, 6 or 7, 2008. The MoPSC has not yet acted on this request.</p> <p>On September 9, 2008, an Order Approving Stipulation and Agreement was issued. Based on the Stipulation and Agreement, the Commission finds that AmerenUE's continued participation in Midwest ISO on an interim and conditional basis is not contrary to the public interest.</p> <p>On December 1, 2008, Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, filed a motion for extension of time to file status report that is required by the stipulation and agreement issued on September 9.</p> <p>On December 12, 2008, Missouri PSC issued an Order Granting Additional Time to File Status Report. The status report was due December 22, 2008.</p>

			<p>On December 22, 2008, Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, filed its Status Report pursuant to paragraph 15 of the Stipulation and Agreement. Paragraph 15 of the Stipulation and Agreement provides that AmerenUE will provide a status report respecting the progress of discussions with the Midwest ISO regarding the risks outlined in paragraph 12 of the Stipulation and Agreement.</p>
492.	<p><b>Missouri EO-2009-0179</b></p>	<p>In the Matter of the Application of KCP&amp;L Greater Missouri Operations Company (“KCP&amp;L-GMO”) for Authority to Transfer Functional Control of Certain Assets to Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (“SPP”)</p>	<p>On November 12, 2008, KCP&amp;L Greater Missouri Operations Company filed an application for authority to transfer functional control of its transmission system (60 kV and above) to SPP. KCP&amp;L-GMO requests approval within 90 days, or by February 10, 2009.</p> <p>On November 19, 2008, SPP filed a Motion to Intervene. The motion was granted on December 19, 2008.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, Missouri PSC issued an Order Granting Interventions.</p> <p>A Prehearing Conference was held on January 7, 2009.</p> <p>On January 27, 2009, KCP&amp;L-GMO, MoPSC Staff, Office of Public Counsel, The Empire District Electric Company, Dogwood Energy, LLC, and SPP submitted a Stipulation and Agreement in this docket. This Stipulation and Agreement was approved by the MoPSC on February 4, 2009, to become effective February 10, 2009.</p> <p>Included as a part of the Stipulation and Agreement was Attachment B, an Agreement for the Provision of Transmission Service to Missouri Bundled Retail Load, Attachment B serves to ensure that the MoPSC continues to set the transmission component of KCP&amp;L’s rates to serve its Missouri Bundled Retail Load.</p> <p>SPP is preparing to file Attachment B and the Network Agreements for KCP&amp;L- GMO with FERC during the week of April 15, 2009.</p>
493.	<p><b>Missouri EW-2009-0290</b></p>	<p>In the Matter of the Consideration of Adoption of the PURPA Section 111(d)(16) Integrated Resource Planning Standard as Required by Section 532 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007</p>	<p>On December 17, 2008, MoPSC issued an Order Establishing Cases, Directing Notice, Establishing a Deadline for Submission of Intervention Requests, Setting a Prehearing Conference, and Setting Date for Filing Procedural Schedules.</p> <p>The new EISA standards, outlined in subparts a. thru e. below, amend the Public Utilities Regulatory Policy Act of 1978 (“PURPA”). Pursuant to EISA, the Commission is required to commence consideration of this standard no later than December 19, 2008, and is further required to make a determination regarding acting upon the new standards no later than December 19, 2009.</p> <p>A prehearing conference was held on February 2, 2009.</p> <p>On February 6, 2009, the MoPSC issued an Order Regarding Classification of Dockets and Ex Parte Rule, re-classifying these dockets as workshops. This case was originally designated as EO-2009-0248. On February 9, 2009, the Commission closed the original document number.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, the Commission’s Staff filed a pleading suggesting that, to the extent the Commission needs to consider the PURPA standards in this docket, it can review the new standards during workshops previously scheduled</p>

			<p>to review Chapter 22 of the Commission’s regulations.</p> <p>On March 25, 2009, the Commission set a deadline of April 15, 2009, for responses addressing the following issues with regard to each standard: (1) does the prior state action exemption apply to any of the new PURPA standards encompassed within the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007; and (2) should the Commission engage in rulemaking, or some other procedure to adopt any of the new PURPA standards encompassed within the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. SPP does not plan to file a response.</p>
494.	Missouri EW-2009-0291	<p>In the Matter of the Consideration of Adoption) of the PURPA Section 111(d)(17) Rate Design Modifications to Promote Energy Efficiency Investments Standard as Required by Section 532 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007</p>	<p>On December 17, 2008, MoPSC issued an Order Establishing Cases, Directing Notice, Establishing a Deadline for Submission of Intervention Requests, Setting a Prehearing Conference, and Setting Date for Filing Procedural Schedules.</p> <p>The new EISA standards, outlined in subparts a. thru e. below, amend the Public Utilities Regulatory Policy Act of 1978 (“PURPA”). Pursuant to EISA, the Commission is required to commence consideration of this standard no later than December 19, 2008, and is further required to make a determination regarding acting upon the new standards no later than December 19, 2009.</p> <p>A prehearing conference was held on February 2, 2009.</p> <p>On February 6, 2009, the MoPSC issued an Order Regarding Classification of Dockets and Ex Parte Rule, re-classifying these dockets as workshops. This case was originally designated as EO-2009-0248. On February 9, 2009, the Commission closed the original document number.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, the Commission’s Staff filed a pleading suggesting that, to the extent the Commission needs to consider the PURPA standards in this docket, it can review the new standards during workshops previously scheduled to review Chapter 22 of the Commission’s regulations.</p> <p>On March 25, 2009, the Commission set a deadline of April 15, 2009, for responses addressing the following issues with regard to each standard: (1) does the prior state action exemption apply to any of the new PURPA standards encompassed within the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007; and (2) should the Commission engage in rulemaking, or some other procedure to adopt any of the new PURPA standards encompassed within the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. SPP does not plan to file a response.</p>
495.	Missouri EW-2009-0292	<p>In the Matter of the Consideration of Adoption of the PURPA Section 111(d)(16) Consideration of Smart Grid Investments Standard as Required by Section 1307 of the</p>	<p>On December 17, 2008, MoPSC issued an Order Establishing Cases, Directing Notice, Establishing a Deadline for Submission of Intervention Requests, Setting a Prehearing Conference, and Setting Date for Filing Procedural Schedules.</p> <p>The new EISA standards, outlined in subparts a. thru e. below, amend the Public Utilities Regulatory Policy Act of 1978 (“PURPA”). Pursuant to EISA, the Commission is required to commence consideration of this standard no later than December 19, 2008, and is further required to make a determination regarding acting upon the new standards no later than December 19, 2009.</p> <p>A prehearing conference was held on February 2, 2009.</p>

		Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007	<p>On February 6, 2009, the MoPSC issued an Order Regarding Classification of Dockets and Ex Parte Rule, re-classifying these dockets as workshops. This case was originally designated as EO-2009-0248. On February 9, 2009, the Commission closed the original document number.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, the Commission's Staff filed a pleading suggesting that, to the extent the Commission needs to consider the PURPA standards in this docket, it can review the new standards during workshops previously scheduled to review Chapter 22 of the Commission's regulations.</p> <p>On March 25, 2009, the Commission set a deadline of April 15, 2009, for responses addressing the following issues with regard to each standard: (1) does the prior state action exemption apply to any of the new PURPA standards encompassed within the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007; and (2) should the Commission engage in rulemaking, or some other procedure to adopt any of the new PURPA standards encompassed within the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. SPP does not plan to file a response.</p>
496.	Missouri EW-2009-0293	In the Matter of the Consideration of Adoption of the PURPA Section 111(d)(17) Smart Grid Information Standard as Required by Section 1307 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007	<p>On December 17, 2008, MoPSC issued an Order Establishing Cases, Directing Notice, Establishing a Deadline for Submission of Intervention Requests, Setting a Prehearing Conference, and Setting Date for Filing Procedural Schedules.</p> <p>The new EISA standards, outlined in subparts a. thru e. below, amend the Public Utilities Regulatory Policy Act of 1978 ("PURPA"). Pursuant to EISA, the Commission is required to commence consideration of this standard no later than December 19, 2008, and is further required to make a determination regarding acting upon the new standards no later than December 19, 2009.</p> <p>A prehearing conference was held on February 2, 2009.</p> <p>On February 6, 2009, the MoPSC issued an Order Regarding Classification of Dockets and Ex Parte Rule, re-classifying these dockets as workshops. This case was originally designated as EO-2009-0248. On February 9, 2009, the Commission closed the original document number.</p> <p>On February 23, 2009, the Commission's Staff filed a pleading suggesting that, to the extent the Commission needs to consider the PURPA standards in this docket, it can review the new standards during workshops previously scheduled to review Chapter 22 of the Commission's regulations.</p> <p>On March 25, 2009, the Commission set a deadline of April 15, 2009, for responses addressing the following issues with regard to each standard: (1) does the prior state action exemption apply to any of the new PURPA standards encompassed within the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007; and (2) should the Commission engage in rulemaking, or some other procedure to adopt any of the new PURPA standards encompassed within the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. SPP does not plan to file a response.</p>
497.	Missouri EW-2009-0324	In the Matter of a Repository File Regarding	<p>The first Renewable Energy Workshop was held March 9, 2009, with initial comments on RES Proposed Rule Rev 4 due to MoPSC Staff on March 20.</p>



		Renewable Energy Workshop	<p>Comments were filed by Ameren UE; Sol Systems, LLC; the Missouri Department of Conservation, Midwest Solar Distributors, Sun Edison, Decent Energy, and Iberdrola Renewables.</p> <p>Additional workshops were scheduled for April 13, 2009 (second workshop) and July 30-31, 2009 (third workshop).</p>
498.	<p><b>Circuit Court of Cass County Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Case No. 06CA-CV01698</b></p>	<p><u>State Ex Rel. Cass County, Missouri v. Public Service Commission for the State of Missouri</u></p>	<p>On June 2, 2006, Cass County filed its Petition for Writ of Certiorari or Review, which was issued by the Court on June 6, 2006.</p> <p>On October 20, 2006, the Circuit Court of Cass County issued a judgment on writ of certiorari review holding that the Missouri Public Service Commission exceeded its statutory authority in issuing the order, and, therefore, the order is unlawful and must be set aside.</p> <p>The Court also held that the MoPSC’s order unreasonably failed to impose a condition on the CCNs awarded Aquila that would have required Aquila to secure local zoning approval.</p> <p>This case was appealed to the Missouri Court of Appeals for the Western District, as noticed November 11, 2006.</p> <p>The Missouri Court of Appeals for the Western District issued an order on December 18, 2006.</p>
499.	<p><b>Circuit Court of Greene County, Missouri</b></p> <p><b>Case No. 105CC4503</b></p>	<p><u>Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. v. American Electric Power Company; Board of Public Utilities of Springfield, Missouri; Empire District Electric Company and Grand River Dam Authority (“GRDA”)</u></p> <p>Petition to reform the Amended Interchange Agreement of December 30, 1996 to conform to the true intent of the parties</p>	<p>On October 4, 2007, Empire filed an answer to Associated Electric Cooperatives’ second amended petition.</p> <p>A scheduling conference was held October 5, 2007, setting a bench trial for January 3-4 and 7, 2008.</p> <p>The notice of deposition of Carl Doner and Barry Warren was filed November 16, 2007.</p> <p>The case has since settled and, thus, been removed from the January 3, 2008 bench trial docket, as noticed November 26, 2007.</p>
500.	<p><b>New Mexico Case No. 07-00390-UT</b></p>	<p>An Investigation into the Prudence of Southwestern Public Service Company’s</p>	<p>On October 11, 2007, the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission (“NMPRC”) issued a final order granting SPS a certificate of public convenience and necessity and siting approval to construct and operate certain transmission and associated facilities. As a result of arguments raised in that hearing, the NMPRC determined that the prudence of SPS’ participation in the SPP RTO should be examined in a future proceeding.</p>

("SPS") Participation  
in the SPP RTO

On October 16, 2007, the NMPRC issued an order docketing investigation into the prudence and reasonableness of SPS' participation in the SPP RTO.

On June 24, 2008, SPS filed an unopposed motion for an order extending the deadline from July 7, 2008 to July 31, 2008 for SPS to file direct testimony in this case. Because the hearing in the SPS rate case (07-00319-UT) completed April 23, 2008, the deadline for SPS to file direct testimony had been set for July 7, 2008, pursuant to the Commission's October 16, 2007 Order.

SPS' request was granted by the NMPRC on June 25, 2008.

SPP anticipates intervening in this docket sometime in August.

SPS filed testimony July 31, 2008.

On September 11-12, 2008, SPP met with the Public Regulation Commission and the Attorney General Office for educational purposes regarding the SPP RTO.

On September 30, 2008, SPP filed a Motion for Leave to Intervene and Request for Discovery.

A pre-hearing conference was held on October 1, 2008.

Deadline to intervene was November 3, 2008.

On October 27, 2008, an Unopposed Motion to Amend Procedural Schedule was filed, requesting that the hearing be moved to April 29, 2009.

On October 31, 2008, New Mexico PRC issued an order rescheduling hearing to April 29, 2009. No other changes in the procedural schedule were made.

On November 12, 2008, SPP met with the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission, to provide more educational information regarding the SPP RTO.

On December 4, 2008, SPS submitted a confidential settlement document to the NM PRC Staff, NM AG, and NM industrials.

On January 29, 2009, an Order Amending Procedural Schedule was issued modifying the deadlines for the filing of testimony in this case.

On March 20, 2009, Staff filed an Unopposed Motion to Amend Procedural Schedule.

On March 23, 2009, the Commission issued an Order on Second Motion to Amend Procedural Schedule, requiring the parties to propose a new date for filing of Staff and Intervenor direct testimony or submit a proposed stipulation no later

			<p>than April 6, 2009.</p> <p>A settlement meeting was held in Santa Fe on April 4, 2009, to discuss the NMPRC Staff's list of issues. Staff and SPS plan to meet with the ALJ, prior to the April 23<sup>rd</sup> Prehearing Conference, to ascertain whether the scope of the case can be expanded to cover an SPS request for permission to transfer functional control to SPP and place SPS's New Mexico load under a Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement.</p> <p>On April 6, 2009, NMPRC Staff filed an Unopposed Motion to Amend Procedural Schedule, asking that the deadline to propose a new date for fling Staff and Intervenor direct testimony or submit a proposed stipulation be vacated. Staff also asked that a prehearing be set for April 23, 2009.</p> <p>On April 8, 2009, the Hearing Examiner issued an Order on Unopposed Motion to Amend Procedural Schedule. The deadline of April 6, 2009, set by the March 23 Order, is vacated and a prehearing conference is scheduled for April 23, 2009.</p> <p>A public hearing is scheduled for April 29, 2009. However, it is expected to be postponed so that the parties may continue settlement discussions.</p> <p>A two-day workshop and settlement conference is scheduled for May 19-20, 2009. There will also be an evening meeting with the New Mexico Coops on May 18, 2009.</p>
501.	<b>Texas Project 33492</b>	Rulemaking relating to the Target for Renewable Energy Resources other than Wind Power	<p>On August 6, 2007, the PUCT issued an order adopting an amendment to § 25.173, as approved at the July 20, 2007 Open Meeting.</p> <p>On January 17, 2008, Dennis E. Nixon, President of the International Bank of Commerce, filed written comments in opposition to the construction of proposed industrial wind turbine facilities on the South Texas Coast. No additional activity is posted in this project.</p>
502.	<b>Texas Project No. 33670</b>	Setting Interest Rates for Calendar Year 2008	<p>On December 5, 2007, the PUCT issued an order setting the interest rate for calendar year 2008 on deposits held by utilities at 4.69% and the interest rate for calendar year 2008 for overcharges and certain undercharges by a utility at 5.21%.</p> <p>The current rates are 4.68% and 4.91%, respectively.</p>
503.	<b>Texas Docket No. 33672</b>	<p>PUCT Staff Proceeding to Designate Competitive Renewable Energy Zones ("CREZs")</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on January 25, 2007.</p>	<p>Senate Bill 20, enacted by the Texas Legislature in 2005, established targets in megawatts of renewable electric generation capacity in the State of Texas, and required the creation and designation of "Competitive Renewable Energy Zones" (CREZs) in areas of Texas where renewable energy resources and suitable land areas were sufficient to develop such renewable generation capacity.</p> <p>The PUCT opened a rulemaking docket to create and designate the first set of CREZs, Docket Number 33672. SPP filed a Motion to Intervene in that docket on January 22, 2007. That Motion was granted on January 25, 2007. SPP subsequently filed Direct Testimony and analyses performed by SPP of Transmission Alternatives for CREZs.</p> <p>An evidentiary hearing was held in the docket on June 11, 2007. SPP participated in that hearing, and the Commission issued an Interim Order on October 2, 2007, which designated CREZs, but specifically did not assign any of those CREZs to SPP. Commissioner Parsley, in her dissent, stated that the evidence clearly established that SPP was the</p>

appropriate region for delivery of the wind energy output from CREZ Zones 1 and 4, and that “SPP provides the most beneficial and cost-effective delivery of energy from zones 1 and 4.” As a result of the Commission’s order, SPP, while still remaining a party to the docket, has not actively participated in the docket.

In the October 2007 Order, the Commission ordered ERCOT to study and create transmission plans to accommodate four levels of wind generation ranging from 12 GW to 25 GW. In June, 2008, after conducting a Transmission Optimization Study with assistance from GE and filing additional testimony, the PUCT held a hearing to discuss the results of the study.

ERCOT's Transmission Optimization study described two possible plans, A and B, for Scenario 1, (12 GW). Scenario 1B was the most popular of the two plans because of its expansion capability; it is estimated cost \$3.78 billion. Scenario 3 (24.8 GW), had the highest cost estimate at \$6.4 billion. Scenario 4, similar in capacity to Scenario 3, was the scenario requested by Commissioner Julie Parsley (which would have left the Panhandle wind generation with SPP) and involved a cost estimate of \$5.75 billion. Many of the wind developers favored Scenario 3, while other participants, including Commission Staff, supported Scenario 1B or Scenario 2 (18.5 GW), due to cost concerns (many fear ERCOT's estimates were understated) and/or concerns that much of the proposed wind generation might not actually be built. In addition to citing cost concerns, many parties criticized the GE study regarding ancillary services for its many limitations, which included the failure to identify specific impacts to ancillary services other than regulation and the failure to study wind penetration scenarios above 15 GW.

As a result of the concerns cited by so many parties, the Commissioners apparently are no longer interested in the higher level wind scenarios. All were concerned about the reliability issues noted in the GE study and the criticisms of this study. Commissioner Parsley again urged support for allowing the Panhandle wind generation to stay with SPP. Commissioner Barry Smitherman specifically commented that he would not likely approve anything above Scenario 2. Now that parties have filed their final briefs, the Commissioners plan to spend the next two Open Meetings (July 17 and July 31) discussing the CREZ docket in more detail and will likely enter a final order shortly thereafter.

In an order dated August 15, 2008, the Commission selected Scenario 2. On October 7, 2008, the Commission issued a Final Order.

On December 22, 2008, TIEC filed an appeal of the decision at the Travis County District Court in Austin seeking reversal and remand.

The CREZ transmission providers have been selected - they are listed in the final order in Docket. No. 35665 ([http://interchange.puc.state.tx.us/WebApp/Interchange/application/dbapps/filings/pgSearch\\_Results.asp?TXT\\_CNTR\\_NO=35665&TXT\\_ITEM\\_NO=1324](http://interchange.puc.state.tx.us/WebApp/Interchange/application/dbapps/filings/pgSearch_Results.asp?TXT_CNTR_NO=35665&TXT_ITEM_NO=1324)).

The first CREZ-related CCN was filed (LCRA, Docket No. 36686, McCamey area).

There are two new rulemakings (one for priority and the other for non-priority projects) for the sequencing and scheduling of CREZ CCNs (Project Nos. 36801 and 36802).

Dispatch priority for CREZ wind projects is still unsettled (Project No. 34577) - there is a workshop scheduled for

			<p>April 17, 2009.</p> <p>SPP remains a party in this docket, but has not actively participated in the docket since the issuance of the October 2, 2007 PUCT Order.</p>
504.	<b>Texas Docket No. 33687</b>	<p>Entergy Gulf States, Inc.'s ("EGSI") Transition to Competition Plan</p> <p>SPP was granted intervenor status on January 26, 2007.</p>	<p>On October 24, 2007, the PUCT issued an order abating the proceeding and instructing Entergy Gulf States (EGSI) to request SPP to conduct an analysis similar to that performed by ERCOT in their Phase II Entergy Integration Report and completed in 2006.</p> <p>The PUCT issued a two-paragraph "Order Clarifying Order Abating Docket" on November 28, 2007, requiring EGSI to provide an updated cost-benefit analysis of EGSI's remaining in the Southeastern Electric Reliability Council power region, approving SPP's study work plan, and directing that EGSI pay SPP's costs for completing the study.</p> <p>SPP is in the process of conducting that analysis.</p> <p>SPP and ERCOT filed status reports in this proceeding on April 29, 2008.</p> <p>The first four stakeholder meetings were held on February 21, April 16, June 20, and September 16, 2008.</p> <p>The fifth stakeholder meeting was held on December 1, 2008.</p> <p>On December 4, 2008, SPP filed a Notice of Postponement stating the study report will be filed on or before December 17, 2008.</p> <p>On December 5, 2008, ERCOT filed its Phase II Study Update.</p> <p>On December 17, 2008, SPP filed its SPP-ETI QPR Study Report.</p> <p>On December 18, 2008, the PUCT issued Order 31 unabating the proceeding.</p> <p>A prehearing conference was held on January 8, 2009.</p> <p>The SPP Technical Conference was held on February 11, 2009. SPP responded to questions from the following parties: Alliance for Retail Markets, Cottonwood Energy Company, LP, Denton Municipal Electric, East Texas Electric Cooperatives, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Cities, Shell Energy North America (US) LP, Tenaska Power Generation Companies, and Texas Industrial Energy Consumers. A conference call was held on February 17, 2009, in order to address remaining questions not covered on February 11.</p> <p>On February 25, 2009, SPP submitted Revised Responses to Questions from the First Technical Conference.</p> <p>On February 26, 2009, ERCOT filed a Statement of Intention to Revise Phase III Study Report, which was previously submitted to PUCT on December 5, 2008. ERCOT discovered that the list of multiple-element contingencies in its analysis was incomplete.</p>

			<p>Also on February 26, As a result of ERCOT’s filing, Entergy Texas, Inc. filed a Motion for Postponement of Updated TTC Filing.</p> <p>A prehearing conference was held on March 5, 2009 to discuss the remainder of the procedural schedule. The March 24, 2009 ERCOT and SPP Technical Conference has been postponed due to ERCOT’s need to re-file their study report at a future date. The parties shall jointly file a proposed procedural schedule by March 31, 2009.</p> <p>On March 31, 2009, ETI filed the Joint Proposed Procedural Schedule.</p> <p>On April 3, 2009, the Commission issued Order No. 36 setting the following procedural schedule:  April 15, 2009: ERCOT files revised Phase III Study Report  April 29, 2009: ETI files updated TTC Plan  May 4, 2009: Deadline to submit questions for technical conference with ERCOT  May 8, 2009: Pre-hearing conference to address remainder of procedural schedule  May 15, 2009: Technical conference with ERCOT  May 15, 2009: Deadline to submit questions for technical conference with SPP  June 2, 2009: Technical conference with SPP and, as needed, ERCOT</p>
505.	Texas Docket No. 33891	Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company (“SWEPCO”) for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorization for a Coal-fired Power Plant in Arkansas (proposed Turk Coal Plant)	<p>On February 20, 2007, SWEPCO filed a petition with the PUCT seeking CCN authorization for the proposed 600 MW Turk Coal Plant. On November 21, 2007, the Arkansas Public Service Commission granted SWEPCO CECPN approval for the Turk Coal Plant in Docket No. 06-154-U.</p> <p>A hearing on the merits was held May 29 -30, 2008. Initial post-hearing briefs were due June 13, 2008, and reply briefs were due June 20, 2008. No order in the case has been issued.</p> <p>On August 12, 2008, the Commission issued an order conditionally approving SWEPCO’s application, as modified by and subject to the limitations contained in the Order. The Commission notes that SWEPCO’s initial brief indicates that the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) issued a draft permit for the Turk Plant in May 2007, but has not issued the final permit to date. SWEPCO must obtain this permit. The Commission is conditioning its approval to amend SWEPCO’s CCN on the receipt of the necessary permits to construct and operate the Turk Plant. The Commission also concluded that it is appropriate and necessary to place further limitations on SWEPCO, specifically regarding costs. SWEPCO will also be required to provide the Commission with all updates, studies, reviews, reports and analyses required to be submitted to both the Arkansas and Louisiana Commissions.</p> <p>On September 11, 2008, SWEPCO submitted a Motion for Rehearing. SWEPCO asked that the cost limitations be eliminated.</p> <p>On September 29, 2008, the Commission denied SWEPCO’s Motion for Rehearing.</p> <p>There is no recent activity in this docket.</p> <p>SPP is not a participant in this docket.</p>

<p>506.</p>	<p><b>Texas Docket No. 34442</b></p>	<p>Complaint of JD Wind 1, LLC, JD Wind 2 LLC, JD Wind 3 LLC, JD Wind 4 LLC, JD Wind 5, LLC and JD Wind 5, LLC Against Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”)</p>	<p>On June 27, 2007, the JD Wind Companies filed a complaint with the Public Utility Commission of Texas requesting that it resolve a dispute between the JD Wind Companies and SPS regarding commercial terms for long-term written agreements for the sale of energy to SPS from any of the six wind farms. Per PUCT Order No. 8:</p> <p>JD Wind’s prefiled testimony was due August 14, 2008.</p> <p>SPS’ and Occidental’s prefiled testimony was due September 10, 2008.</p> <p>PUCT Staff’s prefiled testimony was due September 17, 2008.</p> <p>JD Wind’s pre-filed rebuttal testimony was due October 2, 2008.</p> <p>The deadline for completion of all discovery responses was October 6, 2008.</p> <p>A hearing on the merits was held on October 13-16, 2008.</p> <p>Initial briefs and written closing arguments were due December 16, 2008.</p> <p>Responsive briefs were due January 26, 2009.</p> <p>On March 25, 2009, the State Office of Administrative Hearings filed a Proposal for Decision. April 6, 2009 is the deadline for filing exceptions to proposal for decision. April 13, 2009, is the deadline for filing responses to exceptions.</p> <p>There is an ongoing filing in FERC Docket No. <b>QM07-5-000</b> in which JD Wind Companies seek a ruling that may moot its complaint in this docket.</p> <p>SPP is not an active participant in this docket.</p>
<p>507.</p>	<p><b>Texas Docket No. 34467</b></p>	<p>Complaint of Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“TEC”), East Texas Cooperatives (“ETC”), South Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“STEC”), Texas Industrial Electrical Consumers (“TIEC”), Denton Municipal Electric, TXU Cities, and the State of Texas against</p>	<p>As a result of the abatement of Docket No. 33687, on November 15, 2007, the PUCT issued Order No. 1 requesting comments as to whether the PUCT should proceed with establishing a procedural schedule for this docket or establish a procedural schedule at some later date (i.e. after a final order in Docket No. 33687).</p> <p>Responses to Order No. 1 were filed by EGSI, ETC and Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc., each supporting the establishment of a procedural schedule at some later date.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p>

		Entergy Gulf States, Inc. ("EGSI") for Violation of PUC Proc. Rules § 22.144	
508.	<b>Texas Project No. 34560</b>	Rulemaking Proceeding to Amend PUC Substantive Rules Relating to the Selection of Transmission Service Providers related to Competitive Renewable Energy Zones and Other Special Projects	<p>On June 19, 2008, the PUCT issued an order adopting new §25.216 (as approved at the May 22, 2008 Open Meeting), relating to Selection of Transmission Service Providers with changes to the proposed text as published in the December 21, 2007 issue of the Texas Register.</p> <p>No additional activity is posted in this docket.</p> <p>SPP has not actively participated in this project.</p>
509.	<b>Texas Project No. 34577</b>	Proceeding to Establish Policy relating to Excess Development in Competitive Renewable Energy Zones	<p>Several comments in response to the PUCT's September 28, 2007 Notice of Workshop continue to be filed in this docket.</p> <p>On August 15, 2008, the Commission issued a Request for Comments. The Commission seeks comments on the feasibility and efficiency of the use of auctioned CRRs to effectuate dispatch priority from the CREZs and impede over-development of the CREZ transmission lines. Numerous parties filed comments.</p> <p>A public workshop (regarding dispatch priority options for CREZ developers and potential excess with resources in the ERCOT Market) was held on December 12, 2008.</p> <p>A workshop is being held on April 17, 2009 to discuss dispatch priority for CREZ wind projects.</p> <p>SPP has not actively participated in this proceeding.</p>